March 19, 1976

University of Michigan Law School

Follow this and additional works at: http://repository.law.umich.edu/res_gestae

Part of the Legal Education Commons

Recommended Citation
http://repository.law.umich.edu/res_gestae/663

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Law School History and Publications at University of Michigan Law School Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Res Gestae by an authorized administrator of University of Michigan Law School Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact mlaw.repository@umich.edu.
Friday

MOVIE

7 & 9:05 100 Hutchins Hall

Howard Hawks'

TWENTIETH CENTURY

with John Barrymore
Carole Lombard
Roscoe Karns
and the Twentieth Century Limited

LAW STUDENTS FREE NON-LAW, $1
-SEE "MOVIE" on p. 12

The Environmental Law Society

presents

Roger Conner

Of the Western Michigan Environmental Action Council

Friday, March 19th
3:30 PM
Cook Room.

"Can Joe Mack and Environmental Progress Co-exist?"

Saturday

ALTERNATIVE PRACTICES CONFERENCE TOMORROW

The 1976 edition of the Alternative Practices Conference will be held tomorrow in Hutchins Hall from 9:00 a.m. until 5:30 p.m. The conference will bring over 50 lawyers and legal workers to speak and answer questions on 14 basic topics. The emphasis is on student access to the speakers. Section Five, the sponsoring group of first-year law students, has tried hard to improve on last year's conference.

Here are a few examples of what's in store for tomorrow. Representatives from the Environmental Defense Fund, the Southern Poverty Law Center, the Women's Legal Defense Fund, ACORN (a statewide community group from Ark.), and the Peoples' Law Office will be here. Attorneys from the Attica Brothers defense, the Jo Ann Little trial, the Panther 21 trial, and the Fred Hampton/Mark Clark civil suit against the FBI will attend. Several outstanding local attorneys in legal aid/legal services, tenant-landlord law, and public defenders will speak.

The keynote speech will be by Gerald Lefcourt of New York City. Mr. Lefcourt served as defense counsel for the Panther 21 in 1970-71. After 2 years the "21" were acquitted of all 156 charges against them. He was a member of the Law Commune, one of the first collective legal practices in the U.S. and has assisted in "Red Squad" suits to end police surveillance of activists.

Free child care will be available all day in the Cook Room. Coffee and donuts will be served from 9:00 to 9:30. A schedule follows (a complete program listing speakers and workshops will be available tomorrow):

9:00-9:30 Info/Coffee and Donuts
9:30-12:00 11 Workshops
12:00-1:00 Lunch (BYO)
1:00-2:30 Keynote Speech
2:30-5:30 12 Workshops
Sunday

The Black Law Students Alliance would like to thank all of you who helped to make our bake sales a great success. We are trying to raise money for our annual Senior Ball at which time we hope to dedicate an award to be given to the Black Law Student who has shown highest commitment and dedication to the goals of BLSA. Our next fundraising event will be a Chili Dog Sale, Sunday, March 21, from 3 p.m. til 6 p.m.

Monday

PROPOSED NEW LSSS CONSTITUTION

OPEN HEARING. There will be another open hearing for discussion of the proposed new LSSS Bylaw Constitution on MONDAY, MARCH 22, at 3:30 PM in Room 100, Hutchins Hall.

This will be the last such hearing before voting on the proposal, so all students with questions or complaints regarding the proposal are urged to make them public. Copies of the proposal may be obtained from the table outside Room 100, from the table by the Lawyers Club Reception Desk, or from George Vinyard (room L-14, Lawyers Club, 764-8948). Copies have also been made available in the various student organization offices in the Legal Research Building.

CONSTITUTION VOTING. The polls will be open on WEDNESDAY, MARCH 24 for all currently enrolled law students to vote on each article of the proposed new constitution. Polling times and places will be as follows:

1) from 8:30 AM to 4:30 PM in Hutchins Hall immediately outside Room 100.
2) from 4:45 PM to 6:30 PM in the reception area of the Lawyers Club.

Voters should present a U of M ID.

INTERNATIONAL LAW SOCIETY
MULTI-NATIONALS & NATION-STATES

On Monday, March 22 Mr. Paul Heinen, Vice-President and General Counsel of Chrysler Corporation, will speak on the recent negotiations between Chrysler and Great Britain concerning Chrysler investments. Mr. Heinen led the Chrysler delegation and the U.K. and his talk will be a narrative account of the bargaining sessions, the political atmosphere in London, and board room decisions.

He will speak in the Lawyers Club Lounge at 7 PM. All are welcome.

FIRST YEAR PEOPLE - The firm of Hahn, Loeser, Freedheim, Dean & Wellman of Cleveland, Ohio will be sending a representative to campus on Monday, March 22 to talk to first year people interested in Cleveland. For more information, come to the Placement Office or check the first floor bulletin board. Meeting will be held in Room 212, noon to 2:00 p.m. There will be slides of the city and refreshments. Come and go as you wish.

FIRST & SECOND YEAR PEOPLE - please fill out a form for the Placement Directory. They are available outside room 100, and in the Placement Office.

ALL STUDENTS - please fill out a blue form to report your job to the placement office.
NOTICES

ROSE BOWL JINX STRIKES AGAIN

For the second year in a row, the team representing Michigan in the Philip C. Jessup International Moot Court Competition, composed this year of Tom Donlon and Gregg Jones as oralists with Russ Bikoff, Dave Hanson, and Sue Ludlow on the brief, compiled a perfect 4-0 record and tied for first place in the Midwest Regional held March 12-13 in Chicago. For the second year in a row, the tie-breaking procedure chosen by the Regional Administrator awarded the invitation to compete in the Semifinal (National) Round in Washington, D.C., the Jessup equivalent of a Rose Bowl bid, to the University of Iowa. There being no Jessup equivalent of an Orange Bowl bid, the Michigan team sought its consolation in the third place finish of its combined briefs and in the selection of Gregg Jones as the region's best oralist. The team wishes to express its appreciation to Mary Broadley Gomes, Anne Harrington, Liz Hilder, George Lehner, Phil Nelson, and Dean St. Antoine, each of whom contributed measurably to the team's success.

DIVORCE WORKSHOP

The law school student body, faculty, and spouses, are invited to attend a workshop on divorce which will take place on Saturday, March 27, from 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. in Hutchins Hall. The workshop is sponsored by Feminist Legal Services and is open to the community-at-large as well as to students. Members of the law school faculty, local attorneys, psychologists, representatives of the Friend of the Court, a judge, and people who have been through the divorce process will participate in the workshop. Child care will be available; refreshments will be served.

LA RAZA NATIONAL LAW STUDENTS ASSOCIATION ADOPTS NEW STRUCTURE: UM MEMBER NAMED TO NATIONAL EXECUTIVE COUNCIL

The La Raza National Law Students Association will no longer be headed by a hierarchy of officers. The national convention of La Raza in Los Angeles over Spring Break resulted in the creation of a National Executive Council to head the organization. Twelve Council people were named at the convention; one woman and one man from each of the six regions of the U.S. into which the organization is divided. Pedro G. Nieto of UM was appointed as the male Council person representing the Midwestern Region. Yoland Urbina of the University of Iowa Law School will serve as the female Council person from the Midwest. Their duties include sitting on the CLEO Board of Directors and editing the Midwestern section of the La Raza National Newspaper.

Tickets for the Black Law Students Alliance annual Senior Ball are now on sale. The Ball will be held at the Campus Inn, Friday, April 9, 1976, from 9 p.m. til 1 a.m. H'ors D'oeuvres will be served. Cash Bar. Tickets are $7.50 each. You may obtain them from the following persons:

CAROL JACKSON
JACK BARTHEWELL
A. KAY BROWN
AL DYSON
BARBARA A. HARRIS
GWEN MOSELEY
JAN ANDERSON
DEBRA TUCKER
Notices

SUMMER SUBLET - 6 minutes from Law School; next to pool, tennis courts, track and paddleball; excellent management; 2 Bedroom, 2-4 people, very reasonable rent. Call NANCY, persistently, 662-1476.

PLACEMENT NEWS

FIRST & SECOND YEAR PEOPLE—An attorney from Los Angeles will be coming to campus on April 8th to video-tape brief interviews with 1st & 2nd year people interested in working in Los Angeles. The tapes will be reviewed by a number of firms in Los Angeles this summer and next fall. If you are interested, please come to the Placement Office for more information, and to sign-up for a time slot.

COMPLETE LISTING OF SUMMER LAW PROGRAMS ABROAD OFFERED

CHICAGO, March 12 -- A complete listing of summer law programs abroad is now available from Student Lawyer, a magazine published by the Law Student Division of the American Bar Association.

The listing covers 24 programs in Europe, South America, Central America, and Asia, describing courses offered, requirements for admission, and room, board, tuition and transportation costs.

Originally published in the February edition of Student Lawyer, the listing is now available separately for 50 cents from Student Lawyer Magazine, 1155 E. 60th St., Chicago, Ill. 60637.

SUMMER SUBLET:

Inexpensive North Campus efficiency. Furnished, garden, near pool. Call Janet Keuneke, 769-1621.

LAW LIBRARY ACQUIRES U. S. COURTS OF APPEALS SLIP DECISIONS

Law students should be interested to know that the Library is now receiving the slip decisions or opinions (the first printing) for most circuits of the U. S. Courts of Appeals. In addition to the District of Columbia opinions, which the Library has been acquiring for some time, we are now receiving all but the 5th and 9th circuits. They are shelved immediately after the Federal Reporter advance sheets in the federal alcove off the Reading Room. District of Columbia decisions will continue to be shelved with the other D.C. materials in Alcove A.

MAJOR BICENTENNIAL LEGAL CONFERENCE SCHEDULED FOR NYU IN APRIL

At a four-day conference in April, New York University School of Law will hold a unique Bicentennial celebration devoted to American law. A prestigious group of speakers, including the Chief Justices of the United States and England, will discuss the development of American law through two centuries and will project basic legal trends of the next century.

