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Be Our Valentine
Rhonda

RES GESTAE
michigan law school weekly

FEB. 13, 1976
Friday

What: St. Valentine's Day Massacre
When: Friday, February 13, 1976; 3:30 PM
Where: Lawyers Club Lounge

Faculty, students, and staff are hereby invited to join together in commemoration of this occasion and otherwise enjoy themselves. Refreshments will be provided.

--The Social Committee

LAW FRAT

All persons interested in living at the Phi Delta Phi house next year are cordially invited to come by this Friday at 3:30 p.m. for "Milo's Bar". For those of you unfamiliar with Phi Delta Phi, we are a co-ed residential law fraternity located at 502 East Madison (less than a block from the Law Quad.) The house holds somewhat in excess of 20 people and there will be openings for about ten of those places.

There are numerous advantages to living at the "Phid" house, such as having a single room, a place to park your car, etc. but best of all is a general level of low key sanity maintained by those in residence. You can find out more of the physical details on Friday afternoon but the general point is that Phi Delta Phi offers a real alternative to life in the Quad.

Also, those looking for a place to live in Ann Arbor this summer are welcome to drop by at the above-mentioned time. The advantages of summer residence at Phi Delta Phi are the same and the cost quite low. (Our present estimate is $150 rent for the entire summer.) Hope we see you there.

MOVIE

A MUST FOR FIRST YEAR STUDENTS! THE CLASSIC EXPOSITION OF LANDLORD-TENANT RELATIONS--

GRAND HOTEL

7 & 9:15 Rm 100 Hutchins
Law Students FREE Non-law, $1

See also Sheldon Sez at PAGE 8

Sunday

SUSAN B. ANTHONY DINNER

Don't miss the best birthday party of the year! Come to the second annual Susan B. Anthony Potluck Supper. It will be held Sunday, February 15, in the Lawyer Club Dining Room at 7:00 p.m. Please call Ann Peterson, 668-6820, or Christie Peterson, 663-9589, if you plan to attend. Table service will be provided. Please bring your own beverage.

Tuesday

1976 HENRY M. CAMPBELL MOOT COURT COMPETITION

Semi-Final Docket

MEMBERS OF THE COURT

Yale Kamisar
Professor of Law

Vincent A. Blasi
Professor of Law

CONT. p 3 "DOCKET"
"DOCKET" cont. from p. 2

Lee C. Bollinger
Assistant Professor of Law

Tuesday, February 17, 1976
Docket #1 - 3:30 P.M.

Counsel for Petitioner
I. Scott Handelsman
II. Mike Herbert
Joe Freedman

Counsel for Respondent
Ralph Hall
Ed Marod
George Vinyard

Tuesday, February 17, 1976
Docket #2 - 7:30 P.M.

Counsel for Petitioner
I. Jim Schnare
II. Al Domanski

Counsel for Respondent
Stuart Jones
Jim Hiller
Steve Brock

Wednesday, February 18, 1976
Docket #3 - 3:30 P.M.

Counsel for Petitioner
I. Fred Fathe
Chet Labedz
II. George Lehner
Liz Hilder

Counsel for Respondent
Gayle Horetski
Peter Winkler
Jesse Jones
Stuart Deming

OBSERVERS WELCOME--LOCATION IS MOOT COURT ROOM, 232 HUTCHINS HALL.

GOOD LUCK ARGIE ANT!

NOTICES

CLINICAL PROGRAM IN INTERNATIONAL LAW
Applications for 1976-1977 due

The United State Department of State has invited the Michigan Law School, along with several other leading law schools, to participate in a clinical program in international law that has been instituted in the Department on a limited, experimental basis. The Michigan Law School has approved the participation in this program which was described by the Deputy Legal Advisor in the following terms:

The participating student from the third year law school class "would spend one semester working a designated branch of the Office. While he would have an opportunity to participate in some of the day-to-day operational work of the Office, his emphasis would be on a selected number of long-range problems of current interest to the Office. He would be expected to do thorough research into these problems and to produce a major written product as a result of his research. This product would be unclassified. This combination of work experience and research writing would be under the immediate supervision of an Assistant Legal Adviser and under the general supervision of a Deputy Legal Adviser. The Counselor on International Law (currently Prof. Stephen M. Schwebel) would also meet regularly with the student and provide him with supervised reading on subjects in the area to which he is assigned. We would also hope to organize a series of seminar-like sessions within the Office of the Legal Adviser, with other officials of the Department, with officers of other Government agencies and with individuals from private life in the Washington area."

The Law School faculty may recommend to the State Department one or two second-year students on the basis of their record and proven interest in the international field for a one-term appointment. The faculty will maintain general supervision over the Michigan participant and a faculty committee will evaluate the major research paper which each participant will be expected to produce. Upon satisfactory completion of the term the participant will receive 12 hours "pass" credits toward graduation.

CONT. p 4 "NOTICES"
Interested students should contact Prof. Eric Stein, 914 Legal Research Building, for further information.

ENVIRONMENTAL LAW SOCIETY

ENVIRONMENT LAW SOCIETY PRESENTS:

Representative Thomas Anderson
Michigan Legislature

February 20, 1976
3:30 p.m. Cook Room

"WE CAN PASS ENVIRONMENTAL LEGISLATION IN 1976?"

ENVIRONMENTAL LAW SOCIETY

The Environmental Law Society will be sponsoring a Land Use Control Gaming Session and workshop. The workshop is entitled PRELUDE (Process of Enriching Land Use Decision making). It was devised last year by Professor Richard Duke of School of Natural Resources for the Environmental Law Society under the auspices of a grant from the Department of Health, Education and Welfare.

The project will be run on FRIDAY evening March 19 at 7:00 p.m. in the Cook Room. Persons interested in participating should contact Judy Rosenbaum (665-5473).

Space is somewhat limited, if there is sufficient interest and demand another session will be scheduled.

CELLIST WANTED

Two first year law students who play violin and piano respectively need a cellist to play piano trios. Please call George Loomis, 764-8936 or David Hejna, 769-0410.

BAR EXAMINATION

The New York State 1976 Summer Bar Examination will be held on Tuesday, July 20, and Wednesday, July 21, 1976. To help insure success on the examination, the Practising Law Institute offers both a 1976 SUMMER NEW YORK BAR REVIEW COURSE and a 1976 SPRING NEW YORK PRACTICE COURSE.

