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LET US BOYCOTT

To the Editors:

The members of La Raza Law Students were bitterly disappointed at the Law School Student Senate's recent action regarding the lettuce boycott. The alleged reason behind the proposal was to insure the individual "freedom of choice" whether or not to participate in the boycott. While not arguing against this ostensible motivation, we would like to emphasize that it is appropriate for the Senate as a representative body to take stands on important social issues: issues which, if left to individual choice, would no doubt generate less than unanimous support. One only has to look at the Senate's recent stand on legal aid to see that the Senate can, does and should continue to act in this capac-

city as representatives of the student body.

While the proposal seems to be neutral it does, in fact, result in a stand which goes against the purpose of the boycott. To blithely dismiss the human suffering which the boycott is fighting as a mere "emotional issue" and to characterize the outrages of the Teamster-grower conspiracy as nothing more than "shady union practices" is to demean the former and grace the latter. One's "right" to eat lettuce must be balanced against the right of fellow human beings to lead decent lives.

We urge our fellow students to continue the stand which our Senate felt compelled to abandon.

UNIV OF MICH
SEP 16 1974 /s/ La Raza Law Students

R. CHAVEZ

Richard Chavez, speaking on behalf of a farm workers' union fighting for its life, condemned a Law School Student Senate resolution to rescind support of the lettuce boycott. Chavez, brother of United Farm Workers leader Cesar Chavez, spoke Tuesday night at Hutchins Hall and the Union.

"Freedom of choice is all well and good," Chavez said, "but it must be too very comfortable to sit here not having to bend your back in the fields and make decisions for others."

The LSSS resolution overruled a decision made last fall to cooperate with the UFW boycott at the Lawyer's Club cafeteria, replacing it with a "freedom of choice at the salad bar" approach which would allow the cafe-

THE ABORTION DECISION ENDANGERED

Since January 22 of this year those who advocate reform of abortion laws have shifted the focus of their efforts. On that day, the U.S. Supreme Court, interpreting the U.S. Constitution, held that the criminal abortion statutes of Texas and Georgia were violative of the Fourteenth Amendment. The Court held that abortions performed by licensed physicians in the first two trimesters (six months) of pregnancy are legal, that abortion procedures in the second trimester are subject to state regulation, and that abortions in the third trimester may be prohibited except where performed to save the life of the mother. Essential to the result was the Court's holding that the word "person," as used in the Fourteenth Amendment, does not include the unborn. The broad effect of the Court's decision is to give Ameri-

see CHAVEZ p. 3
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LETTERS

SELF-SERVING STATEMENTS

\[ NOTE: \] The authors of the following testimonials are all former Editors of R.G. and have been receiving the rag thru the mails. -- Eds.

To the Editor:

Keep them coming; they're good reading...

/s/ M. Hall L'72

To the Editor:

... the R.G.'s account of law school life continues to provide an element of certainty in an otherwise ever-changing world....

/s/ S. Israel L'72

To the Editor:

ARGHH!

/s/ J. Newman L'72

The following exchange of letters is borrowed from the English lawyers magazine, The Solicitors' Journal. They suggest that certain misapprehensions as to the place of women in the legal profession are not limited to practitioners on this continent. Mr. Ward's letter appeared on Jan. 7, 1972, Ms. Brown's on Jan. 28. Anyone for cricket? -- Eds.

Do it yourself conveyancing

Sir,—A few days ago, as a commissioner for oaths, I attended a completion for the purpose of swearing a purchaser, who had acted for himself, to the statutory declaration which was necessary in support of his application to register his title at the Land Registry.

When I arrived I found that in addition to the purchaser and his wife the vendor was also present in person, not having instructed a solicitor, and was redeeming a mortgage from a bank, who had not thought it necessary to instruct a solicitor either. The purchaser was mortgaging to a building society who had instructed solicitors but the solicitors had thought it adequate to send a secretary to attend to the completion.

Needless to say, the whole affair would have been hilarious if it had not been so tragic to see how difficult it is even for intelligent laymen to deal with routine matters on completion. The whole performance had lasted '20 minutes when I did my simple duty and departed. At that stage the vendor was accepting the purchaser's personal cheque for the balance of the purchase money and the solicitor secretary was accepting the verbal undertaking of the assistant branch manager of the bank that the bank's charge would be vacated and sent to her firm.

I expect that we will all draw similar conclusions. The only question that I would like to pose is whether it was wise for the building society's solicitor to entrust the completion to a secretary. Of course a competent secretary can deal with a well prepared completion. The secretary in this particular case did appear to be very competent; but she was not equipped to deal with the decisions that sometimes have to be made at completion when a party is acting in person. Furthermore, her presence was likely to reinforce the belief of the other parties that a solicitor was not really necessary at all. The solicitors' duty to their client is their own affair; but should we not all give careful consideration to the public relations aspect of this sort of situation?