In addition to Chief Justice Warren E. Burger and Lord Chief Justice Widgery, the program will feature outstanding authorities, from both legal and non-legal disciplines, including such diverse figures as Nobel Prize-winning economist Wassily Leontief and consumer-advocate Ralph Nader.

The conference will open on April 27 with a series of discussions by nationally prominent judges, lawyers and law professors on various areas of the law and on trends in these areas, as they foresee them, during the country's third century. Speakers will include: A. Leon Higginbotham Jr.,...
Dear RG,

As a member of the Law School Student Senate, I would like to express my total disagreement with the proposal in the LSSS Constitution to eliminate cross-sectional voting in the fall election of first year representatives. When the LSSS Constitution is presented for adoption within the next few weeks students will be given alternatives for the reading of section 5.1: (1) "one representative elected from and by each of the First-year class sections" or (2) "one representative elected from each of the First-year class sections by vote of the entire First year class".

The second alternative is the best method of selecting the first-year representatives as has been proven in years past. Firstly, the first-year students should be given the ultimate opportunity to vote for a person whom they know and respect. I concede that it is more likely that most first-year students will know the candidates from their own section. But why punish and disenfranchise the students who may not personally know the students in their section but may know candidates from other sections. We are all aware of the seemingly inherent coldness, the lack of interpersonal interaction in law school and the resulting cliques. If most folks introduction to law school was similar to my own--I latched onto the first kind face in the crowd several days before classes even begun--then they too have cross-sectional friendships by the time the first-year elections are held. Cross-sectional relationships are formed before the sections are formed when people meet at orientation, in the Law.

Admission to the conference is by ticket only. For further information on tickets and a complete schedule of speakers, write Gerald Crane, New York University School of Law, Vanderbilt Hall, 40 Washington Square South, New York, N.Y. 10003; or call (212) 598-7741.
Club, at Dooley's, in the BLSA office, etc. As it turned out I knew two candidates from section 1 much better than any of the candidates from my very own section.

Secondly, the sections merely facilitate the election of first-year representatives—they are not political entities in any real sense. A senator does not have a constituency that (s)he can point to but rather the Senate sits as a body that is representative of the student body as a whole. Due to the nature of the Senate's functions it becomes essential that the diverse views within the student body are mimicked on the Senate and the maximum opportunity to become elected be given proponents of varied viewpoints. Because the Senate decides which student organizations to recognize and how much money be given each, unpopular organizations could suffer extinction if the senators do not believe in their worth as a contributor to the cultural atmosphere and the quality of life at the law school.

Thirdly, some might argue that cross-sectional voting gives an unfair advantage to candidates living in the Lawyers Club and to minority candidates. Whatever advantage is had by these groups is justified in that (1) the Senate is responsible for setting policies that affect the day to day lives of Club residents and (2) there are so few minority law students attending the law school (approx. 35/350 in the first year) that the advantage amounts to mere equal opportunity and is akin to cumulative voting provisions in corporate charters. Furthermore, the concomitant disadvantage to minority candidates should cross-sectional voting be eliminated would be so great as to virtually guarantee non-election of a minority candidate.

The disadvantages of eliminating cross-sectional voting so greatly outweigh the possible advantages (I can think of none) that I urge you to vote for Option 2 out of concern for a well-balanced Senate.

BARBARA A. HARRIS

Dear Greg Hill,

Who decides what the moral law is? Your most recent letter contained the following statement (or is the word dogma): "I sincerely doubt that anyone who flouts the moral law can be truly happy for long." Is there one code of morals or ethics (at times I doubt if the latter has much viability)? I would be hard pressed to answer that question affirmatively but I would welcome your attempt. And if you can't, then how can you tell me that what I do is immoral? Or are you only referring to your own frame of reference?

One other question, Greg? There is a long quote from C.S. Lewis that has a rather intriguing point that might be summarized by this line: "Surrender to all our desires obviously leads to impotence, disease, jealousies, lies, concealment, and everything that is the reverse of health, good humor, and frankness." To borrow a line from Yale Kamisar: "What the hell does that mean?" Obviously, Mr. Hill? To whom? Certainly not to me. There may be some merit in the idea but I can't understand how it is a truism. Must I take it on faith? Who's the judge in your system? I don't think I want the job. Do you know anyone qualified to handle it?

Sincerely,

Richard M. Kamowski

CONT. ON P 8 "LETTERS"
Dear Dean St. Antoine:

"We strongly protest the combining of the offices now held by Deans Rhonda Rivera and Charles Borgsdorf who are leaving. We are certain that such a combination would only work to the detriment of the student body. The administration is asking one person to do the job that two have already been doing. This law school definitely needs a humanist-counselor for the myriad problems that arise both personally and academically for those venturing into Michigan Law School. We doubt that a person of the caliber needed to direct the Writing and Advocacy program would also be the best counselor/Dean of Students we could get. There is a high probability that because of the emphasis on the academic and the great de-emphasis on the personal here, an administrator working in both these capacities and pressed for time would give the counseling aspects of his/her job the shaft first. We need two excellent human beings to refill these positions."

s/ Maryel Norris
For Law School
Student Senate.

---

DONATION METHOD REFORM: FOR HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT AS WELL AS FOR DECENCY

or - -

I can't believe you are such TURKEYS!!!

Dear Pirgim,

I am writing this letter to the editor (which threatens to become a weekly feature) because I am amazed that Pirgim can go for so long without saying anything about this donation racket.

Well, Pirgim, as Woodward and Bernstein said, I can complain longer than you can keep your collective mouth shut! I can't believe that Pirgim had the gall to not only not reply, but to continue to put in their weekly "look-what-hot-shits-we-are" advertising blurb of lies and half-truths.

It doesn't take a genius to realize that your "contributions" to the Res Gestate are the same type of advertising propaganda bullshit which is handed out by industries in answer to real consumer advocate's inquiries. You obviously talk but don't listen!

Consumer advocates ... shit!

How about the rest of you Pirgim supporters out there? Isn't anyone willing to stand up and come out in defense of this donation racket?

How about you, Richard Conlin, whose name appears on most of the weekly handouts? How about you, Alan Barak? How about the LSSS Seven who voted to support Pirgim's "method of funding"? Won't one of you bless us poor ignorant slobs with an explanation (it doesn't have to be too detailed ... just enough so that we'll believe we understand).

The staff of the Weekly Penalty Box has been informed by sources very close to the upper echelons within the Pirgim chain of command, that Pirgim is trying to hush up this Donation Scandal and is STONEWALLING!

It turns out that the Pirgim 'higher-ups' weren't happy with getting just the
obviously preferential treatment they had been getting when they were allowed to set up donation solicitation tables right in the middle of registration lines. No, that wasn't enough!

So when the U changed over to the CRISP system, they saw it as an excellent opportunity to make the change to something better [worse]. They would now have it punched on everyone's computer card that each student had "donated" to Pirgim (each semester) and that you couldn't graduate without paying your "donation" to Pirgim.

Internal counsel then informed the "higher-ups" that even Pirgim couldn't get away with that. Their own internal staff told them that they had been on shaky ground with what they had before. There would at least have to be some way a student could elect to not donate.

"Poppycock!" cried one.
"Never!" cried another.
"Look how badly we need the money. I say 'Damm the lawyers. Full speed ahead!'"

But they were quieted somewhat when the biggest Pirgimor of them all said, "Wait. I would like to see it done this way also. ... But it would be wrong."

A compromise plan [I'll say] was formulated and instituted. But when ominous rumblings were detected from the student populace, the word went down the lines of command as quickly as possible. The individual chapters were to get whatever blind support they could manage (Hello, LSSS!) and then to STONEWALL IT!

Don't answer any questions. Make them go through proper channels and deny everything. All approved statements will come from the main office.

Above all, don't let any loose-tongued lower-echelon workers blow the whole thing by trying to explain this. STONEWALL IT!

"Keep this under your hat," said a "higher-up" to Richard Conlin (a "lower-down"), "and we'll see to it that you and your family are taken care of for the rest of your lives."

"Let's get this donation thing," said the biggest Pirgimor of them all, "behind us; and let us get back to the serious job of consumer advocacy."

Confidential sources reveal an internal Pirgim research memo from its own legal staff, stating that the "method of funding" presently employed is outrageously illegal.

With deepest sympathies, and hoping to hear from you soon, I remain

Sincerely yours,

G. Burgess Allison

TAXES & WAR: AN ALTERNATIVE

Legislation is being considered by the House Ways & Means Committee which would establish a World Peace Tax Fund (H.R. 4897). The bill, sponsored by 23 Representatives, permits conscientious objectors to pay the military portion of their income taxes into a fund to be spent for peace-related purposes.

The reason why legislation is needed is because the IRS consistently denies that the free exercise clause of the first amendment applies to those conscientiously opposed to paying taxes for war.

Those interested in showing support for the bill can include a statement with their tax returns that payment is being made under protest, and send copies of the letter to their Congresspersons. More information is available from the National Council for a World Peace Tax Fund, 2111 Florida Avenue NW, Washington, D. C. 20008.

Janet Keuneke
George Vinyard

Perhaps the most significant choice to be made by Law Students voting for ratification of the proposed new LSSS Constitution next week is that relating to the manner by which First-year representatives are elected. The current Senate was more or less evenly split on the issue and decided to put two alternatives on the ballot for the voters. One option is the current system in which all first-year students vote for all four first-year representatives with one representative elected from each first-year class section.

The other option is the one which I personally favor. It provides that the students in each first-year class section vote only for the representative from their own section. (No one suggested going back to the old system in which second- and third-year students also voted for first-year representatives.)

I prefer the option which confines voting within sections primarily because I believe it would be more conducive to well-informed voting than the current system. First-year elections are necessarily held rather early in the fall at a time when most first-year students barely know the other people in their own section, let alone those in other sections. Cross-sectional voting at such an early date tends, in my opinion, to make the election into even more of a beauty contest than it would inevitably be otherwise.