Understanding New York Practice under the Civil Practice Law and Rules is essential to pass the New York State Bar Examination. For law students whose schools do not offer study in New York Practice, the PLI New York Practice Course provides the necessary comprehensive coverage.

The course lecturer is Dean Joseph McLaughlin of Fordham Law School, an outstanding teacher, author of McKinney's Practice Commentaries on the Civil Practice Law and Rules and coauthor of Cases on New York Practice.

The companion text to the course will be the 1976 Edition of New York Practice Under the CPLR by Herbert M. Wachtell.

If any student is interested in establishing the University of Michigan as an OUT-OF-TOWN TAPE COURSE LOCATION for either the New York Practice Course (Beginning the week of March 22.) or the New York Bar Review Course, please contact Wayne M. Grzecki at 434-2506.

** SUBLET **

Spacious two bedroom apartment; 1 baths; air-conditioned; dishwasher; covered parking; 6 min. to Law Quad! Hurry! It won't last long! 769-7653 eves. --- Available May 15th.
Editor, Res Gestae:

Enclosed is a photocopy of my letter to the Michigan Daily. Since MMM has chosen to make it a matter of interest to the law school community, I respectfully request that it be inserted, in full, in the next issue of Res Gestae so that it may speak for itself.

I do indeed object to the scorn the Daily heaped on the Pope. It is one thing to disagree with a man's opinion and to say so as clearly and forcefully as one can, and it is quite another thing to sneer at that opinion without even trying to understand it. I cannot see how Pope Paul could possibly condone fornication, adultery, or homosexuality without expressly rejecting the teachings of the Apostle Paul, teachings I believe to be fundamental to the Christian tradition which, as I understand Catholicism, the Pope is supposed to preserve and protect.

I fail to see in my letter any assertion "that sex is the Devil's handiwork." Indeed, I believe I said that it was a gift from God—a power granted us that we may share in His work of creation as the Author of Life. I do not believe I said that sex "had been a danger to my mortal soul." I am tempted, at times, as is any other man, to use that power in ways God has forbidden me to use. But my faith teaches me that I am not a tamed ape. With the Lord's help I have been able to overcome those temptations, master my instincts, and make them obey what I regard as the will of my Creator.

I fail to see how my letter—or the Pope's declaration—can be taken as an attempt to "force our moral code on another." The Pope's message is addressed to the faithful, to those who regard him as the Vicar of Christ and source of Divine Guidance in faith and morals. My letter to the Daily protests an attempt by the editors to jeer into silence those who take the Judeo-Christian tradition seriously and hence refuse to accept the presently fashionable "standards" of sexual morality. As MMM quite correctly points out (though I did not, in my letter), this is not the first time in history such conduct has been in vogue.

I would, of course, like to see the whole world persuaded to live faithfully within the Judeo-Christian tradition. I sincerely believe that those who do so will find joy, poetry, and meaning in life forever hidden from those who reject it. And I am not anxious to bequeath to my children life in a modern Sodom or Gomorrah.

It may well be that I lack skill as an advocate, and do my cause more harm than good. I know that I have more than my share of personal shortcomings, and if I have caused a number of you to find me personally distasteful, I'm sorry. I can only say, again with Paul, "For the good that I would do I do not: but the evil which I would not, that I do."

Romans 7: 19.

Yours truly,

Greg Hill

Greg Hill

*Job 19: 25-26
1 Corinthians 15: 20-22, 51-55

Sins of sex

To the Daily:


I am not a Catholic, but I applaud the Pope's action. It is an act of courage, not of repression. It is most easy to surrender to the sweep of fashionable opinion: One is hailed as "enlightened" and "for-seeing" by all who rush with him into the soul-destroying, sugar-coated debauchery and social chaos which seem inevitably to accompany sexual license. This is not, however, a role for the compassionate Shepherd who loves the people in his flock and wishes to help them master themselves, find true greatness of soul, and find the clean, guiltless joy which accompanies sexual union sanctioned by the Lord in the bond of marital commitment.

SEX IS AN AWESOME power. Its exercise leads to what Ashley Montague has called "the most delicate, the most sensitive of human relationships." Which is also, of course, potentially the most devastating of human relationships. This relationship deserves the solemn interpersonal commitment which the Lord has required of those who would enter into it. All who abuse this power will justly be called to account before the Master of Creation and Author of Life, who gave it to us that we might share in His Work and His Glory—and His eternal Joy.

Gregory Hill

January 16, 1976
The Hundred Thousand Dollar Misunderstanding: Pirgim's Donation Boondoggle
By Burgess Allison, PEBGIM Staff

(*the weekly penalty box, G. Burgess Allison, in malice aforethought)

Once again this week, we of the PEBGIM Staff would like to announce another violation of our consumer rights along with our own glorious efforts and successes in an attempt to save the hapless consumer from the big bad horrible groups who try to take advantage of the consumer at every opportunity. [shit.]

HOW ABOUT IT! MR. RICHARD CONLIN protector of our rights (self-appointed)???

EVERY WEEK YOU TELL US WHAT HOT SHIT YOU ARE AND WHAT A GREAT JOB YOU'RE DOING!!!!

HOW ABOUT THIS DAMN DONATION RACKET???

[boy is this guy ever pissed.]

YOU BET I AM! HOW ABOUT YOU, ALAN BARAK, who proposed that the LSSS pass a resolution supporting this donation "present method of funding"??? HOW ABOUT THE SEVEN LSSS MEMBERS WHO VOTED IN SUPPORT OF THIS SCHEME STATING THAT THE SCHEME "is a fair method of funding"???

(Not only, I might add, voting their own personal stupid support [boy, that's a bit harsh and unnecessary, don't you think] but also voted the support of the STUDENT BODY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN LAW SCHOOL !!!!

NEGATIVE CHECKOFF. SHIT!!

How about if the next President of the U.S. decides to incorporate a negative checkoff method of giving himself campaign contributions on everyone's next four tax returns (the excuse being of course, "Well, I got a majority vote in the last election, therefore I can assume that everybody wants to donate to my next campaign.")

YOU HAVEN'T GIVEN AN ANSWER TO ANYBODY!! HOW ABOUT IT???? You haven't answered anybody in the Daily; you certainly haven't answered anybody in this feeble attempt at free press. How about coming up with even your best half-assed excuse so at least everybody can see your motives and warped sense of "consumer advocacy". Tell us how this is any different from those mail order rip-off schemes (book-of-the-month, record-of-the-month, free encyclopedias, etc, etc, ad nauseum)! "If we do not hear from you within X amount of time, congratulations, you will be the proud owner of a genuine Italian Hoosegow!"

