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Tainted Fruit of the Poisonous Tree

April 23, 1976
Friday

Prof. Hervey Juris of the Graduate School of Management, Northwestern University, will speak on --
"Collective Bargaining in Police and Health Services"
Friday, April 23, 4:00 p.m., Hutchins Room 132.
Sponsored by LSSS Speakers Committee.

Monday

Prof. Kamisar and the LSSS Speakers Committee are pleased to present Leo Branton this Monday at 3:30 in the Law Club Lounge. Mr. Branton is best known for his successful defense of Angela Davis against a murder charge in connection with the Marin County Jail shootout several years ago. Branton will speak on "Innovative Trial Techniques" Everyone is invited.

Tuesday

Dean St. Antoine will meet with interested students Tuesday in the Lawyer's Club Lounge to discuss the substantial increase in TUTION. The meeting is an open one and everyone is encouraged to attend.

Wednesday

VILMA MARTINEZ TO SPEAK

On Wednesday, April 28, La Raza Law Students will host the President and General Counsel of the Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Ms. Vilma S. Martinez.

Ms. Martinez, an extremely able attorney, will discuss the state of Chicano and other Spanish-speaking peoples in the area of civil rights, at 1 p.m. in the Lawyers Club Lounge. La Raza extends a cordial invitation to the entire Law School community to attend this event.

The Burke, J., will do Three of His Things this Wednesday evening, April 28, at the ARK.
NOTICES

The Women Law Students Association proudly announces their newly elected officers and steering committee members for the 1976-77 school year:

Nancy Keppelman - President
Jane McAtee - Vice President
Peggy Huneke - Secretary
Susan Bittner - Treasurer
Mary Margaret Bolda - Newsletter Editor

Steering Committee:
Jackie Armstrong
Deb Armbruster
Ellen Jean Dannin
Mary Ellingen
Stephanie Hazleton
Beverly Jones Heydinger
Liz Hilder
Flo Sprague
Mary Viviano
Sally Zanger

Anyone with any suggestions for WLSA activities this summer or next year please contact one of these people, or leave a note in the Women's Office.

Planning for next year's alumnae conference will take place during the summer months. If you would like to volunteer some of your time on this event, please contact Kathy Ziga at 434-4066.

SUBLET

May 15 to end of August.
Really large bedroom in 2-bedroom apt. on North Campus: dishwasher, disposal, central air, and FURNISHED! Close to train station, Gandy Dancer, golf course, jogging lake, University and city bus lines.
Call Joel at 764-8973
Randy at 665-8762

SUMMER SUBLET

1 man needed for a spacious 3 man apartment. Lots of fringe benefits: fully carpeted, air conditioned, dishwasher, 100 ft, from private swimming pool, North Campus location for that "get away from it all" feeling. Price negotiable. Call Ken at 764-8984 after 10:30 PM.
or leave your phone number at the R.G. office.

Need responsible person to drive reliable 1975 Datsun B-210 hatchback to Las Vegas or Los Angeles sometime between May 14 and May 20. Call 434 - 0532 or contact Jon Cederberg

TO: DEAN ST. ANTOINE
RE: LAW SCHOOL TUITION INCREASE

We, the undersigned representatives of diverse groups within the Law School student body, respectfully request your presence at an open meeting at which the entire Law School will discuss the fall semester tuition hike. Considering that the students at the Law School are going to be subjected to a substantial increase in tuition - far beyond the increases for other University students - we feel we are entitled to a substantial explanation. Please come prepared to answer our questions. The meeting will be Tuesday, April 27, at noon in the Law Club Lounge.

Susan Gzesh - National Lawyers Guild
Mike Payne - Section 5
Russell J. Bremmer - Journal of Law Reform
Nancy Kippelman - WLSA
Robert H. Horlem - Law Review
John C. Donborh - Environmental Law Society
Luthus Tate - BLSA
Morris Klein - Legal Aid
George Vinyard - LSSS
Juan Tienda - La Raza
Kenneth Frantz - Red Gestae

THE DEAN HAS AGREED TO COME.

(Cont. p4 Tuition)
### Tuition bill higher in fall

**STUDENT FEES, ANN ARBOR CAMPUS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current (1975-76)</th>
<th>Next Year (1976-77)</th>
<th>Percentage Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman-Sophomore</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior-Senior</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>1272</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidacy</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicine and Dentistry</td>
<td>1680</td>
<td>1840</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Health</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>1684</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law</td>
<td>1316</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NON-RESIDENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman-Sophomore</td>
<td>2756</td>
<td>3016</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior-Senior</td>
<td>2968</td>
<td>3252</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate</td>
<td>3008</td>
<td>3300</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidacy</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBA</td>
<td>2756</td>
<td>3016</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicine and Dentistry</td>
<td>3360</td>
<td>3650</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Health</td>
<td>3240</td>
<td>3648</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>3496</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Robbing Peter To Pay Paul**

*STUDENT LAWYER MARCH, 1976*

By Frederic Melcher

When law schools contribute more than a fair share of their revenue to the universities, the students are the ones who are being robbed. This manifests itself in the high tuition that law students pay, in the high student-faculty ratios and in the lack of money for special programs such as clinical legal education. This problem is not unique to any one school. (But see Gonzaga University Law School’s unique solution in the following article.) In fact, it is becoming more acute for many law schools. In 1974, the American Bar Association’s accrediting teams reviewed 25 law schools and found that at least 10 schools probably contributed more than the 16 to 23 percent that the ABA believes is a reasonable overhead for the universities to charge. (Anything above that amount and legal education suffers, says the ABA’s Council of the Section on Legal Education and Admissions to the Bar, the law school accrediting arm of the ABA.)

Law schools, fat with tuition money and burgeoning enrollments, are becoming attractive sources of funding to universities faced with inflation, cut-backs in federal funding and deficits in other university departments. Private law schools, as a result of high tuition charges, give up as much as 50 percent of their revenues to the affiliate universities; state schools, which charge lower tuition, lose out because legislatures only fund them a minimal amount compared with other university departments.

Last year, Judith Younger, then dean of Syracuse University's College of Law, quit her job over the university’s policy of depriving the law school of its own tuition money. In articles in the *New York Times* and *Wall Street Journal*, Younger declared that the university had taken 48 percent of the law school’s high $3,000 tuition fees and was planning to increase their take-off to 55 percent. (Faculty salaries were among the lowest of New York’s law schools.) Younger stated that, while the law students at Syracuse were among the highest caliber around, she was thwarted in her attempts to improve the quality of education there, and she became the fifth dean in five years to resign from Syracuse.