Further, the whole idea of having one representative from each of the sections is presumably to insure maximal access of constituents to their LSSS representatives during the first year. This purpose is defeated in the case where the representative from the section is someone the people in the section would not have elected, i.e. the "beauty contest" winner who pulls most of his or her votes from relatively less familiar persons outside the section. Members of the section are much less likely to approach their representative with a request or complaint for the Senate if that representative is someone in whom they have little confidence.

It may be argued that the current system of cross-sectional voting can function to encourage first-year candidates to break out of the isolation of their sections. As a practical matter, I believe this is rarely the result, and I think it more likely that the prospect of campaigning among the entire first-year class rather than just among one's own section will have the effect of discouraging campaigning altogether.

Some people contend that the current system of cross-sectional voting is superior to the proposed alternative because it works to the advantage of minority blocks who would not be represented in the Senate if voting were confined within sections. While it may be that the alternative I favor would result in some reduction of minority representation, I do not believe the effect would be disastrous. Since second- and third-year representatives would still be elected by at-large voting, minority blocks' influence on that part of the Senate would clearly be undiminished. More importantly however, I am not convinced that racist or sexist voting patterns would be at all likely to dominate elections within sections to the total exclusion of women and minorities as first-year representatives. Rather I feel the members of each section will be in the best position to judge their candidates' merits and motivations. I am confident that the result will not only be an improved quality of first-year representation, but continued substantial representation of women and minorities on the Senate at all levels.

First-year class sections contribute much to the sense of identity felt by entering law students, especially in the first few weeks. These sections also provide the ideal vehicle for effective first-year participation in Senate. I urge those voting for the new Bylaw Constitution to also adopt the alternative for selecting first-year representatives which will make most effective use of the sections as vehicles for participation. Let each section choose its own representative.
If you haven't heard about this Law School institution, (and too many have not), it is time that you do, because it will be a friendly neighbor knocking at your door from time to time for years to come.

And "friendly" it is. The entire school—students, faculty and staff—benefits from the tangible results of this activity. In fact there is scarcely an aspect of the Law School that has not been enhanced by the presence of the Fund during the fifteen years of its existence. About 25 per cent of the gifts are earmarked one way or another by the donor. The balance is unrestricted. The various financial aid accounts for needy students have received substantially more than one-half of all receipts. Other direct benefits for the students have included prizes for outstanding scholastic achievement, improved placement and admissions operations, support of the student organizations such as legal aid, case clubs, the Journal of Law Reform, the senior day festivities, etc. Visitations of distinguished leaders and lawyers have been supported through the Fund. Some of the money has been used to assist faculty research, purchase equipment useful in the instructional programs such as closed-circuit television from the Washtenaw County Court House, video-tape recording and viewing equipment, and to augment the resources of the law library.

Some necessary additions and alterations have also been made to the buildings, such as the carrels on the second level of the third-floor library in Hutchins Hall, the interview rooms along the edge of Room 200, the remodeling of the library to make two levels open stacks, air conditioning and new lights in some of the second floor classrooms. The Fund has also helped with the rehabilitation work and purchase of new beds, carpets and draperies in the Lawyers Club. This list is not exhaustive, but it underscores the fact that it would be difficult to over-estimate the importance of private giving to the "good health" of the University of Michigan Law School.

The fifteenth successful campaign has just ended (each annual drive runs from February 1 through the following January 31). The results were new marks in every major category—more dollars ($453,148.36), more gifts (5,356) and the greatest participation yet by alumni (4,781 which is 43.1% of the living alumni). In the fifteen years since it began in 1961 a total of $3,857,633.96 has been contributed in the form of annual gifts to the Law School through the Fund.

A National Committee composed of alumni, faculty and students is charged with the responsibility for making the basic plans for each annual giving program. The alumni members come from across the country. Student members are the incumbent and newly-elected presidents of the Law School Student Senate. This Committee will meet in Ann Arbor March 19 and 20 to review the past campaign and make plans for 1976. Samuel Krugliak of Canton, Ohio is the present National Chairman, and he will continue as such for another year.

On the local scene Professor Roy F. Proffitt has general administrative responsibility for the Fund. Mrs. Lois Richards is in direct supervision of the office, where she has the able assistance of Alene Smith and Wenda Richman. The headquarters of the organization that makes the Fund go is located in Room 161 Legal Research.

Great effort is taken to see that each alumnus is reminded of the Fund each year. It starts with a letter from the National Chairman. Then, to the extent possible, all alumni are contacted personally by a local solicitor early in the fall. Sometime later agents for each of the various classes contact those who have not yet contributed. Of course some of the local solicitors also follow-up on some of their prospects. No one is asked or expected to contribute more than once a year, but those in charge believe that increased numbers of alumni participating each year is the key to success.

CONT. ON P 12 "FUND"
None of this could happen without the help of many alumni. Each year the "team" of volunteers required to conduct the campaign exceeds 600 men and women. The regional, state, and local chairpeople have the final responsibility for organizing their own areas. Service on this "team", as well as making their contributions to the Fund is something that students can look forward to.

Happily, some students do learn about the Fund before graduation. In the past several years a substantial number of graduating seniors have found it convenient to make their initial contributions to the Fund by assigning their right to a $5 refund from the University Cellar to the Law School Fund. If any of our readers feel so inclined, forms are available in Room 161 LR.

Copies of the printed report for the Fund for 1974 (1975 will not be available for several weeks) will be placed on the table in front of Room 100. Help yourself. From it you can see the growth pattern for the first fourteen years. The success of the Fund must be maintained to provide those "extras of excellence" that will assure that the University of Michigan Law School retains its position as one of the truly great law schools of the world.

MOVIE

In no other period or place in movie history has satirical farce flourished as it did in Hollywood in the thirties. Sound had brought in the wise-crack, and in the Depression little was sacrosanct. Everybody knew that money and fame were ludicrous and transitory; even religion could be kidded. In our comedies now there is nothing like the careless irreverence of Twentieth Century--produced in those days when Hollywood was not yet subservient to the image-conscious pressure groups who have now made it almost impossible for a joke to have a butt, for satire to have a target, for wit to contain any truth.

Twentieth Century of 1934 is a first-rate farce about theatrical personalities, and John Barrymore was perhaps the greatest of the farciers. Great romantic actors are rarely great comedians; in our time Laurence Olivier is almost the only example. Barrymore, a Shakespearean actor and then a romantic movie idol, reveled in satire of the theatre. He makes the egomaniac producer Oscar Jaffe a roaring caricature of theatrical drive and temperament. He is assisted by Carole Lombard as his protegee, Lily Garland nee Mildred Plotka. Lombard's talents were not of the highest, but her spirits were, and in her skin-tight satins she incarnates the giddy, absurd glamour of thirties comedy. Most of the action takes place on the "crack" train of the title, the "Twentieth Century," going from Chicago to New York; in the thirties, the "Twentieth Century" represented the latest thing in speed and luxury.

The director, Howard Hawks, represents the American commercial film at its best--fast, unpretentious, entertaining, with a sophisticated and hard-boiled attitude toward sex and money. In Twentieth Century he worked with a fine collection of character actors, faces that were as familiar as the faces with great names: Walter Connolly as Oliver; Roscoe Karns as Owen; Charles Levison as Max Jacobs; Etienne Girodot as Clark, the religious zealot; Edgar Kennedy as Mr McGonigle; Edward Cargan as the sheriff; Herman Bing as the bearded Passion Play actor; et al. Ben Hecht and Charles MacArthur did the screenplay, adapting the play by Bruce Millholland.
Kamisar

University of Michigan law Prof. Yale Kamisar warned that provisions of the proposed revised Federal Criminal Code imposing criminal penalties for publication of "secret" government documents verge on government censorship of the press.

Kamisar said the so-called "official secrets" provisions go "far beyond what is necessary to protect the national security, and to the very limits of -- if not beyond -- what is constitutionally permissible . . . They do not belong in the new Federal Criminal Code."

Kamisar discussed the proposed code, which is now under consideration in the U.S. Senate, in a speech in Ypsilanti, Mich. Tuesday night (March 2) before United Auto Workers Local 849.

Under subsections 1120-23 of chapter two, subchapter C of the proposed Federal Criminal Code, unauthorized use of "national defense information" would be considered a form of "espionage" that would carry severe criminal penalties.

Kamisar also criticized subsections 1124-25, which deal with "classified information," as being overly "vague." He said the provisions would do nothing to stop the continuing practice of needlessly classifying government information which is already known to the public or which does not warrant protection for national security reasons.

Kamisar warned that the "national defense information" clauses of the code would have the effect of transforming "the crime of espionage, usually thought of as involving foreign spies, into a means of censorship of press publication of official secrets." Under these provisions, he noted, publication of the Pentagon Papers by the New York Times would be considered espionage.

These "official secrets" provisions, said Kamisar, give "to the executive branch of the federal government a greatly expanded and virtually unchecked power to decide what information the citizenry of the United States should have access to."

This, said the professor, would have a "chilling effect on the free, informed and uninhibited public debate of governmental policies."

"The executive can withhold government information from the public, thereby precluding the citizenry from the democratic decision making process, while it is free to release bits and pieces of such information as political ammunition to accomplish its own ends," Kamisar continued.

"Such control over the mind is wholly repugnant to the concepts of self-government and democracy. These sections, taken together, constitute a step in the direction of the autocratic state."

Kamisar noted that the "official secrets" provisions are essentially the same as those proposed by the Nixon administration in 1973, when Nixon launched attacks on the press.

Kamisar said he agrees with others that "if Watergate has any meaning at all, it is that a vigilant, unintimidated press and a corps of competent investigative reporters are vital to the functioning of our democratic society."
Peter O. Steiner, professor of economics and law at The University of Michigan, has been elected to a two-year term as president of the American Association of University Professors (AAUP).

Prof. Steiner will assume office following the association's 62nd annual meeting in Santa Barbara, Calif., June 24-26. With 75,000 members, the AAUP is the nation's largest professional association of college and university teachers.

Results of the association's election were announced by the current AAUP president, Prof. William W. Van Alstyne of Duke University. This will be the first time in the 60-year history of the AAUP that a U-M faculty member has held the presidency.