The real key is the answer to the questions:

What kind of consumer advocate are you if these are the tactics you use? Does the end justify the means in a case where you think the end is really important?

I think you have shown your worth as a consumer advocate by this last funding technique.

Please note that any other group's attempt at such a method of funding would evoke rhetoric from yourselves as being "STEALING FROM THE STUDENTS! THE BIG STUDENT RIP-OFF!"

This request for a reply is directed not only at PIRGIM, but also (and especially) this turkey Richard Conlin who tells us every week what a hot shit job PIRGIM is doing, and at the LSSS, for endorsing such a consumer abortion.

Sabotage has always been considered a more heinous offense than those of war. The reason is that your announced enemy has the decency to proclaim that he is against you. But treachery from within, from the trusted, is so more devastating ... and indefensible.

- G. Burgess Allison
senior editor
PEBGIM Staff
The Weekly Penalty Box
Boulder, Colorado 19711
PIRGIM

LOBBYING FOR TENANT PROTECTION

By Joseph S. Tuchinsky, PIRGIM Staff Member

Do Tenants need more protection?

There was no doubt about it in the minds of representatives of 14 organizations who met in East Lansing last week to create the Michigan Tenant Rights Coalition. People working with legal aid offices, tenants unions, and other local and regional groups, as well as PIRGIM, committed to work together, and chose Ann Dunlop of the Grand Rapids Tenants Union as coordinator.

The first effort is the passage of two bills now pending on the floor of the Michigan House of Representatives.

They were introduced last spring by Rep. Perry Bullard (D-Ann Arbor) and sent to the Urban Affairs Committee. There, with intense lobbying by landlord groups as well as tenants' advocates, compromises were struck and a "substitute" bill was reported out of committee in December.

Both landlords and tenants gave up some goals to reach the compromise. Tenant advocates are willing to stick to the bargain. But it looks not as though the landlords aren't. They have gotten a batch of weakening amendments introduced on the House floor, and appear to be lobbying against the bills.

In response, a lobbying effort was begun by the coalition, coordinated in Lansing by PIRGIM staff member Roger Winthrop. Tenants Resource Center in East Lansing and PIRGIM have been recruiting and training lobbyists to call personally on House members this week. Other coalition members plan to see legislators at home.

Advocates of the two bills consider them reasonable and modest in their effects. They will protect tenants from unscrupulous landlords, but they won't affect landlords who already act responsibly.

Here's what the two bills will do.

HB 4957 is designed to stop illegal evictions.

Michigan's present Summary Proceedings law forbids "forcible eviction" by landlords, but doesn't define the term or provide adequate enforcement. As a result, tenants are forced out of their homes despite defenses that might have been honored if the case went to court. The purpose of HB 4957 is to insure that tenants can get their day in court before being thrown out of their homes.

The bill defines the following as unlawful methods of eviction: force or threat of force, removal of tenants' possessions, changing locks without giving the tenant the new key, removing doors and windows, boarding entrances, or cutting off utilities and services. Exceptions are allowed if the landlord acted under a court order, denied access only briefly to make needed repairs, or had specific reasons to believe the tenant had abandoned the premises.

To deter unlawful evictions, the bill allows the tenant who sues successfully to recover actual damages (or triple damages if the eviction is "by force") or a $200 minimum, plus court costs and reasonable attorney fees.

The other bill, HB 4958, is designed to insure tenants reasonable privacy.

It protects tenants' rights to privacy in their rented homes by specifying and limiting the conditions under which a landlord can enter a residential premises. Entry would be allowed at times agreed between the landlord and tenant, at any time if emergency repairs are necessary (the landlord must leave a note explaining what happened), or during daytime for normal inspection or repairs with 5 days' advance written notice to the tenant.

A violation of this law by either landlord or tenant is grounds for suit for actual damages. Because it is hard to put a dollar value on privacy, violations by the landlord would be deterred by a $100 minimum recovery. Repeated violations allow the other party to cancel the lease.

A total of 15 amendments had been introduced by last week, all but one of them calculated to weaken the bills. Lobbyists for tenants are asking House members not only to support the bills but to oppose all weakening amendments. They feel tenants have compromised enough already, and that the bills should be passed without further watering down.
On February 11, the bills will be considered on the House floor for Second Reading, a vulnerable point at which it is easiest to amend them. Once past Second Reading, they are likely to have an easier time in Third Reading and final passage. Then they must go to the Senate and start the process over again.

Your help, whether in the form of letters or phone calls or personal visits to legislators, or letters to the editor in hometown newspapers, might influence an uncertain vote. For details, call the PIRGIM office on campus, or Roger Winthrop at the PIRGIM state office in Lansing, 487-6001.

"ETHICS" CONT. FROM P. 10

that it may consider such suggestions at a meeting of the Committee in mid-March.

It is contemplated that the Committee will report again to the House of Delegates before the annual meeting of the American Bar Association in August.

Respectfully submitted,

Lewis H. Van Dusen, Jr.,
Chairman

MOBILE

Sheldon Sez: "Grand Hotel" is OK

From her first line, "I have never been so tired in my life," Greta Garbo sets the movie in vibration with her extraordinary presence. She is a premiere danseuse whose career is fading, a weary, disillusioned woman briefly reconciled to life by a passion for a shady nobleman -- John Barrymore. Garbo was only twenty-six when she played this role (Barrymore was fifty), but the fatigue, the despair seem genuine. Intellectually you have every reason to reject "Grand Hotel" as an elaborate chunk of artifice and hocus-pocus: there are no redeeming qualities in Vicki Baum's excruciating concepts of character and fate, and anyone who comes to see this movie expecting an intelligent script or even "good acting" should have his head examined. But if you want to see what screen glamour used to be and what, originally, "stars" were, this is perhaps the best example of all time. "Grand Hotel" survives because of the same factors that made it a huge hit in 1932 (it even won the Academy Award as Best Picture) -- the force of the personalities involved in the omnibus story. There is a sexy minx named Joan Crawford, who bears only a slight resemblance to the later zombie of that name; there are Wallace Beery, Lionel Barrymore, Lewis Stone, and in minor parts, Jean Hersholt, Raffaela Ottiano, Ferdinand Gottschalk, etc. Striding through it all there is the living legend of the screen, Garbo, in her chinchilla polo coat, with her anguished "I want to be alone," with her clothes that seem to get in her way, and with a ridiculous little bobby pin that keeps her hair firmly in place during her big love scenes with Barrymore.

