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Friday

DISCO DANCE -- Friday, March 26, 9 PM
Lawyer's Club Lounge

Law students free with stamped law school ID. All others, $1 -- This means wives, mothers, children, con-cubines, gigolos, boyfriends, girl-friends and pets. Friends are always welcome at sherry hours without charge, but in order to pay for the entertainment at the dances, we need to charge others. Two people cannot dance as cheaply as one. Speaking of dancing, the DJ who is spinning the discs for the dance is outstanding, as is his collection of fine tunes for your dancing pleasure. So knock the lead out of your pants, put on your dancing shoes, and Do It! (till you're satisfied)

MEETING ON CLERKSHIPS

3:00 P.M. Friday, March 26 Room 150
Professor Bollinger

The Environmental Law Society
Presents
Professor Gordon Kane
Department of Physics
speaking on Nuclear Power
Friday, March 26, 1976
3:30 pm
Cook Room
Wine and Coffee

Saturday

MOVIE

SATURDAY 7 & 9:05
MARCH 27

Howard Hawks' THE CRIMINAL CODE

with Walter Huston
Boris Karloff
and West's Annotated California Criminal Code

100 Hutchins Non-law, $1

MOVIE

This is it, kiddies, the administration of criminal justice before anyone ever heard of Miranda rights or Yale Kamisar. See Walter Huston as an ambitious district attorney who cynically boasts that he can successfully argue both sides of a case. When his political ambitions are stymied, he is appointed warden of a prison where many of the inmates owe their status to his skill as a D.A. Then, Phillips Holmes, the Robert Redford of his time, convicted by the D.A. for a justifiable crime, becomes involved with none other than the warden's daughter. When a squealer is murdered, Holmes...
Wednesday

VOTING WEDNESDAY

Voting for all 1976-77 Student Senate officers and members will be this Wednesday, March 31, with the polls open at the following times and places:

8:30 AM to 4:30 PM in Hutchins Hall outside Room 100.

and

4:45 to 6:30 PM in the Lawyers Club reception area.

SENATE ELECTIONS

* * * * * * *

CONTEST

A $2 prize will be awarded to the winner of the R.G. Hi-light By Number Contest. Neatness counts. Entries may be submitted anytime until Tuesday evening by sliding them under the door of the R.G. office, 102A L.R. Entries will be judged by C.S., artist-in-residence. This is a closed-book contest. Handwritten outlines allowed, but no Gilbert's.

CONTEST

INTERVIEWS FOR CLERKSHIPS AND ADHESION DRAFTERS (LIMITED TO SECTION I STUDENTS)

INTERVIEWS FOR AMALGAMATED MACHINE GUNNERS OF AMERICA WILL BE HELD IN ROOM 104 LEGAL RESEARCH ON MONDAY, MARCH 29. COLLECTION AGENCY EXPERIENCE HELPFUL.
NOTICES

The Editorial Board of the Journal of Law Reform congratulates the following persons on their selection as editors for 1976-77:

Editor in Chief
Russell J. Bruemmer

Managing Editor
Raymond R. Kepner

Articles Editors
William G. Snead
Penny Rashkin

Legislative Notes Editor
Michael G. McGee

Administrative Editors
Alexander Domanskis
William F. Nessly

Note and Comment Editors
Franklyn D. Kimball
Gary W. Klotz
Jeff Mandell
Stephen A. Million
Alan V. Reuther

ORGANIZATIONAL BUDGETS
All student groups requesting money from the Senate for 1976-77 must turn in a budget at the Lawyers Club Desk by April 8th. Forms may be obtained at the desk.

ACCOUNTING PROFICIENCY TEST
June 3rd at 3 15 p.m. the Accounting Proficiency test will be administered in Room 120. Please sign-up in Room 300 with Dorine.

Thank you,
Rhonda

ANN ARBOR HOSTS GUILD REGIONAL

The Ann Arbor Chapter of the National Lawyers Guild will host the Mideast Regional meeting on April 2, 3, and 4. The purpose of the regional meeting is to conduct workshops on various political-topics and handle regional business.

Activities begin with registration and a panel discussion in the Kuenzel Room of the Union on Friday evening. On Saturday the 3rd the Friends Meeting House will be the site of the following:

8:30 a.m. — Breakfast/Women’s Caucus
10:00 a.m. — Workshops
Prison Work, Organizing Agricultural Workers, and Chapter Funds
11:30-12:30 Lunch/Legal Services Caucus
12:30—Workshops
Working in Trade Unions, Unemployment Issues, S-1 Organizing
2:00—Workshops
Native American Issues in the Region, Legislative Organizing
5:30—Dinner
8:00—film "The Murder of Fred Hampton," benefit for Chicago civil suit—Room 100 Hutchins Hall

On Sunday the 4th the activities will begin in the Law Club Lounge with workshops on the Minority Legal Resources Task Force and Law Student Organizing. Regional business will be conducted at 11:00. There will be a $10.00 fee payable at registration. Local people should pre-pay, if possible.

WANTED:
A reliable person to drive my reliable car from Ann Arbor to San Francisco after exams. Call 995-8376 before 10:30 p.m. or leave message in Carrel 1008.

SUBLET:
May 15 newly painted, new furniture, air-conditioned, off street parking apartment. $150. Call Carol 995-8376.
LETTERS

DEAN'S REPORT TO THE LAW SCHOOL COMMUNITY

In the past, I have prepared reports on major issues at the Law School. The Student Senate has asked that I make a regular practice of issuing statements on important developments at the School, including both faculty and administrative action. I hope you will find these reports helpful.

New first-year curriculum. The most important faculty action so far this term has been the approval of a curriculum change for the first year. The purpose is to provide more breadth and variety in the first-year offerings, and to give students the opportunity to learn more about the nature of the legal profession. On the recommendation of the Curriculum Committee, which is composed of four faculty members and three students, the following action was taken:

1. Torts was reduced from a five-hour, two semester course to a four-hour, one-semester course. In each of the three fall entering sections, one of the year-long six-hour courses would be reduced to five hours and given in the Winter Term as a one-semester offering. The result was to free two additional hours of time in the first year.

2. Each student entering in the fall would then be required to elect either a new course on "The Legal Profession" (the official title has not been chosen), or a brief, prescribed list on inter-disciplinary or jurisprudential offerings (such as legal history, law and psychiatry, law and society, international law, legislation, etc.). It is expected that a majority of students will elect the course on the legal profession, which will be presented in seven sections. Four or five faculty members will work together in preparing and offering this course. It will cover the role of the lawyer in society, legal ethics and professional responsibility, the delivery of legal services, specialization and advertising, and do on.

3. Summer starters may elect the new course on the legal profession, but since they have always had a wider range of elections available in the Winter Term, it was decided not to limit them to the smaller group from which the fall starters must select.

Financial aid procedures. The Scholarship Awards Committee has already provided a lengthy explanation of the new financial aid procedures. I shall add a brief word only because some misunderstandings have apparently developed. I am indeed sorry if our initial announcements caused anyone unnecessary anxiety. Needless to say, we are not trying to cut down on the amount we award. In fact, the amount of financial aid furnished directly by the School over the past five years has nearly doubled, and now exceeds $1,000,000. Even so, demand is outstripping supply, and we have to try to make sure that the funds go to the students who are actually in greater need.

There is strong evidence that at least a few students have mis-stated the facts on their financial aid applications, particularly with regard to their parents' income. That is the reason for requiring the parents' tax return. This is a common requirement in other major law schools, and I do not see how anyone can object to providing proof of important facts. We are prepared to listen carefully, however, if you say your parents simply will not provide a copy of their return, or if you say they cannot or will not contribute to meeting your needs.

The problem of "independence" is a difficult one. I sympathize with anyone who no longer wishes to be a burden on his or her parents, especially if the person is older and has been away from home for some time. But from our perspective, it comes down to this: between two equally deserving applicants, one whose parents live in Palm Beach mansion and one whose parents live in a ghetto tenement, who should get our last tuition grant? Which applicant, in short, has the greater "need"? I suspect that the child of the Palm Beach couple, even if unwilling to approach the parents, would find it far easier to secure the money elsewhere. Now, I realize I have given an exaggerated example to make a point. The real cases will fall in between the extremes, and will be far harder to decide. Many middle-class parents we know, are heavily burdened with the costs of putting children through college, and professional school on top of it may be just too much. All I can do is assure you we will try our best to be fair to each individual applicant, on the basis of each individual set of facts.
Cheating. I devoted a substantial part of a recent faculty meeting to the unhappy subject of cheating. Both students and faculty have come to me in considerable numbers in recent months to complain about the substantial amount of cheating they see going on around them—on term papers, on take-home exams, on financial aid applications, and even on the transcripts of grades. There is not need for me to lecture anyone on the gravity of this situation in an institution preparing people for a profession where, if integrity is lacking, nothing else matters. It was the consensus of the meeting that consideration should be given to appointing a special faculty-student committee to review and revise our current rules and procedures for dealing with misconduct in the Law School. Since this has the earmarks of a year-long undertaking, I have decided to postpone action on the committee until the fall.

Theodore J. St. Antoine
Dean

Dear Sisters-in-Law:

On behalf of the Women Law Students of the University of Michigan, I want to express our heartfelt thanks for your support of our bid for the Eighth National Women and the Law Conference. At that frustrating time, I can't tell you how much it meant to know we had such good friends, especially so near to home.

The support you gave us then has caused many of us here to ask in what ways all our schools can work together to improve conditions for women at our various schools. I personally think that the more broad based support we have, the more we can change things.

We would like to get together with you to discuss ways in which we can cooperate Saturday, April 10 at 12:00 noon in the Lawyers Club Lounge. Bring a bag lunch. The WLSA will provide beverages and cookies. Things we would like to discuss include:

1. The possibility of a joint alumnae conference—the first day to be held at our respective schools, the second day at a central location.

2. Ways in which we can work to reform Michigan legislation affecting women.

3. Development of affirmative action plans for faculty women and for students.

If we can work together, sharing what we have learned and are doing, we can accomplish more than alone. Come with plenty of ideas. Please let us know approximately how many to expect.

Cordially,

[Signature]
AN OPEN LETTER TO:

PIRGIM &  (and any other consumer rip-off apologists!)

THE LSSS

Dear Sirs

(I use that term because I notice that none of your "look-what-hot-shit-are" letters are written by women)(and I also know that you are basically sexist and pompous and would be offended unless I addressed by an appropriate title)

I noticed that in your weekly hand-out you actually used the concept of "what have we done for you lately?" [you did, of course, fail to even mention anything about the donation scandal] The one thing this reminds me of is our ex-Pres. during the exposition of Watergate. He insisted on spending a lot of breath and time on telling everyone what hot shit he is [was]. You seem to be doing the same thing in an apparent attempt to pretend that the donation rip-off doesn't exist and that even if it is wrong [if?], that you're doing so much good that we shouldn't worry our little stupid consumer heads about matters of high finances.

It is also apparent that your weekly "letter" to the Res Gestae is nothing more than the same type of press release propaganda which is mailed off to the stockholders of GM and EXXON every so often. Those press releases, however, at least admit that they are only information handouts and don't pretend to be letters like yours do.