Christopher Ward

Reading
Berkshire

Lady solicitors

Sir,— I read with interest Mr. Christopher Ward's letter (p 18) and the comment thereon by the Secretary of the Professional and Public Relations Committee of the Law Society (p 54). Naturally I cannot but agree with the two points raised in both letters on the proper supervision of staff and the question of public relations. However, Mr Ward's letter was written under certain misapprehensions and as I feel that it implied a criticism of my firm, which is reinforced by the letter from Mr. Sanctuary, I feel that I cannot but reply.

I was the 'secretary' who attended the completion. However, it does not appear to have occurred to Mr Ward to consider that I could be, as indeed I am, a qualified solicitor! It is not therefore surprising that he assumed that the undertaking he refers to was verbal, whereas in fact it was written.

Like most other female solicitors I am quite enured to being identified at first sight as a secretary, a typist, or a receptionist, as much by members of the profession as by laymen. Fortunately I find that in the course of a transaction the other party will discover that they are dealing with a solicitor and I believe that this was certainly the case here. Are we to forbid women solicitors to deal face to face with the public for fear that they might be taken for secretaries? It is indeed sad to reflect how far we still have to go before women solicitors are generally accepted as such both by the public and more particularly by the profession as a whole.

It is only fair to say that I have already received a very handsome apology from Mr Ward, both for myself and on behalf of my firm, as soon as the correct facts were pointed out to him, but in view of the fact that his letter provoked an official comment I feel that I have had to write to you, at least on behalf of my firm.

(Mrs) Vera M. Brown

Reading
Berkshire

PHOTOGRAPHY CONTEST

We are pleased to announce the winners of the First Annual Law School Photography Contest. The recipients of the three prizes ($50.00, $30.00, and $20.00) are:

First Prize: Valerie Sherman
Second Prize: Michael Betz
Third Prize: James Wangelin

The pictures submitted by the winning entrants will be on display in the main reading room of the Law Library.

-- F. Allen, H. Edwards, B. Kuklin, S. Siegel
For the two of us, seniors on the staff of Res Gestae, this is the last issue in which we will participate. And, while we have by no means exhausted what we might have to say about life and study at the University of Michigan Law School, we are guided by the old adage that if you don’t have anything kind to say, don’t say anything at all. So this message will be brief.

R.G. has been a joint effort in every sense. Every Friday that school has been in session, a new issue of this zesty journal has appeared outside of Room 100 and elsewhere to the distraction of student, faculty and staff readers, alike. We played only a small part in the over-all effort that brought each issue to fruition.

We want, therefore, to thank the tireless group of R.G. loyalists that have united to make this thing possible. Thanks go to the junior staff of R.G.: to John McKay for anchoring our tradition of first-class journalism; to Connye Harper for fanning the flames of chauvinist sentiment; to Aloysius for crafting our graphics; to Jeff Small for insuring our place on Madison Avenue; and a special note of gratitude to our classmate, Tom Lichten for launching our film program.

Our heartfelt thanks are extended to Jane Jansson and her relief crew of Jackie Ehrman and Naomi Kaffee for ungrudgingly typing endless reams of often illegible drafts. And to Judy Sisung and Kathie Cohn for stepping into the breach.

The mechanics of printing is an awesome chore, undertaken each week in the Law School’s own copicenter. The employees at the copicenter infinitely smoothed the path of production and always answered above and beyond the call of duty. They are: Ralph Maten, the rookie who plays like a pro; Darrell Powell, as good a man as we’ve ever known; Glenda Jalowitz, who always lends a hand; Ann White, who keeps it all together; Pat Cottrell, who can never be copied; and Linda Degroff, a happy mother and former operator.

Last but not least are all you readers out there in law school land, who love us or hate us, have still picked us up.

Thanks.
-- Joe Serritella
Helen Forsyth
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teria to buy Teamster and non-union lettuce.

"Freedom of choice is very good—we believe in that," Chavez said. "That is why we’re fighting the Teamsters and the growers. They are the ones who won’t let workers decide which union they want to represent them. It is very easy when you are comfortable but to us it is life or death—are we going to have enough money to pay the rent next month, or to put food on the table next week?"

Apparently assuming for some reason that the LSSS was comprised of liberals, Chavez said, "I don't understand how these very liberal minds work. If that's being a liberal I want no part of it."

"If we were to go on freedom of choice for everything, there would have been no American Revolution," he said. "Many things in history would never have happened, because people are too afraid to make the choice."

Chavez, the UFW field office director, actually came to discuss the beleaguered farm workers' union, not the
ATT: All Students!

