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Saving Legal Services

Although I am no longer officially connected with the UM Law School Legal Aid Society, I feel a responsibility to comment on the rumors surrounding the petition/telegrams circulated by the LAS last week since the idea was mine originally. When first conceived, we expected only a couple hundred signatories; the overwhelming response led us to consider the various possibilities for transmitting it in view of the increased cost. Those extra signatures cost extra money. Since we identified 39 Congressmen who were especially concerned with legal services and/or Michigan voters, and since Western Union gave us an estimate of $24.50 per telegram of that size, we guessed we would need about $950. We decided to use telegrams rather than xerox copies of the petitions because we were convinced from people who had worked in Congressional offices and not be a matter for public criticism, some felt an invitee should not be subjected to such indignations, some couched their complaints in terms of "inappropriate forums." Whatever their initial premise, the critics agreed that the "unwelcoming" of Rehnquist was in poor taste and should not have occurred at Tuesday's Campbell Competition finals.

For anyone who subscribes to the basic tenets of our society, the bare notion that a justice of the Supreme Court is beyond criticism can be rejected out of hand. (Perhaps the hope or fear that criticism will have any impact on the Court can also be rejected on its face.) The harder question to resolve is always the propriety of concrete expressions of dissent seen in specific contexts. The fact that Rehnquist was coming for the Campbell Competition, an important event in our law school community, certainly does not affect any First Amendment issues involved--there is no question that students had a legal right to express themselves as they did, only a question of whether or not they should have. Unfortunately for those whose aesthetic

RES gestAE

Arbor, Michigan University of Michigan Law School March 9, 1973

CAMPBELL WEEK

Many consumers in our intellectual community don't like being jostled in the marketplace of ideas. They find it more comfortable to sit a home with a mail order catalogue and choose only what they already know they want than to have unfamiliar wares thrust on them from all sides by strange advocates. Whether or not people are ultimately better satisfied or informed, our system rests on the assumption that exposure to different views is healthy. It is hard to square this fundamental premise with the view that Supreme Court justices are somehow immune from criticism.

Variants of this view have been expressed by a number of people who were disturbed by the leafleting in opposition to Mr. Justice Rehnquist's political and judicial record and philosophy. Some felt a Supreme Court Justice's philosophy should not be a matter for public criticism, some felt an invitee should not be subjected to such indignations, some couched their complaints in terms of "inappropriate forums." Whatever their initial premise, the critics agreed that the "unwelcoming" of Rehnquist was in poor taste and should not have occurred at Tuesday's Campbell Competition finals.

For anyone who subscribes to the basic tenets of our society, the bare notion that a justice of the Supreme Court is beyond criticism can be rejected out of hand. (Perhaps the hope or fear that criticism will have any impact on the Court can also be rejected on its face.) The harder question to resolve is always the propriety of concrete expressions of dissent seen in specific contexts. The fact that Rehnquist was coming for the Campbell Competition, an important event in our law school community, certainly does not affect any First Amendment issues involved--there is no question that students had a legal right to express themselves as they did, only a question of whether or not they should have. Unfortunately for those whose aesthetic
Dear R.G. Editors:

Last Monday night's Student Senate meeting was a most intriguing experience. Members of the Barrister's Society had come to the Senate seeking funding for this year's Crape Ball. The Barristers wanted us to foot the bill and in turn they would volunteer to provide the publicity, sell tickets and participate in the planning. They had not bothered to apply for funding to the Senate until the previous week, at which time they had not engaged in any serious planning or preparation. During Monday's meeting, the proposal they suggested was, at best, sketchy. They set a date for April 14 and gave us a rough idea of the guaranteed money they needed. No serious and precise proposals were offered at that time. The lack of planning for the Ball, the shortness of time for preparation and the lack of success of last year's Crease Ball (only 60 or 70 couples attended) raised some serious questions in my mind, and in the mind of other members of the L.S.S.S., as to the wisdom of funding the function. In addition, there was what appeared to be an obvious lack of concern on the part of the Barristers to make the event successful. During the previous L.S.S.S. meeting, the Barristers refused to help with the cleaning up after the Crease Ball. On Monday's meeting, they indicated that unless they received free tickets for the Ball, they doubted they could get their members to do any work. I voiced strong concerns about funding the function. The motion to provide the money was defeated on a very close vote.