For this reason, I think that the Res Gestae should not print these weekly blurbs. It doesn't print all the junk mail that the law school receives and I don't see why it should print this junk mail. Now if it was individually signed or written, or some other indication that it is more than just cheap advertising, that would be a different story. When was the last time that the RG printed an ad for OBRECK vacuum cleaner bags?

The staff of the Weekly Penalty Box has learned from confidential sources that PIRGIM is fully aware of the letters written by myself and others in complaint of the "donation" funding scheme and has passed the word down to the smaller chapters of the group to not give out any answers ... at least in print.

ex-President Nix had [used] a word for that technique. He called it stone-walling. That is what PIRGIM is doing. A calculated and determined effort to SHUT-UP consumer complaints.

My open question to The LSSS Seven who voted to give Student Senate endorsement of the enforced "donation" method of "funding" is, do you really want to lend your support to this type of "consumer advocate"? Do you really think that this type of "funding" is really legitimate, legal, fair (or any other words which indicate varying degrees of correctness) (except expedient ... because I'll concede that it's expedient)? Do you really think that, as an alleged "representative" of a number of law students, your votes reflected, IN ANY WAY, the sentiments of your "constituents?"

How about it LSSS Seven? Can't you even answer this plea of mine? I've only asked you to do so for the last six issues in a row. Don't you think you might even have even the slightest teensy tiny shred of obligation to give some kind of answer to why you voted our support for something which is illegal, improper, and totally repugnant to any concept of "consumerism"?

And how about you PIRGIM? Can't even one of you lesser peons build up enough courage to do something on your own and give us a reply as to why it is that such a consumer rip-off being foisted upon us by so-called [self-called] "consumer advocates" is not an outrageous lie? Tell us, PIRGIM, that you are not liars! Speak PIRGIM! Let us know that you have some more contact with the students (who you have decided all wanted to donate to you) than just your diddy press release hand-out.

Try this for a second ... One assumption might be that PIRGIM bases this abortion of consumerism upon the theory [I'll say!] that a lot more students wanted to donate to PIRGIM than were actually donating ... even though they were forced to pass by the PIRGIM donation bench right in the middle of registration in order to not donate ... and that the reason they failed to donate was only because they didn't know how (or were too dumb, or were too unmotivated, or were just not sufficiently aware of how) to make their donation. My question then, is if that is your theory, then wouldn't...
Editor, Res Gestae:

I am delighted, Rick Kamowski, to accept your most perceptive challenge, one of the few invitations I have seen in Res Gestae this year to discuss the merits of a significant issue. Too often we simply invite each other to join in a name-calling contest.

You ask me why C.S. Lewis thought it "obvious" that "surrender to all our desires . . . leads to impotence, disease, jealousies, lies, concealment, and everything that is the reverse of health, good humor, and frankness." He would, I think, agree with you that the proposition is not evident a priori. But I think Mr. Lewis was confident that his audience (Mere Christianity had its origin in a series of BBC broadcasts) would find ample evidence for it in their own experience with life. Until his death in 1963, Mr. Lewis was a professor of Medieval and Renaissance literature at Cambridge University; he was a remarkable student of human experience, his own keen powers of observation enlarged by an uncanny ability to discern, articulate, and make sense of the wealth of human experience captured in literature and philosophy. He first established himself as a leading literary critic with The Allegory of Love (Oxford: 1936) in which he carefully traces the development of the "courtly love" tradition and for which he studied all of the world's great literature available to an Oxford scholar at the time in which the themes of sexual attraction, courtship, and marriage figure prominently. Perhaps, Rick, what is obvious to him, given his extended study and reflection, is less obvious to the rest of us.

Still, consider the question put this way: Were you to do your best to seduce any person to whom you are sexually attracted, never hesitating for fear of what your gratification might cost your partners in terms of their relationships with husbands, children, families, etc., never forgetting a moment's pleasure to take precautions against disease or for any other practical consideration, do you have any doubt that Mr. Lewis's parade of horrors would result? If you concede him that much, Rick, it seems to me that you cannot avoid his conclusion: "Every sane and civilized man must have some set of principles by which he chooses to reject some of his desires and to permit others. One man does this on Christian principles, another on hygienic principles, another on sociological principles. The real conflict is not between Christianity and 'nature,' but between Christian principle and other principles in the control of nature." (Mere Christianity, p. 93)

You ask, quite rightfully, why I should feel it proper to urge Christian principle on one who is inclined to prefer other principles—at least with respect to sexual morality. Do I maintain, you ask, that there is one, universally-valid moral law with reference to which Christian principle is objectively to be preferred to all other moral codes. If not, you suggest, my position is rather presumptuous and at least somewhat arrogant; for if values are merely a matter of personal preference, it is unmitigated chauvinism and elitism to insist that my own "ought" to be preferred to another's.

I do indeed maintain that there is a universal moral law independent of personal preference in its origin and validity. I cannot read the teachings of Moses (Deuteronomy 4) or Christ (Matthew 5) to permit any other conclusion. It seems a rather natural corollary to the existence of a Supreme Being who is omnipotent, omniscient, perfectly good, and concerned about the moral development of mankind. Whether such a Being exists and whether Moses and Christ enjoyed the relationship to Him which they claim are issues of fact as objective as any determination we shall ever request from a jury. While Christians generally find the most convincing and enduring evidence for Christ to be the spiritual witness He gives us when we have faith in Him and turn to Him, most Christians will further maintain that it is far more reasonable to find for Moses and Christ than against them even if one has not been granted this special witness. Given an effective Christian advocate (and C.S. Lewis would be a superb one) I would trust any fair-minded jury to return a verdict favorable to the claims of these two individuals on the preponderance of the evidence. Given the spiritual evidence, I should expect the jury to find for Moses and Christ beyond reasonable doubt. Lewis explains rather well, I think, the Christian emphasis on faith which seems to disturb you:

"I am not asking anyone to accept Christianity if his best reasoning tells him the weight of the evidence is against it. That is not the point at which Faith comes in. But supposing a man's reason once decides that the weight of the evidence is for it. I can tell that man what is going to happen to him in the next few weeks. There will come a moment when there is bad news, or he

CONT.
is in trouble, or is living among a lot of other people who do not believe it, and all at once his emotions will rise up and carry out a sort of blitz on his belief. Or else there will come a moment when he wants a woman, or wants to tell a lie, or feels very pleased with himself, or sees a chance of making a little money in some way that is not perfectly fair: some moment, in fact, at which it would be very convenient if Christianity were not true. And once again his wishes and desires will carry out a blitz. I am not talking of moments at which any real new reasons against Christianity turn up. Those have to be faced and that is a different matter. I am talking about moments where a mood rises up against it.

"Now Faith ... is the art of holding on to things your reason has once accepted, in spite of your changing moods. For moods will change, whatever view your reason takes. I know that by experience. Now that I am a Christian I do have moods in which the whole thing looks terribly improbable; but when I was an atheist I had moods in which Christianity looked terribly probable. This rebellion of your moods against your real self is going to come anyway. That is why Faith is such a necessary virtue: unless you teach your moods "where they get off," you can never be either a sound Christian or even a sound atheist, but just a creature dithering to and fro, with its beliefs really dependent on the weather and the state of its digestion. Consequently, one must train the habit of Faith."

"The first step is to recognize that your moods change. The next is to make sure that, if you have once accepted Christianity, then some of its main doctrines shall be deliberately held before your mind for some time every day. That is why daily prayers and religious reading and church-going are necessary parts of Christian life. . . . Neither this belief nor any other will automatically remain alive in the mind. It must be fed. And as a matter of fact, if you examined a hundred people who had lost their faith in Christianity, I wonder how many of them would turn out to have been reasoned out of it by honest argument? Do not most people simply drift away?" (Mere Christianity, pp. 123-124)

Lewis went one step farther than I have gone in answering your question. He presents a most intriguing argument for objective value which does not rest on the theistic premise. In his first three chapters to Mere Christianity and in three thoroughly delightful lectures collected and published under the title The Abolition of Man, Lewis reaches the following conclusion:

"This thing which I have called for convenience the Tao and which others may call Natural Law or Traditional Morality or the First Principles of Practical Reason or the First Plaitudes, is not one among a series of possible systems of value. It is the sole source of all value judgments. If it is rejected, all value is rejected. If any value is retained, it is retained. The effort to refute it and raise a new system of value in its place is self-contradictory. There has never been, and never will be, a radically new judgment of value in the history of the world. What purport to be new systems or (as they now call them) 'ideologies,' all consist of fragments from the Tao itself, arbitrarily wrenched from their context in the whole and then swollen to madness in their isolation, yet still owing to the Tao and to it alone such validity as they possess. If my duty to my parents is a superstition, then so is my duty to posterity. If justice is a superstition, then so is my duty to my country or my race. If the pursuit of scientific knowledge is a real value, then so is conjugal fidelity. The rebellion of new ideologies against the Tao is a rebellion of the branches against the tree: if the rebels could succeed they would find that they had destroyed themselves. The human mind has no more power of inventing a new value than of imagining a new primary colour, or, indeed, of creating a new sun and a new sky for it to move in.

"Does this mean, then, that no progress in our perceptions of value can ever take place? That we are bound down for ever to an unchanging code given once for all? And is it, in any event, possible to talk of obeying what I call the Tao? If we lump together as I have done, the traditional moralities of East and West, the Christian, the Pagan, and the Jew, shall we not find many contradictions and some absurdities? I admit all this. Some criticism, some removal of contradictions, even some real development, is required. But there are two very different kinds of criticism.

"A theorist about language may approach his native tongue, as it were from outside, regarding its genius as a thing that has no claim on him and advocating wholesale alterations of its idiom and spelling in the interests of commercial convenience or scientific accuracy. That is one thing. A great poet, who has 'loved, and been nurtured in, his native tongue,' may also make great alterations in it, but his changes of the language are made in the spirit of the language itself: he works from within. The language
One last point, Rick. I am not certain that you would find Lewis's arguments in favor of Christianity particularly persuasive. I myself have been influenced by his arguments, and I remain convinced that Christianity is the only satisfactory religion, but I do not think I would be able to do so if the evidence were not so strong. In any case, I would be glad to discuss my position with you, if you have the time to do so. I would be particularly interested in hearing your thoughts on the matter. I could state my position no more succinctly than does Lewis, however, and they would suffer greatly in the transposition from his prose to mine.

Both books are available in paperback edition for less than $5.00 at Borders Bookstore. I have not had time to reflect carefully on his arguments for that conclusion (I have read both books for the first time), but I am very much impressed by them as a first glance and would be glad to discuss them with you. I am very much impressed by them, and I would be glad to discuss them with you. I am very much interested in hearing your thoughts on the matter. I could state my position no more succinctly than does Lewis, however, and they would suffer greatly in the transposition from his prose to mine.

I do hope that every law student makes use of his or her option to negatively elect out of their PIRGIM "donation." I do hope that every law student makes use of his or her option to negatively elect out of their PIRGIM "donation." I do hope that every law student makes use of his or her option to negatively elect out of their PIRGIM "donation."
ABA SAYS LAW SCHOOL ENROLLMENT PLATEAUS
AFTER DECADE OF RAPID GROWTH

Law School enrollment, which had been climbing dramatically for the past decade, apparently has reached a plateau, the American Bar Association said today.

