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Four More Years?

Ann Arbor, Michigan Universal Misanthropes' Law School November 10, 1972

View from under The Landslide
FOUR MORE YEARS
by Dick Ginsberg

I guess we all knew that it was hopeless, that Richard Milhous Nixon was going to be President for another four years. Sure, we hoped that McGovern would pull off some kind of miraculous upset, taking just enough of the big, important states to pull out a victory. But, it has proven a vain attempt to avoid facing the inexorable reality of another four under Tricky Dick. What is really disturbing to me is the margin of victory.

It's about 11:15 on election night, and Nixon's lead is holding steady at 64% against McGovern's 35%. This means that slightly more than one-out-of-three voters has cast his ballot for McGovern. When you think that there are considerably more registered Democrats than Republicans in this country, that margin of victory is astonishing.

Two of the biggest surprises are the way young people and the unemployed seem to have voted. According to CBS -- even while struck, the source of teletronic wisdom and truth -- people under 25 yrs. voted for McGovern at a rate of about 52% as against 48% for Nixon. A majority of the unemployed, conversely, voted

(see VIEW p.2)
LETTERS

November 6, 1972

Dear Joe and Owl,

Thank you for your spelling lesson in last week's RG. I offer my apologies to Milwaukee but I concede nothing to wit.

This week's Pole includes more than its share of worthless games, due to the fact that several good teams have scheduled the same opponent--Off-Week U. As usual, the Big Ten offers no games of consequence, and there are few contests anywhere which might grip the national fancy. Perhaps the schedule-makers decided that, after the real-life drama of November 7, no one would have enough energy to truly appreciate good football on November 11.

Well, anyway, in an effort to spruce up an otherwise dismal Pole, I have obtained point spreads from a business associate, one Dandy Don Corleone. Read 'em and weep (or laugh, as the case may be).

HOWARD'S FOOTBALL POLE
(with Dandy Don Corleone)
(home teams in ALL CAPS)

[2] Stanford v. WASHINGTON ST. +17
[3] OKLAHOMA v. Missouri +15
[5] Nebraska v. IOWA STATE +13
[7] Notre Dame v. AIR FORCE +10
[10] GEORGIA TECH v. Boston College +10
[12] PENN STATE v. N.C. St. +8
[13] ALABAMA v. Louisiana St. +7
[14] Georgia v. FLORIDA +6
[16] DARTMOUTH v. Columbia +3
[17] Utah v. UTAH STATE +1
[18] WASHINGTON v. UCLA even
[19] VA. TECH v. S. Carolina even
[20] PRINCETON v. Harvard even
[21] ILLINOIS v. Indiana ?
[22] KENTUCKY v. Vanderbilt ?

And, the Mighty-Mini Pole (with no point spreads because, with these games, who cares?)

[1] Ne-Mexico State at North Texas State
[1] Vermont at Northeastern
[1] "cknell a Colgate

nd, f nally, two mind-boggling play-ifs among four of last week's losers:

[1] The Citadel at Furman
[1] Xavier at Villanova

Thanks,
/s/ Howard Hardsell

Readers who think they would like to side with Mr. Hardsell against the inimitable Owl and Falsie are welcome to play HH's pix instead of our own. Hardsell entries should be filed with MRS. BETTS, in sextuplicate before sunrise on Saturday. Results will be announced on your grade transcript, where it counts.

-- Eds.

(see MORE VIEW p.4)
The 1972 Higher Education Act will have a profound impact on the University of Michigan Law School, particularly Title VI of the Act which prohibits discrimination in admissions and educational opportunity based on sex.

"This law, which applies to all (private and public) graduate level schools and public undergraduate schools, is the first piece of Federal legislation that deals specifically with educational opportunity for women," according to J. Stanley Pottinger, director of the Office of Civil Rights in the Department of Health, Education and Welfare (HEW).

Pottinger was one of four keynote speakers at a conference sponsored last month by the Urban Research Corporation, "Equal Opportunity for Women, Affirmative Action Plans for the University." He shared the podium with Dr. Bernice Sandler, of the Association of American Colleges; Chester Gray, E.E.O.C.; and Moray Simchak, Department of Labor. [All four speeches are available on a cassette tape recording for the use of the Law School community. Requests will be accepted in the Res Gestae office, room 102 A. -Eds.]

"In this context, 'opportunity' implies equal access to fellowships, scholarships and grants, student research positions, job placement services and to the availability of daycare facilities on campus," explained Dr. Sandler.

"In short, the universities are going to be required to insure that all of the barriers that have made it more difficult for women to go to college in the past, will be removed," said Dr. Sandler.

Since the Higher Education Act is only five months old, no one in Washington is quite sure how it will be implemented. It is certain that it will be administered by HEW, as Executive Order 11246 & 11375 prohibiting Employment Discrimination.

The fact that the two laws are similar in substance and intent suggests that HEW will administer the Higher Ed Act in much the same way that they are currently implementing EO's affirmative action plans with goals and timetables.

The implications of such plans for the Law School are clear. In the area of Admissions, for example, the LSAT exam would be examined carefully for relevance and predictability, much as employment testing has recently come under the scrutiny of the court.

In addition, Admission policies will be carefully monitored to see that criteria for Admission are based on factors other than sex, are applied nondiscriminatory and show affirmative action for women. For example, a policy of admitting an equal percentage of men and women applicants that ignores an acknowledgement that a fewer number of women than men apply may be a means of assuring that the number of women who actually enroll does not increase.