Similarly, George Bunn resigned last year as dean at the University of Wisconsin School of Law because, according to a November article in the *Wall Street Journal*, "the school is so seriously underfunded that its graduates are ill-prepared to serve as lawyers." Bunn accused the state of spending only $1,308 per law student while it spends $9,820 per medical student and $7,400 per dental student.

---

***The Army is spending $38 million on a factory to produce artificial bat droppings. Army spokesmen, who say the synthetic guano will be used as a propellant for field artillery, explain that real bat droppings from too costly to locate and refine.***

---

**CONT. P5**
The result of this is that law schools—and law students—are being deprived of funds at a time when they need them most. The traditional model of the law school with its large classes, limited library use, narrow career choices by students and emphasis on teaching rather than research by faculty is giving way to new demands: clinical legal education programs, and also client counseling and moot court competitions, require close faculty supervision necessitating a much smaller student-faculty ratio. Specialization has meant that libraries must significantly add to their collections. Students, by necessity, are specializing and have had to expand their career goals beyond the law firms and even to peripherally law related vocations. Research, too, is suffering, and students, who benefit from research grants through research assistantships and teaching fellowships, are deprived of this additional opportunity for learning and income.

Bayless Manning, in a 1969 American Bar Association Journal article, writes that the crisis of law school funding goes beyond lack of any federal and little state support for legal education. “Nonlawyers assume that the bar, as a profession, is interested in its own education, is generally well-to-do, is able to look after its own and presumably is doing so. But the fact is that the bar by and large provides almost no financial support for legal education, has only the remotest idea of what is happening inside the law schools, is unaware that they are in financial trouble and does not know why…”

Perhaps one of the greatest ironies of legal education is that the law schools and organized bar associations have not been able to get even one drop from the federal spigot to fund the already authorized clinical legal education programs. Clinical legal education certainly seems to provide a partial solution to the problem—noted by Chief Justice Burger and others—of improving the quality of counsel before the courts without encouraging law schools to expand enrollments (a problem that has hindered federal aid to law students). The reason for this is that the legal profession, and the law schools in particular, have done a poor job lobbying for funds. Support for clinical legal education by deans and professors has more often been concerned with debating the purposes and condemning the problems of clinical legal education than unifying to fund and improve those programs. (Another irony is that Student Lawyer has never been contacted by any groups or individuals who are engaged in lobbying for or disseminating information about federal support of legal education.)

Where law schools have improved their facilities, it has often been as a result of ABA and AALS (Association of American Law Schools) accreditation reports. Such reports have put pressure on universities and state legislatures to upgrade and improve their law schools. (Law schools accrue prestige to their host universities and states which is affected, to some extent, by these reports.)

The University of Wisconsin is taking very seriously an ABA accreditation report of the law school that found numerous deficiencies, according to the Wall Street Journal. The Journal also mentions that Columbia University, as a consequence of an ABA inspection report which charged that too little money is provided for the law school library and for student amenities such as a lounge, will provide the law school library with “a fresh infusion of funds” in addition to that already promised by the university. University Law School pays to the university was also discussed by the ABA accreditation team. (The ABA reports are available at present only to members of the ABA accrediting arm and to deans of law schools.)

Students do not have to be passive pawns to the robbery of their education. Two years before Gonzaga University law students held their tuition strike, the students at the University of San Francisco Law School sued the university for breach of contract charging that the school catalogue was misleading when it said that the school maintained ABA standards. As part of an out-of-court settlement, the University of San Francisco decreased its share of the law school’s revenue, from 37 percent to 22 percent, which enabled the law school to improve its student-faculty ratio from 35-to-1 to 26-to-1.

Law students are the real victims of this funding crunch. They receive less for their money (in terms of faculty-student ratios and such) than most other university departments. Law students are, in some cases, deprived of over half their tuition funds. And at some state schools, law students are deprived of adequate funding either through the parent universities or directly through the legislatures. The question is: By what right can universities siphon off law school money? And further, why should law schools be shortchanged in the legislatures?

Law students at Gonzaga and San Francisco asked themselves these questions and, being offered no adequate answers, searched for their own. In both cases, the searches were successful.

THE LAW SCHOOL MONEY DRAIN
By Patricia Chvala
Lorette McNeill
From Student Lawyer, Mar. '76

What can law students do if their tuition money is siphoned off by the university to fund other departments? The practice whereby financially strained universities, faced with decreasing enrollments, raid the burgeoning law school treasuries is not uncommon. In the process of robbing Peter to pay Paul, the universities often take as much as 50 percent of law school revenues to pay for other costs. The students themselves suffer the greatest loss in terms of the quality of legal education provided. Since school budgets are frequently closed to the students, they may not even be aware that their tuition funds are being ripped off. All they hear is that there aren’t sufficient funds to expand clinical legal education programs and the like.

Students at Gonzaga University Law School in Spokane, Washington learned about the gravity of their unwitting largess to the university when an inspection report by the American Bar Association—which they obtained from an unnamed source—threatened to revoke the division’s accreditation unless immediate steps were taken to remedy certain deficiencies. Faced with this information, and the potential for permanent unemployment in practicing law if accreditation was lost, the law students forced the university to reconsider its adamant stand against allocting any additional monies to the law school. By withholding their tuition from the Jesuit university and placing it in a special trust fund set up by the school’s Student Bar Association, the law students forced the university to change its funding practices.
The appointment of Sallyanne Payton, former chief counsel for the Urban Mass Transportation Administration (UMTA) in Washington, D.C. to the University of Michigan law faculty was approved Friday (April 16) by the University Regents.

Also Christina Brooks Whitman, now completing a clerkship under Justice Lewis F. Powell Jr. of the U.S. Supreme Court, will join the U-M faculty as assistant professor in the fall. Her appointment is expected to be approved at that time.

"Sallyanne Payton and Christina Whitman are as fine a pair of appointments as the Law School has made within my memory," said Law Dean Theodore J. St. Antoine. "That they also happen to be the first two women to join the faculty as regular professional appointees is a truly delightful bonus."

St. Antoine, noting Payton's speciality in transportation law, said she will also teach in the fields of administrative law and regulated industries.

Payton was chief counsel for UMTA, a U.S. Department of Transportation agency, since 1973. Previously she served on the Domestic Council of the White House with the title of staff assistant to the President. In this capacity she was responsible for community development, national bicentennial planning, and progress toward self-government for the District of Columbia.

From 1968-71 Payton was an associate of Covington and Burling, a Washington, D.C. law firm. She has also been a lecturer at the University of Virginia Law School.

Payton received a B.A. in English from Stanford University in 1964 and a law degree from Stanford Law School in 1968. She currently serves on the Stanford board of trustees and on the board of visitors of Stanford Law School.