Prof. Steiner said he hopes the association can continue to "mobilize the effective force of the academic community in defense of academic values and thus in defense of higher education itself."

"Our efforts," said Steiner, "must occur at three levels: first, in the defense of individual faculty members and particular faculties that find their personal and academic freedom, employment, or other rights threatened; second, on behalf of identifiable groups such as minorities, women, non-tenured faculty and those nearing retirement whose rights have been neglected or newly infringed under the guise of financial exigency; third, in support of the profession as a whole, its economic welfare, its role in academic governance, and its professional status."

Prof. Steiner has been active in AAUP affairs for several years, including service on committees dealing with economic status of faculty, academic freedom and tenure. He was chairman of the AAUP's Committee on the Economic Status of the Profession from 1970-73 and chairman of the AAUP Task Force on the Wage-Price Freeze in 1971-72.

Prof. Steiner has been professor of economics and law at Michigan since 1968, and from 1971-74 he served as chairman of the U-M department of economics.

Prior to joining the Michigan faculty he taught at the University of Wisconsin and at University of California at Berkeley.

Last year, while visiting professor at the University of Nairobi in Kenya, Steiner was asked to go into central Africa to help establish negotiations with Marxist guerrillas who were holding two Stanford University students and one Dutch woman as hostages.

"For nearly a month, gaining release of the hostages became the sole focus of my life," the U-M professor recalled in a recent interview. "I lived with the issue day and night." In the end the negotiations were successful and the hostages were released.

In addition to his academic appointments Prof. Steiner has served the federal government and non-profit organizations. He has been a consultant to the Department of the Treasury, the Bureau of the Budget, and the American Council of Graduate Schools. He has served as a member of the Presidential Task Force on Productivity and Competition, and the Higher Education Advisory Committee on Wages and Prices.

Prof. Steiner has also been a faculty research fellow of the Social Science Research Council, a Guggenheim Fellow and Ford Faculty Research Fellow.


He received an A.B. Degree, (cont)
New First-year Curriculum

Discussion was held concerning a faculty proposal to permit first-year students to take one elective course by reducing the credit hours allotted to Torts and Property. Because the details of this proposal were unclear, the LSSS adopted a motion by George Vinyard that the students on the Faculty Curriculum Committee be asked to attend a Senate meeting and explain the plan.

Constitution

George Vinyard asked for and received Senate approval of two changes which he had made in the constitution which will be presented for student ratification in March. Authorization was also given to run off 300 copies of the document for distribution to the students.

Non-Law School Credit Hours

The following resolution was offered by Jon Forman and adopted by the Senate by a vote of 8-4:

Be it resolved that the academic regulations be amended to allow law students who are concurrently enrolled in other graduate degree programs to elect as many as twelve (12) hours of credit in those departments in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the J.D. degree.

Phyllis Rozof

LAW SCHOOL STUDENT SENATE MINUTES
March 4, 1976

The meeting was called to order at 6:30 p.m. in the Faculty Dining Room of the Lawyers Club by President Pamela Hyde. Members present were Jon Forman, George Vinyard, Carol Sulkes, Gwen Mosley, Barbara Harris, Dave Dawson, Bruce Hiler, Paul Ruschmann, Bertie Butts, Phyllis Rozof, and Maryel Norris. The minutes of the last meeting were approved.

CONT ON P 16 "LSSS"
Assistant Dean(s?)

Maryel Norris read a letter which she had drafted to express student displeasure at the plan to replace Deans Borgsdorf and Rivera with one person. A motion was passed to adopt the letter and send it to Deans St. Antoine and Pierce with a copy to RES GESTAE.

Organizations Secretary

Barbara Harris asked that the Senate allocate further funds to continue to pay a part-time secretary who works in the lobby outside the offices of BALSA, WLSA, and La Raza. Funds originally allocated for secretarial services had been expended. Bertie Butts moved that the Senate allocate $175 to continue to fund the position of half-time secretary for the student organizations for the rest of this school year. The motion passed 6-3. Jon Forman requested that his vehement opposition be noted.

Financial Aid Petition

Pam Hyde read a petition stating student opposition to a new financial aids policy requiring all students, regardless of age, to submit their parents' tax form and other confidential information. A majority of the Senate members agreed that the recent change in policy is unfair in its application to present first-year students. A resolution was passed in support of the petition.

Election Code and Procedures

George Vinyard moved to extend the filing deadline for petitions for LSSS offices from March 17, 1976 to March 22, 1976. This motion was adopted. A motion was also passed to change the date of the next hearing on the proposed LSSS constitution from March 16, 1976 to March 22, 1976 (due to nonpublication of RES GESTAE on March 5, 1976).

The Senate discussed an election code proposed by George Vinyard. George moved that the election code be adopted but that no part of the code which conflicts with procedures that have already been announced for this Spring's election shall take effect. The motion was passed.

Speakers Committee

Ross Eisenbray reported that the Speakers Committee and the Environmental Law Society want to bring three atomic engineers who have quit their jobs at General Electric to this campus and hold a campus-wide debate on the safety of atomic power plants. Ross estimated that such a project would cost $700 in addition to $300 still remaining in the Speakers Committee budget. Jon Forman moved that the LSSS recommend to Dean St. Antoine that he support this program because the Speakers Committee already had received $300 from the LSSS. George Vinyard moved to amend this motion to provide a $400 allocation for the project contingent on the Speakers Committee exhausting all other sources of financial support before using further Senate funds. The amendment passed by a vote of 5-1. Paul Ruschmann proposed a further amendment to stipulate that a significant portion of the program be held at the Law School. The amendment passed by a vote of 3-0. The main motion was then adopted by a vote of 7-1.

Paul Ruschmann moved that, if there is a campus-wide debate on atomic energy sponsored by Law School organizations, law students get first and absolute priority. This motion was tabled and the meeting was adjourned.
magna cum laude, in 1943 from Oberlin College and an M.A. (1949) and Ph.D. (1950) in economics from Harvard University.

Other U-M professors besides Steiner hold leadership positions with national educational and scientific organizations. Currently U-M Prof. Wilbert J. McKeachie is serving as president of the 37,000-member American Psychological Association, and Prof. Charles Gibson of the U-M history department this year was elected president of the American Historical Association. Prof. Francis A. Allen of U-M Law School is president of the Association of American Law Schools.

**SENATE ELECTIONS SCHEDULE**

**Revised**

Nominating petitions for candidates in the upcoming Student Senate elections are currently available at the Lawyers Club Desk.

The FILING DEADLINE for petitions has been changed by the Senate to permit more time. It is now:

**NEW FILING DEADLINE -- 4:30 p.m., Monday, March 22, at the Lawyers Club Desk.**

Positions available include: President, Vice-president, Secretary, Treasurer, one membership position on the Lawyers Club Board of Governors (only current first-year students eligible since the term is for two years), and several at-large seats to be filled by current first- and second-year students.

SENATE ELECTIONS will be held on either March 30 (Tues.) or March 31 (Weds.). The final date will be set and published by the Elections Committee.

CAMPAIGN RULES are incorporated in the new LSSS Elections Code. The code is currently being duplicated and will be provided to all candidates not later than the day after the filing deadline.

---

**ELECTIONS COMMITTEE**

**SIGN-UP**

Everyone interested in contributing a little time between now and March 31 to helping the Senate elections run smoothly should sign up for elections committee on the form provided below (or simply contact Pam Hyde or Senate Secretary Phyllis Rozof and give your name and interest). The time required for most people should be minimal, e.g., a couple of hours as a poll-worker on election day, but such contributions are very important to the efficiency and integrity of the election processes. The more volunteers there are, the greater the likelihood that the elections will be properly run with everyone having adequate notice and opportunity to vote, and the less work that will be required of each individual.

THANKYOU,

George Vinyard for LSSS

* * * * * *

Elections Committee Volunteer

Name ____________________________

Phone __________________________

Address _________________________

Preferred work: (circle preferences)

* poll-worker  * publicity

* counting ballots  * chairperson

Afternoon and evening: days and times when it would be most convenient for you to attend an organizing and scheduling meeting:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>days of week</th>
<th>times</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

return to box on table by Rm.100
WHAT HAVE YOU DONE FOR ME LATELY?
A SUMMARY OF RECENT PIRGIM ACHIEVEMENTS

By Joseph S. Tuchinsky, PIRGIM Staff Member

Most people on the campus are at least vaguely aware of PIRGIM as a student organization that uses research and advocacy to work for justice and progress.

But, we have found relatively few know many of the specific projects PIRGIM has undertaken. Therefore, we are offering an answer to the question: WHAT HAS PIRGIM DONE FOR ME LATELY?

In this column and the next one, we will list some of the projects PIRGIM has done since the summer of 1975.

1. FREEDOM OF INFORMATION. PIRGIM issued a comprehensive report, "State Secrets," on the obstacles citizens face in gaining access to government records at the state and local level. The report was widely reported in the press and the subject of several favorable newspaper editorials. It was followed by creation of a coalition to support a new Freedom of Information law to protect the citizen's right to know what the government is doing. This month, the PIRGIM-drafted bill was introduced by Rep. Perry Bullard (D-Ann Arbor). PIRGIM, Common Cause, and others are now working together to lobby it through.

We didn't wait for the new bill to pass, however. When PIRGIM was denied access to minutes and proposed rules being considered by the state Board of Pharmacy, we sued under the present, weak law and succeeded in winning a precedent that the public has the right to know in advance what proposals are being discussed by government boards.

2. PRESCRIPTION DRUG COSTS. We have completed the last of a three-part project to lower the cost to consumers of prescription drugs. In coalition with the Michigan Citizens Lobby, the pharmacists' professional assoc-

iation, and others, we helped lobby through 1974 Pharmacy Act amendments which allow consumers to buy lower-cost generic drugs, and which require druggists to display a sign with the prices of commonly filled prescriptions.

Then we monitored Board of Pharmacy implementation of the new law, insuring that new rules would make the prices readily available.

Following that, in an action completed last December, we petitioned for and finally got rules which legalized advertising of prescription drug prices, which studies showed could increase competition and lower prices. The Federal Trade Commission is now proposing the same principle nationwide.