On their way out of the meeting room, one of the Barristers, Mr. David C. Zalk, turned around and directed the following remark at me: "Maybe next year we will have non-union lettuce in the cafeteria." Such a obvious personal and racist slur is, in my opinion, not fitting a gentleman and a future lawyer. I made my opinion known to Frank Jackson, President of the L.S.S.S. and requested that Mr. Zalk's remark be included in the minutes. Mr. Jackson refused to do this and answered that while he knew there were racist members of the Barrister's Society, Mr. Zalk was not one of them - he was just a "civil libertarian". Whether Mr. Jackson's appraisal of Mr. Zalk's slur was naive or something else is beside the point. The fact remains that whether Mr. Zalk is a "civil libertarian" or a racist, his remark was uncalled for. My race, nationality or political inclination on the lettuce boycott were not at issue during the Crease Ball debate. The context of his remark would seem to indicate that, if anything, he is a racist, a poor loser and exhibits a lack of the self-restraint we should all expect from a gentleman and future lawyer.

My remarks should not be interpreted as an indictment of the whole Barrister Society, if indeed the other members of the group do not share his feelings. I would expect, though, that the Barristers would take cognizance of the actions of one of their members while representing the group in front of the Student Senate, and, for the sake of their own image in the Law School Community, take appropriate corrective action.

Respectfully yours,

/s/ Juan Maldonado
Member-at-Large
Law School Student Senate

Mr. Zalk replies:

I would like to assure Mr. Maldonado that my objections to the Senate's imposition of the lettuce boycott on all Lawyers Club residents arise solely from the fact that it violates individual freedom of conscience and not from the fact that the boycott may work to the immediate benefit of any particular group in American society. While I view the word "racist" as an unfortunate term since it is so imprecise as to be virtually devoid of meaning, I would suggest that Mr. Zalk
Big Sister is Watching You Award of the Week

Today we bestow our honored Award on an Honorable and most deserving recipient: Judge John Brown of the 5th Circuit Court of Appeals. During his visit to his alma mater this past week for the Campbell Competition Judge Brown repeatedly made women the subject of his joking comments - "Women's Libbers" should turn to male lawyers for help - just as the NAACP has hired a Jewish lawyer; how to properly address a woman judge - Cornelia Kennedy; the attractiveness of his secretary~ All of which suggest that Judge Brown is accustomed to an all-male bench, an all-male bar and an all-male audience. The best that can be said of his public statements during his visit is that they serve as a reality check. Next to them our own faculty's performance in the classroom looks good.

REQUEST from p. 8

They should be told, if they have not already been told, that the law school does not condone the treatment they received. Such indignities are no doubt heaped upon them in other settings, but such occurrences should not be permitted here without comment.

/s/ Helen Forsyth
James Forsyth

SERVICES cont'd
from p. 1
from the political science literature than telegrams make a far greater impression on politicians.

We proposed to take money from our own previously authorized budget for the purpose. Since we had only spent $350 of a $1750 appropriation for this year, we anticipated no problem. Student Senate Treasurer Jim Plummer refused to write a check for that large a deviation from the item budget approved, so Kathy Gerstenberger and I went to the Monday, March 5 meeting of the Senate and asked that the previous authorization for two electric typewriters and a work-study secretary to aid law student volunteers at the clinic be rescinded -- what good will they be if there's no clinic -- and that out of that more than $950 fund the telegrams be approved. After much debate about the relative efficacy of telegrams and letters, the Senate voted 5-2, with 3 abstentions and 1 uncounted proxy in favor, to approve this substitution of authorizations. It seemed clear at the time that no new expenditure level had been authorized. While Mr. Plummer argued strongly against the telegram format, he abstained when the vote came.

I didn't have time to take the petition to Western Union on Tuesday, and just before I was about to on Wednesday I got a phone call from Kathy, who soon put Mr. Plummer on the line; he indicated to me that he had had "a lot of feedback" adverse to the Senate vote on the appropriation -- I now suspect that the better description would be "response" as in "stimulus-response" -- and that he thought the Senate would rescind its authorization if he could get it convened on Friday. In the meantime, he said, he would refuse to sign any check to Western Union unless we agreed to a lower figure, despite the standing vote of the Senate.

SEE MORE SERVICES p. 5
Maldonado's interpretation of my remarks, which were addressed to the entire Senate and not him personally, indicates that if anyone is a racist, it is he, not I. Finally, I would like to make clear, as I attempted to do at the Senate meeting, that I spoke as an individual and purported to represent the views of no person or group of persons other than myself.

To The Editors:

This letter is in response to the editorial in last week's issue concerning next year's freshman writing program. I have already expressed privately, and I take this opportunity to express publicly, my unhappiness with three points: (1) the clearly stated assumption in the editorial that the new writing program was dictated to a major degree by a desire to spend less money; (2) the implication that the curriculum committee report lacked "candor" in this respect; and (3) the fact that neither of the first two assertions is true, and that the truth or falsity of those assumptions could have been established with an absolute minimum of effort on the part of the person who wrote the editorial.