Upon graduation from Law School, Whitman served as law clerk for Judge Harold Leventhal of the U.S. Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit. She then received the Supreme Court clerkship under Justice Powell.

"Christina Whitman's broad background in Chinese history and culture," said Dean St. Antoine, "will undoubtedly lead her to become part of Michigan's rich tradition in international and comparative law."

L.S.S.S. SENATE MEETINGS

Open BUDGET HEARINGS—Sunday, April 25 beginning at 10:00 AM in the Faculty Dining Room of the Lawyers Club. Each Committee or organization requesting funds for 76-77 will have a 20-minute time slot in which to make a presentation and answer questions.

Final REGULAR MEETING of the year—Wednesday, April 28 at 3:30 PM in the Faculty Dining Room. Tentative agenda includes:
1) Approval of 76-77 Tentative Budget.
2) LSSS Response to University Questionnaire on Law School Objectives.
3) Disposition of any remaining budget surplus.
4) Appointments for 76-77 (some of them)
5) Tentative Schedule for Fall first-year elections.
6) Readoption of policies and guidelines for Speakers Committee.

L.S.S.S. POSITIONS

The Senate Executive Committee still needs applications for a number of the appointive positions for next year. Application forms and details are in last week's R.G. or may be obtained from George Vinyard (Law Club, L-14, 764-8948). Applicants are particularly needed for the following positions:
* Sports Committee Chairperson
* Faculty Evaluation
* Yearbook
* Elections Committee Chairperson
* Chairperson for Ad Hoc Committee of Commuter Students to help the Treasurer purchase a refrigerator for the lounge.
* LSSS Pop Machine Tender (compensated)
* Residential Committee Chairperson
LETTERS

How Would You Like A Punch In The Nose Gay?

Recently, the Gay Liberation Movement (Front?) requested and received permission to use the Lawyer's Club Lounge for a dance. The very idea of such an activity happening within our hollowed walls so enraged some of our colleagues that they went straight to the Dean to register their complaint. Amid rumors that there might be an attempt to institute "user guidelines" for the Law Club, a representative of the People's Bicentennial (Commission) allegedly received a very hostile reception at the hands of a Dean when the representative came to talk about his group's upcoming dance also in the Law Club Lounge.

From what I've been able to learn, the reasons which our fellow students vocalized as being the primary motivation for their actions were that we can't let just anyone use our law school facilities because it will reflect poorly on the school, hurt its reputation, and make it that much harder for us to get jobs. This whole matter has angered me so much that I'm bringing it out into the open both to contest the student's outward motivations and to challenge anyone to deny that this is not just another fine example of the bigotry which is so pervasive here that it is sickening.

It is interesting to examine what these students have revealed about themselves by their actions. First of all, they have as much as admitted that they themselves have nothing to offer to a potential employer other than their successful completion of U of M Law School. The whole attitude of preserving the school to preserve the job is not only misguided, but serves to cast this school in a role as a vocational school and not an educational institution. By their attitudes the students are saying "I went to Michigan, but look no further for nothing else about me is important." This attitude totally overlooks the fact that a potential employer just might be looking for and care about the potential lawyer as a person instead of just another GPA on a list. Despite the over emphasis of the large firm and the glamor of the business career, its a fact that there are many employers who do care about the people they hire and not just their academic performance.

At the suggestion that our illustrious school's reputation might be damaged by continued use of our facilities by the Gays, one can only laugh. How can anyone seriously contend that the least of renters of our facilities will affect the academic reputation of the school? And if it was agreed, for the sake of argument, that such a list did reflect on the school, wouldn't a broad list reflecting all types of people and ideas cast the school in a positive light while a narrow list would reflect negatively. Isn't one of the primary functions of an educational institution to serve as a forum for new ideas in which these ideas may be examined and tested before filtering out into the real world?

However, the fact remains that if our law school has any reputation worth protecting then it certainly is not going to be hurt by the Gays' use of our facilities. The various criteria such as the quality of students, the quality of the library, the diversity of the curriculum, the opportunities for alternative methods of education, the open-mindedness of the faculty and students, etc. are more than sufficient for an outsider to get to know our school without having to turn to the Lawyer's Club Guest list. If there are those who would attack the school because -- undesirables have used our facilities, then ignore them for they have no place among an academic community.

In looking at this problem closely, however, it becomes painfully obvious that it wasn't concern for the school which motivated our students to act but self-gain and bigotry. It is always a source of amazement and wonder that in a supposedly intelligent academic community such as this law school one can find so many non-thinking, close-minded, hypocritical and ignorant idiots. Whatever happened to equality and equal protection and all those other buzz words which are spouted so often in the classroom? What ever happened to open-mindedness and understanding? How on earth can anyone who's spent close to 19 years of his/her life in academic institutions still be unable to see the absurdity of prejudice? The whole idea of limiting the use of our facilities by criteria other than mere use related considerations has no place in an institution which purports to be of the stature of Michigan.
POSTSCRIPT

Since I wrote this article, I attended a LSSS meeting and discovered that one of the smoke screens the administration is using in an attempt to limit the usage of the law school facilities is that the cook trust fund has certain limitations which restrict the Lawyer's Club to law students or groups involving law students. It is curious, after so many outside groups such as the Business School have used our facilities so much over the last two years, that only now do we suddenly decide to admit that the trust fund exists. It is also comforting to know how versatile the law is. One can ignore it as long as it suits one's fancy, but its always there when we need it to hide our fears, hatreds, or bigotry.

Peter Imse

PIRGIM

PIRGIM MEETS THE PENTAGON'S SUGAR DADDIES

By Marion Anderson, PIRGIM Staff Member

Last December, I was invited to testify on behalf of PIRGIM before that bastion of the military-industrial complex, the House Armed Services Committee. The invitation came from a group of independent young Congressmen who do not automatically assume that more money for the Pentagon equals a more secure country. Representative Bob Carr (D-Michigan), one of the leaders, asked me to testify on PIRGIM's research into how much unemployment is generated by high military budgets.

The day before my testimony, I spent meeting with members of the Washington press corps. I briefed them on how much unemployment was being generated in the districts of House Armed Services Committee members who came from states which lose jobs as the Pentagon budgets goes up. The chairman, Representative Mel Price of Illinois, represents poverty stricken East St. Louis, in southern Illinois. PIRGIM's figures show that an $80 billion Pentagon budget is responsible for the unemployment of 7,800 of his constituents.

By the time I came into the committee room, a number of members had already been called by their home town newspapers: "Congressman, I understand that your votes are costing us 7,800 jobs."