Meanwhile, PIRGIM is again in the legislature trying to remove loopholes in the 1974 law.

3. CONSUMER PROTECTION. After the Michigan Senate passed a very weak version of Senate Bill 1, the "Michigan Consumer Protection Act," despite our lobbying, we tried again in the House. It passed a much stronger version, bearing many of the provisions PIRGIM and other consumer advocates had backed. The two versions are now in a House-Senate conference committee, and we are watching to insure that the consumer isn't forgotten in the process of compromising them.

4. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION. Last summer a determined try by mining interests emerged to destroy Michigan's 1970 Environmental Protection Act, the nation's first basic law to let citizens sue to stop degradation of the environment. Through the summer and into the fall, PIRGIM's work to block it included intensive lobbying by students from many campuses, who visited Lansing or raised the alarm in letters to their legislators or to hometown newspapers. Such efforts by a coalition including every environmental group in the state turned back the tide in the House of Representatives, which sent an inoffensive bill to conference committee, where the whole issue is expected to die for this year. The EPS emerged unscathed.

5. NUCLEAR POWER. In 1973, PIRGIM issued its...
report on the dangers in transporting radioactive waste materials from nuclear power plants, "Fallout on the Freeway." It has now been turned into legislation, House Bill 5318, pending in the House Public Health Committee. PIRGIM testimony at hearings on the bill made headlines across the state.

In 1975, we petitioned the Public Service Commission to require utilities to inform their customers of the plans made to evacuate people living near nuclear plants in case of a serious emergency which might produce an explosion. The petitions were accepted last month for hearing, expected early in April.

This year PIRGIM decided to carry the nuclear safety question to the people of Michigan. PIRGIM members are seeking 212,000 signatures on a petition to qualify for a statewide ballot. If passed, the "Safe Energy Initiative" would allow future nuclear plants in Michigan only if they met far more stringent safety and financial liability standards than do present plants.

PIRGIM CONT. FR. P. 18

HERE COME DA JUDGE
OR
WHAT I LEARNED FROM CAMPBELL COMPETITION

He stands at the podium
Looms larger than life
Vowing to dissipate
Hunger and strife.
We stand when he enters
Our respect is great
For this robed god-like hero
Who can decide our fate.
The solemnity, ritual
And ceremony of it all
Dwarfs our meek presence
Our own desires small.
Why is he empowered
With the strength to decide?
Are his orders godly,
And must we abide?
This place is no church
And this man is no saint.
It's only a courtroom
And justice this ain't!

--I. Witnesseth

NEWS

ABA NATIONAL CONFERENCE TO EXAMINE FEDERAL JUDGE SELECTION PROCESS

WASHINGTON, D.C. March 4 -- While the nation is again turning its attention to the long and complex process of selecting a President, the American Bar Association is undertaking a fresh analysis of the procedures by which the nation selects its most powerful non-elected officials, the life-time members of the federal judiciary including justices of the United States Supreme Court.

"We have unlimited opportunities to judge and react to Presidential hopefuls," said Lawrence E. Walsh, president of the American Bar Association, "but there has been little public understanding of the process by which we select officials to run the vital third branch of our government.

"Recent history clearly demonstrates, it seems to me, that the American people are increasingly concerned with the well being of the judicial branch and we think the time is right for an intensive new analysis of the process and the role the legal profession plays in it."

The new analysis will begin March 12-13 with the convening of an American Bar Association "National Conference on Selection of the Federal Judiciary" at Vanderbilt University in Nashville, Tennessee. Speakers and participants, Mr. Walsh said, will comprise the most knowledgeable and broadly representative group ever assembled to study the federal judicial selection process.

They will focus principally on the role of the ABA Standing Committee on the Federal Judiciary which has, in cooperation with presidents and attorneys general since the Eisenhower administration in 1953, investigated and reported on the professional qualifications of all candidates for federal district and circuit judgeships. The committee also has been consulted on nominees for the Supreme Court but until recent years was not asked to conduct full-scale investigations prior to the President's final selection of a nominee.

Conference speakers and participants will include officials responsible for selection of judicial nominees during the administrations of Presidents Eisenhower, Kennedy,
Johnson and Ford as well as chairmen of the ABA Judiciary Committee during the more than 20-year period.

Mr. Walsh, who is a former chairman of the committee, a former federal district judge in New York City, and former Deputy United States Attorney General, said papers prepared for the conference will provide a detailed analysis of the committee's procedures and discuss a number of proposals for improving and expanding them.

Speakers will include Sen. Charles Percy (R-Ill) who will tell of new procedures he has devised for the selection of nominees for federal judgeships in Illinois, and discuss a program which would help assure that all Senators select highly qualified candidates for recommendation to the President.

A companion paper, by Herbert Brownell, will discuss ways in which other state political leaders can be persuaded to support selection of the best qualified nominees. Mr. Brownell, of New York City, was Attorney General in the Eisenhower Administration.

Mr. Walsh said the conference should not only help the ABA committee function more effectively in its role as advisor to the President on judicial selection, but hopefully will broaden public understanding of the selection process and lead to greater public interest in it.

"During the past 20 years," Mr. Walsh said, "the ABA has played an important but sometimes controversial role in judicial selection. We are particularly concerned," he added, "that the public understand and accept this role. If it is to do so, of course, we must be seen as serving a broad public interest and not the interests of the legal profession alone. That is why we are opening our role to analysis and criticism by spokesmen for a broad range of viewpoints as well as all segments of the legal profession."

To apply, send a letter of application with a complete resume covering student bar activities, Section membership; previous LSD activities, academic achievements, extra- and co-curricular activities as well as any information of particular expertise the applicant might have in the area covered by the position being sought.

Where possible, appointments will be made to students with two years remaining in school to try to achieve continuity - but merit and expertise are weighed most heavily. A geographical balance is strived for.

Letters of application and resumes should be received by April 5, 1976.

They should be sent to LSD/ABA
1155 East 60th Street
Chicago, Illinois 60637

Attention: Liaison Program

The positions open are:

Administrative Law
Corporation, Banking & Business Law
Council on Legal Education Opportunity
Family Law
Housing & Urban Development
Individual Rights & Responsibilities
Insurance, Negligence and Compensation Law
Labor Relations Law
Law Day
Lawyer Referral Service
Legal Aid and Indigent Defendants
Litigation
Membership*
Public Utility Law
Real Property, Probate and Trust Law
Status of Prisoners

*Please Note: The Liaison applications to the Membership Section are due March 22.

They are given a voice and vote, have travel and expense budgets, are kept abreast of developments within their areas, and are often instrumental in formulating ABA policy.

Applicants must have at least one academic year in law school after June 1, 1976 and they must be a member of the Section to which they seek appointment as liaison. Attendance at the 1976 Annual Meeting in Atlanta is required but expenses to that meeting generally are not reimbursable. Otherwise the positions are all funded.

OPENINGS OF LIAISON POSITIONS TO ABA SECTIONS AND COMMITTEES

The Law Student Division's Section and Committee Liaison program is a vital LSD activity. This program provides a student voice in the substantive activities of the ABA.

Generally, the liaisons are accorded the same treatment as ABA Committee and Section members: they are given a voice and vote, have travel and expense budgets, are kept abreast of developments within their areas, and are often instrumental in formulating ABA policy.
Return with us now, hockey fans, as we get taken for another ride along ... the comeback trail! Three more quick chapters in the news-breaking antics of the Adventures of the All New Richie (don't call me Dick) Nixon Show!

A slight setback along the long road to glory was encountered when that traitorous Barry Goldwater (that fine statesman who has recently exhibited some momentary flashes of humanity) stabbed our hero in the back when he, in chastising the administration with regards to the recent Nixon-China trip, said that, "It would do the most good for all concerned if he would NOT COME BACK!" (and the crowd went wild!)

In other action, the UPI issued a news article regarding that same trip/fiasco over the following headline:

NIXON TAKES "BEAUTIFUL TRIP" UP CHINA RIVER

All I can say is that we should be ashamed of ourselves. It took China only a few days to do to that man what the Congress and the Washington Post tried to do for years ... send him up the river. But I guess it's just another example of sending our dirty laundry to the Chinese.

And finally, for all those of you who didn't pay any attention to me when I warned you who was sneaking down the comeback trail, I have some more good news.

This also goes out to any fools who believed that Richie Nixon went to China only as a "private citizen" (as Prez Ford insists) or any who don't believe what power dear Richie still wields in United States foreign policy.

Last Sunday night, "private citizen (Kane)" Richie Nixon returned to the good 'ol U.S. of A. from his trip to China where the Chinese leaders expressed considerable displeasure at U.S.-Soviet relations, and especially Detente. In an "unusual coincidence of timing", on Monday, Prez Ford announced that the U.S. will be changing its foreign policy with regards to the Soviet Union and that we are going to toughen up in our dealings with the U.S.S.R. and that "Detente" is only a word and no longer accurately describes present U.S.-U.S.S.R. relations. ...

THE COMEBACK TRAIL!

Elsewhere around the league, Hello Greg Hill! Your little thought about it being only your signature which draws the fire was very cute. But that ploy of trying to use someone else's discussion of an inane idea served only to show that there are people Out There just as outrageous as you are.

"Nearly all the greatest love poetry has been produced by Christians." - Such statements are just plain ignorant shit!

If you thought to divert the brunt of an infamous senseless sin-bin attack to another front simply by quoting three pages of someone else's drivel, it won't work ... we still know IT'S YOU BACK THERE!

Yours truly

Greg Hill.

(actually, it's really still me who wrote this, but I thought I'd try out Mr. Hill's theory and see if anything written over his signature draws the same kind of fire as what he writes. Personally, I believe him. I confess. There is just something about the names Greg and Hill which bring out the evil in me.) (same way as Yale and Shamiczar)

- G. Burgess Allison

(but you can call me Jack for short)

And now folks, it's time to get DOWN! Down to the serious business at hand in finding out whether or not you or your loved ones have any socially redeeming value; to find out whose priorities are screwed and whose are just holding hands ...