Money was not a significant factor in the transition from the present program to the new program. I helped draft next year's plan and was a party to all of the major decisions contained in it. Money was not my motivation, nor was it the motivation of any other person with whom I dealt unless those parties were being abnormally secretive in the midst of very free and open discussions. The new plan will cost less than the old—approximately $15,000 less. At all stages of discussion, however, it was clear that the purpose of the new program was increased quality in the freshman writing program, not increased economy.

The Dean put the money issue in perspective when the new writing program was considered by the faculty. He expressed his belief that a program adding new instructors (for example, an addition of four to eight instructors at an additional cost of $40,000 to $80,000) might impose significant difficulties on the law school budget, but he also expressed the opinion that the $15,000 decrease involved in changing to next year's program was not necessary for budgetary purposes and further expressed the hope that the decrease would not in itself influence faculty members to vote for what became next year's program.

Some of my brethren on the faculty may be vicarious misers, hoarding the law school's resources even when the Dean, who has ultimate responsibility for the budget, states that miserliness is neither necessary, nor desired on his part. Those who wish to believe so may; if I ever become a used car salesman I will look forward to providing them with other objects for their credulity.

I belabor this point for a number of reasons. First, the erroneous factual premise of the editorial could easily have been checked on, as noted above. (The chairman of the curriculum committee and any other faculty or student members could have been consulted.) Second, next year's program does have its uncertainties, and I do not deny them. Its uncertainties, its newness, and its greater reliance on the cooperation of a fairly large group of people (twenty-four senior judges) make it particularly dependent on the confidence of its participants. I believe that informed debate on the subject can increase that confidence (and I think that the program is basically sound). But uninformed debate, one side of which was represented by the RG editorial, cannot have any good effect because it is out of touch with reality.

Third, the editorial may be the kind of mistake that is best ignored—
ANN ARBOR—Leading Western authorities on the People's Republic of China will discuss the Chinese legal system, international relations and foreign trade in a two-day seminar at the University of Michigan.

The Conference will be March 23-24 in Hutchins Hall at the U-M Law School. It is sponsored by the Institute of Continuing Legal Education (ICLE), a joint unit of the U-M and Wayne State University law schools and the state bar of Michigan.

Here are some highlights of the program:

--Alexander Eckstein, U-M professor of economics and an outstanding authority on the economy of China, will discuss China's foreign trade. A recent visitor to China, Eckstein is a board member of the National Committee on United States-China Relations and former policy advisor to the U.S. State Department.

--Allen S. Whiting, U-M professor of political science and former deputy consul general in Hong Kong, will speak on China's foreign policy. Whiting is currently an associate at the U-M Center for Chinese Studies and a consultant to the National Security Council and the U.S. State Department.

--Stanley B. Lubman, lecturer at the University of California School of Law and former chairman of the Far Eastern Law Committee of the American Bar Association, will discuss "Negotiating with the Chinese."

--Jerome A. Cohen, law professor and director of the East Asian Legal Studies program at Harvard University, will speak on three topics: legal aspects of foreign trade with China; Chinese attitudes toward international law; and criminal law in China.

--Victor Hao Li, law professor at Stanford University and a member of the National Committee on United States Registration and other information may be obtained from ICLE, 4th floor Hutchins Hall, Ann Arbor, Mich. 48104 (phone: 313-764-0533).

MORE SERVICES from p. 3

The newly elected Board of Directors of the LAS met on Wednesday afternoon to consider what should be done and their unanimously adopted response is printed elsewhere in this issue of RG.

Aside from broader policy questions of representative vs. direct democratic control of funds and lump-sum vs. item-by-item appropriations, I think it is important to express my concern and anger over Mr. Plummer's conduct. First, on the substance of the matter, I find his platitudes about wishing to save legal services somewhat at odds with his opposition to simply diverting funds in an amount only slightly more than that which he favors for sherry hours. Second, his comments during the debate lead me to believe that his reading of the petition and understanding of the issues involved were slight, despite his vociferousness. Third, although he argued against the shift in authorizations, he didn't have the courage to vote against it. Fourth, like Howie Phillips, he refused to release funds authorized by a majority of the legislature because of his personal substantive objections to the expenditure; I often admire conscientious objection, but it has no place whatever in the performance of official duties.