--Pauline Kael
ethics

ABA ETHICS COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS LIMITED RULE
CHANGES THAT WOULD EXPAND INFORMATION ON
LAWYERS SERVICES

Los Angeles, Feb. 1 -- The American Bar Association's Standing Committee on Ethics and Professional Responsibility is recommending limited changes in ethical rules which would permit bona fide consumers' organizations to publish, in addition to general biographical information, charges for an initial consultation fee and information on the area of law practice concentration. The Committee deferred action on the question of advertising by individual lawyers, which it had included in its discussion draft circulated to the bar last December.

The Committee's recommendation is spelled out in its report (below) mailed today to the 350 members of the ABA's policy-making House of Delegates for action at its meeting scheduled for mid-February in Philadelphia.

In its report, the Committee notes that the amendments meet the need observed by many lawyers who have communicated with it that additional information should be furnished the public, and meet the legitimate concern of the public that they have access to needed information which will aid them in the selection of counsel.

"The Committee is urging members of the House to study carefully its report and accompanying recommendations," said Lewis H. Van Dusen, Philadelphia, Pa., Chairman. "Many House members have already made valuable suggestions in connection with its study," he noted.

"These recommendations represent several months examination of all ramifications of the questions of what information should be communicated to the public about lawyers' services and about what they might cost."

"We have heard from consumer groups, legal services organizations, bar associations and members of the bar. We have considered reports on lawsuits involving these questions. We have held two public meetings - one for consumer groups in Washington, D.C., and one for the bar in Chicago. The ABA produced for use of bar associations a film on the subject, part of which highlighted the proceedings of those meetings. We have prepared and circulated a "Discussion Draft" of certain rule changes. We received comments from over forty bar associations in response to that draft."

"And the comments are still coming in", Van Dusen emphasized. "The Committee plans to continue its study of these questions in the light of these bar suggestions. We are extending the period for comment through March 5, and will meet again in mid-March to decide whether additional recommendations should be made."

"As requested, the Committee had hoped to conclude its study by the mid-year meeting," Van Dusen explained, "but we have found that it's going to take us more time to communicate with the 200,000 members of the ABA, and the hundreds of state and local bar associations on this controversial subject. They have already shown considerable interest in it and the Committee has benefited from their thoughtful contributions."

"We expect to report again to the House of Delegates before the ABA's annual meeting in August," Van Dusen said.

In addition to Van Dusen, members of the Committee are -

Betty B. Fletcher, Seattle, Wa.
Thomas C. MacDonald, Jr., Tampa, Fla.
L. Clair Nelson, Stamford, Ct.
Harold L. Rock, Omaha, Ne.
John Joseph Snider, Oklahoma City, Ok.
John F. Sutton, Jr., Austin, Tx.
Sherman S. Welpton, Jr., Los Angeles, Ca.

SPECIAL REPORT OF THE STANDING COMMITTEE ON
ETHICS AND PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY
RECOMMENDING AMENDMENTS TO AND CONTINUED
STUDY OF CANON 2 OF THE CODE OF
PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY

After the Supreme Court of the United States decided Goldfarb v. Virginia State Bar and Bigelow v. Virginia on June 16, 1975, the Standing Committee on Ethics and Professional Responsibility was requested by the President of the Association to review the advisability of further revision of those provisions of the Code, which provide for specified limited advertising, in the wake of both of these cases and of the resulting intensified requests for relaxation of these provisions from a wide spectrum of consumer groups, as well as from members of the bar and bar groups.

The Bylaws of the Association provide that the Standing Committee on Ethics and Professional Responsibility will:

CONT. P 10
"(4) Recommend appropriate amendments to to clarifications of the Code of Professional Responsibility or the Code of Judicial Conduct, if it considers them advisable."

Under this mandate the Committee in the past has considered proposed changes in the Code in the light of various decisions of the Supreme Court of the United States relating to group legal services and public communications by lawyers. As a result of this consideration, amendments to the Code relating to public communications were adopted in 1974 and in 1975.

The requested review has proceeded for the past several months and continues. The Committee now reports to the House of Delegates, describing in brief the nature of its studies to date and outlining the limited recommendations now made.

Many comments from lawyers and bar associations have urged the Committee to give the matter further study and to make its final report later in the year so that the matter will come before the House of Delegates at its meeting in Atlanta in August. These suggestions are consistent with the original beliefs of the Committee at the inception of its study; however, it then took the position that it would make every reasonable effort to produce a report for consideration by the House of Delegates at the Mid-Year Meeting in Philadelphia, but that the subject was so complex and difficult that the Committee might not be able to meet this deadline. The outpouring of helpful recommendations has not altered that belief.

It is apparent from the responses that the necessary processes of voluntary organizations such as state and local bar associations preclude a quick consensus. The Committee is well aware of the fact that more time is needed for such organizations to study and provide commentaries on the first discussion draft and is desirous of accommodating the normal processes of such organizations. The Committee also is well aware of the fact that in the final analysis it becomes the responsibility of each state bar association to decide, or aid in deciding, whether changes in the Code of Professional Responsibility shall be adopted in its state. Similarly each bar organization will play a major part in the enforcement of any changed provision.

For these reasons, the Committee believes that it is not yet in a position to submit a general or final recommendation at this time. There are, however, two matters which, in its judgment, clearly require early attention. These relate to amendments of EC 2-14, DR 2-102 (A)(6) and DR 2-105, the subject matter of which has generated much favorable comment and little criticism. On this basis, the Committee now proposes specific amendments.

The effects of these specific changes in the Code of Professional Responsibility are:

(1) The amendment to DR 2-102 (A)(6) would expand the material authorized to be published in a reputable law list, legal directory, or a directory published by a bona fide consumers' organization, if the information does not violate the restrictions contained in the Rule. The amendment permits some information concerning fees provided that there is full disclosure of all variables.

(2) The amendments to EC 2-14 and DR 2-105 would permit a lawyer to hold himself out on a professional card, a professional announcement card, an office sign, letterhead, and in the yellow pages of a telephone directory as limiting his practice to a particular area or field of law or as concentrating practice in one or more areas or fields of law. These changes would not permit a lawyer to indicate that he is a specialist or is certified as a specialist unless he had met the requirements of a state certification program.