WHO ARE RETURNING NEXT TERM

You Must Comply With The Following Instructions In Order to Pre-Classify

PRECLASSIFICATION
Fall Term
1973

Seminars: Clinical Law

Preclassification for next Fall again will be conducted by mail this Summer. Therefore all returning students must provide us with their summer addresses whether or not the addresses have been reported to us for other purposes. Before leaving please fill out the short form available in the administrative offices.

Students interested in reserved positions in any of the SEMINARS or in CLINICAL LAW for Fall 1973 must apply by submitting a form by Friday, May 18. Forms and informational materials are available in the administrative offices.

CALENDAR CHANGE

In response to requests from numerous faculty, staff members, and students, the Law School calendar will provide in future years for a spring recess coinciding with the University's spring recess. This means that spring recess during the Winter Term of 1974 will begin on Saturday, March 2, at noon, and classes will resume on Monday, March 11. These dates supersede the dates listed for the spring recess in current 1973-74 Law School Catalogue. Please mark your own calendars accordingly.

YEARBOOK PICKUP


ANNOUNCEMENTS WILL BE POSTED ON THE HUTCHINS HALL BULLETIN BOARD AS SOON AS THE YEARBOOKS ARRIVE.

BOOKS WILL BE AVAILABLE ONLY TO THOSE STUDENTS WHO ORDERED AND PAID FOR THEIR COPIES EARLIER THIS YEAR. NO BOOKS WILL BE AVAILABLE FOR SALE.

---The Codicil Staff

JOBS

Local attorney needs two law students to research Michigan law revision in light of the Equal Rights Amendment. $3/hr., starts right after finals. For information call Jean King at 761-2398.

It's Legal Now

In Jan. Detroit Nat'l Org./Women sponsored a cocktail party for 15 women lawyers and law students. This was to acquaint them with NOW and determine if there was interest in forming a legal committee. As an outgrowth of that party 9 women lawyers held a committee meeting on Feb. 16th to discuss priorities:

1) establish a legal screening committee to review complaints alleging employment discrimination based on sex;
2) hold a seminar in the early spring for women lawyers;
3) develop a pamphlet on critical issues such as credit, divorce, etc.;
4) make special contacts with the Education and Women in Poverty Committees.

If you are a lawyer or know of a feminist lawyer who would want to join this committee, call Delores Tripp. Detroit: 366-2648
BIG-SISTER-IS-WATCHING-ACHIEVEMENT AWARD

Res Gestae is happy to announce the establishment of the Big-Sister-Is-Watching-You Achievement Award. The purpose of the award is to recognize the member of the Law School community who has made the most progress over the past year in promoting activities designed to achieve the full equality of women in the Law School. In accordance with E.E.O.C. Guidelines and recent Supreme Court decisions Big Sis has determined the candidates' eligibility according to the effects of his acts.

Dean Theodore J. St. Antoine is the recipient of this year's award because of the increased degree of interest he has shown to the problems affecting women in the field of law. During the past school year the Dean has legitimated the concept of Women and the Law as a field of scholarly endeavor by establishing the University of Michigan Law School's first course on that topic. He was also the only University of Michigan faculty member to attend the American Association of Law Schools' Conference on the study of Women and the Law.

In addition the Dean has exhibited a marked increase in receptivity toward the concerns of women students. He sponsored two student representatives to a conference on women in higher education; he has shown a sincere willingness to talk informally with women students about their concerns; and has indicated a favorable attitude toward the establishment of a child care center for the Law School.

Finally, the award recognizes the diligent though unsuccessful attempts by the Dean to persuade a woman to join the Law School faculty.

In addition to the award, the Dean will receive a year's subscription to the WIN Bulletin and an honorary membership in the Women Law Students' Association.

Congratulations, Ted! Sis looks forward to even more successes in '73-'74!

This year's award for the professor exhibiting the most radical decrease in sexist remarks in the classroom over the course of the year is given to two professors! Congratulations to Yale Kamisar and James J. White. Good luck next year! -- C.H. Z.Z.

LAWYERS GUILD

MIKE ADELMAN will speak on
Legal Labor Struggles, 7:30 p.m. Wed.
May 2, in the Lawyers Club Lounge.

Mike is a Detroit Guild lawyer who
recently won James Johnson's workmen's compensation case against Chrysler Corporation and who is active in other radical labor struggles in Detroit. He is a member of the National Labor Committee of the Guild.
Late Award

(Editor's Note: The following award was not ready for the recent Honors Convocation, and was submitted to RG for presentation at this time.)

WHEREAS certain members of §3 have demonstrated extreme courage and dedication to the cause of student rights by showing no mercy to a lovelly torts professor merely because he was seriously ill, and

WHEREAS these same students have manifested undying commitment to the highest traditions of obsessive grade grubbing, and

WHEREAS they have also shown an unparalleled capacity for turning rational discourse into a barnyard debate and nascent committee meetings into cacophonous brouhahas,

We hereby grant, bargain, demise, release, alien, and confirm the

UNCIVIL PROCEDURE AWARD* for 1972-73 to
THE §3 FLAMERS AND GAPERS

*This award entitles all recipients who recognize themselves to five (5) free votes for the office of their choice in next year's LSSS elections.