And finally, while he certainly has a right to lobby for the reversal of a Senate decision, even as a lame duck, his method here seems highly questionable. Each student who talked to Mr. Plummer will have to make his own evaluation, but none of the dozen students who have spoken to me were aware that this was a substitute rather than a new appropriation. If he was lobbying without making that clear to each person with whom he spoke, I have to conclude that he is either an idiot for not understanding it or a willful liar for intentionally distorting it.
更加来信

或许那些疑虑已经消失，但愿如此；正如之前所述，我支持对任何关于新项目的不确定性进行公开讨论。它被设计为灵活的。当其弱点被暴露时，我希望它们会被纠正而不是辩护。我也希望公众对这个项目的兴趣能吸引一些本可能不会考虑的人参与。

Jim Martin
主任，写作与倡导项目，以及临时委员会会员，负责选择高级法官。

Joe Serritella 回应：

如果不是因为他的坚持，我没有检查事实，我本会满足于按照吉姆·马丁所希望的那样让事情过去。在这种情况下，我必须做出回应。

我的文章《Curriculum Committee Report #3》意在（并且被标记为）一篇编辑性文章，而不是事实性的报道。此外，它不是关于第一年的写作项目，而是关于委员会在该主题上的报告。那份未修饰的报告是被提交给读者，且显然，它构成了对教员对这一事项的决定的基础。因此，我有理由认为在对待备忘录时，它被公正地对待。

从我个人与马丁的交谈，以及他的信中，我确认，成本节约不是变更的主要动机。马丁所坚持的“提高质量”的追求，可能就是从一开始就困扰大家的问题。但是，这句话必须被看作是相对的，而不是绝对的。对于现状，任何改进都将是一种进步。

让我感到不安的是，没有超级优质的第一年《写作、阅读与倡导》（RWA）项目，除非我提出疑问，否则就成了一个临时措施，虽然这本身并不是批评。但我的关注是，与这些声明的合理期待，即未来的发展应是一个肯定的前进。

第一年的学生并没有真正的支持者。当与他们相关的决定被做出时，他们并不直接参与这一过程；第二和第三年的学生早已毫不关心；而且，教员是明确反对这一项目的。因此，审查任何可能影响该群体的改变是有道理的。

在这样的情况下，马丁的抱怨是，我没有给他（或某人）打电话来确认此计划是否仅仅是“降低成本”。在此他联想到，如果这个计划在第二年没有信心，我将对它的成功产生不利影响。就好像，如果我踢了这座城堡的门，整座城堡就会倒塌。这种说法是无稽之谈。这种说法在指控煽动叛乱的同时，又使用了更严厉的词语。

（未完待续）
sensibilities or notions of propriety were offended by the leafleters, students concerned enough to protest could not wait for a more dignified moment. They seized the time. Supreme Court justices rarely come onto campus except as invitees, and the traditional significance of the competition helped to highlight the deep feelings of the protesters as well as to increase the effectiveness of their communication. Had the groups involved heckled or abused Rehnquist, it might have been a different matter, but their literature specifically disavowed "disruption or violence." The articulate presentation last week by the Lawyer's Guild of the issues involved set the tone and demonstrated that this was a bona fide effort to educate the community about Rehnquist. The fact that so much controversy was generated by the peaceful leafleting apparently shows that they picked the most effective forum.

Of course all this became somewhat academic when the cause célèbre failed to show. There has been much speculation about the "real reasons" for this--doubts are being raised about whether there was really enough fog to close Metro on such a beautiful day, whether Rehnquist was ever on the plane, whether he could have made the trip by alternative means, whether he was discouraged from coming, ad infinitum. Although we do not pretend to know Rehnquist's real reasons and will not attempt to second-guess him, RG has tried to sort through some of the widely circulated rumors to dispel misconceptions where possible. According to our usually reliable sources and airline records, Rehnquist was on the flight. The plane was unable to land at Metro in the early morning (9:07) because of fog, and after circling the airport was diverted to Cleveland. Officials at Metro made arrangements for transportation of the passengers of the diverted flight, giving them the option of taking a bus which would arrive in mid-afternoon or waiting for a flight later in the morning, since the fog was already clearing in Detroit. Records show that Rehnquist's D.C. to Detroit flight, diverted to Cleveland, actually arrived at Metro at 12:45 p.m. Apparently before Rehnquist could be reached and presented with the options he had already decided to return to Washington.

What factors influenced his decision are of course unknown to us. It is known that Rehnquist was appraised in advance of the sentiments of his student critics. It is also known that he was to begin his vacation the next day, and perhaps he did not want to risk being fogged in at Metro for a few days, as is apt to happen in this climate. Every possible effort was apparently made by law school representatives to get Rehnquist here; any fault certainly does not lie with them.