PIRGIM analyzed whether each of the 50 states showed a net loss or a net gain of jobs when the military budget was $80 billion. The data showed that when people are paying high taxes to build missiles and planes and support troops overseas, they are unable to spend this money on clothing, cars, vacations, houses, and other consumer goods and services—all of which generate more jobs than spending the same sum of money on the military.
every major industrial state in the country but Texas and California lost jobs. Michigan alone lost 172,000 jobs a year. The nation as a whole suffers a net loss of 844,000 jobs when the military spending is at this level. As a result, each time the Pentagon gets an extra $1 billion, about 10,600 jobs disappear in the United States.

This analysis, still unrefuted despite efforts by the Pentagon, exposes as a myth the long-held assumption that military spending is good for the economy. This is what was so upsetting to the Pentagon apologist in Congress, especially as several Presidential candidates have been using the PIRGIM data in campaign speeches.

Or perhaps their anger was due to a disturbing vision: "What if I am challenged in the next election by an opponent who says my votes have caused thousands of my own constituents to be out on the street unemployed?"

(PIRGIM REPORTS is a column provided to campus by the Public Interest Research Group in Michigan).

---

R.G. on the Rocks

Harvey Wallbanger......Ken Frantz
Marguarita..................Carol Sulkes
Tom Collins..............Tony Kolenic
Brown Bear...............Ned Othman
Martini..................Mark Shaprow
White Russian..........Larry Halperin
Budweiser...............Howie Bernstein
Brass Monkey...........G. Burgess Allison
Daquiri..................Kevin McCabe
Pink Lady................Dot Blair
Sloe Screw...............Earl Cantwell
Black Russian...........Lefty Ruschmann
Grasshopper..............Ed Marod
Screwdriver.............John Guillean
Screwball...............Crusader Rabbit
Bloody Mary.............Sandy Gross
Shirley Temple..........Bob Brandenburg

---

PETTY POETRY

From the pen of "Per" Stirpes

(Today's selection, dedicated to my faithful readers--Hi Mom--is entitled "FINAL Ode").

Yesterday, law games were a cinch to play,
Studies could wait one more day,
While I went my merry way,
Oh, I believe in yesterday!
Why we have exams,
I don't know,
I couldn't say,
It seems that the profs believe
It's akin to Judgment Day...

Yesterday, failure didn't frighten me,
I just played cards and watched T.V.,
Escaping from reality,
Oh I believe in yesterday!
Why I fear exams,
I don't know,
I couldn't say,
It seems pretty dumb
To base 4 months on one day...

Yesterday, the semester seemed too long,
I could sit around and sing my song,
Now it seems that I was wrong,
Oh, I believe in yesterday!
Why I'm here at all,
I don't know,
I couldn't say,
Med school takes too long,
I don't like blood anyway...

Oh, I wish it were yesterday!

---

CAMPBELL COMPETITION

1974-75 Participants

Pen sets are now available for the following persons:
Charles Scheidel
James Petersen
Keith Voelker
They may be obtained from Mark Luscombe (764-9054).
Certificates are available for the following persons:
William Black
Ron Henry
Marilyn Huff
Warren Harrison
They may be obtained from Lillian Ushiro (906 L.R.).
To all third year students:

If you would like to receive the WL3A Newsletter on a regular basis next year, please fill out the subscription form. Unfortunately, our funds do not permit us to absorb the expense of a regular mailing. We are forced to request a fee from those who would like to receive the newsletter to cover the costs.

Please enter my subscription to the WL3A Newsletter.

NAME __________________________________________

BUSINESS ADDRESS __________________________________________

If known.

HOME ADDRESS __________________________________________

Where you can be reached next year, if known.

Please leave this form with a check for $2.50 payable to Women Law Students Association at the WL3A office, 116 Legal Research Building. The subscription is for one year. THANK YOU.

A DEMOCRACY GUARANTEES NOTHING TO THE MAJORITY, EXCEPT THE RIGHT SHARED BY US ALL--THE RIGHT TO VOTE.
ACROSS

1. monsoon weather
5. mandate
11. welding process
14. nut
16. not enough
17. Emmy counterpart
19. neuter possessive pronoun
20. revere
23. skin house
25. follow-up
27. article
28. guaranteed
30. Periclean was golden
32. did enjoy (obsolete)
33. corny growth
35. sucker
36. front steps
38. discrimination against women

40. refuge
41. helium formula
42. a "vote for life" on Proposal B this past November
43. pathos
45. after failure to settle
47. shorthand liberties
48. level of fidelity
49. past
50. Papa's handle
52. without exception
54. wall current
55. Chicago address
56. waterworks
58. Harvard's Prof. Miller
59. sound of surprise
60. possible grounds for nuisance action
65. mode of communication
67. rest comfortably
69. esquadron
AND SO THAT ENDS THE SUBSTANTIVE PORTION OF THE CLASS, REMEMBER ON THE EXAM YOU WILL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR 26259, 3416 (G) THE LIEBEN DOCTRAINE.

YOU'LL HAVE NUMERICAL ANALYSIS TO COMPARE WITH THE EXAM I SPEAK STRAIGHT FORWARD (YOU'LL ONLY HAVE TO STRUGGLE WITH THOSE QUESTIONS) IF THERE ARE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS YOU MAY CALL ME IN LONDON...

YEA. CLAP CLAP CLAP CLAP CLAP.

WHO CARES?

HEY, ARGIE, WHY WEREN'T YOU APPLAUDING WHEN OLD DOUGIE STOPPED TALKING? IT WAS THE LAST DAY OF CLASS... YOU KNOW?

YEAH, I KNOW, BUT MY MOMENTUM CARRIED ME. I WROTE DOWN THE OVAATION...

MAN, YOU ARE REALLY UPTIGHT. YOU NEED SOME WELL EARNED R & R.

YEAH, I GUESS YOU'RE RIGHT. WHAT DO YOU RECOMMEND?

THAT'S EASY... FEASER'S PUB... TONIGHT...

THAT NIGHT...

AW RIGHT, BUDD, LET'S SEE YOUR I.D.

OH, TUESDAY'S, EH? YA LOOK A LOT YOUNGER. INSECT... C'MON IN AND HAVE A BEER.

YEAH... GIMME A BEER.

HEY, ARGIE, C'MON IN!
Hey, this is all right! Do you guys come here quite a bit?

It's Thirsty Thursday!

Hey, that's great, you're looking better than ever... Gimme another beer...

Hey, Lucky... and Joe and Jesse... here, leave you a beer...

Man, these pinball machines suck... no shit, Sherlock.

Yeah... and two pitchers... beer nite and some more beer.

White is so full of shit... no shop talk, dammit.

What else is there?

Oh!

Hi, Kathy!

Say da secret word, and da boi'll come down and give you fifty dollars...

Last call... everyone out in twenty minutes.

Wow... whatta nite... and whatta beautiful nite out here...