Despite an over-all increase in enrollment at ABA-approved law schools for the current school year, net growth appeared to have ended. And, the ABA said, even though only one law school reported having empty seats, many law schools showed fewer qualified applicants. Several law schools also reported a greater number of "no shows" in their first choice of admittees.

Enrollment in the 163 ABA-approved law schools last fall totaled 116,991, an increase of 6,278 or 5.67 per cent over the similar 1974 figure, the ABA reported.

Women again led the way with an increased enrollment of 22.07 per cent to 26,737. They now comprise 22.85 per cent of approved law school enrollments. Minority enrollment also increased, but only by 4.12 per cent, to 8,676, or three times as high as it was in 1969 when comprehensive national figures were first collected.

The report was prepared by James P. White, professor of law at Indiana University Indianapolis School of Law and dean for academic planning and development for Indiana University - Purdue University at Indianapolis. He is consultant on legal education for the ABA.

While over-all enrollment increased, White said that the pace has slowed significantly, indicating that net growth appears to have ended for student population as well as for the number of institutions offering law degrees.

White said many law schools showed a decrease in the number of applicants who met admissions criteria and several schools also reported more "no shows" in their first choice of admittees.

"These facts seem to indicate that there is a continuation of the slowing of law school admissions," White said in his report, carried in full by the March edition of the American Bar Association Journal.

Law school enrollment has grown steadily during the past 10 years, except for a decrease in 1968, more than doubling from 54,265 to the current 116,991.

White pointed out that the current figure includes enrollments from six law schools provisionally approved by the ABA last year.

"Particularly significant," White said, "is the fact that first-year classes in the 162 approved law schools (excluding the Judge Advocate General's School, which offers a graduate program only) increased by 964 in 1975, an increase of 2.53 per cent. If the 1,146 first-year students enrolled in the newly-approved law schools are excluded, there were 182 fewer students in first-year classes for the fall of 1975.

"Thus, law schools approved in 1974 actually experienced a decrease in their enrollment for the first time since 1968."

In addition, White said, only one school has indicated an intention to apply for provisional ABA approval during the 1975-76 academic year.

"The impact of the substantial increase in law school enrollment that occurred in the fall, 1971, entering class was reflected in the increase in J.D. or LL.B. degrees awarded during 1973," White said. "J.D. or LL.B degrees awarded in 1973 increased from 27,756 to 29,045. In 1974 J.D. or LL.B degrees granted rose from 29,045 to 29,961."

ABA inspection teams checked 42 law schools during the past academic year to (1) assist the schools in attaining full potential; (2) to determine compliance with approval standards; and (3) to report on developments in curriculum, teaching and research, and public service.

Most states require graduation from an ABA-approved law school for taking bar examinations. Approval criteria standards cover such factors as staff, financial resources, library facilities, curriculum and relationship with the university.
ABA ANNOUNCES REGIONAL WINNERS IN LAW SCHOOL CLIENT COUNSELING COMPETITION

Regional winners were announced today in the American Bar Association's annual law school client counseling competition.

The nine regional champions will compete for the national title March 27 at Notre Dame Law School in South Bend, Indiana.

Ninety-two schools entered this year's competition sponsored by the ABA's Law Student Division. The winning regional teams, with their faculty adviser, are:

Region 1: Brooklyn Law School--Linda Sueskind and Linda Lerner; Prof. Richard Allan.
Region 2: University of Maryland School of Law--Susan Meyerberg and J. Brooks Leahy; Prof. Joel Woody.
Region 3: Capital University Law School--Donald Brown and Alan P. Friedman; Prof. Donald B. Pedersen.
Region 5: Nova University Center for the Study of Law--Mona Fandel and Mark Kirk; Prof. Bruce S. Rogow.
Region 6: Texas Tech University School of Law--Richard c. Robins and Michael T. Davis; Prof. Charles P. Bubhany.
Region 7: University of Wisconsin Law School--Maryann Calef and Harry R. Hertel; Prof. Michael W. Wilcox.
Region 8: University of Iowa College of Law--Terry Dian Hoel and Michael Schilling; Prof. Allan 'I. Widiss.
Region 9: California Western School of Law--Laurie Laws and Howard Harris; Prof. George N. Gafford.

The topic of this year's competition is:
"Contract Litigation--Its Alternatives."

In connection with the competition, Gen. Harold Vague, Judge Advocate General of the U.S. Air Force, will receive the Emil T. Brown Fund Preventive Law Program Award.

The award is presented annually by Brown's son, Prof. Louis Brown of the University of Southern California Law School, to the person or organization who has done the most to prevent legal involvements.

Judges for the client counseling finals are:
H. William Allen, Member, ABA Board of Governors; David Brink, member, ABA Board of Governors; Alex Elson, chairman, of the American Bar Foundation; Edward J. Garcia, chairman, Subcommittee on Inter-American Convention on Human Rights, ABA Section of Individual Rights and Responsibilities; Albert Jenner, vice-chairman, ABA Standing Committee on Resolutions; Patrick Murphy, chairman, Committee on Rights of Children in the Family, ABA Section of Individual Rights and Responsibilities; Barnabas Sears, past chairman, ABA Section of Labor Relations Law; Lyman Tondel, Jr., chairman, ABA Commission on Medical Professional Liability; Robert Tucker, vice-chairman, Housing Committee, ABA Section of Individual Rights and Responsibilities, and Texas State Rep. Sarah Weddington.

I.C.L.E.

ANN ARBOR---Trial preparation in civil cases, taxation relating to business partnerships, and "advising the troubled business" are among topics of upcoming workshops sponsored by the Institute of Continuing Legal Education (ICLE).

ICLE is a joint unit of The University of Michigan and Wayne State University law schools and the state bar of Michigan.

"Michigan Civil Procedure Before Trial" is the subject of workshops March 25 in Grand Rapids and Flint, and April 8 in Detroit and Marquette. The course, designed for attorneys, deals with trial preparation for divorce and other civil cases.

A workshop on "Partnership Taxation" will be presented March 26 in Southfield. It will deal with operating a partnership and tax considerations.

"Advising the Troubled Business" is the subject of a workshop April 30 - May 1 in Southfield. The speakers include lawyers, accountants and commercial bankers.

Further information is available from ICLE, 439 Hutchins Hall, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109.
THE WILLIAM W. COOK LECTURES ON AMERICAN INSTITUTIONS

William Wilson Cook, recipient of the A.B. and LL.B degrees from the University of Michigan, and until his death in 1930 a distinguished member of the New York Bar, was exceedingly generous to his Alma Mater. Among his benefactions were the gifts of funds for the William W. Cook Law Quadrangle and for the Martha Cook Building - a residence hall for women. Mr. Cook had many interests outside the practice of his profession, but none was stronger than his concern for the preservation and strengthening of American institutions. This devotion is made clear in his two-volume work entitled American Institutions and Their Preservation. Motivated by the desire that his University should contribute through scholarship and teaching to the dissemination of sound and useful precepts on these subjects, Mr. Cook established the William W. Cook Foundation for lectures on American Institutions, endowed to achieve the ends he had in view.

RICHARD E. NEUSTADT, produced a veritable bible for the incoming Kennedy Administration when he wrote Presidential Power in 1960. This perceptive study of the way chief executives map their strategy and marshal their forces was read by numerous top staff members just before and after Kennedy assumed office. Its influence in the early 60's almost certainly exceeded that of any other text on the art of government. Professor Neustadt himself became a special consultant to the President elect, and remained a consultant to the President until the assassination. Now, some fifteen years after its initial publication, Presidential Power is being reissued. Professor Neustadt's lengthy introduction to this new edition, in which he analyses the presidential craft of Johnson and Nixon, forms the basis of the current Cook Lectures.

Richard Neustadt was born in Philadelphia in 1919. He received an A.B. in 1939 from Berkeley and an M.A. in 1941 and a Ph.D. in 1951 from Harvard. During World War II he served as an economist for the Office of Price Administration, and then as a Naval Reservist. In 1946-50 he was on the staff of the White House. Professor Neustadt subsequently taught public administration at Cornell, and government at Columbia. Since 1965 he has been professor of government and associate dean at Harvard's John Fitzgerald Kennedy School of Government.

In addition, during 1966-71, he was director of the Institute of Politics. He has continued as a consultant to various government agencies, and in 1972 was chairman of the Democratic Platform Committee. Besides Presidential Power, he has written Alliance Politics (1970).

THEATRE

EURIPIDES' TRAGIC "TROJAN WOMEN" IN TRUE-BLOOD

The classic Greek tragedy with a modern theme, Euripides' "Trojan Women," will be staged in the University of Michigan's Trueblood Theatre March 24-27. A University Showcase Production, produced in recognition of International Women's Year, all performances of "Trojan Women" will begin at 8 p.m.

The show, a passionate and poetic expression of the horror and degradation of war, was based by Euripides on the story of the siege of Troy, the pathos of it as modern to us as it was to the Athenians. The "Trojan Women" displays women battered and broken by inconceivable torture—the widowed Hecuba, Andromache with her child thrown from the city's walls to his death, Cassandra ravished and driven mad. It is they who are the unconquered and unconquerable spirits, who mock the victorious Greek men. Scene after scene passes beyond the tolerable limits of tragic art, yet it is the very stark beauty of these scenes which creates the balance Euripides instinctively brought to this great tragedy.

Tickets for the "Trojan Women" are on sale at the Professional Theatre Program Ticket Office, Mendelssohn Theatre Lobby, Monday through Friday, 10 a.m. - 1 p.m. Tickets will also be available at the Trueblood Box-Office in the Frieze Building from 6-8 p.m. on the evenings of performance. Performance will begin at 8 p.m. each night with all tickets at $2 general admission. For more information call (313) 764-0450.
WILLIAM'S "CAMINO REAL" FINAL GUEST ARTIST
PRODUCTION FOR SEASON

Richard D. Meyer, director of the University of Michigan Professional Theatre Program (PTP), announced that the final offering in the PTP's Guest Artist Series will be Tennessee Williams' expressionistic fantasy "Camino Real." Dates for performances will be April 7-11 in the Power Center for the Performing Arts.

"Camino Real" is a fantasy in which Williams makes one of his most explicit and lyrical statements in behalf of the credo of the romantic in a world overrun with evil and corruption. The play begins with Don Quixote, the great representative of the romantic in a world overrun with evil and corruption. The play begins with Don Quixote, the great representative of the unabashed romantic idealist, searching for a companion to take the place of his squire, Sancho Panza. Sancho has had enough of Quixote's idealism in the face of the cruel facts of life, and has deserted the old knight. Weary, Don Quixote lies down to sleep for a while, and tells the audience that he will dream a pageant of humanity, and from his dream he will select one of the characters to take with him. The old knight falls asleep and his pageant begins.