Perhaps next year fate will be less cruel, and the law school will be allowed to entertain Justice Rehnquist as we had planned to this year. If so, the occasion will undoubtedly serve as a focal point for discussion of salient political and social issues. On the topic of healthy discussion it should be noted that the RG campaign statement snafu, wherever fault lies, resulted in one of the most spirited LSDD campaigns of recent years and produced a stimulating dialogue, or at least a series of stimulating monologues, on important issues. Hopefully, the newly elected candidates will cull the important suggestions from all of the statements, will provide ongoing feedback to the constituency, and will solicit input so that they can truly act as representatives.

A final note must be addressed to the topic of Judge Brown, who is excoriated by Big Sis elsewhere in this issue, because he represents an example par excellence of how dialogue between students and outside visitors could have an impact. Judge Brown's judicial opinions reflect an understanding and concern about women's issues, and his record is in fact outstanding in this regard. His remarks indicate that he has not internalized the implications of combating sexual discriminations, but his record shows that he is amenable to persuasion. Those who were really dismayed by his comments Tuesday may serve some continuing educational function in this school by poking fun in RG, but might have an even greater influence by taking the trouble to communicate their feelings personally to Brown.
As I've tried to suggest, my objective in the first place was not to do a thorough-going job of investigative reporting but simply to muse aloud about the Committee Report. A private phone call to Jim Martin would not have served this end at all (he could be expected to say proper and reassuring things). I much preferred by the editorial to initiate an open dialogue on the subject -- a dialogue which I like to think has been advanced by this exchange rather than impeded.

To Res Gestae:

Lest silence be taken as an indication of absence of dissent, I wish to express the following views.

The Campbell final argument is one of the major annual academic events at this institution. It has a number of purposes, among which is the opportunity it provides for law students to observe four of their colleagues present an appellate argument before a top-flight bench, including a member of the Supreme Court of the United States, under conditions as faithful to the real situation as we are able to simulate. The judges and the justices have been generous in the contributions they have made of their time and energies over the years to make this possible. In the circumstances I believe it is not only a breach of canons of ordinary courtesy and good taste, but also unprofessional, to confront those visitors with the kind of display with which they were confronted on March 6. Three members of the federal bench, distinguished alumni of this school, were put by others, without choice on their part, in the humiliating position of having to pass through that display in order to occupy the bench in a room which was our attempt to simulate supreme court chambers, from which bench they were forced to stare at a portion of the display in the courtroom itself. I would have no criticism of any of those judges, or of any other judge cognizant of the incident, who for this reason decided to reject any such invitation in the future. Moreover, until there is some assurance that every member of the Supreme Court of the United States can be received at the academic proceedings of this Law School with normal courtesy, it is my opinion that no member of that bench should be asked to participate in those proceedings.

This is not intended as a criticism of the Case Club or Campbell Competition chairmen; it is directed rather at those who made their attempt to serve their student colleagues a source of embarrassment. Nor is it intended as an objection to public displays of disagreement with the views of the judiciary or any of its members. It does reflect a deepfelt conviction that the mode, place, and occasion for the display was, in this instance, most inappropriate.

Luke K. Cooperrider

A REQUEST FOR ACTION BY THE DEAN

As members of the law school community we request that the Dean extend the apologies of the school to the judges from Michigan who were forced to be a captive audience to verbal insults on account of their sex during their visit to the school Tuesday for the Campbell Competition.
books and reading....

Foul! Connie Hawkins By David Wolf
From the Exiled Legend of the Playgrounds to Redemption as an NBA Superstar - Warner Paperback Library $1.50.

Hawk got fucked - you better believe it - Very poor black dude from Bed Stuy forced to deal with avaricious big time collegiate recruiters who were laying bread on him left and right - approached by Jack Molinas and his kind of "fixes" - Sure "Hawk" took a little here and there but it always was on an unconditional basis - he never threw no games for nobody, didn't even introduce nobody to the fixers. Went to Iowa to play ball but Frank Hogan's boys brought him back to do a Reid & Inbav - Hawk eventually got the message and said a whole lot of untrue things of an incriminatory nature. No Miranda, no nothing - so he got thrown out of school, got blacklisted by the big bad NBA (who never independently investigated the matter). Abe Saperstein's new and hungry ABL was willing to look the other way (they assumed Hawk's guilt too) and pick up on Connie. When the rinky-dink league fell apart "Hawk" gravitated over to the Trotters - spending a few years "tomming it up for Abe". Abe treated his "boys" nice as long as they didn't violate the rules - no white women, no cadillacs. Back to the pros with the formation of the ABA and established himself as the best. Somewhere along the way a husband-wife team of liberal attorneys (that's us?) got hip to what had been going down and sued the NBA's ass - eventually forced a settlement which put the "Hawk" where he belongs - with the best.