NOTE

Brian E. Bayus recently received the Silver Key Award from the Law Student Division of the American Bar Association. As L.S.D. representative this past year Brian nearly doubled the U of M membership. He was one of five law students in the Sixth Circuit honored for their contributions to the LSD/ABA.

Many issues related to this right yet remain unresolved. The legislative and litigation struggle between proponents and opponents of abortion reform now concerns parental consent, father's rights, and conscience clauses. On the basic question of a woman's legal right to have an abortion, the supporters have relaxed their efforts. The assumption is widespread that the Supreme Court has had the final word on the question.

But the Constitution can be changed. Opponents of abortion reform who disapprove of what the Supreme Court says is constitutionally required can try to amend the Constitution. Currently, most supporters of abortion reform do not seem very worried about this possibility because of the great complexity and difficulty of amending the Constitution. They recall that recent efforts to add amendments relating to prayer, apportionment, and wiretapping have been notably unsuccessful. They tend to forget that earlier amendments proposed for the purpose of reversing constitutional interpretations of the Court were ratified and are now part of the Constitution. In 1913, the Sixteenth Amendment gave Congress the power to tax income and in so doing overturned Pollock v. Farmer's Loan and Trust Company, 157 U.S. 429 (1895); in 1920, the Nineteenth Amendment giving women the vote reversed Minor v. Happersett, 21 Wall. 162 (1875); and in 1964 the Twenty-Fourth Amendment ensuring that the right to vote shall not be denied or abridged by a poll tax overturned Breedlove v. Suttles, 302 U.S. 277 (1937).

Today opponents of abortion reform are advocating amendments to the U.S. Constitution which would negate the Court's decision. These proposals have three main aspects:

(1) A prohibition against abortion linked with the rights of older people;

(2) An extension of the due process and equal protection clauses to "any human being, from the moment of conception;"

(3) Abortion as a legislative matter for the individual states.

The specific amendments which have been introduced are as follows:

DECISION from p. 1

Can women a constitutional right to terminate pregnancies before the end of the second trimester.

page six see next page
"Neither the United States, nor any State shall deprive any human being, from (the moment of) conception, of life without due process of law; nor deny to any human being, from the moment of conception, within its jurisdiction, the equal protection of the laws. Neither the United States nor any State shall deprive any human being of life on account of illness, age, or incapacity. Congress and the several States shall have the power to enforce this article by appropriate legislation." (Some versions omit the words in parenthesis.) (H. J. Res. 261, 364, 394, and 423.)

"Nothing in this Constitution shall bar any State or territory or the District of Columbia, with regard to any area over which it has jurisdiction, from allowing, regulating, or prohibiting the practice of abortion." (H. J. Res. 468.)

The first proposal was introduced by Representatives Lawrence J. Hogan (R-Md.), John N. Erlenborn (R-Ill.), Angelo D. Roncallo (R-N.Y.), and Doiminick V. Daniels (D-N.Y.); the second by Representatives V. William Whithurst (R-Va.), Bill Archer (R-Texas), Tom Bevill (D-Ala.), Joel T. Broyhill (R-Va.), M. Caldwell Butler (R-Va.), Edward J. Derwinski (R-Ill.), Gerald R. Ford (R-Mich.), Ames F. Hastings (R-N.Y.), Robert J. Huber (R-Mich.), John E. Hunt (R-N.J.), Romano L. Mazzoli (D-Ky.), Stanford E. Parris (R-Va.), Robert Sikes (D-Fla.), Antonio Borja Won Pat (Guam), and Robert H. Zion (R-Ind.).

The group in the House which will first deal with these proposals is Subcommittee #4 of the House Judiciary Committee, chaired by Congressman Donald Edwards of California. The subcommittee has not yet met for this purpose. Meanwhile, vigorous pressure from opponents of abortion reform—pressure of the type now well known to Michigan residents—is being applied to members of Congress. Busloads of constituents and mountains of mail are beginning to appear in their offices. The informed expectation in the Capital is that opponents of the Court's decision will take advantage of the spring recess to lobby each member of Congress in her or his home territory.

At present, the Representatives, no matter what their views on the merits, generally do not wish to vote on abortion. Most of them are well aware that either a "yes" vote or a "no" vote would anger many constituents.