The pageant that Quixote sees in his dream frames the action of his play. It unfolds in 16 "blocks," a procession of literary figures, riff-raff, soiled romantics and romantic soldiers in a "bazaar where the human heart is a part of the bargain." The audience meets the penniless Casanova, the great lover; Marguerite Gautier (Camille), the regal courtesan who can no longer afford to reject rich old men for poor handsome young lovers; Prudence Duvernoy, Camille's companion and Lord Byron, a poet and a romantic idealist like Quixote, whose vacation is to influence the heart of men and to bring order out of the chaos of the universe.

The action takes place between the fashionable Siste Mares Hotel and Skid Row with an archway leading into the Terra Incognita in the background.

The PTP Guest Artist Series production of "Camino Real" will be presented on April 7-11, Wednesday through Saturday at 8 p.m. and on Sunday at 3 p.m. Tickets are available through the PTP Ticket Office, located in the Michigan League Building, Monday through Friday, 10 a.m. - 1 p.m. and 2 - 5 p.m. Tickets are also available through all Hudson's and Central Travel and Ticket (Toledo). For more information, call (313) 764-0450.

LSSS

LAW SCHOOL STUDENT SENATE MINUTES
March 18, 1976

The meeting was called to order by President Pam Hyde at 6:30 p.m. Members present were Maryl Norris, Otila Saenz, Carol Sulkes, Jon Karp, Phyllis Rozof, George Vinyard, Bertie Butts, Jon Forman, Jeanette Ramseur, Valorie Anderson, Paul Ruschmann, and Sharon Williams. The minutes of the last meeting were approved.

Feminist Legal Services

Diane Fowler requested that the Senate appropriate $120 for Feminist Legal Services to use in presenting a workshop on family legal problems to be held from 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. on March 27, 1976. The LSSS approved allocation of the requested amount.

Phi Alpha Delta

Rich Elmquist requested Senate approval of payment by PAD of an honorarium to Judge Higgimbotham, who will speak at PAD's spring banquet. The Senate authorized this payment.

Students for Carter

Andy Jacobs and Dave Ettinger asked that the Senate accord recognition to a newly formed group, Students for Carter. This would enable the organization to use Law School facilities at lower rates. Senate members expressed opposition to recognition on the ground that this would amount to endorsement of a political candidate. Andy Jacobs and Dave Ettinger met this objection with a suggestion that the Senate recognize any and all groups formed to support other political candidates. Jon Forman stated that he thought the Senate should recognize the group but that if the group wanted to use Law School facilities for fund-raising events, it should pay the same rates as any other outside organization. He offered a motion to this effect, which was defeated. No further motion to recognize was offered.

Curriculum Committee

Liz Hilder and Terry Carlson, student members of the faculty curriculum committee, described matters under consideration by that committee. One issue being discussed is the question of externships. At present,
three to five students per semester earn credit by working at the Center for Law and Society Policy in Washington, D.C. The faculty is considering whether this program should be expanded to other facilities or discontinued.

Another idea being studied by the committee is a proposal by Prof. Pepe for a Clinical Law II program which would take about 30 students per semester. A student involved in this program would earn only 3 credit hours (as opposed to the 7-hour commitment involved in Clinical Law I). Clinical Law II would be organized around substantive areas and would be coordinated with present Law School classes. For example, if the student concentrated on criminal cases in the clinic, he or she would simultaneously sign up for a course in criminal procedure. Family law, welfare and tax are some of the areas which have been proposed for this program. If the faculty decides to undertake this project, it may be possible to obtain a $26,000 grant for two years if the Law School provides matching funds.

The committee is also considering a suggestion that the seminar requirement be dropped.

First-year Curriculum

Liz Hilder and Terry Carlson explained the curriculum which the faculty has decided to give first-year students beginning this fall. In the first semester, the student will take Torts and Criminal Law for 4 hours each and two of the "Big Three" for 3 hours each, for a grand total of 14 regular credit hours. The "Big Three" are property, contracts and civil procedure. During second semester, the student will pick up whichever of the "Big Three" was omitted in first semester for 5 credit hours, taking the other two of the "Big Three" for 3 credit hours each, a grand total of eleven hours, leaving time for the student to take an elective. Case Club will still be required (2 credits).

A Legal Services course has been proposed, which would be open to first-year students only, to deal with legal control, economic control, ethics, legal psychology, sociology, public interest, etc. It would be expected that most first-year students would elect this course, although they would be permitted to select from a list of other courses also.

Speakers Committee

Ross Eisenbrey announced that Senate funds will not be needed after all for a campus forum on atomic energy safeguards. However, a Washington lawyer who recently resigned from the Environmental Protection Agency will be here to speak on April 16, 1967.

Treasurer's Report

Bertie Butts reported that he had distributed forms to student organizations to be used in requesting allocations for next year. Bertie Butts requested that La Raza offer an explanation of an $83 bill for a tape recorder. Otila Saenz said that La Raza had wanted to tape workshops at La Raza's national workshop and that the cost of renting a tape recorder was economically unjustified when compared with the cost of purchasing one. The Senate authorized Bertie to pay the bill but stipulated that the tape recorder is for the use of all student organizations.

The Senate approved a motion to allocate $180 for summer orientation.

S-1 Bill

Jon Forman read a resolution condemning the S-1 bill and moved that the Senate adopt it. Lefty Ruschmann moved to amend the motion to provide that the resolution either be brought before the Senate section by section to be debated or that it be tabled. Confusion ensued, the final result being that the motion was tabled. George Vinyard agreed to work with Jon Forman in rewording the resolution.

Rate Committee

George Vinyard reported that the Senate rate committee and the University housing authority had agreed to recommend to the Board of Governors an 8% increase in room and board at the Lawyers Club for next school year.

President's Report

Pam Hyde reported that Michigan did not succeed in bidding on next year's Women and the Law Conference. The conference will be held at Wisconsin.

Administrative Committee

Ken Cobb, student representative on the faculty administrative committee, informed the LSSS that this committee had recommended that sanctions be imposed on faculty members turning in final grades late. The committee has proposed adoption by the faculty of the following rule:
1. All final grades for all courses and seminars shall be delivered to the Registrar not later than one month after the last day of examinations during that term.
   a. This rule applies to all terms; Fall, Winter and all Summer sessions;
   b. For the purpose of this rule, "final grades" means the grade of record for the course or seminar;
   c. This rule applies to first-year "midterms" except that those grades may be mailed by postcard rather than delivered to the Registrar;
   d. If a course or seminar is shortened, then final grades shall be delivered within one month of the examination in that course or within one month of the completion of the work of the seminar.

2. Failure to comply with this rule shall result in the application of the following sanctions:
   a. On the day following the due date for final grades, the Assistant Dean shall cause to be published to the Faculty and Student body the names of all faculty members who have failed to meet the deadline. This failure shall be given maximum publicity.
   b. Any faculty member who has failed to deliver final grades to the Registrar by the 10th day following the due date shall be charged $200.00.

3. The Registrar is authorized to send grades to the computer facility one month and 10 days after the last day of examinations given during the term. A grade of "incomplete" shall be entered for any grade which has not been delivered. It is the responsibility of the faculty member to notify all students of grades delivered after the grades have been sent to the computer facility.

The faculty will vote on whether or not to impose this rule on itself at its meeting of Friday, March 26, 1976.

PIRGIM

Bertie Butts moved that the Senate reconsider its resolution supporting the negative checkoff system for funding PIRGIM. The

motion to reconsider passed by a vote of 9-2. Bertie then moved that the Senate advocate that PIRGIM be funded through a positive checkoff system. Pam Hyde suggested that the Senate table this issue until the next meeting so that interested students could be informed and given the opportunity to speak on the question. Jon Karp accordingly moved to table the motion. The Senate vote resulted in a tie, which was broken by the president in favor of postponing consideration of PIRGIM until next week. Jon Karp moved that the Senate withdraw its prior statement now, leaving nothing until there is student input. This motion died for lack of a second.

Resignation

George Vinyard announced that he was resigning from his Board of Governors seat effective April 1, 1976. The Senate accepted his resignation.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:45 p.m.

Phyllis Rozof

Treasurer's Statement 02-29-76

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REVENUES</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pinball</td>
<td>$ 4110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vending</td>
<td>1170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movies</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixers</td>
<td>890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std-Fac Dir</td>
<td>940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std Fees</td>
<td>12900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGC Fee Refund</td>
<td>620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res Gestae</td>
<td>4700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dean's Travel App</td>
<td>840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 27050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPENDITURES</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LSSS Committees</td>
<td>$ 740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Film</td>
<td>3900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speakers</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senate Operating</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senate Cont</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std-Fac Eval</td>
<td>$ 60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONT. P17 "LSSS"
Organizations
Ad Hoc Players *
ELSA 1020
Env Law Society 590
Feminist Legal 100
Int Law Society 420
La Raza 620
Law Spouses 290
Lawyers Guild 580
Legal Aid 680
Mental Health 30
PAD 140
PILS 10
Res Gestae 2980
Section V *
WLS 600
Misc
Std-Fac Dir $ 890
Vending 1820
Prior Year 20
Unknown 180
Lockers 3970
$ 6880
$ 22210
Revenues over
Expenditures 02-29-76 27050
22210
$ 4840
Cash Surplus start of year $ 1660
Estimate of funds available from contingency fund and group underspending or added revenues 4000
Monies available for appropriation $ 5660*

* The Senate appropriated $1500 to the W.L.S. as seed money for the National conference. This money is included in the funds available total.

B. Butts
LSSS Treasurer 03-22-76

ELECTIONS

CANDIDATES FOR LAW SCHOOL STUDENT

SENATE OFFICES FOR 1976 - 1977

President: George Vinyard
Jim Schnare

Vice-President:
Sandra Gross
Bill Bay
Earl Cantwell
Jon Forman
Eric Martin
Nancy Keppelman

Secretary: Janet Anderson

Treasurer: Bob Scavone
Mary Ruth Harsha

Board of Governors (1977 class):
Gayle Horetski
John Hugger
Joe Freedman
Deborah Friedman

Board of Governors (1978 class):
Guillermo "Bill" Odio
Jeanette Ramseur
Donn Randall
Bob Gillett

Members-at-large (1977 class):
Jim Schnare
Earl Cantwell
Joe Freedman
George Vinyard
Sandra Gross
John Hugger
Deborah Friedman
John Adams

Members-at-large (1978 class):
Gwen Mosley
Douglas Zingale
Donn Randall
Jon Forman
Ellen Dannin
Eric Martin
Deb Armbruster
Guillermo "Bill" Odio
Jeanette Ramseur
Janet Anderson
Steve Belton
Bill Bay
Nancy Keppelman
GEORGE VINYARD

VINYARD (George) — LSSS PRESIDENT

Undergrad background/experience: BA in English—Illinois Wesleyan Univ.; student body treasurer and president administering $70,000 annual budget & presiding over 60-member senate; voting student member of undergrad faculty curriculum council.

Other Pre-law school background/experience: 2½ years as administrative assistant to the president & academic dean at IWU; 72 McGovern campaign in McLean County, Ill.

Law School background/experience: one year on LSSS & Board of Governors; worked on first Alternative Practices Conference; 75-76 Orientation Leader; helped draft new LSSS Constitution; Campbell Competition; 1976 Lawyers Club Rate committee; been through the interview mill; PAD member; mildly addicted to pinball & beer.