Lots of dues, suffering, hassles, for a man who only had the pride in his own game to keep him going. David Wolf tunes us in the NBA Chris Schenkel doesn't talk about. If you're a negrophile - B-Ball freak - a must.

Hi. Remember me. I was the gay young - (pardon me, it seems my vocabulary along with my morals have gone clean out of fashion). I was the devilish young fellow whose sometimes ponderous satire and fiction you were treated to over the past year and a half.

The literati out there may recall F. Scott Fitzgerald's crack-up at thirty-nine. I decided to take mine now at twenty-four and not waste time later just in case I turned out to be "one of the important writers of our time." It's really not so bad if you don't mind the dreadful days and the sleepless nights. And of course there's always the sympathy you can't get when it's needed and which doesn't do much good once it's gotten.

In any case, you're supposed to divert your mind from thinking about who you thought you were and what it frighteningly seems you might be. So I read. It's surprising how much first-rate material is sliding past our eyes weekly, monthly, quarterly or otherwise. I'm working on the figure for a three-year period, though it would probably take three years to account for everything. Why does it have to take three years? I'm sure we all have often asked that question.

Now take the periodical genre. It's true, if you read enough of them they do start saying the same thing - in a lot of different, interesting ways. But isn't that like people and what life's all about? (Uh, forgive my too hasty transition to the global; having all the time in the world can lead to such unseemly extravagance). From the liberal New Republic we find that the country is going to hell in a handbasket. From the conservative National Review we find that the country is going to hell in a handbasket. From the moderate Wall Street Journal it likewise appears that the country's going down, but for those who sell short...well, there's still plenty of money to be made. SEE READING p. 11

-- Marc Resnick
STILL MORE SERVICES from p. 5

Whatever the state of mind Mr. Plummer was in, and whatever his motivations, he has again reaffirmed my distaste for student politics. My longstanding hypothesis is that the most chickenshit politicos in student government are the most likely to run for "real" elected office later on; therefore, when in a few years Mr. Plummer is posing as a liberal candidate for Congress or whatever, I hope some of you who have known him here will take the opportunity to warn his unsuspecting electorate about the level of his integrity. That in itself would be a big favor to America.

/s/ Terry Adams

To: Res Gestae
From: Board of Directors,
Law School Legal Aid

In view of the rumors spreading throughout the Law School, the new Board of Directors of the Law School Legal Aid Society would like to clarify the situation regarding the petition circulated last week in support of a legal services corporation bill. There were 805 signatures on this petition. After serious deliberation the Board of Directors determined that sending telegrams to the Michigan Congresspersons and members of House and Senate Subcommittees considering legal services bills would have a greater impact than sending xeroxed copies of the petition. In view of the seriousness of this issue, not only to our student organization, but to the entire legal structure, we felt that it was worth spending the extra money to send telegrams as opposed to letters. The Legal Aid Society has approximately $1400 which was appropriated by the Student Senate and which is unspent at this time. Two members of our organization appeared before the Student Senate on Monday night, Mar. 5, to request permission to use $950 of our remaining $1400 on this important project. This permission was granted. There is no guarantee that these telegrams will have any influence on those who receive them. However, in view of the great significance of this issue, we felt that we should be allowed to spend part of our budgeted money on our survival.

LEGAL AID INTERNSHIP--GRAND RAPIDS

Any law student who is a resident of Grand Rapids, and who is interested in working as a summer legal intern with the Kent County Legal Aid Society, please note:

The Summer Legal Aid Program, an adjunct of the University of Michigan Legal Aid Society, has been attempting to raise funds through private foundations to provide cost of living stipends for law students who want summer work with community legal aid clinics in Michigan. Last year, $6000 was raised and six internships subsequently funded at $1,000 per intern for ten weeks. This year, to date, the Program has yet to obtain any grants or firm commitments from those sources solicited.

However, a private foundation in Grand Rapids has indicated tentative interest in making a grant to the Grand Rapids legal aid program if the Kent County Legal Aid Society will agree to hire a law student who is a Grand Rapids resident.

The foundation, as potential donor, insists on this stipulation, despite efforts on our part to avoid such restrictive earmarking.

In order to be considered for a grant, the Kent County clinic must be able to indicate, by April 1, 1973, that a Grand Rapids resident would receive the stipend if the foundation agreed, in fact, to award the grant.