Usually legislative inertia and cunning see to it that most matters which are no-win issues for Congressmen get bottled up. The members of the subcommittee would normally receive considerable informal encouragement to refrain from reporting out a proposal so that the rest of their colleagues in Congress would not have to vote on it. In the current climate it may not be possible to hold such controversial amendments as these in subcommittee.1

A review of Congressional procedures and norms indicates that "hot" political issues, backed by intensive and skillful lobbying, can overcome the time-honored Congressional defenses against action. Members of Congress will be made aware that in this matter inaction is not a shield against constituent anger. Heavy lobbying pressure by opponents of abortion reform could force an amendment out of the subcommittee then out of the full Judiciary Committee and into the House of Representatives. There, for the first time, the right to abortion would be treated in a national setting as a legislative issue.

If Subcommittee #4 considers the proposed amendments in an orderly fashion, it is unlikely that the chairman of the House Judiciary Committee or the full committee itself would interfere. The subcommittee is planning to hold hearings on the proposed amendments. These hearings will serve the useful function of alerting those across the country who have worked for liberalized abortion laws that the Court's decision is under serious attack. They will also provide opponents of the Court's decision with a dramatic forum.

1However, it is worth writing the members of the subcommittee in support of the Court's decision. They are, besides Edwards: Jerome R. Waldie (D-Calif.), Paul S. Sarbanes (D-Md.), Robert F. Drinan, (D-Mass.), Charles B. Rangel (D-N.Y.), Charles E. Wiggins (R-Calif.), Robert McClory (R-Ill.), M. Caldwell Butler (R-Va.), and Trent Lott (R-Miss.).
Following subcommittee consideration, it is quite probable that one of the proposed amendments will be reported out. And if not, the full Judiciary Committee could take the question away from the subcommittee. This is an unusual move but it can happen.

When a proposed amendment has been considered by the full House Judiciary Committee, that committee may not report out the resolution. Then the tactic of those who oppose abortion reform might be the same as that used by the proponents of the Equal Rights Amendment: a petition to discharge the proposal from the committee, requiring the signatures of 218 members of Congress.

By autumn of 1973, or even sooner, the battleground for abortion reform might easily be the floor of the House of Representatives. The goal of those supporting reform would be to secure definite and public commitments from 146 or more Representatives (1/3 of the Congressmen) to vote against overturning the Supreme Court decision. The same process can go on simultaneously in the Senate and the same forces will be at work. Most experienced political observers now believe that if a proposed amendment on abortion receives the required two-thirds vote in the House and in the Senate, it would be speedily ratified by the necessary 38 state legislatures to become our newest constitutional amendment.

Of the proposals which have now been introduced, the amendment which appears, at the moment, to have the most energy behind it is the one which extends constitutional protection from the moment of conception. This version is, however, vulnerable because of its absurd and extreme legal consequences.

The political future of the proposed amendment which would permit the states to regulate and/or prohibit abortion is much brighter. In supporting this version, a Congressman can claim that his is not a vote on the merits. A vote in favor, he will tell us, is merely a statement that this is a matter for each of the 50 states. This proposal has the endorsement of Congressman Gerald Ford of Michigan, House minority leader. It is also the posture assumed by both major Presidential candidates in 1972 and by their respective national conventions.

If other issues with similar emotional impact are combined with abortion in a states' rights amendment, or if the alternate route of a call by the states for a convention to alter the constitution is successful, it is indeed difficult to predict the immediate future of abortion reform. But it is clear that if the supporters of the Court decision are not alert, they may find themselves in 1974 faced, at best, with a Missouri compromise of 13 weeks or, at worst, with a complete reversal of the Court's decision.

The appropriate action for proponents of abortion reform is as follows: they should pay personal visits to members of Congress in Washington, D.C., or at home in order to express, as soon as possible, support of the Court's decision. Congressmen who can't be seen should be written to in care of House Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20515. Senators should also be contacted.

Supporters of abortion reform should be prepared to visit, call, and write again. This will be a long struggle.

Jean L. King, '68L
Formerly Co-chairperson
Michigan Abortion Referendum Committee, 1972
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LSSS. "We are going through the worst crises in our movement since its beginning," he said. The fledgling union has had to pull in its resources and organizers from other efforts to combat the powerful Teamsters Union not only in the lettuce fields, but now again in the grape vineyards.

The three year contracts which the Farm Workers signed with grape growers after a bitter but successful two and a half year grape boycott just ended, and the growers have immediately begun to solicit Teamster contracts to replace them.

The Teamster takeover of the grape fields in the Coachella Valley comes at a time when UFW's meager resources are already committed to the breaking point in lettuce disputes.
"We are fighting for our lives right now," Chavez said. "We not only have the lettuce boycott, but also we have to reinstate the grape boycott. It looks harder every way we turn--this could be the end of us. It's no accident. It's very well planned and there are a lot of moneyed people behind it, because we are a very definite threat to some segments of society."

Since farm workers are excluded from the NLRA, there is no provision for them to choose their own union, so if growers see that unionization is inevitable, they will pick the union they can deal with best.