The Weekly p-box presents: CONT. P22
THE SIN BIN'S
ARE YOU SOCIALLY REDEEMING
QUIZ

EVENT: Commercials

COMPULSARIES:
1. "Pop, pop. Fizz, fizz. Oh, what a relief it is." ... What is it?
2. How do you spell relief?
3. List the ingredients of a Big Mac.
   (Twoallbeefetc.)

TWO MINUTE FREESTYLE:
1. There's a place in the country where folks can see life on the farm as it used to be. Where is it?
2. Why is a whistle presented to the cookie monster for dessert?
3. Who are the other guys?

FIVE MINUTE FINAL FREESTYLE:
1. In 1976, does she or doesn't she?
2. What is "the shape of things to come"?
3. What advice did the old man of the mountain give to the young kid who went up the mountain two years ago and never came back?
   (extra credit: What did he hope hadn't happened to the young kid?)

SUDDEN DEATH OVERTIME:
- What are the 2nd and 3rd verses of the "more good years on your car" song from the 60 second Goodyear spot?

EVENT: Television

COMPULSARIES:
1. Who are the Klingons? (sp?)
2. Who is slowly becoming a legend in his own mind?

TWO MINUTE FREESTYLE:
1. Who is Howie Meeker?
2. Of what value is Kate Smith?

FIVE MINUTE FINAL FREESTYLE:
1. Who do we tease him a lot 'cause we got him on the spot?
   (extra credit: Who wrote the song for his show?)
2. From series Grammar Rock what is the name of the song, in its series, which features little railroad cars with "AND", "BUT", & "OR" on them?

SUDDEN DEATH OVERTIME:
- from Multiplication Rock, complete: "Figure eight is _________."

NOTE: ALL THE ANSWERS ARE ON THIS SIDE OF THE PAGE. IF YOU DON'T WANT TO CHEAT, YOU CAN COVER THIS SIDE UP WITH YOUR BOOK.

Commercials:
Compulsaries:
1. Alka Seltzer.
2. R O L A I D S.
3. Twoallbeefpattiesspecialsaucelettuce cheesepicklesonionsonasesameseedbun.

Two Minute Freestyle:
1. Bob Evans, down on the farm.
2. 'cause when the cookie monster blows the whistle, a front-loader dumps a bulldozer shovel-full of cookies on top of him/her?.

Five Minute Final Freestyle:
1. "She still does"
2. the new car from Triumph also known as "The Wedge".
3. "I told him to git a Yamaha."
   X. "Hope the bear didn' git 'im."

Sudden Death Overtime:
"We all want good news 'round the corner, good times, wherever we are, good friends good and close to home and more Goodyears on your car."
"We all want good news 'round the corner, good times, wherever we are, Goodyear good and close to home and more Goodyears on your car."

Television:
Compulsaries:
1. from Star Trek, they are the interstellar bad guys; they even hate Tribbles.
2. Howard Cosell.

Two Minute Freestyle:
1. guest commentator between periods on Hockey Night in Canada (Channel 9) - primarily for games in Montreal.
2. she sings "God Bless America" at the Spectrum in Philadelphia before Flyer games (important games) and has an excellent win-loss record.

Five Minute Final Freestyle:
1. Mr. Kotter
   X. John Sebastian (Lovin' Spoonful fame)
2. "Conjunction Junction".

Sudden Death Overtime:
"Figure eight is double four. (more... double four is figure eight.
Wouldn't I just be so great, if I could skate a figure eight.")
EVENT: Records

COMPULSARIES:
1. And all this science I don't understand; it's just my job five days a week. Who am I?
2. What kind of a suit and boots does Bennie wear?
3. We just smiled, settin' there on that sack o' seeds. What kind of seeds were they?

TWO MINUTE FREESTYLE:
1. We had fun fillin' out the forms an' playin' with the pencils. Who are we and what record are we from?
2. What do I want instead of a pickle?
3. What does the junk food junkie have stashed in his secret hide-away shelf?

FIVE MINUTE FINAL FREESTYLE:
1. What is Fudd's First Law of Opposition? (extra credit: What is Testicle's Deviant to Fudd's Law?)
2. By what name does everyone know Melanie Haber, a/k/a Audrey Farber, a/k/a Susan Underhill, a/k/a Betty Jo Bioloski?
3. Just a minute boy. Come here. Where are you from?

SUDDEN DEATH OVERTIME:
- What kind of a fool do you take me for?

---

Well folks, how did you do? Smug in my elitism (garbage variety), I can say, with absolutely no modesty whatsoever, that I would have gotten a perfect score (complete with overtimes) on this test even if I hadn't made it up myself.

If you blew more than half of the Compulsaries, you probably do know the Rule in Shelly's Case. Forget it Dr. Memory (Firesign, Bozos). Your priorities aren't even screwed ... they're only masturbating themselves!

If you got more than half the Two Minute Freestyles, you are actually quite straight and have a decent chance of becoming human.

A passing grade on the Five Minute Final Freestyles means you're really on top of your head and law school probably won't do too much permanent damage if you're careful.

THE ANSWERS ARE STILL ON THIS SIDE OF THE PAGE YOU CHEATERS.

Records:
Compulsaries:
1. Rocket Man. (Elton John, Honky Chateau)
2. a mohair suit and electric boots. (Elton John, "Bennie and the Jets", Yellow Brick Road)
3. marijuana seeds (a/k/a wildwood weed)

Two Minute Freestyle:
1. Arlo Guthrie (Alice's Restaurant Massacre) and all his pals on the Group "W" Bench where they put you if you may not be moral enough to join the Army.
2. a motorcycle. (Arlo Guthrie, "The Motorcycle Song", Alice's Restaurant Massacre)
3. a bag of Fritos, a Dr. Pepper and an Ol' Moon Pie! Then I sit back in glorious expectation of a genuine junk food high!

Five Minute Final Freestyle:
1. If you push something hard enough, it'll fall over.
X. It comes in, it must go out. (both: Firesign Theatre, I Think We're All Bozos On This Bus)
2. Nancy. (Firesign Theatre, "The Adventures of Nick Danger, Third Eye", How Can You Be In Two Places At Once When You're Not Anywhere At All?)
3. Nairobi, ma'am. Isn't everybody? (Firesign Theatre, How Can You Be...) spoken by the telegram messenger to Lilly Lamont.

Sudden Death Overtime:
First Class. (Firesign Theatre, "Nick Danger", How Can You Be...) spoken by Rocky Rococco to Nick Danger, Third Eye.

A perfect score (excluding overtimes) and you are really the master of your fate and captain of your soul. You are obviously not studying at all. Law School will not only not do any damage to you, but if anything, you may do some damage to it! Congratulations!

If you also got all the Sudden Death Overtimes right you're just plain sick. Oh well, shoes for industry Charlie.

- uh...Clem.

(Firesign, Bozos)

P.S. If I knew how to spell the word, I wouldn't be in this school, now would I?
Back to the grind. When I first started writing this masterful mess of misinformation, it was easy and a lot of fun. Now, it's getting harder to think of things to tell you turkeys (and we know that each of you needs all the help and information you can get). Actually, I thought it was supposed to go the other way, that it'd be easier—after all, almost a year of law school should make me a better bullshitter than ever, right? But I'll keep writing—it keeps me off the streets at night.

********

Misery is: Opening your parachute and looking down to see that the only thing between you and the target is two miles of very wet, 6-inch-deep mud; Pulling the ripcord on the next jump, and realizing that after spending 3 hours getting the mud off everything, you're heading right back to the same damn spot; Remembering at noon the next day that you forgot to move your car from the faculty parking lot the night before; Trying to get any financial aid from this miserly joint; Sitting here typing this drivel, when I could be doing something useful, like getting stoned.

********

Signs-of-the-Times (i.e., bumper stickers): "Women belong in the house—and in the Senate"; "Buy American-Made Products", on a Datsun; On a car belonging to either a sarcastic Democrat or a rather confused Republican, "Re-Elect Chevrolet".

********

Let's stay with the subject of politics for a few lines. Isn't it about time to change Franklin's famous saying to "Nothing is certain but death, taxes, and hundreds of repetitions of the same stupid political ads on the tube, each full of half-truths, innuendoes, and outright lies"?

********
While on break, I had the chance to meet with President Ford (a/k/a "Fracture Ford" or "Gerald Who?") for a few minutes. Before you start thinking that I spent my time brown-nosing in D.C., let me explain that he was in my hometown in Illinois to talk to reps of major agricultural groups and the area's leading farmers. That latter group, just by chance, happens to include me, even though I don't know the difference between a cow and a cornstalk (yes I do, come to think of it—one has a tassel on top, the other has something like a tassel on the bottom). Ford gave a half-hour talk, followed by about an hour of questions-and-answers. I was pleasantly surprised by his apparent knowledge on agriculture, foreign affairs, energy research, and a few other fields—all without notes, too. He comes off much better in person than on the tube, particularly in an informal setting such as this meeting. He did one thing, though, that I can't recall seeing any other politician do before—when he didn't know the answer right off, he said so, and didn't try to bullshit by saying he had the problem under review or somesuch.

Don't take the above as an ad or endorsement for Ford. I haven't made up my mind yet who I'll vote for in the general election for any office (other than for an uncle running for State's Attorney—gotta make sure of a good patronage job for next summer). Every one of the candidates has at least one good idea I strongly support, yet each has also made other proposals that scare the hell out of me. I've got a feeling that November is going to be "eanie-meanie-minie-moe" time in the voting booth.

Do you realize that the Post Office looses more money in two minutes than you'll make your first year out of school? Every day, they loose $14,600,000.00—as well as several thousand packages in the Chicago office alone.

They can't buy my soul, but they can rent my talent."--Harlan Ellison, noted science-fiction writer. Sounds like a few other people I know, too. Personally, I'm running a sale on soul this week—I can be bought cheap.