Hey you guys, thanks for dragging me along... I'll see you all next fall...

Say 'bye!

End.
The staff of the Weekly Penalty Box would take this once in a lifetime opportunity to join in with countless other Americans in celebrating the upcoming American (1776 - 1976) Great Buycentennial!

Few countries have achieved the greatness, the democracy, the freedoms or the heroes that this great land of ours has produced in its short, but great, history. Little did our forefathers and foremothers dream that their tiny little upstart nation would grow to become the world power, capable of killing entire populations, that it has so gloriously evolved into. Come with us now as we approach the grave of that Great American and orator, Daniel Webster. ...

Daniel Webster ... a man among men, a star among stars, a forefather among forefathers! Yes, this is the orator whose ability to persuade is a legend - nay - an ideal among pursuers of the legal profession everywhere. As we approach ...

"Who goes there?" booms a deep [heh, heh] and powerful voice, fraught with power, respect and the love of God. "How stands the Union?" he demands.

"Well actually Dan, I hate to tell you this ... let me put it another way ... how good are you at 'God save the Queen'?"

On this near eve of the American Buycentennial, in the year of graduation for many of us, speakers will abound telling graduating classes about the American Way, the traditions, the inspiration, et al. In the interests of fair time, the p-box will describe to good (but dead) Dan W. some of how his union has fared.

"Well, Dan, the last President we had was a crook, a liar, a cheat, a thief and destroyed the office of the Presidency so completely you could hardly believe it. He is no longer our Pres. and some people are saying, 'See, the system works' and are talking about how this crook really served to show how good the system really is.

"Unfortunately, Dan, the system doesn't work any more. The only reason the crook ever got caught was because two reporters from a newspaper had the endurance to withstand public condemnation, official governmental pressure, threats on their life, etc. before they could break the case open. The system did not work at all. In fact, the system was under the control of the crook.

"Your enforcement agencies (which should have been trying to catch crooks) were under his direct control. The judicial branch in charge of enforcement, investigation and prosecution were under his direct control. And while the Congress was not under his control, they are not the ones (in the system) who are supposed to be out running around catching crooks. Even then, they were doing nothing."
"Finally, these two reporters did enough spying, conspiring, and escaping governmental attack to find ... and come out with the story.

"Well, Dan, if that wasn't enough, this crook appoints a new President (you didn't think it would work that way did you? well, the vice-president had been convicted as a criminal some time before) and for some strange reason the new President pardoned the old one! And then the new President did a surprisingly large number of things that the old President was suggesting!

"I tell you, Dan, things were going from bad to worse. No-one could really tell which President was really President — even though the old one had resigned. The old President even came out right and said that he considered that the President (whichever one it happened to really be) was really a 'sovereign!!!!'

"To sum it all up, Dan, we've got an unelected President who just succeeded two crooks, liars and cheats who were President and Vice-President. The people don't really want any of the people who are now running for the office to be elected. Political positions must be bought. The administrative arm of the government is gross and incompetent and the judiciary arm has evolved to the point where justice is impossible. A jury trial is nearly impossible to get and access to the courts is very costly in the first place. The courts are being handled and twisted to the point where criminals are being systematically set free and innocent men and women are being hounded, pursued, and thrown in jail. Wars are undeclared now and the U. S. gives away billions every year to foreign countries to literally buy them off from further aggression.

"What about oppressive taxation?" Dan queried.

"Don't ask. But I will tell you that the tax officials are among the leaders in violations of the Bill of Rights. Let me leave it by saying that the average person cannot figure his or her taxes."

Dan glared. Then he lowered his eyes to the ground and spoke softly. "200 years, huh?" His voice trailed off to his final condemnation, his verdict. "Senility."

---

Yes, this time fans, we have a real award to be handed out. This is for the best performance by a politician above and BEE-yond any imaginable call of duty!

(And it really did happen, too! Out there in the real world ... I didn't make this one up!)

All three Star of the Game honors are awarded to the same person this time. This has never been done in the history of the HHL (Hutchins Hockey League) and has only happened once in the NHL.

The recipient made a super-human effort in an attempt to prove that he is human. This is an outstanding attempt for any politician, most of whom are so caught up in their little Washington DC — type worlds that they can't see the human beings for the "PUBLIC". Senses of humor (or is that sense of humors? ... it's probably sense of humor) are almost extinct in that little rat race they call "national life."

The winner of this once in a lifetime penalty box award goes to [my God, I can't believe it!] — yes it's true — the President Gerald Ford ... who, on the Saturday Night Show with Chevy Chase, actually got right on camera and said, "Good evening. I'm Gerald Ford and you're not."

G. Burgess Allison who loves you baby?
As his final literary contribution for this term, Crusader Rabbit offers the following 100 reasons why law school eats shit:

**LAW SCHOOL EATS SHIT BECAUSE:**

1. the books are too heavy.
2. you can't have classes outside on a nice day.
3. all of your first year courses are required.
4. all of the classrooms look alike.
5. an entire semester's work can be covered in 90 pages of a Gilbert's summary.
6. the professors don't hand out syllabuses (sylabi?).
7. you never get substitute teachers.
8. you spend too many hours a week in class.
9. there are always meatheads in class who state the facts and legal holdings of a case perfectly.
10. the professors cover 3 times as many pages per class at the end of the term than at the beginning of the term.
11. being graded on the basis of one 3 hour exam is capricious, arbitrary, degrading, and stupid.
12. the professors demonstrate little awareness or knowledge or concern as to whether the class understands them.
13. calling on students to state the facts of a case is a ridiculous and boring waste of time.
14. little time is spent teaching students how to write.
15. even on a nice day, 90% of the class is stupid and docile enough to attend class.
16. every now and then, the professors lapse into talking about the medieval origins of the law.
17. the professors rarely, if ever, summarize or review anything.
18. the books are too expensive.
19. the chairs are uncomfortable.
20. some classes are given at 8 a.m.
21. first year classes are scheduled from 9 to 3:15 when they could run from 9 to noon.
22. the textbooks give cases and ask what result and don't give you the answer.
23. the textbooks are filled with little c.f.'s asking you to compare cases, which you obviously don't have time to do.
24. the professors recall with perfect memory cases you read 5 months ago while you can't remember what you read 5 days ago.
25. value judgments, morality, and ethics are never even mentioned, much less discussed.
26. the biases of the law which favor the rich and propertied are assumed to be valid.
27. the law is basically common sense codified and adjudicated into obscure complexities by lawyers, judges, and law pros.
28. most law students just want to graduate, get a steady job, and make a lot of money.
29. even after going through law school, you still have to take a bar review course to increase your chances of passing the bar.
30. the professors, by and large, don't know how to teach.
31. not everybody who wants Clinical law can get in (same for some seminars).
32. no black law pros, no Chicano law pros, one woman law prof.
33. you have to buy too much paper to brief cases and take notes in class.
34. all of the clocks are in the back of the room where you can't easily see them.
35. legal research should be computerized -- but isn't.
36. most Reporters won't copy two pages on one 8 1/2 by 14 xerox.
37. the locker room and class changes remind me too much of high school.
38. the lounge in the basement of Hutchins Hall is too small.
39. the microwave oven in the lounge is too slow.
40. there are many law students who live, eat, sleep, go to class, and party only in the Law Quad, and never leave the Quad for weeks on end.
41. the compartmentalization of law into neat subjects is arbitrary and meaningless.
42. the pictures on all of the walls of all of the classrooms are all white men.
43. the windows are all frosted glass so you can't see outside.
44. the elevator is too slow and too small and the floor indicators don't work.
45. the textbooks are worthless if you keep them and worth less than what you paid for them if you sell them back.
46. nobody except me ever pulls ridiculous stunts on profs.
47. the professors always expect you to have an answer, even if they don't always have an answer.
48. Gilbert's cost too much and are too invaluable not to buy.
49. nobody has yet published summaries briefing all of the cases in major textbooks, even though you could make a fortune doing so.
50. professors use the same yellowed notes year after year while conducting class.
51. the only experience law students ever get applying law to new fact situations is when confronted by an exam question.
52. no attention at all is given to the interpersonal dynamics of being a lawyer, such as how to treat a client with respect and dignity.
53. even though everybody knows who the lousy professors are, the lousy profs just stay and stay and never change their teaching techniques.
54. most of the students here are the privileged elite of the upper-middle class -- and they show it.
55. one of the few things law students are taught is legal jargon so that they can further perpetuate the mystification of the legal system.
56. professors are always saying "You have to know this and that" when in actuality I don't have to know anything unless I think that it will prove useful in my career.
57. people who wear 3 piece suits to class aren't fooling anybody but themselves.
58. the quality of the writing in the Res Gestae reflects the fact that law school doesn't teach anybody how to write.
59. the professors clearly demonstrate a myopic belief in the over-importance of the law.
60. fifty-five minute classes remind me too much of high school.
61. the major purpose of law school is not to teach you how to practice law.
62. the major purpose of law school is to socialize you into the traditional values associated with the practice of Establishment law -- without expressing those values directly.
63. in-state tuition just increased $200 and out-state tuition just increased $500.
64. law school now costs more than tuition for upperclasspersons or graduate students and yet gives you larger classes and less contact with professors.
65. the "case method" of teaching law is virtually the only educational "innovation" which has remained unchanged for the last 100 years.
66. law professors never talk about the cases they have handled themselves -- probably because they have never handled any.
67. law professors regard questions more like interruptions of what they want to teach you rather than like indications of what students want to learn.
68. you never get to see real life legal documents.
69. if you sit on the side of the room away from the door, it takes 5 minutes to get out at the end of class.
70. assigned seating in class reminds me too much of high school.
71. if you sit next to someone you can't stand, you're stuck for the rest of the term.
72. if the class is boring you can't just get up and leave.
73. there is always one meathead in every class who not only buys all of the textbooks, but all of the homepage as well.
the professors are required to grade on the curve, no matter how smart or dumb the class may be.
when a few professors hand their grades in late, all of the students' grades are delayed.
law school performance and aptitude bears no relationship to LSAT scores and GPA, even tho these indices are the major determinants of admission.
law school tries to make you think you're hot shit, even tho the law school is no big deal.
law school could just as easily be two years instead of three.
you can learn almost any area of the law just by doing some research in the law library.
nobody ever told me that spending $5 for the "Writing & Advocacy" materials was a complete waste of money.
the entire "mystery" of legal research is knowing how to use an index.
only rarely does the law school bring in judges to talk to students informally -- and tho judges usually turn out to be disappointingly stupid.
instead of developing independent learners, the law school fosters and breeds dependent learners.
in addition to not developing writing skills, law school does not develop speaking skills.
the law school never offers new courses unless pressured by the students.
by the time students have successfully pressured for a new course, they've already graduated.
even tho certain courses attract only a handful of students every year, these courses never get eliminated.
there is a total lack of concern regarding contemporary legal issues such as S, I.
some professors actually think that their course material is so important that they assign readings for the first day of class -- instead of explaining what the course is all about.
the platforms at the front of the classrooms give the professors an exaggerated sense of self-importance.
professors constantly play stupid games like "Guess what I'm thinking," "Guess how I want you to re-phrase that statement," and "Guess what I'm going to ask on the exam."
discussion in class is never among students but directed at the professor.
the typical law student's world shrinks to the size of a marble, and the marble is solid law.
after a while, law students begin to sound like law professors and are not fit company for a normal human being.
people who graduate law school are just as unemployable as everybody else.
no matter how boring the material, the profs expect you to be interested.
the exam questions take ¾ of the allotted time just to read them and fully understand them.
although the cost of law school is going up 15%, the quality isn't going up at all.
the trivia that I'm learning in law school is no more worth remembering than the trivia I learned in high school.
law students passively accept all of the above shit and don't do a damn thing to try to change things.

On this day, two hundred years ago, Zachary Green, tired of waiting for his grades at Harvard law school, joined the Continental Army at Valley Forge.

OPINION WILLIAM MITCHELL COL. OF LAW
EXCITING MEMORIES
OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN LAW SCHOOL

WELL, LOOK, YOU GOT A B+, NOT AN F. IT INDICATES YOU LEARNED SOMETHING.
-T. SANDALOW

DON'T FEEL BAD, ONE-THIRD OF THE CLASS FELL BELOW C. YOU'RE LUCKY. THINK.
-T. SANDALOW

AND FALL OF C.
“WHO DOG” DONAHUE.

HEY, COME ON IN ARGIE! TAKE A CHAIR.

AND NOT KNOWING WHAT TO PREPARE FOR THE EXAM, WE WERE TOLD TO TALK TO OURSELVES.

SO AND I WERE JUST GOING OVER SOME OF THE MEMORIES THAT WE'LL BE TAKING FROM THE OLD LAW SCHOOL WHEN WE GRADUATE THIS YEAR.
OH YEAH?

A TALKING ANT?

IN OUR FIRST CLASS AT LAW SCHOOL, WE WITNESSED THE RISE...

YES. HERE WE...

...THE HIGHLY ACCLAIMED BALANCING ACT OF FRANK ALLEN IN CRIMINAL LAW...

...AND FALL OF C.
“WHO DOG” DONAHUE.