The Farm Workers have often complained of "sweetheart contracts" signed by growers with the Teamsters. Now, Chavez said, they have evidence of a conspiracy between the Teamsters Union and growers, including payoffs to Teamster officials and payments to people for disrupting UFW picket lines and harassing UFW organizers. At the request of the UFW, a Senate committee is investigating the alleged collusion.

The Teamsters were labeled "hyenas" by Chavez because they have often been accused of raiding other unions after the less powerful groups organize the workers.

In 1970, according to Chavez, Salinas area lettuce growers called in the Teamsters and asked them to sign contracts on the very day after the biggest grape growers finally gave in to UFW. Now, despite a poll conducted by an independent group of clergy and legislators showing that farm workers overwhelmingly preferred UFW over the Teamsters and over no union at all, growers signed with the Teamsters on the day after the grape contracts terminated.

Chavez emphasized that this is not a jurisdictional dispute between United Farm Workers and the Teamsters. He said that the sweetheart contracts of the Teamsters do not represent the interests of farm workers and that, contrary to recent Wall Street Journal and New York Times reports, there are substantial differences in what the two groups' contracts offer.

The Teamster contracts not only pay ten cents an hour less, he said, but would do away with hiring halls, do away with pesticide protection, reinstate labor contractors, and give growers the right to hire and fire at will.

In addition Teamster contracts would do away with grievance procedures and most importantly, Chavez said, "We enforce our contracts for the farm workers, and they don't."

Health problems are a large concern of UFW, and removing restrictions against sending workers back into fields freshly sprayed with pesticides before they are safe would be a big blow. Chavez also said that the Teamster's medical fund isn't working for farm workers, while the UFW program is very efficient.

But Chavez directed some of his harshest words at the possible reinstatement of labor contractors. He called them "hyenas in the middle that deal in human bodies."

"They not only rip off payment from the growers but they also rip off the people in many ways (e.g., excessive charges for transportation and food). If there's no kickback you very seldom get a job. The UFW now acts as a labor contractor, only we don't get a profit from it."

Indeed the Farm Workers' union doesn't seem to get a profit from anything, and it is hard to see how it even exists from day to day. Certainly the answer doesn't lie in conventional union practices.

The key seems to be in Chavez' statement that "this is not only a labor union, this is a movement." The Farm Workers union evinces a total dedication to the people it wants to serve rarely found in more established unions, and has almost religious overtones in its emphasis on self-sacrifice and commitment to the cause. From Cesar Chavez on down, the work is virtually all voluntary.
"We're just people who have a conscience and want to change things," Richard Chavez said. "We want to help the people. I come from them and I haven't forgotten yet. We're going to get the lettuce worker, and the graper picker and the orange picker and every other farm worker in this country and organize them until every farm worker is treated like a human being. It might not be tomorrow, but it's going to come. You--the public with a conscience--are our strength."

"You have to fight to be master of your own destiny," Chavez said, "or nothing is going to change. You have to be willing to stand up and fight and get kicked in the teeth and get up again.

"This might well be the end of us. Maybe we'll just be a damned footnote in labor history books, but it'll be a cold day in hell before we give up and quit fighting. As long as you're afraid to get out of your comfortable chair and really see what's happening life is not much worth living."

--jm
THIRD-YEAR STUDENTS - Have you let the Placement Office know what your plans are after graduation? Please stop in or call, or fill out the form below, so that we can account for each student in the class. Even if you did not get your job by interviewing at the Law School, we would like to know what you are doing, and how you got your job.

If you do not yet have a job - you may want to receive the Placement Bulletin which will include all jobs normally posted on the bulletin board outside the Placement Office. Please give us your summer address so that we can begin sending the Bulletin to you.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Summer Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

_________ ___________ zip ______

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

I have taken a job with ____________________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>How did you obtain your job?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interview at the Law School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Notice from the bulletin board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assistance of faculty member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other ______________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Name

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

COMMENTS & SUGGESTIONS PLEASE!! - If you have comments or suggestions about the Placement Office, please either stop in and discuss them, or write them below.

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

page eleven
Films
THE LIFE & TIMES OF JUDGE ROY BEAN


Director: John Huston

Grade: B- (Pass)

Shucks, folks. Whut kin yew say 'bout a movie with a beer-guzzling bear, a beautiful Chicano girl, good guys, bad guys, lots of blood and guts, poker, the crooning of Andy Williams, an alcoholic doctor, the slimiest lawyer west of Hutchins Hall, and a plot hardly worth spitting at?

You can say that, as a movie, Judge Roy Bean is really a series of skits, episodes held together by some underlying theme, but mostly serving to give "cameo" appearances to the name stars.

Although Judge Roy Bean really lived, his life bore little resemblance to its portrayal in this movie, which is a sort of Walt Disney-Harvard Lampoon comic book version of life in the Old West.