One cannibal was complaining to another about a bad stomach-ache. The second asked what he'd eaten recently. "Just some strange-looking missionary, dressed in a brown robe, skull cap, and a rope around his middle." The next question was how the guy'd been cooked. "We boiled him, of course, just like all the others." The second said, "You nut, no wonder you got sick—that guy shouldn't have been boiled, he was a friar."

A belated Happy St. Patrick's Day from McMalevolent McMemo McMaker to all of you Irish men and colleens.
Professor Allen Smith in China
or
 Stranger in a Strange Land

(The following is the second part of a two-part hypothetical interview with University of Michigan Law School Professor Allen Smith, who has just returned from a three-week tour of the People's Republic of China.)

Interviewer: While you were in China, what sorts of things did they show you?

Smith: Among other things, I visited several factories and was quite surprised that all the factories had day care centers for the workers' children and cafeterias for the workers. I figured that this was just another clever device to exploit the workers, sort of like a company town, but they told me that the day care was free and that the cafeteria charged such low prices that it operated at a loss and was subsidized by the State. I told them that we had a similar arrangement at the University of Michigan with the faculty's University Club, but I couldn't explain to them why workers and students at the university couldn't eat there as well.

I: Did you get to talk with the workers?

S: Yes, and I asked one worker whether they could form a union and collectively bargain with management and go on strike. He asked me why in the world the workers would have to do that since the workers already ran the factory and made the production decisions. The workers asked me whether I'd like to try my hand at running a drill press, but I figured that they were setting me up for some sort of products liability suit, so I declined.

I: I assume you also went to a commune.

S: I sure did, and it smelled even worse than the law school. Apparently, every commune has many fertilizer factories around it.

I: Fertilizer factories?

S: Yes. The peasants keep millions of pigs in the countryside since they all obediently follow the teaching of Chairman Mao that "every pig is a fertilizer factory." I think that may be what they have in mind when they talk about industrializing the countryside.

I: How did you travel around the country while you were in China?

S: Well, they have these large machines that travel on parallel steel rails...

I: You mean trains?

S: Yes, that's it! It's been so long since I've seen one in this country that I forgot what they're called. Anyway, the trains were very nice, although I wish they wouldn't put the passenger cars downwind from the cattle cars.

I: Did you see any of the old monuments in China?

S: While I was in Peking I saw the usual tourist traps, but the thing that most impressed me were the sculptures in the Rent Collection Courtyard. The etchings of pain and suffering on the faces of the peasants was quite gripping, and the smirks of greed exhibited by the landlords were quite horrifying in their pitilessness. Frankly, it reminded a lot of Ann Arbor.

I: What sort of cultural events did you attend?

S: I saw one opera which I assumed to be a fantasy called the "Red Detachment of Women." The basic plot is that an army detachment totally made up of Chinese Communist women wipes out half of the Japanese army. I told my interpreter, who happened to be a woman, that I thought the whole thing was highly imaginative but totally unrealistic since everyone knows that women can't fight.

I: What did she say to that?

S: Nothing. She just gave me a karate chop to the throat.

I: Could you tell us more about the role of women in China?
S: You know, since everyone in China dresses alike and cuts their hair short, it was hard to tell which ones were the women. The only difference I could see was that the women's clothes didn't fit as well as the men's since they make everything in men's sizes only. But I saw women doing everything that men were doing.

I: And men doing everything that women were doing?

S: Well, they weren't having babies if that's what you mean. Also, there weren't any men working in the day care centers. However, they compensate for this by not having many women working in top Communist Party positions. It all balances out in the end. The women control the people when they're young and the men control the people when they grow up.

I: Did you meet any top Communist Party officials?

S: Yes, I did. Of course, Chairman Mao only greets Heads of State and Disgraced Former Chief Executives of the U.S., so I didn't get to see him. Most of the other top party officials were too busy to see me since they were still squabbling over who was going to get Premier Chou's seat and then who was going to get the promoted person's seat and then who was going to get that promoted person's seat and so on. Sort of like a faculty tenure fight at the University of Michigan. But I did get to meet one top party official who I understand was the Third Vice-Premier for Monitoring American Television Broadcasts.

I: What was his job?

S: Trying to figure out what Archie Bunker is all about. He told me that he had two theories about American television. One was that the actors, directors, and producers of TV shows were idiots, and the other was that the viewers of TV were morons. I told him that both theories were correct.

I: Did you get to see how the people lived their daily lives?

S: Most of the people live, work, and shop in their own small neighborhood. Very few people commute long distances to work. With over 800 million people, you can imagine what sort of traffic jams they'd have if everybody commuted. I saw an apartment complex which had been built by the workers themselves -- that is, the people who lived there had built the place. Moreover, they did it on their free time after working hours. I tell you, any capitalist would give his right arm to have workers like that.

I: Of what quality was the housing?

S: Better than Ann Arbor. Of course, that's not saying very much, but actually, it was quite good. And talk about rent control! The rents were about 5% of a family's income compared with 25 to 30 percent here. I guess that when landlords and banks don't make money off of housing, it costs a lot less.

I: Could you tell us a little bit about the legal system?

S: Very little. There really isn't very much. As I said before, most of the good stuff like property and contracts is gone. There isn't even much criminal law because there isn't very much crime. One criminal law judge said that he had so little to do that he only worked part-time.

I: What was his other job?

S: A nuclear physicist working on fusion reactors. He says that he only has a big murder trial every couple years or so.

I: Is there capital punishment in China?

S: No. They have something worse. They make the prisoners listen to a tape recording of the collected writings of Chairman Mao, and they have to commit them all to memory before they are released.

I: Did you learn much while you were in China?

S: I learned that I wouldn't be able to teach property there.

I: Were the Chinese sad to see you go?

S: I think so. At the very end of my trip, they told me how grateful they were to have me there to serve as a reminder of how bad things were under capitalism.

I: And what did you say to that?

S: I said "If you really want a constant reminder, why don't you keep Nixon now that you've got him?"
REFRESH MY MEMORY, SSO. WHY DID WE COME TO THIS SCHOOL?

THIS MAY NOT BE PLEASANT, SO, I HEARD ABOUT THIS CLASS FROM A GUY WHO TOOK IT LAST YEAR...

...AND MADE HIM PAY.

HE SOON PROVED TO BE A MASTER OF THE INADUENTANT ACT...

...AMOS WAS TRYING TO PICK UP THE CLASS' ATTENTION WITH A REAL-LIFE EXAMPLE OF AN INADUENTANT ACT.

NOW, LOOK AT THIS BOOK, CLASS.

NOW, IF I WERE TO THROW THIS BOOK AT ONE OF YOU, EXPECTING YOU TO CATCH IT...

THAT'S ONE OF THE REASONS WE SIT IN THE BACK TODAY.

TODAY, CLASS, WE SHALL TALK ABOUT CRIMINAL LIABILITY FOR INADUENTANT ACTS. WE SHALL ALSO ENGAGE IN SOME PLATONIC DIALOGUE.
I would like to re-enact the case of the young girl who inadvertently set herself on fire. I will need a volunteer. Well, skip that part. Ms. Jones, please come up here and stand upon this desk.

As we know, the young girl was holding a leaky lantern awaiting the arrival of her father's ship. Take that lantern, Ms. Jones and hold it high.

Er, excuse me for a moment. The damn pipe went out. (Click, click). Stupid lighter! (Click) There we go. Whoops, pardon me.

*Poof*
The Pearl

It was the wrong night to hang out at the PM. As I opened the worn double doors I ran smack into one of those small political rallies as numerous in the fall as pimples on your face right before that dream date. Back, doing their best to mingle with the woodwork, sat the regulars, their baleful eyes gazing out on the scene with utter distaste. As I wandered in that direction an arm suddenly reached out and rammed me into a booth. Only one person could and would do that and I turned and met the eyes of Bob "The Incredible Hulk" Garonski, who I knew from both high school and college. The nickname was apt considering he was 6'4", weighed 220 pounds, had once dyed himself green in our advanced chemistry class and was known to sit in the back of religion class growling "It's clobberin' time again". So it was that I knew the look in his eyes boded ill as the politician, someone for a state senate seat, had usurped Hulk's favorite spot at the corner of the bar.

Summoning all the semantical and diplomatic skill I could muster I had barely been able to contain my friend when the politician mounted the sacred fooseball table and, ignoring the swizzle sticks and sinister murmurings flying out at him from our corner, he began to speak:

"My dear friends, we come here to celebrate our tremendous victory in this first primary having garnered 0% of the vote, far surpassing both the polls and my private predictions and hopes."

From beside me the Hulk rumbled, "Didn't anyone vote for you?"

Not to be ruffled, the politico replied:

"Yes, of course, but considering all the voter disfrasification and the write-out vote, I'm very pleased with the showing. This election proves that I am acceptable to all spectrums of our society on an equal basis due to my universal non-appeal. I offer what America needs in this time of crisis, a compromise candidate of the lowest possible common denominator.

The press said I'd be fortunate to get my dog's vote. I know for a fact that I did, unfortunately that was disqualified along with that of our pet canary. The press said this campaign was a joke, but it is forever immortalized in the Guinness Book of World Records and we even made the "Tonight Show". The press said we couldn't handle the give and take of a major campaign, but let the record show that we took worse than we gave.

In this era of voter apathy and disillusion, my victory stands as a monument to the American political process. Had all the people who wanted to vote against me made it to the polls I would have received an invitation from Sesame St. to host the segment explaining negative numbers.

I ask you good people how many votes such great rulers as Henry V, Catherine the Great or the Bourbons of France ever received? I count myself privileged to stand in such company.

This showing proves our campaign and our quest have nowhere to go but up. Indeed a single new convert would increase our edge by 100% and what other candidate can make that claim? I can also honestly say that I am my own man having been endorsed by no one and not even being approached by a single lobbyist."

At my side the Hulk stirred from contemplating the foam on his beer and grunted that the pollsters had a new category for this guy: Uncommitted*. The asterisk representing the percentage of his supports loose on the streets and not yet committed to a mental ward. As we turned our attention back to the amusing diversion (being too inebriated to remain angry) the candidate was concluding:

"Our campaign finances remain intact, our only major expenditure being due TV-2 NEWS when their election-return computer went into a catatonic stupor on digesting our share of the vote. The Poor fellow is still in a coma and my wife and I have sent get-well punch cards. Speaking of my wife, I'd like to thank her for turning all my in-laws against me. Without their abuse I would never have had the strength to go on. (Applause).