"Equal educational opportunity" when applied to the law school means that women students must be offered research assistantships and clerkships at the same frequency as men. A job posting system might make such jobs more available to women students.

[However "radical" this may sound, the procedures currently used at Michigan do not manifest any discriminatory effects against women, according to a high level, law school administrator. - Eds.]

In addition, law professors who have a reputation for differential grading and in-class treatment based on sex could be monitored.

Finally such an affirmative action plan, if adopted, will contain a grievance procedure to protect students (men and women) from violators of the law.

-- Connye Harper
Zena Zumeta
"I don't care how many million people elected him your President, I still wouldn't buy a used car from this man."

(MORE VIEW from p.2)

guaranteed annual income. Probably McGovern's greatest failure was the inability to identify an issue which would arouse public concern and still allow him to take a position on it that he could feel comfortable with.

Nixon deserves his own share of the credit for his enormous victory. He managed to avoid discussing the issues in any but his own terms, usually from the safety of the electronic media. He managed to elevate himself above the swirl of partisan labels, especially the GOP's, running himself as the President of the United States. He managed to submerge a couple of major scandals. He managed to precipitate a potential breakthrough at the peace talks just two weeks before the election. He managed to have his stand-in do all his physical campaigning for him. In short, he showed thoughtful Americans how rhetoric, obfuscation, thinly-veiled appeals to taboo sentiments, and common garden-variety smugness could win elections. All this, without ever touching a real issue.

I've got a lot more I'd like to say about Nixon and this election, most of it unfit for publication in a family newspaper, and, shit, it's too late and I'm too tired and depressed to say more. As I recall four years is a long time.

NEXT WEDNESDAY

HEAR ....

U.S. STATE DEPARTMENT
LEGAL ADVISOR'S OFFICE

Representatives

STEVEN NELSON & HAROLD RUSSELL

9:00 a.m. Room 138 HH

"Legal Problems in the Strategic Arms Limitation Agreements"

(Professor Stein's International Law Class)

1:15 p.m. Room 212

"Treaties and Executive Agreements"

(Professor Bishop's International Law Seminar)

6:45 p.m. Law Club Main Lounge

"The Two Germanys -- Moving Toward Unity, United Nations Membership, and Mutual Force Reductions"

(International Law Society dinner at 5:45 in the Faculty Dining Room will precede the 6:45 discussion. All interested students are invited to sign up for the dinner outside Room 100 HH on Tuesday or Wednesday a.m. and/or to attend the discussion following.)

Messrs. Nelson and Russell will be interviewing candidates for employment on Nov. 15 and 16---information is available in the Placement Office.
MUSICAL

THE THROAT SONG
(sung to the tune of West Side Story's "The Jet Song"

When your're a throat
You're a throat all the way
From your first stolen note
Until Law Review day.

When you're a throat
You dig ruinin' the curve
You're elected class' goat
'Cause you got your damn nerve.

You never get laid
You're always in the law libes
▏ snap! snap! (with fingers) ▏
'Cause you wanna get paid
Till your register revives
From a couple of big bribes.

When you're a grind
You're a grind every day
Always scared to cut class
You'll get called on today.

When you're a grind
You're assured you'll go far
You're the first in the class
To pass every state bar.

You never get stoned
You never get polluted
▏ snap! snap! ▏
You never get phoned
You always feel excluded
But at least recruited.

Then you can get
All the things that they say
That will make you forget
The time you threw away.

When you're a throat
You'll cut
Anyone's
THROAT !!!!

by G.D.S. & A.I.R.
"The Law Revue"

"Awright, buddy. Handover da transcript."

NOTE

BULLETIN:

If you are planning to attend the Midwest Law Women's Conference this weekend, please register Friday night between 6-11 p.m., in the Lawyers' Club Lounge, to avoid the commuter rush Saturday morning. A complete schedule and workshop description will be available at registration.
The pole rolls along, undaunted this week, despite Howard Hardsell's sourass complaint that none of this week's games will "grip the national fancy" (see LETTERS) (like your Oklahoma at Iowa St. last week, Howie?). Your exalted editors know different and we have again ferreted out A-1 grid goodies to frustrate your forecasts, fearless fans.

Reversing our field again and changing format, the short-lived MINI-POLE has been abolished in favor of two separate but equal (in keeping with Presidential education policy) MAXI-POLES. The unfortunate winner of POLE-A gets the traditional Quaker State specialty di Casa Dominick. The winner of POLE-B gets two free passes to the drive-in (heaters extra).

Last week's hapless winners were: Ms. VOBORIL -- lunch for one at Nick's (leave the hubby at home; you will be escorted by one of our debonair editors. DICK FIRESTONE -- free thrills for two at the skin-flicks. Have fun, kids!

This week's games:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POLE-A</th>
<th>POLE-B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Alabama vs. LSU</td>
<td>1. U. Mass. vs. Holy Cross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Georgia vs. Florida</td>
<td>2. Missouri vs. Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Calif. vs. Oregon St.</td>
<td>7. EMU vs. Louisiana Tech.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Princeton vs. F. ward</td>
<td>10. Arizona St. vs. N. Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Giants vs. Redskins</td>
<td>12. Vikings vs. Lions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Chargers vs. Browns</td>
<td>15. Saints vs. Falcons</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BREAKING**: How many yards did Don ("A to Z") Aleksiewicz of Hobart -- last year's leading college division winner -- gain per game? ____________

**Note**: The increased number of games is not to be construed as a denial of equal protection to slow readers. -- O.A. & J.F.