HE, THE CASEBOOK WAS IN XEROX FORM THAT YEAR, IT WAS PERFECT MATERIAL FOR THE BONFIRE WE HELD AFTER TAKING HIS EXAM.

AND LISTEN TO THE ANSWERS OF RENT KNUTSON IN RESPONSE TO THE QUESTIONS OF PROFESSOR PECK, OUR MAN IN TORTS THAT YEAR.

AND WHAT WERE THE FACTS OF THE CASE, MR. KNUTSON?

WELL, THIS GREASE MONKEY HAPPENED TO GO TO GWASSO, MI., FOR GOD KNOWS WHAT REASON, AND...
Hey, that sounds pretty good. But I was wondering, I was wondering about the fascination you guys must have with sandalow.

Mmm, a talking ant.

Any way, I was telling you, we had even better times during our second year. You know how Conard uses that microphone in EP. Well, one day Ed put a glass of water up on the desk. You know, one of those glasses that drips on your shirt when you take a drink.

But the second year wasn't all a bed of roses. I remember that Ed and I used to really admire ol' John Reed. In evidence, we used to enjoy listening to the sound of his voice.

Then the, I suppose, inevitable occurred. Can you help us out with this problem, Mr. Blockson? Ah, no? Well, how about your friend, the one sitting next to you? No, well, ok.

...And a more disillusioned pair of people you've never seen before.
Yes, but they can all do that, say... Don't you guys have a quote from Sandalow's book hanging around here somewhere?

Oh man, we had it framed. Let me get it out of the closet.

Okay, this isn't drinking all our beer.

Well, far be it for an example of the clarity of thought that went into writing "Government in Urban Affairs." So, this sentence has a mere 91 words.

At the extreme, a superficial "propositional prescription." Say, that the decision should be made by a majority of the city's citizens in a referendum. Saying may imply the absence of any substantive criticism. At all, through the apparent prescription may be seen to be as merely suggestive. At least, in the sense that it embodies a fundamental assumption that the majority of a sample should be decisive of the outcome.

That sentence, incidentally, can be found on page 609 of Sandalow's book. But... what the hell, the book is full of you. Anyway, like I was telling you, the second year found us trying to get back at Sandalow for almost causing Ed to fall out a window. We had our sunglasses on.

But the sun was bright that day, and Sandalow must have known we were out to get him. Because, before we could get off a single dart, he turned and whipped off a dozen quick-fire shots at us...

We recovered, though. We had a lot of fun with Professor Green. He liked to pretend he was Groucho Mark Napkin in his seminar.

But one day he got carried away with the routine. He's still in intensive care at the University Hospital.

Now what the... CRACK!
YEA, ARGIE, WE'VE HAD SOME PRETTY GOOD TIMES HERE AT LAW SCHOOL—HAVEN'T WE DO? THE THIRD YEAR WASN'T BAD, EITHER.

CHRIST THAT SAIL MUST BE FIFTY FEET TALL!

IN TAX, WE HAVE THIS GUY CALLED PESCHL. HE DOESN'T SEEM TO BE A BAD GUY... BUT HE HAS THIS LITTLE PECULIARITY OF...

BENDING HIMSELF OUT OF SHAPE WHENEVER HE TRIES TO TAKE A LOOK AT THE CLOCK IN THE BACK OF THE ROOM.

WELL, ONE DAY TINA... GOD REST HER SOUL... MISSED HIS MOTIONS—AND SENT THE CLASS INTO A WILD HULABALOO.

HEY! LET'S TWIST AGAIN, LIKE WE DID LAST SUMMER!

AND THEN THERE WAS THE TIME WE FILLED BURT'S PURSE WITH A MILLION COSMETICS.

WATCHING HIM TRY TO FIND HIS NOTES FOR THAT DAY WAS SIMPLY HILARIOUS.

A TUBE OF LIPSTICK?

HUM... UH...

FINALLY, IN DEBTORS' AND CREDITORS' RIGHTS, WE NOTICED THAT KENNEDY WAS RUNNING OUT OF ARTICLES THAT HE USED TO READ TO THE CLASS BEFORE HE'D BEGIN THE DAY'S LESSON.

ED HAD COME UPON AN INTERESTING QUESTION ADDRESSED TO DEAR ABBY IN THE NEWSPAPER THAT WE HAD BEEN READING. SO HE FLOATS IT DOWN AND KENNEDY PICKS IT OUT OF THE AIR LIKE A PRO. FORTUNATELY, THAT LETTER TOOK US TO THE END OF CLASS.

WELL, IT SURE SOUNDS AS IF YOU GUYS HAVE HAD A GOOD THREE YEARS.

YEA, I GUESS SO. MUCH OF IT IS DUE TO THE SONG WE SING WHEN WE FEEL DOWN.

WHEN YOU WANT A GOOD TIME, FULL OF LIFE AND FUN, BECAUSE YOU'RE DOWN IN YOUR TROUBLES AND BLUES, TAKE... THE SANDALO!

OH, TAKE THE SANDALO MAN!

TO THE TUNE OF "CANDYMAN"
Well, campers, you made it through another lousy week, so it's time to relax. Ignore all other obligations--particularly classes--and read the goodies contained herein. What would you do without me? (The smartass in the back that said "Much better" may leave the room. Preferably by the window.)

* * * * * * *

Our fantastic Post Office keeps getting better and better at doing worse and worse. Last week a bagful of negotiables, $1.5 million worth, somehow disappeared from an air-mail flight. The Postal Inspectors (who have been characterized as the most inept group of misfits ever to disgrace law enforcement) finally concluded their search, but made no arrests. It seems that the bag was found at the bottom of a mail bin at the airport, under a bunch of others. None had been moved for over 5 days, it seems.

Related item: There's a Post Office sign at Metro Airport, reading "We've Upgraded First Class Mail". Beneath that, some wag has written, "Yeh, up to 132." If there's been any change for the better, I sure haven't noticed.

* * * * * * *

If you have an American Heritage dictionary, take a look at the picture of Wild Bill Hickok. It bears an amazing resemblance to a certain Criminal Law prof. I'm sure there's no relation between the two, but still,... Do you suppose he's been hiding out here for the past 100 years?

* * * * * * *

There's one big benefit of a legal education that I'd never noticed before last weekend. I was watching Star Trek, and thought I recognized one of the actors; I just couldn't remember his name. I finally checked--it was Melvin Belli, the "King of Torta".

No doubt about it, the guy has a lot of style. When he wins a big case--which is often--he hoists the Jolly Roger on his office's flagpole. It's nice to see a high-ranking member admit to the basic character of the profession.

So, kiddies, keep working and maybe someday you too can be in a Star Trek remake. And if you should flunk out, or get disbarred, don't worry; you can still play a Klingon.