The evening over, I returned out pitcher to Ted the barkeep and asked what our tab was. On hearing the figure the Hulk almost turned green again and muttered, "For all that garbage you should pay us for staying."
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DUMP TRUCK

"I NEED A DUMP TRUCK, BABY, TO UNLOAD MY HEAD." — B. Dylan

by Larry Halperin

WHAT I DID OVER SPRING VACATION

Over spring vacation I went to Washington, D.C. That is our nation's capital. It was named after George Washington. I went there looking for a job. I did not find a job, but I did have many exciting adventures. Some were funny, some were sad, some were nice and some were bad. I had a very good time, and I did just so much that I don't know what to tell you about. So I will just tell you some of the best things.

My Funniest Experience

This old lady got on a bus I was riding on. She was very nice, but she was a little bit mixed up. She started telling me how this year was the nation's bicentennial. I just shook my head. Then she went on to say that the bicentennial was happening because America was discovered 2,000 years ago. I just shook my head. I guess she was a little bit mixed up. Then she said something about 200 years ago. She never did make up her mind.

My Nicest Experience

Three different times I pulled out my map when I was walking around, and you know what? Each time someone came up to me and asked if they could help me! I think people in Washington are nice.

My Most Thrilling Experience

I was in the Senate office building one day and who should I see coming out of one of the washrooms but Senator Eagleton. (You know, 1000% and all that) Well, Senator Eagleton he looked right at me and said, "Howdy." I nearly swooned from excitement, I'll tell you. But I was up to the moment. I shot right back, "How're ya doing." I guess it's experiences like that that make Washington such a special place.

My Most Surprising Experience

I thought Ann Arbor charged the most for everything, but I found out I was wrong. In Washington, D.C. beer always costs at least $1.00 a glass, regular mixed drinks were $1.75 (with 11¢ tax), and "happy hour" specials were still $1.25. I guess it made me feel like Dorothy felt about Kansas. There's no place like home, there's no place like home.

My Most Revealing Experience

I liked looking at all the pretty ladies in Washington, D.C. There were "show pieces" from each state in all the offices in Congress—especially in Senators Kennedy's and Hart's offices, but not Senator Griffin's.

I know that people should not think in sexist ways, but I guess Washington, D.C. is just that way. At one of the Congressional Committee's offices I tried to get one of the female office managers to let me speak to a counsel for the committee. But she was quite firm about telling me they didn't need anybody. She indicated the Senators brought their own staff with them when they came to the committee. This pretty lady, who was no more than 25 years old, said, "The men come and go, but we gals just stay on."

My Most Exciting Experience

The Smithsonian Institution is a lot of big buildings with a few square feet devoted to everything. I mean there was stuff on cars, on bridges, on selling ice, on schools, on furniture—oh, just everything. But there was one big exhibit about government and politics—of the people, by the people, for the people. In there they had this bigger than life size diorama with people holding "protest signs"—each person holding a sign protesting something else—you know, to show what a wonderful democracy this is. Well, right across from that is a little showcase about
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the 18 year old vote. And you know what is in there for posterity? (or until they take it out) A poster from Ann Arbor and A Detroit Free Press from April, 1972, telling how Jerry DeGrieck and Nancy Weschler were elected to the Ann Arbor City Council on the Human Rights Party Ticket. I mean here were two kids just like us, and they were in the same room with stuff about Lincoln and Jefferson and Kennedy and even Nixon. Wow.

My Most Thought Provoking Exper.

Speaking of my friend Mr. Nixon reminded me of an interesting conversation I had. In the Commerce Department I spoke with a very straight-laced older gentleman. We were talking about Mr. Nixon's deposition that had just been made public and the man (who looked like a "typical Republican" if I ever saw one) just sadly shook his head and said, "Those were sad days in government. Just like when I was in law school and McCarthy was around. It was a sad time in government when Nixon was President."

My Most Depressing-Encouraging Exp.

Before talking to that guy at Commerce I had spoken to a man who graduated from none other than the University of Michigan Law School 27 years ago. "He was not at all enthusiastic about working for the government. He said that the first 10 or 15 years were fine; he was happy and encouraged, but he said he's been kicking himself for the last 15 years for still being there. That was not very uplifting.

But the next day I talked to a young man of about 30 at the NLRB. He was bright and chipper and he was most enthusiastic, even though he said all he did was decide whether certain acts were unfair labor practices under section 8 of the National Labor Relations Act. He seemed like a very nice guy, and he was happy because he always felt he'd be able to leave if he didn't like what he was doing.

My Most Unforgettable Experience

You know my very first day of law school, in my very first class, Ollie Browder called on me first--and I had to pass because I hadn't read the cases. I thought that was rather unique. But as I went around to private firms I had an interview with a lawyer who went to U of Chicago Law School quite a while ago and he had our own Frank Allen for Criminal Law. And he told me how he always remembers being called on by Professor Allen in the course of the class on Dudley v Stevens (the murder-canibal case on the boat) and Professor Allen asked what they had to eat on the boat, and he answered a tin of something; and then Professor Allen asked how many ounces was that tin.

I guess law school is nearly as filled with memories as a trip to Washington. That's all for now.
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Stroking his mustache Ted coolly replied that that kind of arithmetic only works in politics and not in reality.

"Gee," I said pulling out my wallet, "I didn't know there was a difference.

KNIGHTS OF THE RES GES-TABLE

Arthur ............ Ken Frantz
Lady of the Lake ... Carol Sulkes
Sir Launcelot...... Tony Kolenic
Sir Gallahad....... Ned Othman
Sagamore.......... Mark Shaprow
Tristan............. Larry Halperin
Percival........... Howie Bernstein
Mordred........... G. Burgess Allison
Sir Robin.......... Kevin McCabe
Guinevere.......... Dot Blair
Belvedere.......... Earl Cantwell
Ector............... Lefty Ruschmann
Sir Gawaine........ Ed Marod
Crusader Rabbit... Crusader Rabbit
Sir Lionel.......... John Guillean
Lady Elaine........ Sandy Gross
Birch ("Tree") Bayh, the highly-touted superstar from Indiana, tried to forget all the aches and pains from the day's wind sprints and calisthenics, and grab himself some sorely-needed rest. Already today, Shapp, his training-camp roommate, had grabbed the night flight—the two-a-days and the hazing by the veterans had gotten to him.

Sleep was long in coming, for another cut day was at hand. More rookies and free agents would be deserting the Democratic training camp and heading home to filling stations, Burger Chefs, and assembly lines.

Finally...the knock at the door.

"Bayh!" cried the simply-faced clubhouse boy. "Coach Strauss wants to see you in the morning. Bring your playbook."

The Turk had come.

Kudos to last week's winner, Thom Linn, who got 6 of 8, and came closest to Ford's 53 percent vote total in Florida. He can pick up his two dollars, taxable as income, at the RG office on Wednesday or Thursday evening.

Other winners of sorts include runnerup Joel Winsten (he picked Ford to get 55%, but bad weather in central Florida did him in), and Gary Goldberg and Edward Quinn (no relation to the protagonist in Fletcher Knebel's Dark Horse), the only entrants with the sagacity to pick Action Jackson in the Massachusetts mess.

Campaign of the week honors go to the successful "Stop Shapp" campaign in Florida, where a coalition of Carterites, Wallaceites, Jacksonians, Harris people, Demo leaders, rank-and-file Dems, independents, conservatives, liberals and moderates joined forces to hold the truckers' hero to 24% of the vote.

This week's poll covers the North Carolina primary, where undefeated Gerald Ford attempts to score a 6th round TKO over Reagan, and Jimmy Carter (4-1) tries to score a third straight win over George Wallace.

Top 4 finishers in N.C. Democratic primary:

Republican winner:

Tie-breaker: Ford's percentage of N.C. vote:

Authoritative!

Name: _______________________

The RG (unofficial) delegate count:

Republicans: Ford 152, Reagan 54, Uncommitted 38, Total--244
Democrats: Carter 167½, Stevenson 87, Wallace 73, Jackson 53½, Humphrey 34½, Udall 24, Harris 17½, Shriver 13½, Bayh 7, Others 12½, Uncommitted 85. Total selected--572

Lefty Ruschmann
Chief Handicapper
Sports Poll

Last week's winner was the Princeton Prognosticator himself, John Mezzanotte, with a record of 26-9. Once again a pollster has looked like a true expert. This poll will be somewhat abbreviated, for two reasons. First, there aren't too many games this week, and, second, this column is typed on St. Patrick's Day and I want to get back to the beer. For this poll you must pick the place each team finishes in each regional and in the NIT. How can they be having a real NIT without either St. John's or Bradley? At least Providence was in it.

The main problem with this poll is that all semifinals are to be played on Thursday night (which means, of course, last night), so you might get some help. Here we go again.

EASTERN REGIONAL:
Thursday night games: (at Greensboro)
VMI v. DePaul
Rutgers v. Connecticut (UConn)
1st: ________ 2nd: ________ 3rd: ________ 4th: ________

MIDWEST REGIONAL: (at Louisville)
Michigan v. Notre Dame
Texas Tech v. Missouri
1st: ________ 2nd: ________ 3rd: ________ 4th: ________

MIDWEST REGIONAL: (at Louisville)
March 1: Western Michigan
Marquette v. Western Michigan
1st: ________ 2nd: ________ 3rd: ________ 4th: ________

WESTERN REGIONAL: (at UCLA)
UCLA v. Pepperdine
Nevada Las Vegas v. Arizona
1st: ________ 2nd: ________ 3rd: ________ 4th: ________

NIT:
UNC-Charlotte v. No. Carolina St.
Kentucky v. Providence
1st: ________ 2nd: ________ 3rd: ________ 4th: ________

TIEBREAKER: How many points will be scored in the Midwest championship?