Given these contingencies, interested parties may send resumes to

Stephen F. Idema, Director
Kent County Legal Aid Society
1208 McKay Tower
Grand Rapids, Michigan 49502

P. Dodge
## L.S. Election Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Office</th>
<th>Candidates</th>
<th>Votes</th>
<th>Winner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td>Barbara Klimaszewski</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Richard Melson</td>
<td>154</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>James Schibley</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vice President</td>
<td>Neilda Lee</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Barry White</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary</td>
<td>Rosella Williams</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peter Rosenthal</td>
<td>148</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lou Roberts</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasurer</td>
<td>Doug Watkins</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Terry Latanich</td>
<td>168</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Governors Rep.</td>
<td>Bella Marshal</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dave Nicholls</td>
<td>125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mary Rinne</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bill Street</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member-at-Large</td>
<td>David DeGabriele</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paul Gavia</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Charles Hair</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shirley Powers</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Donald Shaw</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John Roels</td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Doug Wallis</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R. Melson</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. White</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P. Rosenthal</td>
<td>107</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L. Roberts</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T. Latanich</td>
<td>113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentage of Law School student body voting: 38%

---

**RG Inmates**

So you'll know where to look

ALOYSIUS: back to the drawing board
H. FORSYTH: in your side
C. HARPER: in the Women's Lounge
J. McKay: off the wall
J. SERRITELLA: back to the wall
M. SLAUGHTER: on the road
J. Small: on Madison Avenue

---

READING from p. 9

The other genres are stuffed inside my "in" box. Yet while I take my chance for a few months to get into what I've missed for so long, to you scrunched in tiny carrels, dwarfed beside heavy oaken tables and nervously slouched on understuffed chairs I recommend choosing right now any one of the countless and unextreme methods of pasting back on the pieces of your life you've unwittingly cast away.
The King of Marvin Gardens is a movie about games, particularly, when played in real life, about their potential for self-destruction of the players.

David Stabler is a late-night radio broadcaster who does a solo show of story-telling, reminiscing and, as we discover, a good deal of fictionalizing. The themes of his tales tend to the morbid if spellbinding, but it is all make-believe and when the background music marking the end of his show cuts in, he returns to his dull off-the-air existence in a suburban Philadelphia boarding-house.

Contrasted to David is his brother Jason, who is a soldier in the army of a black, Atlantic City hood, Lewis. Jason, when he is not occupying the attentions of his two girlfriends -- Sally, the hardened whore and Jessica, her callow charge -- is a dreamer. Jason's current dream is rounding up enough capital to launch a hotel/nightclub/casino in Hawaii, prosperous enough to rival any of Lewis' holdings on the boardwalk. Although he is deadly earnest about securing his Hawaiian paradise, Jason underestimates the obstacles, not the least of which is the opposition of his boss, Lewis, who has had Jason jailed on a trumped-up charge of felonious entry of a locked vehicle.

So, when the movie opens, we find that Jason must contact his brother to bail him out. The two, who haven't seen one another for years, profess their mutual love and loyalty and embark on assembling the capital for their Hawaiian venture. It is readily apparent that Jason is a big talker but, unlike his brother, doesn't get any money out of it. His scheme is so farfetched that no lender will touch it. By the movie's end David understands (and ultimately is saved by his comprehension) that his brother's pursuit of dominion over a tropical paradise is no more real than the piling of little wooden structures on the squares of a Monopoly game board. What David can't suspect is the annihilating reality that will accompany his brother's moves in the game.

The metaphor of Monopoly, of course, punctuates the picture. Not only are there overt jokes about going to jail without collecting $200 and Jason's becoming the King of Marvin Gardens, but also the unifying thread of the Atlantic City boardwalk (a really valuable piece of property), of hotel acquisitions and of paying rents (Jason collects tribute from shopkeepers for his boss).

The defining division, however, is between fantasy and reality. Jason, who struts around the hotel lobby with a woman on each arm, is forced in private to play duenna to their lesbian auto-eroticism. Jason carries a gun but keeps it in a drawer filled with water pistols. Jessica is a Miss America winner but only in a pageant staged by the brothers in a deserted convention hall. Sally, feeling her age, throws away all her make-up, face creams and youthful clothes; her abandonment of illusion requires she substitute another irreality: paranoia.