Neither of these amendments would permit this information to appear in any other places. For example, it could not appear in newspapers or other forms of public communication not presently authorized by the Code of Professional Responsibility. At the same time the amendments would make available to the public needed information to aid in the selection of counsel and would make it explicit that the information can be published by bona fide consumer groups. The amendments meet the need, expressed by many lawyers responding to the discussion draft, to provide additional information to the public and meet the expressed concern that the public have access to needed information to aid in the selection of counsel.

The Committee intends to devote substantial additional study to Canon 2. It invites further comment between now and March 5 so
The Michigan Law Review and Journal of Law Reform are jointly sponsoring a Freshman Law Writing Program. Each publication will judge the entries independently and issue invitations for membership. Writers who are invited to join both publications will be asked to make a choice between the two. The Journal of Law Reform will continue its practice in inviting first-year students and 1975 summer-starters to submit writing samples, such as Case-Club briefs, memorandum papers, etc.; the dates for the submission of such writing samples will be announced later in the semester. The Journal does, however, especially encourage students seeking staff positions to participate in the Joint Program.

Last Year 52 first-year students participated in the Program. Of the 34 juniors that joined the Law Review staff in August, 1975, 8 were chosen on the basis of their participation in the Writing Program. Of the 45 juniors (excluding transfers) that joined the Journal staff in August, 1975, were selected solely on the basis of their Writing Program papers and 10 additional juniors were selected on the basis of an evaluation of both their Writing Program paper and another writing sample.

The Program will begin February 23, 1976. All entries must be in by 5:00 p.m. on June 15, 1976. Each writer will be allowed three weeks from the date on which he or she selects a topic to complete the paper.

Approximately sixteen topics have been chosen. Participants will be allowed to select a topic from among five of these topics. The five available topics will be changed each day during the Program. Every attempt will be made to have the five available topics cover different areas of the law. These topics are designed to be sufficiently limited in scope to make the time and length constraints reasonable. A participant will be allowed 24 hours in which to select a topic from among the five. The three-week period will begin from the date the topic is selected.

Topics will be available in Room 410 HH from 3:15 p.m. - 5:00 p.m. Monday-Friday. Ask for Andy Marks.

Students are free to discuss research and writing problems with designated members of the two journals during the course of their work. Peter Spanos and Tom Johnston will be available for consultation on behalf of the Journal of Law Reform at Room 731 Legal Research Building. Andy Marks and Eric Freyfogle will be available in the Law Reform Office at Room 410 Hutchins Hall.

Note that under this schedule a participant would have to select a topic by May 25, 1976 to allow three weeks to write the paper. If you will not be in Ann Arbor on May 25 but would like to enter the Program, leave a self-addressed, stamped envelope with Andy and he will mail the topics to you. If you must mail your entry to the school, be sure it is post-marked before 5:00 p.m. June 15, 1976.

The entry should be styled in the form of a law review note or comment, rather than in brief or memo form. Any recent copy of the Law Review or the Journal should provide an adequate example of such form. The entry should be typed in the following format:

1) 18 page maximum limit on text. [This is suggested. No more should be necessary to deal with the Program's topics.]

2) Double space typed.

3) Margins: Left-hand--1 1/2" Right-hand--1"
   Top-bottom--1"

4) Footnotes should be attached separately

5) Two copies of your piece should be submitted.

If you have any further questions please contact Andy Marks (764-0542) or Tom Johnston (763-2195).

Representatives of both publications will be present at meetings of the Case Clubs in the near future to discuss both journals and the Joint Writing Program.
PARIS SUMMER LAW PROGRAM OFFERED BY UNIVERSITY OF SAN DIEGO

The University of San Diego's 4th summer Institute of International and Comparative Law in Paris has announced an outstanding faculty for its program June 29 - August 7, 1976.

Professor Stefan Riesenfeld of UC Berkeley returns to teach a 3-semester-credit course in Comparative Law. Professor Riesenfeld is the author of numerous articles and texts including Modern Social Legislation; Protection of Fisheries under Int'l Law; and Creditors' Remedies and Debtors' Protection. The course will focus on private law matters.

Professor Dominique Carreau, former Dean of the Universite de Paris X (Nanterre) and visiting Professor of Law this year at the University of Michigan will offer a 1-semester-credit course in International Energy Law. Professor Carreau is the author of Le Fonds Monetaire International; Souverainete et Cooperation Monetaire Internationale; and Le Systeme Monetaire International.

Professor Andreas Lowenfeld of New York University will teach a 3-semester-credit course in Public International Law. The course will employ the problem method while focusing on recent international crises. Professor Lowenfeld was formerly Deputy Legal Advisor to the U.S. Department of State and is the author of Expropriation in the Americas; Aviation Law; International Economic Law; and International Legal Process.

Professor Harrison Dunning of UC Davis returns to teach a 1-semester-credit course in Rural Development Law which focuses on comparative aspects of legal problems of economic development. Prof. Dunning is the author of numerous articles on African and environmental law and was formerly a faculty member at Haile Sellassie I U., Ethiopia.

Professor Ralph Folsom of the University of San Diego, and formerly a faculty member of the University of Warwick, England, will be Acting Director of the Institute and teach a 3-semester-credit course in International Trade and Investment Regulation. The course will survey legal problems of doing business abroad. Prof. Folsom is the author of Estate Settlement; and the forthcoming text, Competition and Law in the European Communities.

Professor Charles Gordon of Georgetown University will offer a 3-semester-credit course in Immigration Law emphasizing the rights of citizens and aliens. Prof. Gordon is the foremost expert in his field and the author of Immigration and Naturalization Service.

Roughly 50 students from 6 countries are expected to participate in the program, which is jointly sponsored by the Universite de Paris I (Pantheon-Sorbonne), the Institut Catholique de Paris and the Ecole Superieure des Sciences Economiques et Commerciales. Classes will be held at the ESSEC's air-conditioned facility near the Champs Elysees. Distinguished European faculty and practitioners will join the program. In addition, there will be visits to places of legal interest in Paris, such as the ordinary courts, the Conseil d'Etat, and the France Senate. Sightseeing trips will be organized. Last year students visited a number of the chateaux of the Loire Valley and made a pilgrimage to the medieval cathedral town of Chartres.

A student may elect up to 8 credits in the program. Rooms for both single and married students are available either at the Cite Universitaire, in small hotels near the ESSEC, or by sub-let of apartments.