Worth commenting on is the "message" of the movie: the rule of law is little more than the rule of superior fire-power. Roy Bean becomes the Judge because he possesses a volume of the Texas Compiled Laws and because he kills off, scares off, or converts those who challenge him. His mistake is to share his power with a real lawyer, who is more clever at manipulating the mechanisms that breed authority, and who eventually displaces Bean as the (less benevolent) "boss" of the town. Judge Bean's society is feudal, but if the truth were acknowledged, it is still the reality in more places than West Texas.

There are some fun scenes: a travelling brothel comes to town; the Judge & the Bear get drunk on beer together; the Judge & his boys make a comeback at the end and wipe out a whole slough of brown-shirted Texas Rangers; Bad Bob (a combination of Hopalong Cassidy, Johnny Winter, and Charles Bronson) comes to town; the Judge throws a necktie party.

There's also some nice acting by the Judge's Chicano girlfriend-mistress, a truly honest, real, and beautiful person. Fittingly, in the sense of the movie, she dies after bearing the Judge's baby daughter (Jacqueline Bisset), while the Judge is off in San Antonio trying to at last see his ideal, pure woman, the actress Lily Langtry, and while the doctor is off somewhere on an extended drunk.

Paul Newman, as the Judge, appears befuddled, but very human.

The movie is both satire and allegory. Intellectually, it is weak, but it is corny enough (and "liberal" enough?) to pass muster as decent light entertainment.

-- Tom Lichten

More Awards

Law school jocks finished the year in style by winning the triple crown:
-- The Law School swept the Graduate Division All-Sports Trophy,
-- Law school sports organizer Tom Koernke copped the title of best manager, and
-- Neal Kamin was named best all-around athlete in the graduate division.

ANSWERS

was a student at Northwestern U. law school, when the hawk annual faculty-student basketball game at Professor Frank's Allman picture taken at Professor Carell's hawk house quiz on the hawk
WHO ARE THESE MEN?

Photo courtesy of UNIDENTIFIED SOURCES SYNDICATE

IDENTIFY THEM AND WIN BIG PRIZES!!!

HINT: THIS IS NOT A PHOTO FROM A POW CAMP.

IF YOU CORRECTLY IDENTIFY THE GANGLING GOALTENDER ON THE RIGHT, YOU MAY WIN:
-- An autographed set of galley proofs for the Michigan Rules of Civil Procedure
-- Three University of Michigan T-shirts with embroidered hawks on the sleeves
-- A no expense paid trip to Utah in the back of a moving van

IF YOU CORRECTLY IDENTIFY THE BRAWNY HUSTLER IN THE EXOTIC SHORTS, YOU MAY WIN:
-- A souvenir reproduction of the palm tree BVDs shown above
-- Second refusal rights on the scholarly article, "My Years as Dean," currently being submitted to the Journal for the Study of Juvenile Delinquency
-- An autographed copy of Memoirs of Sicnarf Nella: Famous Criminals I Have Been