Despite a terrible sound track, little meaning is lost, and the movie's sight gags and comic devices are pulled off with varying degrees of success. David turns out to have had a nervous breakdown and yet, he is the 'Stabler' of the two. Jason called his sibling for help, where else but, in the city of brotherly love. A childhood home movie shows the two brothers building sand castles which are washed away by the waves on the beach; this film within the film is projected on a door which refuses to stay closed and finally opens to reveal the adult David standing behind it (the door? the child in the film?). The story of Jason's adventure in enterprise ends as a narrative on David's talk show; is it real or the product of David's own fancy? Does it matter that David and Jason are themselves the products of the filmmaker's imagination, and that we, as viewers, are indulging our own fantasies by being there to watch?

The King of Marvin Gardens, of course, need not seem so imponderable. Like a game, it can be played and understood at many levels. And, sparkling performances by Jack Nicholson and his supporting cast assure that you can enjoy it as plain entertainment.

-- J.J.S.
Placement Questionnaire

1st & 2nd year people - We are now preparing the annual Placement Directory which is mailed to prospective employers along with other recruiting materials each spring. Each first and second year student is asked to give us their permanent address, undergraduate school, major, etc. Forms for this purpose are available on the table outside Room 100, and in the Placement Office. All first and second year people will be included in the Directory unless they notify the Placement Office that they do not wish to be included.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *


* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Have you taken a job? If you have, and have not as yet reported it to the Placement Office - please take a minute to fill out the form below.

Name ___________________________________________ Graduation Date_________

Taken a job with: _________________________________

Address __________________________________________

How did you obtain your job?

☐ Through interviewing at the Law School
☐ Through a notice from the bulletin board
☐ Through the assistance of a Law School faculty member
☐ Other: _________________________________________

Did you have a summer clerkship if you are a third year student?____

Name of employer_____________________________________

Address ____________________________________________

2nd & 3rd year people are requested to report their jobs - even if you did not get it through the Placement Office.

page thirteen
DON'T WASTE YOUR VACATION IN A LIBRARY!
SAVE MONEY ON EXCITING, EDUCATIONAL LAW SCHOOL TRIPS FROM:

**FLY BY NIGHT TRAVEL INC.**

6277 CLINT EASTWOOD ST., YUMA, ARIZ.

FROM JUST $19.95*

WRITE YOUR OWN TICKET!

Several examples of our SPECIAL law school trips:

**APPLIED INTERNATIONAL LAW**

- This year's trip will be to either Georgetown, Guayaquil, Ecuador, or Sikkim depending on where space is available on Basuto Land (Lesotho) National Airlines. Whichever country the trip is taken to, you will be met at the airport by a member of the local government who will give the group a key to the city. Then a sightseeing trip will be taken to see ancient ruins. The week will be spent at the local law school where a professor will lecture on lectures in the native tongue.

**PENITENTIARY TOUR OF THE OLD SOUTH**

- "A TASTE OF INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE" - Sleep in the jail that Jack Nicholson visited in "Night of the Jack Piers!" Taste the "5 EASY DISHES" of the S.W. - unusual cuisine of the S.W. - make license plates from a jail - sing on a real chain gang! This and much, much more! Especially if you are discerning enough to discern the differences between cells.

**GUIDED ECOLOGY TOUR**

- "THE BADLANDS" - The visit a group of Badlands in North Dakota, to the Badlands (E.S. Deer Caves) - the Badlands (E.S. Deer, Caves) - the Badlands (E.S. Deer, Caves) - the Badlands (E.S. Deer, Caves)

**THE 1975 ECOLOGY TOUR**

- "THE BADLANDS" - The visit a group of Badlands in North Dakota, to the Badlands (E.S. Deer Caves), the Badlands (E.S. Deer, Caves) - the Badlands (E.S. Deer, Caves) - the Badlands (E.S. Deer, Caves)

**OUR MOST POPULAR TRIP: GET TO KNOW BORNEO**

- Spend one relaxing week with a native tribe on this enchanting island! Hunt, fish, and play fun native games. With a mate during their stay, the chief's daughter, Fursingia, was highly recommended by last year's group. (3 students liked the trip so much that they were never seen in Hutchinson Hall again.)

**NOTE:** If you can't join us for any of these trips, we have a special "Trip at Home" kit for just $49.95. For 12 picture postcards and 12 little yellow pills!

*SCENIC TOUR OF YPSILANTI!*

**RESERVE NOW!**

- Space is limited.

---

**LAST YEAR'S A WEEK ON THE SHORES OF THE GREAT LAKES**


**A WEEK ON THE GREAT LAKES**

- "A WEEK ON THE GREAT LAKES" - "A WEEK ON THE GREAT LAKES" - "A WEEK ON THE GREAT LAKES" - "A WEEK ON THE GREAT LAKES"