For further information, write to Prof. Ralph Folsom, School of Law, University of San Diego, Alcala Park, Dan Diego, CA 92110.

EXTERNSHIP AT PUBLIC INTEREST LAW FIRM FOR SEMESTER BEGINNING SEPTEMBER 1976

The Center for Law and Social Policy is a foundation-funded public interest law center located in Washington, D.C., and dedicated to representing the interests of previously unrepresented citizens before agencies and courts; to analyzing legal institutions, particularly federal administrative agencies; and to providing clinical education for law students.

The Center has concentrated in the areas of consumer affairs; the constitutional rights of mental patients; hospital care for the poor; women's rights; the foreign affairs decision making process, especially trade and international environment; and energy policy.

Its recent cases include Wilderness Society
v. Morton, a challenge to the construction of the Alaska Pipeline; Consumers Union v. Rogers, in which a Federal judge recently ruled the arrangements restraining steel imports are not exempt from the antitrust laws; EDF v. Peterson, after which the Department of Commerce agreed to file environmental impact statements for ship construction subsidies; and Kaimowitz v. Michigan Dept. Mental Health, where a three judge court held that psychosurgery could not be performed on an involuntarily committed patient.

CENTER FOR LAW AND SOCIAL POLICY

Marilyn Rose, an attorney at the Center for Law and Social Policy in Washington, D.C., will be interviewing students for 3-6 externship positions for the Fall 1976 semester. Students are eligible to spend their third, fourth, or fifth semesters at the Center, and will receive 12 units of pass/fail credit for the semester. Ms. Rose will hold a group meeting for all interested students at 12:30 p.m. on Thursday, February 26 with individual interviews to follow immediately thereafter, and the following day, Friday, February 27. Students may obtain literature on the Center, and sign up for interviews, at the Placement Office.

The Center has submitted numerous comments to administrative agencies and elsewhere on significant issues of public policy including the Supreme Court rules of evidence, the Ash Commission report on Government Organization, illegal use of experimental birth control drugs, and the law of the sea.

The Center will select 3 to 6 students from Michigan Law School who are entering their third, fourth or fifth semesters of study to spend the '76 Fall Semester in Washington with students from Pennsylvania, Stanford, Yale and UCLA. The students work closely with lawyers on all of the Center's cases.

Michigan students will receive 12 units of pass/fail clinical law credit, based on their reports to the school and evaluations of their work by the Center. The students must pay all of their expenses in addition to their regular tuition, except travel back and forth to Washington. The Center has some scholarship funds for needy students and some residence lodging for single students.

Interested students may wish to contact Prof. Peter Westen (Room 1043) who is coordinating the interviews.

LAW SCHOOL STUDENT SENATE MINUTES
February 5, 1976

The meeting was called to order at 6:30 p.m. in the Faculty Dining Room. Members present were: Pam Hyde, George Vinyard, Bruce Hiler, Carol Sulkes, Jeanette Ramsour, Otila Saenz, Valorie Anderson, Dave Dawson, Bertie Butts, Phylis Rozof, Barb Harris, Paul Ruschmann, and Jon Forman. The minutes of the last meeting were approved.

Air Hockey Table

Ned Othman presented a proposal that the Senate obtain a coin-operated air hockey table from a friend of his for the Lawyers Club game room. The LSSS passed a motion directing Ned to negotiate terms with his friend for moving a table into the Lawyers Club and sharing revenue with the Senate. Ned was asked to check with Art Mack before making any firm arrangements.

Women Law Students Association

Gayle Horetski, acting chairperson of WLSA, requested Senate authorization for several budget transfers, which were approved: (1) Amounts budgeted for Ad Hoc Advocates and Supplies were combined into one account; (2) Copying and Newsletter accounts were combined and $20 transferred into this new account for Orientation account; (3) $60 was transferred for Orientation to Postage account.

I don't know why law students complain about undergrads in the library. We're just doing them a favor by keeping them away by keeping the number of people down the number of people using legal materials...
The LSSS refused (5-6) to approve request that $38.99, which had been budgeted for the WLSA regional conference, be reallocated for use in traveling to the national conference.

The Senate next discussed a proposal that the LSSS match any funds that the Women Law Students were able to raise on their own toward national convention travel expenses. This led to a motion that the Senate recommend to Dean St. Antoine that he match any amount raised by the WLSA for the purpose of attending the WLSA national conference. This motion was tabled, however, until such time as the WLSA comes up with a more definite idea of how much money they expect to raise.

**Summer Legal Aid**

Michael Marrero from the Summer Legal Aid Program asked that the Senate give financial support to this program, which provides summer legal internships and pays each participating law student a $1,000 stipend. The consensus of the LSSS was that this was a worthwhile program, but that since funds would be going to particular law students, the Senate should not contribute what would in effect be financial aid for one individual. A motion was passed that the Senate recommend to the Dean that he contribute $1,000 from his discretionary funds for this project.

**La Raza**

Otila Saenz asked that the Senate allocate $13.85 to cover La Raza's over-ordering of subscriptions. A motion to this effect passed with two opposed.

**President's Report**

Pam Hyde reported that Frank Rhodes will visit with the LSSS on February 26, at 6:30 p.m. On Feb. 19, John Mason will attend the Senate meeting to answer questions about financial aid.

**Constitution**

The Senate, voting section by section, passed a new LSSS constitution which will be published and submitted to the students for ratification this semester. Barbara Harris moved that, when the constitution is presented to the student body, portions on which there was disagreement in the Senate be highlighted and the controversy explained.

She also volunteered to undertake this job. The motion passed.

**Agenda for February 12, 1976**

1. David Fay from Housing Council (re Union Lettuce)
2. Grievance Procedure Resolution
3. BLSA
4. La Raza
5. WLSA
6. Assistant Dean Selection Committee Appointments
7. Election Timetable

**Tentative Agenda for February 19, 1976**

1. John Mason (re Financial Aid)
2. Resolution - Residency Requirements for J.D.
3. Resolution - Restriction on Classes Taken Outside Law School

Respectfully submitted,

Phyllis Rozof

---

To celebrate the bicentennial in the law school, why don't we recreate the revolution in the quad? Faculty led by Dean Pooley vs. students led by law review editors? {Moments get to leave?}
The Jester is going to teach his fellow Law students an important "Lesson in the Law" that they will surely find useful in their law school and professional careers (two obviously contradictory terms).