If elected I will do my level best to improve LSSS Advocacy of student interests, improve LSSS services to students, and enhance communication among the various Law School components.

JIM SCHNARE

Due to rather severe space limitations, I have been forced to delete most of those features which are usually found in good political messages. However, those ambitious souls among you may seek out your favorite topics from the regular features appearing in the R.G. - c.f. G.B.A. and M.M.M. (satire and general character assassination). L. Halperin (philosophy and pop culture), G. Hill (ethics and public morality). As for current issues, I suggest you check the letters column for the brouhaha of the week.

Although I was the first person to declare for president, I seem to have been cast as the "alternative" candidate, due to George Vinyard's long involvement in law school politics. It is difficult to assail an organization with amorphous policies like the LSSS; it is even harder to run against a person who has established himself as a "reasonable man" among senators. To allow George to run unopposed, however, I would have to believe that all is perfect with the present system, and that disidents should be left to a choice between write-in votes for Paulsen or Hearst.

For the time being, I'll have to be content to challenge Pat and Patty for the "spoiler" vote. Hopefully, as I get a chance to put my thoughts before you in a more complete form, you will realize that a vote for me is more than a negative reaction to the status quo.

Hard work and political experience are doubtless very useful to public officials, but in student government they are a poor substitute for ingenuity, awareness and, above all, a sense of humor.

Jim Schnare

BOB SCAVONE

I am a candidate for the Treasurer’s Office of LSSS. Quite simply, I seek the position because I held the same office in college, and I found the job to be both challenging and fun. While our budget is small in comparison to many student governments, it is large relative to the number of students represented. It has the potential, it used imaginatively, to sponsor a wide variety of programs with a broad appeal. The basic areas to which funds are applied are (1) the various student groups and (2) social and cultural events. I would like to stress an improvement in quality, and not necessarily an increase in quantity, of activities, especially of the latter type. There are many more choices than the standard fare of mixers and movies. It would simply be a matter of discovering what kinds of events any significant number of us would be interested in sponsoring.

Another goal I would like to pursue would be to run "in the black", (not an entirely unlikely possibility), and to return a pro-rata share of the balance to each student.

Bob Scavone
EARL CANTWELL - Candidate for Vice-Pres, LSSS

The following are some of my positions which I feel should be presented to the electorate before next week's elections:

1. The two offices presently held by Deans Rivera and Borgsdorf should NOT be consolidated although additional duties and programs, especially as to Dean Borgsdorf's position, should be assigned.

2. Serious consideration should be given as to extending law school credit, under proper norms and guidelines, for work in extra-curricular activities or, alternatively, allow such in lieu of seminars and other requirements.

3. I support responsive affirmative action by the law school. In some areas there has been response, which is good; in some there has been reluctant movement, which is bad; in some there has been no progress at all, which is worse.

4. Thorough study as to the cost-effectiveness and overall operation of the copy center should be made to find out (a) what is being done with student funds and (b) whether using central university economies of scale or other alternatives will improve service/reduce cost.

5. I will post the reasons for my votes weekly on the Student Senate Bulletin Board and/or other prominent places. I would hope to be an active Vice-President, giving the position as much attention as I am capable of.

6. I would also appreciate support as to an at-large berth in the Senate.

7. Issues do not decide campaigns; you will elect people you wish to be your representatives in dealing with those issues, I believe I am fully capable of doing so.

In case you don't know me already from the library desk, where I informed you that the library is fresh out of your favorite horn-book, let me introduce myself. My name is Sandra Gross and I am a candidate for the vice-presidency of the LSSS. I have lived in the Lawyer's Club for 2 years, which shows that I have sufficient strength of character, and a high enough threshold for pain, to qualify me for any LSSS meeting - no matter how gruesomely long or boring.

The last elective office I held was in the 3rd grade when I was elected to the post of blackboard monitor by the overwhelming majority vote of 13 to 12. This responsible position required me to keep all of the erasers clean and the boards washed. I was also a Safety-Girl in the 6th grade and still have the belt to prove it.

So you see, my credentials for office are of the highest caliber.

But seriously folks, I have met and talked with a great many law students within the past 2 years, and I believe that I understand and share your concerns about our law school "environment". I will make the LSSS into a more viable instrument for changing the status quo, as opposed to a mere conduit for student funds and a sponsor of mixers. I shall be open to any suggestions from every individual and/or group striving to make the law school experience a more humane and enjoyable one for all of us.

(P.S. Vote for me or I'll break your fingers)
NANCY KEPPelman

LSSS has begun the task of communicating the needs and interests of students to the administration and faculty. This seems to be the most important function of the Senate—to make the Law School more responsive to the majority of its members. Though it would be refreshing to see the Law School produce an education more allied with the aims served by the Alternative Practices Conference and the WLSA Alumnae Conference, it would be highly unrealistic to promise to accomplish this in one year. I can only promise to continue the struggle, to listen to your interests and to communicate them through the medium of the Senate. I hope you will support these goals by voting for me for Senate, Vice-President or member-at-large.

Nancy Keppelman ('78)

BILL BAY

I am currently a first year student in section 2 and am running for the office of vice-president. I feel that I am qualified and able to carry out the duties of the office under the new constitution. I have no particular axes to grind in Senate, but would just like to get in and do something and try to be a constuctive force for action there. I would appreciate your vote for Bill Bay for vice-president.

Bill Bay

ERIC MARTIN

Eric L. Martin, candidate for LSSS vice-president. As a candidate for vice-president, my platform is one of more effective allocation of resources. Presently, the limited financial resources of the Senate and the energy of law school students are suffering from overlap, lack of coordination, and lack of centralization.

As vice-president, I would seek to have the Student Senate play a centralizing role by making itself a forum for unification. A committee should be established including selected Senators and Representatives from the various student organizations. A calendar of activities should be created and maintained. The committee as an arm of LSSS would serve as a forum for communication as well as a unique pool of human resources. Much overlap would be avoided and centralization enhanced. As vice-president, I can secure the implementation of a needed addition to the role of LSSS.

JON FORMAN

Jon Forman for Vice-President

While the faculty and administration exercise almost complete control over law students and their education, as a student senator this year, I found that some things could be done to make the Law School Experience more tolerable. I have consistently urged that funds be used for school-wide activities and have myself worked hard to put on the Alternative Practices Conference. I have also introduced legislation to increase student option, such as one bill to allow law students to take more classes in other departments of the U. I also proposed a bill to allow students who missed exams for good reasons to make them up quickly rather than waiting on the professor's discretion.

As vice-president I would continue to serve the interests of all law students by increasing options and activities, though making sure that resources are spread around. In this way the Law school could become a more satisfactory place to suffer through.
Hello. I'm Deborah Friedman and I'm running for the Board of Governors. As a member I feel that I will have an initial responsibility to those of you who will live in the Lawyer's Club. Having spent my first year in the Quad, I know what types of particular problems and concerns are likely to arise there, but to increase my effectiveness as your representative, I plan to hold open meetings for Lawyers Club residents to solicit your ideas. I feel that the Board of Governors should take a more active role that it presently does in serving as a vehicle of communication and complaints from the residents to the administrative staff.

As a voting member of the LSSS, I think it is important for us to capitalize on the gains in respectability which this year's Senate has achieved among the students, faculty, and administration alike. The Senate should continue its funding and support of the innovative programs begun in the last two years: The Alternative Practices Conference, The Alumnae Conference, The Orientation Program. In addition, I will work to increase the effectiveness of the Senate as a voice of student opinions and concerns. The Senate is in a position to speak directly to the faculty and administration as a representative of the student body as a whole. We all have ideas about what can be done to make the law school more humane and responsive to students needs. I favor expansion of the clinical programs, extension of credit to those who work in Legal Aid, the long overdue establishment of Sex Based Discrimination as a full-fledged course at this law school, continued pressure on the administration for the hiring of women and minority personnel and a more humane and reasonable policy for those students who are forced by illness or other emergency to miss exams, and encourage all other suggestions. While the Senate has no actual power to bring about many of these changes, it is an excellent instrument for presenting them in a forceful way. I will be willing to put in time listening and talking and writing the letters necessary to make this a reality.

In an effort to preserve my sanity during my first year of law school I decided to become involved in some "extracurricular activities". While searching for a direction for my energies I attended some Law School Student Senate meetings. I apologize to the competent Senators in advance of my next remark, but the Senate struck me as a comedy of petty prejudices acted out by buffoons. Needless to say, I decided to channel my energies elsewhere.

I had occasion to attend three Senate meetings recently, however, and I was impressed by the quality of the proceedings under Pam Hyde's direction. Pam had some talented people to work with, but I believe that it was largely her enthusiasm and leadership which transformed the Senate into the responsible body I observed in February. Perhaps most important is the fact that the Senate's credibility has risen considerably in the eyes of the faculty and administration--an essential prerequisite if the Senate is ever to effectively influence the law school's future.

If this school ever becomes a more humane and healthy place in which to spend three years of adult life it will be the result of student impetus and insistence. I would like to be a member of the Senate next year, in the Board of Governors position. I strongly feel that the gains which Pam and the present Senate made this year must be preserved, and that further progress must be made next year. I am now willing to commit my time and energy to that end.

My activity within the law school thus far has been principally in the areas of women's concerns. I believe that I can hear and rationally consider the perspectives and genuine concerns of all factions of the law school community. If I didn't believe that I would not have submitted my name for a Senate position.
JEANETTE RAMSEUR, BOARD OF GOVERNORS

I am running for the Board of Governors of the Law School. This position is for two years. The function of the Board of Governors is to decide the policy for the Law School and the Lawyers Club and to make sure that the Lawyers Club is operating within the rules and regulations set by the B. of G.

As a representative on the Board, I will be able to voice concerns and complaints of the law students. I will be stating concerns of all law students and will be lobbying for their views. I feel I am an effective advocate whereby I will not let the interest of the students be shortchanged.

Presently as a Senator I have contributed much to the Senate. I am a student member of the Personnel Comm. of the LSSS. In this committee the student members interview and assess prospective candidates for positions on the law faculty to insure that they not only possess the academic qualifications but they are also sensitive to the needs of the law students. Also in Senate, I have worked diligently in re-working the constitution which has taken the majority of Senate's time.

If elected to the Board of Governors I will be a Member At Large on the Senate. I hope you feel I am qualified, diligent, and sensitive to the student's needs and will elect me to the Board of Governors. Thank You.

GUILLERMO A. ODIO (pronounced: Bill) for Board of Governors and LSSS Representative

I would greatly enjoy being your representative at the Board of Governors and at the Student Senate. I've been very actively involved with students and school administrators for the past six years: as Program Director at an International Dorm and as Political Science teacher in California. I would be happy to talk to you personally about my experience and about my objectives for next year. If you have any questions, please call me at: 668-6685. Thank you for your vote!
This year the law club has witnessed the proliferation of locked doors and identification cards. I am running for the Board of Governors in an attempt to reverse this trend. Although one critic may have been overstating the case when (s)he said, "This is the beginning of tyranny and anomie," I feel that these measures, while perhaps designed in good faith for some marginally legitimate administrative or security purpose, tend to detract from the freedom and informality of the law quad living situation.