IDENTIFY BOTH and you will receive an invitation to spend thirty seconds in the faculty lounge. (Live faculty not eligible--Consult Rules Committee on eligibility questions.) FOR ANSWERS SEE NEXT ISSUE, OR IF YOU CAN'T WAIT, SEE OPPOSITE PAGE.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>SUMMER OPPORTUNITIES</strong></th>
<th><strong>WHO SAYS GOOD SUMMER JOBS CAN'T BE FOUND?</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ENJOY YOURSELF THIS SUMMER! DO SOMETHING THAT YOU CAN TELL YOUR FRIENDS ABOUT NEXT FALL! BE A STAR DURING &quot;SHOW AND TELL&quot; PERIOD! FIRST YEAR STUDENTS ARE ELIGIBLE!</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NO EXPERIENCE NECESSARY HIGH PAY/FLEXIBLE HOURS</strong></td>
<td><strong>A LARGE MIDWESTERN LAW SCHOOL WITH AN EXCELLENT REPUTATION HAS A NUMBER OF SUMMER OPENINGS FOR BUDGING LEGAL SCHOLARS. FOR A NOMINAL FEE YOU CAN JOIN THIS SELECT GROUP OF FUTURE LEADERS OF AMERICA.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BECOME A TORT ORDER COOK</strong></td>
<td><strong>LOOK WHAT YOUR $125.00 A CREDIT HOUR WILL BUY:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A LARGE, EXCLUSIVE LAW FIRM DESIRES TO HIRE A NUMBER OF STUDENTS AND TRAIN THEM IN THE ART OF TORT ORDER COOKING. THEY WILL THEN BE PLACED IN A NUMBER OF EXCLUSIVE RESTAURANTS TO PREPARE CULINARY DELIGHTS WITH SPECIAL INGREDIENTS WHICH ARE EXPECTED TO AID IN THE SOLUTION OF ESTATE PLANNING DISAGREEMENTS, FAMILY DISPUTES AND CRIMINAL MATTRESSES. FOR MORE INFORMATION CONTACT LOUIE AT 2070 S. PRABE AVE., CHICAGO, KNOCK TWICE AND THEN COMEBAC IN TEN MINUTES. THE PASSWORD IS &quot;RES IPA&quot;</strong></td>
<td><strong>INSTRUCTION BY SOME OF AMERICA'S MOST NOTED LEGAL MINDS, MANY OF WHOM HAVE FOR THE SUMMER (BUT THEIR AURA STILL PERVADES THE SCHOOL).</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SEE THE OTHER AMERICA! BECOME A PROCESS SERVER THIS SUMMER!</strong></td>
<td><strong>AN UNLIMITED NUMBER OF PASSES TO THE &quot;QUAD&quot; A GREEN GRASSY AREA BETWEEN THE BUILDINGS WHERE YOU MAY TROT AWAY THOSE LAZY SUMMER HOURS!</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>THE GREAT SMOKY PROCESS SERVING CORP. WANTS TO HIRE A NUMBER OF AMBITIOUS YOUNG PEOPLE TO HANDLE A NUMBER OF THEIR MORE CHALLENGING CASES IN THE PICTURESQUE BLUE RIDGE MOUNTAINS OF TENNESSEE. IF YOU HAVE TO DO IS FIND THE RV NAMED &quot;THE SUMMONS&quot; AND PRESENT THE PAPER! UNFORTUNATELY A FEW OF THE MOUNTAIN PEOPLE DON'T UNDERSTAND THE LEGAL PROCESS, AND ARE KNOWN TO HAVE BEEN FAIRLY HOSTILE TOWARD THE SERVERS, BUT THIS WILL MAKE THE JOB MORE INTERESTING!</strong></td>
<td><strong>AN OPTION TO RENT A PENTHOUSE SUITE IN A PSEUDO GOTHIC MANSE THAT ADJACENT THE SCHOOL.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INTERESTED IN SPY MOVIES, LAW AND ORDER OR VOYEURISM? THEN YOU WILL ENJOY SPENDING THIS SUMMER TESTING THE BIG EARS</strong></td>
<td><strong>APPLY NOW!</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A CALIFORNIA-BASED FIRM WHICH SPECIALIZES IN DISCOVERY TECHNIQUES NEEDS HELP TESTING THEIR NEW EQUIPMENT. A FLEXIBLE TIME SCHEDULE IS REQUIRED BUT THE EMPLOYEE IS REWARDED WITH HIGH PAY, GOOD BENEFITS AND ACCESS TO ALL SORTS OF SPY INFORMATION. IN FACT, WORKING ON THIS JOB MAY ENABLE YOU TO MEET JACK ANDERSON! WRITE: PROF. A.</strong></td>
<td><strong>ALL YOU NEED IS A 700 LSAT SCORE AND A 3.5 AVERAGE FROM AN ELITE COLLEGE.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VISIT FAR AWAY PLACES, LEARN NEW LANGUAGES, MEET STRANGE FOREIGN PEOPLE YOU CAN BECOME A TITLE SEARCHER!</strong></td>
<td><strong>WRITE: HUTCHINSON HALL, ANN ARBOR, MICH.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>THE FERTILE CRESCENT TITLE COMPANY (415 PARK AVE., N.Y., N.Y.) INVITES A LIMITED NUMBER OF STUDENTS TO COME TO THE NEAR EAST FOR THE SUMMER , UPON COMPLETION OF A 6-WEEK COURSE IN CLAY TABLET READING, THE STUDENT WILL THEN JOIN A CARAVAN TO SEARCH TITLES. (A ONE OR TWO-HUMP CAMEL MAY BE REQUESTED), THIS SUMMER WILL FEATURE &quot;PROJECT NEBUCHADNEZZAR&quot; AN ATTEMPT TO DISCOVER THE REAL OWNERS OF ALL THE LAND THAT THE BABYLONIANS TOOK FROM THE ASSEYRANS IN 612 B.C. (BEING</strong></td>
<td><strong>FREE MEDICAL INSURANCE!</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>This summer why not get into the field of LEGAL FICTION WRITING</strong></td>
<td><strong>A NUMBER OF DISTINGUISHED JURISTS ARE INTERESTED IN HIRING LEGAL FICTION WRITERS TO HELP THEM IN THE PREPARATION OF THEIR OPINIONS. THE WRITER WILL GAIN VALUABLE EXPERIENCE IN CREATIVE ANALOGY WRITING, IMAGINATIVE HYPOTHETICAL INVENTING AND RESEARCH OF &quot;BAD LAW&quot; AND PREVIOUSLY UNUSED CASES. APPLY: 5TH CIRCUIT CT. OF APPEAL NOW</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>