This week's lesson is on uniform state laws. All of us are familiar with the UCC, the Ubiquitous Commercial Code. Those of us who braved the elements last term --rain, sleet, snow, and Colonel White--are also familiar with the UCCC, the Uniform Credulous Consumer Code.

Very useful in dealing with uniform laws is the ULA, Uniform Laws Amalgamated. This fine, 13 volume legal gem (not the proper noun, mind you) makes excellent bedtime reading. It contains all proposed uniform laws, where and when they were adopted, and their citations.

Skimming through a few volumes one evening to overcome a nagging case of insomnia (no, not my wife), the Jester noted that all of these statutes include a "short title" section. Two short titles caught the highly trained eyes of this writer:

UAEDAPA · Uniform Absence as Evidence of Death and Absentee Property Act

UASAWS SCP · Uniform Act to Secure Attendance of Witnesses from Without the State in Criminal Proceedings

A number of other examples stimulated the intellect of this writer:

'Uniform Act for the Simplification of Fiduciary Securities Transactions
--this act has 13 sections, 19 rules, and establishes a Commission to interpret and promulgate rules.

'Uniform Gifts to Minors Act
--Santa Claus says to write to your legislators to get this law repealed.

'Uniform Anatomical Gift Act
--It does seem that if a person can donate a piano, he should be able to donate an organ.

'Uniform Simultaneous Death Act
--These legal scholars have thought of every exigency.

Informed sources from the Conference noted that some proposals did not make the current pocket part printing deadline:

USCDA · Uniform Stone Cold Dead Act
(thanks to Prof. Waggoner)

UGRA · Uniform Gypsie Rights Act
(help for this country's most abused group--the RG staff)

UUUOUA · Uniform Uniform Uniformed Officers' Uniform Act
(this effort to make law enforcement officers more visible ended when the Conference was raided by plain clothed policemen who heard the Commission was a group of subversives.

UVWAA · Uniform Vaguely-Worded Act
(a law to rid us of vaguely-worded statutes. It begins:

"Sec.1 Laws which are vaguely worded shall not be condoned."

)
Look! Up in the sky! It's a bird! It's a plane! No, it's...

Remainderman

Stronger than a hundred hamsters...

Sure saves on gas!

Okay, where's the fire, Mac?

Faster than a speeding turtle...

Duller than a butter knife!

It's a sunny day on the peaceful estate of Blackacre... Peaceful, that is, if you overlook the mortgages, liens, easements, right-of-ways, and who knows how many other servitudes and encumbrances... Suddenly, a cry rings out!

Ducking into the nearest phone booth, Bent di

do. He himself, vest or devests (vests or vests) himself of his goggles, his helmet, his coveralls, his vest, and the remainder is...

Bent, mild-mannered race-car driver, hears the call!

Hark! I hear a call!

Speeding to Blackacre, R-man finds a beautiful young girl in tears!

What seems to be the trouble, Miss?

My uncle died, but I can't figure out what my interest is!

Can't. Next page...
YOU HAVE THE WILL, I TRUST?
Y-YES, HERE IT IS!

(HEY YES...) "I, JAMES HENRY MONEYBAGS, BEING OF SOUND MIND AND BODY"
(AH, HERE IT IS) "...DO BEEPEATH AND CONVEY ALL OF MY WORLDLY POSSESSIONS TO 'A' FOR LIFE, THEN, WHEN 'B' REACHES THE AGE OF 21, TO 'B'."

I ASSUME YOU ARE 'B', MY DEAR?
YES, THAT'S ME - BETTY

WELL, THEN, TO 'B' OR NOT TO 'B', THAT IS THE QUESTION! TELL ME, WHEN DID YOUR UNCLE DIE?
-YESTERDAY
AND HOW OLD ARE YOU?
-(21, DAY BEFORE YESTERDAY)
AND WHO IS THIS 'A' PERSON?
-AUNTIE? OH, SHE DIED TWO DAYS BEFORE MY BIRTHDAY!

JUST AS I SUSPECTED! YOU, MY DEAR, HAD A CONTINGENT RATHER THAN A VESTED REMAINDER, WHICH REMAINDER WAS DESTROYED WHEN THE ESTATE REVERTED BACK TO YOUR UNCLE UPON YOUR AUNT'S DEATH!

SO WHAT DOES THAT MEAN?

IT MEANS, DEARIE, THAT YOU'RE OUT OF LUCK! TOUGH TACOS, AS THEY SAY. THAT'LL BE $150!

LISTEN, SISTER! SUPERHEROES GOTTA EAT TOO, Y'KNOW! PAY UP, OR I'LL SEE YOU IN COURT!

B-But...

THE END
The Weekly Penalty Box is sorry to report the score of last week's bout. Despite the tremendous efforts of the Res Jester and John Gulligan ("Exciting Moments in Constitutional Law"), and a surprise performance by rookie Malevolent Memo Maker (who reported Greg Hill's latest fiasco with regard to the deadly evils of premarital sex), the Faculty Bruins could not be stopped.

We were definitely hurt by the sidelining of Student star and leading scorer Argie Ant (who of course we all hope to see back in action this week, and many more). But the Student Redwing effort was hurt even worse through the efforts (?) of two Students.

The first of these shall remain nameless (don't we wish) (but who this commentator picked as being highly likely to give up a few free goals during the season in the first issue of the Penalty Box) (clue: he used to write (?) for the RG). The scene was Trusts and Estates. The professor: L. Waggoner (who if you'll remember, was picked by the staff of the now defunct Weekly Personal Foul as being one of the three best professors in this whole law school).

The professor displayed his all-pro talents as he proved unshakable, even while under attack by a member of that sometimes harassing class of Student known as "the gunner". His poise was immovable, his shot accurate, and the Student nets ... empty.

[ever notice how many gunners don't think that's what they are?]

The Student, perhaps in an attempt to surpass the efforts of the now famous Mr. "Endells", blurted out a question even before class had begun. The professor was standing with his back to the class, quietly erasing the board (not annoying anyone, and certainly not deserving of the unwarranted attack which was to follow).

The room was still buzzing in that pre-game warmup style of most law school classes, but above the clamor and din of the noise, a sharp voice rose above all the others and clearly asked a question.