The Board of Governors technically controls the policy of the Lawyers Club. If elected I will try to have it assert some weight in favor of the students who live and study here.

Sincerely,

Joe Freedman, '77

My name is John Hugger (that's HUGH-grrrr...), and I'm running for a seat on the Lawyers Club Board of Governors. The function of that position is to insure that the Lawyers Club functions smoothly and efficiently. The club should offer the law school community adequate facilities and a pleasant, foulup-free environment that can be enjoyed by all students, married or single, whether they live here or not.

My philosophy regarding the job is a simple one: at a minimum, people want the board to set management policy of the club so that its day-to-day problems do not intrude on their already over-hassled lives. But clearly, a truly effective board cannot be content fulfilling the minimum. It must continually strive to improve the quality of law school life by making it more enjoyable.

It is my sneaking suspicion that law school could be socially more fun and provide activities with a broad spectrum of appeal without affecting the level of academic excellence that brought us here. I ask that all of you who desire an improvement in the quality of law school life and share my philosophy regarding the Lawyers Club and its board to cast your vote next Wednesday for me, John Hugger.
Deb Armbruster

Deb Armbruster is a 1st year student from Midland, MI who attended UofM undergrad. This unique background has caused her to seek an at-large seat on the LSSS. She comes with outstanding credentials, having entered politics early in life in 6th grade as treasurer of the Sugnet School Student Council. Her interest in public life and selfless devotion to worthy causes were exemplified this year in her management of the Alumnae Conf. Cocktail Party.

If elected, Ms. Armbruster has pledged to attend meeting regularly and keep informed about the basic issues which face students here—particularly the problems of the great silent majority, the non-Law Quad residents who want to use the facilities of the Lawyer's Club but have recently been "locked out" of the system. Let's give her a mandate.

Citizens for Armbruster Senator-at-large

DOUGLAS ZINGALE

Organizations like the student senate are most effective when they focus their energy on defined, attainable goals and so are their members. I will tell you those areas which I would work to improve.

The social activities here should be somewhat enlarged in number and more diversified. Mixers are fine but I think we'd all benefit from other events as well, perhaps organization of reduced rate group ticket purchases for off and on campus events, more functions outside in good weather, and additional gatherings in the law club.

A better organized sports program— it's easy to be in the position of wanting to participate and being without a team at the present time.

Expanded support for the Alternative Practices Conference and the speakers committee. These things enrich our experience here, provide better information for decision making and could be used to increase public awareness of the law school.

An expanded clinical program through consideration of a less credit/more students plan, or additional funding arrangements, perhaps from law firms or government agencies. It can be an important aid to decisions on career direction.

As to my background, I graduated from M.I.T. in June, 1973 and worked in a large oceanographic research institution, an advertising agency, and a management consulting firm before coming here last September. I came to law school because I couldn't keep a steady job.

ELLEN DANNIN

For the shortest campaign statement on record: I promise to work toward LSSS quorums by attending all meetings, unless I bring a note from my mother.

Ellen Jean Dannin
Running for membership at large
WRITING AND ADVOCACY PROGRAM:
APPLICATION TO BECOME A SENIOR JUDGE

On the back of this page is an application for a position as Senior Judge for the 1976-77 Writing and Advocacy Program. The success of the program depends in large measure on the quality of the Senior Judges who staff it. Any student who will be a senior during the 1976-77 academic year is eligible to apply. Those who are ultimately selected will have to commit themselves to active year-long involvement in the program, but filling out an application form should only be considered a means of expressing interest. Applicants must schedule an interview with Asst. Dean Borgsdorf when they turn in their applications.

I. The Program: The program will carry two hours of pass-fail credit for the first-year students. At present both credits are allocated in the Winter Term, but the program covers the full academic year. The personnel involved will be (1) the assistant dean, who has general supervisory authority over the program; (2) 24 Senior Judges, who will be the chief teaching personnel; (3) 24 Junior Clerks, who will assist the Senior Judges; (4) faculty advisors, who may, if they wish, take an active part in the operations of their club in cooperation with the Senior Judge and Junior Clerk. Each case club will contain about 16 first-year students.

The program is expected to include library exercises; practice in writing memos, briefs, and other legal documents; and practice in oral advocacy. Senior Judges will be compensated for their instructional activities and will receive two hours credit per term on a pass-fail basis for participation in a Writing and Advocacy Seminar described below.

II. Functions and Duties of Senior Judges: The Senior Judges will concurrently enroll in a Writing and Advocacy Seminar. The seminar will meet approximately once a week and will be conducted by the assistant dean. The seminar will concentrate on problems of teaching Writing and Advocacy. The seminar will also serve organizational purposes.

Judges will be expected to meet with their students on a regular basis. Hours will be available in first-year schedules for meetings and other club activities. Club meetings should involve instruction in library use, legal research, legal writing, and oral advocacy; dissemination of problems; discussion of current writing assignments; and related matters.

Problems and topics for writing assignments will be written by the Judges (with cooperation of case club advisors if it is offered). A general plan of assignments each term will be subject to approval by the assistant dean in order to equalize the workload from club to club. The assistant dean will have a general supervisory duty with respect to the appropriateness of the assignments and the adequacy of Judges' performances but Judges will have considerable autonomy in structuring their case club programs. It is not anticipated that any major changes will be made as a result of the changeover in assistant deans which will take place this July.
APPLICATION FOR POSITION OF SENIOR JUDGE FOR 1976-77*

NAME ________________________________

ADDRESS ________________________________

PHONE ________________________________

Extracurricular Activities ________________________________

Writing Experience (e.g., research assistant, summer clerkship, etc.) ________________________________

*Mention any matters not listed above that are relevant to your qualifications for the job.

*Note:

Applications are due by MONDAY, April 5, 1976. Applications should be left with Lisa Bullock in 318 Hutchins Hall. Schedule a personal interview at the time you turn in your application.
Dear Friends,

I do hope that you all will read my letter to the editor about PIRGIM and The LSSS Seven. It's actually quite boring and tiresome.

Dear Enemies, [ah, a greater response!]

I do hope that you all will read my letter to the editor about PIRGIM and The LSSS Seven. It's very interesting and will do you a whale of a lot of good to read it.

Not much has been happening on the home front recently. The students have settled into their losing ways and are being clobbered at every opportunity. [into submission]

On the NHL scene [that means "on the national level of cheating, corruption, and other areas of general incompetence], we would like to comment on the sworn depositions of one ex-Pres Richie (don't call me Dick) Nixon and Hammerin' Hank Kissinger (they call him "Doctor K" at basketball games) in a court cases regarding the already pardoned crimes of illegal wiretapping and violation of civil rights. It was kind of fun to watch. Richie swore up and down on a stack of bibles that Hammerin' Hank did it. Hammerin' Hank swore up and down on a stack of half-bibles (only the 1st half ... I guess that's the top half so it's OK Dean StA.) that Richie did it. The best part was when Hammerin' Hank said (claimed) that the depositions weren't contradictory, but that they agreed for the most part on the crucial issues ... generally ... in most respects, and in a manner of speaking ... on most of the issues ... sometimes ... kind of.

Boy, I tell you, those White House denials are devastating. But what can you expect from an administration that was picked and appointed by a pardoned criminal.

Thought for the day (if it's a boring day): All The Presidents Men who were of the Haldeman, Erlichman, etc. ilk had come from the advertising agency of J. Walter Thompson. That agency is the same group of people who gave us "Mustang II, Boredom 0."

The Patty Hearst trial is over and the real loser in that case is the American system of "justice." Of course, it had to come out the loser no matter what the jury arrived at. If Patty (notice how I urbanely refer to her by her first name) has been acquitted, it would have proved to everyone that you can buy an acquittal even without buying the judge or the jury. That would be a pretty bad condemnation of the system if it was proved that you can buy an acquittal even working within the system.

But since Patty lost (we'll see how much she really lost when it comes time for sentencing and bail pending appeal) it just proves that the system has got its head up its ..., well anyway there's really no chance in hell that Patty was proven beyond all reasonable doubt to be guilty. There's just no way that it was proven.

Well, I guess that's just the result of a rotten system that gives monetary rewards to people who try to defeat the principles of meting out fair but quick punishment to criminals (that's us, the
lawyers, whose job it is to abort any semblance of "justice"). Well, with those carefully chosen parting words, I guess that apathy deserves to be President (and who cares about LSSS cross-sectional voting ANYWAY?) and we should get down (I'll say!)... get down to the really important things in life. Like tomorrow's basketball game against Rutgers. Jimmy the Greek is giving points to Rutgers! (that means Michigan is favored.) It looks good, but it looks bad. So let's DO IT TO IT and GO BLUE!

Life is a bowl of hockey pucks

G. Burgess Allison

---The Pearl

I ran into Vinny in the mens' room of the PM. We both knew what we were there for and it wasn't for the reason you think. It was simply the mandatory pilgrimage to admire the legendary "for stall," decorated with artistry and other "free expression" which would have to be classified as obscene. Even Helen Keller would know it when she saw it.

Afterwards, while discussing which sample we had liked the most, I mentioned that it was probably impossible to decide, being a matter of taste. Nevertheless Vinny held out for a certain drawing just above the door latch.

"You know Pearl," says Vinny, "You'd be a great convert for Larry Wright's campaign to install "No Preference" as the leading candidate across the country in this election year."

"Gee whiz," I exclaimed, "How'd you find out my vote, I thought it was a secret."

"It was obvious," he replied, "Pat Paulsen wasn't running this year."

But the more we thought about it, the more we thought about it, the more it made sense. No speeches, no handbills (on fashionable recycled paper): How could No Preference kiss a baby? Imagine the press trying to interview No Preference-no copy, couldn't even find the candidate. No matter, probably the only candidate whose views on anything are definite anyway. No Preference could win every televised debate, or any other debate for that matter. Old maxim-give someone enough...

"You know," said Vinny, "All the women's groups and minority voters would have to support ol' N.P. as the only non-sexist, racially neutral candidate running. The beauty of NP is in the eyes of the beholder. No Preference could bring harmony and peace to our nation, heal our wounds. No Preference is the candidate to bring us all together."

"No Preference would certainly conduct an evenhanded foreign policy," I said, "The Chinese couldn't say we were "Commie Lovers" as to the Soviets and the Soviets couldn't
Crusader Rabbit's HINTS FOR SUMMER JOB

HUNTING

Because many law students are presently around desperately searching for summer jobs, as a public service, Crusader Rabbit offers the following tips on how to get a summer job. Crusader Rabbit also offers the following disclaimer of warranty -- don't blame me if they don't work.

**Intimidation:** Choose your desired employer and send an application. In a menacing tone of voice, say, "Da boss don' like how yez been treatin' some of da applicants fer summer jobs. Dere's a particular applicant dat he's fot in mind who better be treated right -- if yez wanna stay healthy, that is." Then hang up. You personally may not get a job, but you have certainly improved your and everybody else's chances.