* * * * * * *

One more week of classes. One more week.... So why does my assignment ledger still show 3 months of reading to do?

* * * * * * *

It's a good thing Professor Pooley didn't decide to become an obstetrician. Can you imagine how many breech births there would have been? (Not to be confused with breech births, which occur after default on a marriage contract).

* * * * * * *

I was glad to see Dean Kallas's letter in reply to my comments on the CIA. The letter's content and tone--particularly the inevitable cries of "Fascist", the term used whenever something doesn't please some member of the rabblerousers--were quite amusing, well in keeping with the traditions of the group.

Kallas also said that my rights "are a joke." Since this is the very belief that I had claimed was held by the anti-CIA people, it only serves to confirm my previous comments. Thanks for not disappointing me, Kallas.

* * * * * * *

Did the Easter Bunny bring you lots of goodies? If not, maybe he had trouble getting all his Ann Arbor deliveries made. Residents of cities such as Chicago, New York, and Boston have a much better chance of prompt delivery. Why? Simple--in those cities, the Easter Bunny doesn't have to travel on foot; each of them has an efficient municipal Rabbit Transit system.

By the way, there is absolutely no truth to the rumor that the Easter Bunny is a drunkard. He only has one small drink of hare restorer before dinner.
DUMP TRUCK

"I NEED A DUMP TRUCK, BABY, TO UNLOAD MY HEAD."—B. Dylan

by Larry Halperin

Today's column is very important. In the space of a few inches I reveal the true meaning of life. But nothing comes easy. The message is in two parts, and if you want to know what it says, you'll have to work for it. The first part is in secret code, and the second part is in invisible ink.

Part I


Part II

Next week I will divulge the ultimate value of everything. So until then take good care of yourselves.

‘Cathouse’ FOR DOGS CLEANS UP

NEW YORK—A cathouse for dogs has opened here and business is booming. Joe Scagg, proprietor of the Greenwich Village establishment, says that for $50 (no credit cards accepted), he will provide your mutt with a female companion in a state of artificially-induced heat, and a resident photographer to preserve the memory of your Fido in action.

"Says Scagg, 'It's a place where genuine doglovers bring their mutts to see them have a good time. It fills a need in a dog-oriented community. "We guarantee complete satisfaction. If a dog can't perform, we'll bring the female to his home, his own territory, where he feels more secure.'"

Business is so good that Scagg is planning to open more cathouses. "There'll be astro-turf and plastic hydrants," he says. "We're even having bumper stickers printed that read: 'Get a little tail for your dog.'"

SPECIAL TO MONEYSWORTH

TALES FROM THE PM

--- The Pearl

After a few beers at the PM, my friend Dan and I got around to talking about the news of the day. Dan reported that he had read an article by a police officer in a local newspaper advising a woman that before she left a restaurant, bar, etc. with a strange man to call a friend and leave an exact description. Not wanting men to be left out of this, the ramifications were obvious:

"Hello Pearl, this is Dan. I'm at the PM and I've got news for you- I've fallen in love." "That's to be expected, Give me a description." "She's beautiful, intelligent and everything I could ask for in a woman." "Great. What's she look like?" "Pearl this lady's a veritable Venus, a goddess among mortals." "Terrific. Does she have any other identifying features?" "Oh, ya, she uses the Lady's Room."

"Can't you give me anything else to go on?"

"Well, she's got a terrific left hook, my nose is still throbbing." "How old is she?"

"She's the perfect woman who has existed since time immemorial. Seriously, she's somewhere between 18 and 57."

"Are you sure she's above the minimum?"

"Well Pearl, I figure she's stopped since she cheated her way into the place anyway."

"OK Dan, I got it all down. If I don't hear from you in 3 days I'll notify the authorities."

On the other hand, such a system could have significant deterrent effects:

"Hello Dan, this is the Pearl. I'm at the PM and I've fallen in love."

"OK Pearl, what's the description?"

"White female caucasian, body-passer fair, looks—splendid, intelligent—yes, height-5'6", weight 110, hair-brunette, eyes-2, age-22."

"Pearl, what's her name?"

"Susan, why?"

"Pearl, that's my sister and if you touch her I'll lay you in your grave."

"Well, OK, we'll talk about that later, but anyway I met this other girl who..."
Judge Oliver Carter obviously didn't have the best interests of the RG poll in his mind when he sentenced Patty Hearst to 25 years and then held off his final decision for 90 days.

Thanks a stack, Jolly Ollie.

After careful deliberation, your obedient servant has elected, in his Solomonic wisdom (citation omitted) to divide the 2 dollar prize between Bob Brandenburg and Joel Winston, as joint tenants and not as tenants in common. The $2.00 bill I was planning to award as this week's prize will be shoved under the cashier's window at Wolverine Harness.

This week, once burned and twice warned, the RG Political Poll returns to a more objective tie-breaker. This poll also features the most demanding questions yet. Starting next Tuesday with Pennsylvania, there are 6 primaries scheduled in 8 days. The rules for this poll are as follows: fill in the Democratic winner and runner-up for each primary, then name the Republican winner in each. Everyone gets at least one correct answer; Ford is running unopposed in Pennsylvania. Winners will be determined by popular vote percentages where possible; otherwise by delegate count.

THE POLL:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>DEMOCRATS WINNER</th>
<th>DEMOCRATS RUNNER-UP</th>
<th>REPUBLICANS WINNER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TIE BREAKER: What will be the closing Dow Jones Industrial Average on Tuesday, May 4?

NAME___________________________________________

FEARLESS (as opposed to flawless) FORECAST DEPT: Ford over Carter in the November election. The Prezz should easily smoke Reagan at the GOP clambake in Kaycee, while the Atomic Peanut will slog to a second-ballot triumph at the Magic World Of Madison Square Garden Center.

POLITICAL ALMANACK: Remaining Primaries: May 6--Tennessee; May 11--Nebraska, West Virginia; May 15--Maryland, Michigan; May 25--Idaho, Kentucky; Nevada, Oregon; June 1--Rhode Island, Montana, South Dakota; June 8 (Super Tuesday!)--Arkansas, California, New Jersey, Ohio.

This is my final appearance in the pages of this paper. Many thanks to all who participated in these polls. Special congratulations go to John (Rumney Raider) Sobetzer, who, had these polls been judged on the basis of neatness, originality and humor content, instead of sagacity and prescience, would have won all four of them.

ULTIMATE REFLECTION: An open convention is one which your people control; a rigged one is one the other people control.

25 Paul "Lefty" Ruschman
These people want to take their classes pass-fail... but (huh, huh) they missed the deadline.

Mrs. Betts

RES CESTAE
michigan law school weekly