**Influence:** Choose your desired employer and send an application. Visit their office and learn the name of an important client of theirs. Visit the client and remove a sheet of official company stationery from an absent secretary's desk. Write a letter to your desired employer stating that unless a summer employee matching your exact description and qualifications is hired, the company's business will be transferred to other offices. Scribble a signature and add a note that all future correspondence regarding this matter should be address to a friend of yours.

**Self-help:** Choose your desired employer and send an application. Visit their office and remove a sheet of stationery from an absent secretary's desk. Write a letter of acceptance to yourself, asking that you report for orientation within a week. Send a letter acknowledging their acceptance, and then show up at their office within the week armed with a copy of your letter of acceptance. At this point, nobody will know what's going on, and they'll hire you.

**Use the classified ads-I:** Find a summer job in the classified ads which calls for applicants to appear at a given office on a given day for an interview. Place a classified ad in the same newspaper asking for applicants for an interview on that same day for the exact same job at twice the salary. The place for the interviews should be a non-existent building on the other side of town. When you show up for the real interview, you'll be the only person to apply and you'll get the job. This is known as clearing out the competition.

**Use the classified ads-II:** Choose your desired employer and write a classified ad for them, seeking an applicant who matches your exact description and qualifications. Run the ad in the newspaper and show up at the employer armed with the ad and asking to apply for the job. The employer will be so embarrassed that he doesn't know what's going on that he'll hire you.

**Compromise a claim:** Knock on the door of your desired employer and wait for the secretary to ask you to enter. This makes you the invitee and the employer an invitor. Take three steps towards the secretary's desk, and fake an epileptic fit. Around your neck you have worn a MedicAlert tag saying that you are an epileptic, and that if you have a seizure, the card in your wallet should be consulted. In your wallet, you have a card saying that should be given the white pills in your other pocket if you have a seizure. In your other pocket, you have a container of white pills (aspirins with the "Bayer" shaved off) with directions regarding how the pills should be given.

By the time the invitor figures all of this out, your mild seizure has grown worse and you have suffered a concussion due to all of your thrashing about on the floor. When you recover, allege that the invitor's negligence in rendering aid to you, the helpless invitee, has aggravated your injuries. Threaten to sue. Compromise your claim in return for a summer job.

**Sue:** Find an employer who has had trouble with affirmative action. Sue them for discrimination against left-handed, third-generation Calvinist Polish-American transvestites with blue eyes and fallen arches (or whatever ethnic, sexual, physical, mental or religious category you fit into). Contact the ACLU, the American Friends Service Committee, and other bleeding-heart liberal groups for support. Settle out of court for a summer job.

**Plagiarize a writing sample:** Many employers require a writing sample, but even if you write worse than the contributors to the Res Gestae, this need not discourage you. A copy of the latest Harvard Law Review
RABBIT cont fr. p.29

plus a thesaurus can turn you into an instant Oliver Wendell Holmes. For every noun, verb, adjective, and adverb in the law review article, substitute a fancier one from the thesaurus. (Be certain you know what a noun, verb, adjective or adverb is before you try this).

Letter of recommendation: Many employers also require letters of recommendation, but even if the only professors you know are those you've knocked down in the halls, this need not discourage you either. Find a law review member with the same number of letters in his/her first last names as yours. Borrow their letters of recommendation from the file in the Placement Office. Copy them and return the originals. Using correcting fluid, white out their names on the copies of the letters. Using the same kind of typewriter that typed the letters, type your name into the areas that you whitened out. Then copy the copies so your alterations are not apparent. Don't apply to any of the places that your law review member would apply to.

CAN KUNSTLER SELL CHRYSLERS?

Would you buy a new car from attorney William Kunstler? At least one advertising agency things you might, and asked him to promote Chrysler's new 1976 warranty program.

The sample script had Kunstler saying: "Look, when it comes to cars, I'm no expert. But I'm a lawyer, so when it comes to warranties, I know what to look for, for example, ... when they say 'the first year's on us,' that's what I call a warranty."

In a letter of reply, Kunstler wrote that he was "profoundly amazed that left-wing people have apparently attained such a high degree of credibility with major American corporations as to merit this solicitation. Until receipt of your letter, I would never have thought it possible that our names could sell motor cars and what-nots to the general public. The vista this opens is staggering — 'H. Rap Brown smokes Kools,' 'Angela Davis uses Dristan,' or 'Daniel Berrigan wears Supp-hose.'"

"Therefore, I am willing to do the radio commercial you enclosed with your letter — provided that I can add a last line for the announcer which would read as follows: 'Now if we would just transfer the ownership of our corporations to the assembly line workers whose energies and efforts build our product, that would be the real clincher — don't you agree?"

PEAL cont fr. p.28

Resume: All employers will require a resume, but even if the best job you've ever had was sharpening pencils in your father's office, not even this should discourage you from constructing an impressive and believable resume. The important thing to remember is that a resume is primarily used to contact your former employers to determine what kind of employee you were. If you can't get anybody to say anything good about you, you at least want to prevent anybody from saying anything bad about you—or saying anything at all about you. Therefore, you should research old newspapers, fire department records, court files, and so on, and find employers who have been burned out, gone bankrupt, or otherwise gone out of business. Obviously, there will be no way for anyone to verify whether or not you ever worked at these places, so claim that you did.

back us into a wall as to the Chinese. No preference would be renowned for having no preference."

"And how could George Meany accuse No Preference of being an enemy of the labor unions?" enthused Vinny.

"What's more," I replied, "No Preference could go into both conventions this year with the opportunity for impressive first ballot victories. Back a winner, back No Preference. The logistics of such a campaign would be simple, yet enormous. Working to insure non-attendance at rallies, holding non-existent press conferences, motorcades of empty rent-a-cars. Wine and cheese parties featuring no candidate, but lots of wine and cheese (Yay!) Blank campaign buttons. Silent telephone calls (absent heavy breathing)."

"Remember too," Vinny said, Given the recent concern as to corruption and dishonesty in government, how could anyone bribe No Preference? How could any lobbyist influence No Preference? But you know Pearl, maybe we won't have to wait too long for that day. With the candidates being offered to the public in the last few years, our political system is becoming premised on No Preference anyway. Hey, you want another beer?"

"Sure, but make sure its my usual. I do have preferences as to important matters."
EXCITING MOMENTS IN FAMILY LAW

WELL, HERE I COME, CLASS. JUDITH AREEN.

THE FIRST WOMAN WITH A FULL PROFESSORSHIP TO TEACH AT THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN LAW SCHOOL.

ALSO, AS A MATTER OF FACT, ONE OF THE FIRST WOMEN IN THE COUNTRY TO HAVE A LAW CASEBOOK ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION. THE BREAKTHROUGH IS SO SIGNIFICANT THAT IT MAKES ONE WANT TO SING:

I AM STRONG,
I AM INVINCIBLE,
I AM WOMAN!

BUT JUDITH AREEN WILL NOT CONDUCT HER CLASS LIKE SO MANY OF THOSE OLD STUFFY PROFESSORS THAT SHE HAD TO LISTEN TO. NO, SHE WILL BE KIND, OPEN AND COMPASSIONATE. SHE WILL OFFER HER STUDENTS AN OPTION OF EITHER TAKING A FINAL EXAM OR WRITING A PAPER ON A TOPIC OF THEIR CHOICE.

JUDITH AREEN WILL BE MOST HESITANT IN FORCING CLASS DISCUSSIONS. SHE HARDLY NEEDS TO CALL ON UNWILLING STUDENTS. ONE HUNDRED AND TWENTY STUDENTS HAVE ALREADY SIGNED UP FOR HER CLASS.
...and so, class, that is my grading policy. Also, I would like you to sign up for a certain day upon which two of you will be required to recite. But it's only one day, huh. That's not too bad, is it? Class dismissed.

Hey, this is great! We can do a paper and skip this stupid class. C'mon, let's sign up to recite on the first day - then we'll never have to show up for another class.

Well, I don't know about that...

I told you we shouldn't have signed up for the first day!

sob... sob

John Guillean
Why does the potato chip/twinkle vending machine require three kicks to the midriff to get one's money back for food promised but not returned?

Why were all the Law Club urinals filled with green liquid on the evening of March 17th?

Why is there a smashed fly 14 inches above the door of the RG office (inside)?

Why does the Law Review Coke machine have Strawberry pop?

Why is it that no matter what side of the Reading Room tables one selects, one's knees always meet with ye sharp-toothed metal flourescent-light-box?

Why is a question from Cleveland like the last question on a Donahue ordeal?
I have heard grumblings to the effect that some of you are upset with the way we law professors conduct our classes...

I have heard that many of you are tired of us attempting to humiliate students at every opportunity, throwing temper tantrums and chalk, and storming out of the classroom when irritated...

My only response to those who so complain is to quit or grow up! There's plenty more where you came from...

Go, mighty hunter! Search out some game!!

Faculty Lounge Voof!

How do you spell "hopeless"?
THEATRE REVIEW
Euripides' TROJAN WOMEN

Sometimes a "classic" piece of theatre works as it should, and in that special moment the reason it is a classic shines pure and clear. The production of Euripides' Trojan Women is just such a beautiful play. It is the story of the women who are the remnants of a civilization that has been destroyed by an interminably long war, and it lays out the depths of horror and destruction that men can design for each other. The sorrow goes beyond what you think is the bounds of sorrow.

In an achingly moving presentation the women of Troy grieve over their losses. They mourn for the deaths of their husbands, sons and brothers as they wait to be taken to Greece as slaves. The sheer pathos of lives destroyed on both sides for no real reason is deeply felt by the actresses and that feeling is masterfully conveyed to the audience.

Hecuba, the widow of the Trojan king (played by Diane Tasca) leads the grieving, but she also is a source of strength. It seems that she can face up to the future, even as a slave, because of her memories of Troy--the city and its people. There is no great expectation for the future, but there is a sufficient resignation. But when her son's widow comes on stage with her little boy the two women seem to even have a sign of hope. They believe that the beautiful small child will someday be able to restore Troy to what it once was.

It is then that real human sorrow enters and becomes overwhelming. The Greeks come and announce that the boy must die. They fear exactly what the women hope--and for this reason the blameless child must be thrown off the walls of Troy.

I must admit, because I want you to understand, when the Greeks took that boy I cried. I cried for the boy and the women of Troy. But I cried for all the deaths since then and still to come. It is the horror brought on by men who decide to fight these glorious battles that grieve me.

The play as staged is a classic--because without attempting to move it up in time or over in location, the producers have hit us today. We have done much destroying, we have done much wanton killing, we have left many crying families--and yet the lessons have not been learned in the 2,390 years since Euripides wrote his play.

Richard Nixon in his deposition of just a few weeks ago was still still supporting his bombing of Cambodia. He still says it "saved American lives." Is it possible that anyone can still defend policies that destroyed Cambodia's society, killed a tenth of her people and led her to her present tyranny?

William Shawcross in the March 4 issue of the NY Review of Books puts Cambodia into the same situation that Euripides saw the women of Troy: "These reports make it clear that the Khemer people are suffering horribly under their new rulers. They have suffered every day of the last six years--ever since the beginning of one of the most destructive foreign policies the United States has ever pursued: the Nixon-Kissinger-Ford doctrine 'in its purest form.'"

See the play. It is at the Trueblood Theatre in the Frieze Building Friday and Saturday night at 8. And cry.

--------Larry Halperin
The University of Michigan Law Library wishes to announce a:

**GOING OUT OF BUSINESS SALE!**

The crushing need for new gym facilities, poor attendance, the rising cost of janitorial services and poor alumni contributions have forced the University of Michigan Law Library to plan to close its doors on April first!!! This closing offers a rare opportunity for all law students to build their personal libraries!

Books are priced even lower than what they cost when bought third hand from junkies who just ripped them off in the quad!

Every book will be sold at deep discount! Look at these bargains:

- Reporter Riot! Mix or match, 3 for $5.00, 1.99 each!
- Assorted pocket parts 2 for $5.
- Shepards by the pound!
- Black's Law Dictionaries (missing only a few key pages) $8.95
- Stained glass windows cut to size! With your college crest!
- Foreign language books! Can you read Serbo-Croatian or Tagalog?
- Private law libraries available! Law books on your study or fireplace?
- No charge for use in study or on fireplace!

So that the Law School will not be left without library services, the West Company has graciously put the entire contents of the Law Library on microfilm! A broom closet in the basement of the current library will be set aside for use of these items. The key may be obtained between 3:00 and 5:00 PM at the Law Club Desk.

Note: Look for the opening of the New Law School sports complex in October. In the Library Building, it will feature a co-ed sauna, a pitch and put golf course, boxing ring (where students may work out problems with each other), tennis and handball courts! Apply for membership now!

Jeff Small
Yesterday it was my birthday
Hung one more year on the line
I should be depressed
My life's a mess
But
I'm having a good time.

Paul Simon

On Monday I turned 26--not so old but not so young. The median age of the U.S. is 28 and I have mixed feelings about edging closer to the upper half.

I guess I'm feeling like I'm in a phase transition. This year I'll be leaving school and entering real life. I'm still not sure what I'll be doing, but I know what I've done. I've enjoyed the prologue--these interminable years of studying, writing papers and taking tests have been fine.

Do you realize after you graduate you will never put in a major, sustained 3 month effort on a particular subject again. That thought kind of bothered me when I left college. I realized then it was a luxury to explore in depth "The Novels and Plays of Samuel Beckett" or "Britain and France in the Age of High Imperialism." These past 4 years only "if I have time" have I been able to pick up a novel for a quick readthrough. And for history, political science or psychology books--I've had to rely on book reviews and magazine articles. No way could I read one non-fiction book on a subject like that, when I know from college that one is just one view and by itself not worth much. So anyway, now that I'm leaving law school I'm realizing not even for legal subjects will any sustained total burrowing be possible...It'll be research on a particular point and that's it.

Speaking of magazines and birthdays, I subscribe to Rolling Stone and they offered a "deal" for a lengthy re-

commitment (the photograph book Shoot ing Stars); so I took them up on it. I nearly "freaked out" when a few weeks later an issue came with the subscription label indicating the expiration date of "April 1979." I mean, it was like I was committing hubris. How the hell do I know what I'll be in '79, what I'll be thinking or reading or doing.

And in the Rolling Stone-birthday connection (watch these paragraphs, folks, they flow) have you been thinking about the Beatles? It used to make me feel totally inadequate when I realized they were like 22 to 24 years old when "Beatlemania"began. I guess my achievements, such as they were, seem to pale in comparison. But more to the point--what about today: There's been talk of the 4 of them getting together for a performance. I was a total fan of theirs from "meet the Beatles" when I was 14 on up and through the disjointed finale of "Let it Be," but I don't know how much I'd want to see them together again. Lennon kept singing "The dream is over" and though it took me a while to believe him, I'm convinced today. The fact is there is no way they could live up to our memories; they just couldn't be what we think they were. They'd make a lot of money (although even there--I don't know how much I'd pay to see closed circuit TV--the plan usually discussed) but what else? They've changed, and we changed.

Maybe especially the "we." I read in New Times that a person who teaches a film class to college freshmen is thinking about dropping "A Hard Day's Night" because the kids just don't believe him when he says that you could not hear the soundtrack in the theatres when it first came out because of all the screaming. Even to me it seems like ancient history. Long ago and far away. I think there must be a generation gap with people who were 5 years old when "I Want to Hold Your Hand" hit the AM top 40.
Ooh I've been lovin and lovin and lovin
I'm exhausted from lovin so well
I should go to bed
But a voice in my head
Says Oh what the hell
Have a good time

Here is a subject you don't see too often in the RG: sex. One of the things I think I'll miss most as I go through this 26th year will be leaving the academic schedule and community and the opportunities thereby provided for being with a woman you care about. It's been so nice these past 8 years of higher education to have relationships (When you had them) where you could see each other all through the day and night. I mean a few hours of class here and there, but you could always arrange to meet during the day, and study together later, and sleep together even later. Maybe it's just an apprehension of the unknown but I can't see sexual relationships being so easily established and maintained in the 9 to 5 world. If you don't work with the person, I have a feeling it will be hard to meet and then see somebody as easily as it is done in the womb of the university. (Who knows and who cares about the above paragraph--did I hit the limit of irrelevance?)

I don't believe what I read in the papers
They're just out to capture my dime
I ain't worrying
And I ain't scurrying
I'm having a good time

Item: New York Times Monday, March 22: "Four years after the student movement formed the vanguard of George McGovern's successful sweep to the Democratic Presidential nomination, campaign coordinators are finding that the movement is over." This is a subject I've alluded to in a number of past columns. I used to care deeply about politics. It was great to be a radical at Harvard in 1969, 1970. I got immense pleasure in hating Nixon's guts, marching against the war, protesting the racist implications of the stock Harvard owned, etc. But today...well today I can't give a good goddamn about any of them candidates. And what's more troublesome, I am having an increasingly hard time seeing exactly where I can do something that will make a difference.

Maybe I'm laughin my way to disaster
Maybe my race has been run
Maybe I'm blind to the fate of mankind
But what can be done

So here I sit glad to be here and rarin' to go on. I'm not sure where I'm going, but I'll be having a good time. As Charly Smith, the oldest man in the US at 133 has put his advice for a happy life: "Don't go barefeeted. Don't wear no raggedy clothes. Enjoy your money while you alive, 'cause you shore can't use it when you daid."

Time time time
See what's become of me
While I looked around
for my possibilities
I was so hard to please

Paul Simon

---

**CREATURES FROM THE R.G. LAGOON**

- Frankenstein: Ken Frantz
- The Blob: Carol Sulkes
- The Green Slime: Tony Kolenic
- Caligari: Ned Othman
- Cyclops: Mark Shaprow
- Ghidra: Larry Halperin
- Gorgo: Howie Bernstein
- Dracula: G. Burgess Allston
- Wolfman: Kevin McCabe
- The Mummy: Dot Blair
- King Kong: Earl Cantwell
- Godzilla: Lefty Ruschmann
- Mothra: Ed Marod
- Kronos: Crusader Rabbit
- Hydra: John Guillean
- She-monster: Sandy Gross
Political Poll

LAST WEEK'S WINNER was the Pearl himself, Earl Cantwell, who got 3 of 5 correct but came closest to Gerry Ford's losing percentage of 46%. Mike Perez had the only entry to forecast Big Ron's upset win.

NEXT WEEK'S ACTION includes the Kansas and Virginia caucuses (no relation to Joanie Caucus) but no primaries. Find another excuse to get drunk Tuesday night; an NCAA victory for the Big Blue will suffice. Also, because of the lack of primaries, there will be no poll this week. Look for the New York-Wisconsin poll next week.

AS A VALUABLE PUBLIC SERVICE the RG Political Poll reproduces for you the same semi-secret document which has already this year been conferred upon Birch Bayh, Milton Shapp, Terry Sanford and Pfc. Shriver.

That's all there is, because there is no more.

Lefty Ruschmann, Handicapper

Notice to Quit

To:

Take Notice that you are required to quit your Presidential race and give up your campaigning within seven (7) days of your receipt of this Notice to Quit, unless the following conditions, hereinbelow specified, are corrected:

☐ 1. NONPAYMENT OF CAMPAIGN DEBTS in the sum of $__________, which sum is not only due and owing, but embarrassing as well.

☐ 2. EXTENSIVE AND SERIOUS IMAGE PROBLEMS, including by way of example and not by way of limitation the following:
   a. Regarded as a turkey
   b. Cannot be trusted
   c. Endorsed McGovern in 1972
   d. Cannot win in November
   e. Defended Nixon in 1974
   f. Allied with extreme positions
   g. Controlled by political bosses
   h. Has alienated the following politically powerful groups (please specify):

☐ 3. CONTINUING INABILITY TO WIN PRIMARIES

SWORN TO ME this ___ day of ________, 1976

John Guillan
Sports Poll

Last week's winner was Rick Rufner. He got all the games correct, as did many others, but he came closest on the total score of the Indiana-Marquette game with 127. The actual score was 65-56. He can collect his prize at B-15 of the Lawyers Club after Tuesday. I will be in Philadelphia watching the Wolverines until then. I am sorry about putting in all those consolation games that didn't get played. The tickets for the Midwest Regional said there would be a third place game. I was glad there wasn't, for I really didn't have any desire to watch an absolutely terrible team like Texas Tech twice. This week is the big one—the final four. You must pick Saturday's games against the points, and how the four teams will finish.

ALL GAMES AT THE SPECTRUM, PHILA.
SATURDAY:
MICHIGAN v. Rutgers (3)
Indiana v. UCLA (5½)

ORDER TEAMS WILL FINISH:
1st: ______________________
2nd: ______________________
3rd: ______________________
4th: ______________________

TIEBREAKER: Who will be the leading scorer in the last four games?

Howie Bernstein, the BC Bettor

---

LAW PLACEMENT BLUES

Smith, Anderson, Milton & Jones
Plaza Building
Washington, D.C.

Mr. W. L. Fang
Law Weekly Trailer
University of Virginia

Dear Mr. Fang:

I was glad to have met with you recently to discuss the possibility of a summer clerkship with our firm. We appreciate your interest but regret that we are not able to ask you to continue the interview process.

As I am sure you realize, an attorney's time is extremely valuable and a member of a firm has a responsibility both to himself and his associates to be frugal in its expenditure. The immense expense of interviewing the large number of job applicants we see each year, therefore, must be justified in some way. As to those students whose grades, extracurricular activities and personalities make them attractive prospective employees, we tend to view the expense as an investment in the firm's future, whether we eventually hire a particular individual or not. Others give us invaluable insight into the changing nature of legal education and the profession as a whole. Still others present us with an opportunity for invigorating and refreshing dialogue, the value of which we feel should not be discounted.

However, on the rare occasion when we interview a student whose credentials, demeanor, conversation, appearance and background can in no way justify the amount of time expended on his behalf, we are certain you will agree that it is only fair that student be billed for the reasonable value of that time.

Therefore, please find enclosed our bill for $24.68 (twenty-four dollars and sixty-eight cents), figured at an hourly rate of $50 (fifty dollars) and including secretarial, mailing and other costs.

Best wishes for your future professional success.

Sincerely yours,
Fred R. Milton

-From The Virginia Law Weekly
University of Virginia Law School