"Professor Waggoner, could you tell us [notice how "we" always seem to want to know all sorts of things that the gunners decide upon] ... could you tell us what happens when, as happened in a certain case of which I was just recently informed, of; when a girl (SSSSSSSSSSSS) oops! when a lady is lost somewhere or dies in an accident where they never find the body but they still eventually decide that she's dead and issue a death certificate and they probate her estate and give all her property away to all her heirs and it all gets dispersed over the whole country ... and then she shows up alive, twenty years later???? What do they do then ... what do they do???

With a devastating slap shot to a now empty net, without even turning around or pausing to fret over the situation, Professor Waggoner gave the obvious, in fact the only response.

" ... they kill her ... "

and went back to erasing the board. Even the Students stood up and cheered.

The other empty net goal was given up

CONT. P. 19 "G9A"
by a really surprising source. Our own Larry Halperin dropped his stick and went over to the boards for a coffee break. Last week’s DUMP TRUCK came out in almost total oblivion to reality [boy, that’s close, who ever has the right to talk about "reality", especially you, B. Garbage Allison??] The mere saying that certain professors are good, or even excellent, is not per se objectionable, but being oblivious to (or intentionally forgetting) the whole situation, and then talking about how great these guys are is. Everything that Larry Halperin said is true, but some of it is incomplete and misleading.

First of all, you shouldn't have told anyone that Donald Rothschild treats students like humans. He is only a visiting professor and now that you blurted all that out, there is no chance he will ever be asked back.

I'll be the first to admit, however, that Pete (Bird Legs) Westen and Fast Eddie are definitely far out professors. Big Pete is new, however, and if you keep spreading these ugly rumors about him being competent and effective, he'll get fired before tenure rolls around. Fast Eddie doesn't count, though. Since he's teaching something useful, practical, and interesting, he can hardly be fairly counted in with "law school faculty". I'll admit that some of our esteemed faculty could ruin a good course like Trial Practice, but the course really takes him out of the realm of the pompous side of this Renowned Institution. (Although, if you ever had him for Evidence, you'd find out where he got his name, "Fast Eddie")

Speaking of pomposity, we will leave unmentioned certain others who Larry heaped lauds upon.

[nice grammar, chump]
(thanks, I thought it was kind of clever)

(If you think that Yale Yamiczar is really devoted to the Bill of Rights and the Fifth amendment, try to pass one time)

The really depressing point to last week's DUMP TRUCK is that Larry's praise of these particular professors really tends to show what type of professors he's had in the past from this law school. (see 32 First Year 1972 #2)

And finally, Larry Halperin is now officially expelled from the Sin Bin. Boy, I don't see how anyone could accuse me of mercilessly attacking the faculty each week or descending with savage fury upon the weak and defenseless?*

*see "letters" and below with regards to the PIRGIM mess.
*and how many weak and defenseless professors have you ever met?

FACULTY BRUINS 16
STUDENT REDWINGS 1

[that looks suspiciously like the hockey score between the Soviets and the Polish Olympic teams]

THANKS!
Praise must be given where it is due, and the weekly penalty box takes this time to pay tribute to the person who drew up the maze which appeared on the cover of last week's Res Gestate and gave us all something to do last Friday.

On a much bleaker note, the Sin BinRefs have been forced (for the first time in its brief history) to throw a player out of the league. In a horrendous display of outright cheating and game fixing, PIRGIM has been ejected from the league forever.

The LSSS, for conspiring with, and assisting PIRGIM in its efforts, has been given a game misconduct and a two week league suspension. Many sports commentators have expressed dismay at the decision of the Refs to let the LSSS off with such a light penalty.

For more on this PIRGIM abortion, see the letters column where the staff of the Sin Bin does a whole lot of screaming and yelling.

In recent court action, the law profession was declared obscene, in that a jury decided that community standards and experiences showed that the profession was lacking in any socially redeeming features, whatsoever.

decision of the court,

by G. Burgess Allison

(I love you, too.)
WELL, THIS IS IT, FOLKS, I'LL BET THE SUSPENSE IS KILLING YOU!
C'MON MIKE
WHAT TIME IS IT, ANYWAY?
JUST ABOUT MIDNIGHT
WELL, WE'D BETTER HURRY. HERE, GIVE ME THE WHISTLE. I'LL GO STAND ON THE STEPS AND YOU WATCH THE TEMPERATURE ON THE BANK SIGN.
OKAY, HERE

SHEESH, THIS IS KINDA SCARY
ALL I CAN DO NOW IS WAIT... DAMN IT'S COLD

IMAGINE THAT GUY OFFERING ME HIS OWN FATHER'S JOB!
OF COURSE... I DON'T HAVE A JOB YET, AND I'VE BEEN LOOKING FOR A LONG TIME...

... AND $10,000 A YEAR IS PRETTY GOOD... IF THE WORK ISN'T TOO HARD...

.... AND THAT RECEPTIONIST... WHEE EW... ¡CINCO DIAS!

JUST THEN...

WHAT THE HELL IS HE UP TO? I DON'T HEAR THE WHISTLE

ARGIE

BLOW THE WHISTLE MAN, IT'S 32° AND FALLING FAST

NO, MIKE, I'M GONNA SELL IT TO THAT GUY FOR HIS FATHER'S JOB

OKAY, ARGIE, BLOW THE WHISTLE!
... I can't let you do that, man, this is too important.

Ow. Gimme that whistle.

Lemme go think of the people.

Now, hand it over...

I just hope it isn't too late.

Nothing happened.

I know, I'll try again.

Aright, kid. What's the problem here?

Ow... Heh, heh... Officer Kelly... Heh, heh... I'm just... Ah... Just... Aaah.

Yer jest incitin' a goddamn revolution is what yer doin'. Now, yer gonna hafta come along wit me.

Aaah... Yessir...

... I guess so.

Hey, man. What's goin' on here... That guy a whistle freak or what?

Naw... Just a guy who wants everyhing to be a little better... He was blowin' the whistle of the revolution and I can't figure why it didn't work... He followed all of the instructions to a T...

... That's where he went wrong... man, you know, you can't lead the revolution... if you follow all of the instructions.

Don't I know you?

End.
I'm surprised at you! In four weeks this column has run in the RG, I've gotten only a few nasty letters and a couple of tasteless insults. In my continuing quest to piss off anyone and everyone, I had expected a lot more of a reaction. I guess you will have all fallen prey to that most despicable of all student diseases--total apathy. I'm tempted to devote this whole column to discussing the evils of apathy, but I really don't give a damn.

If there are any typographical errors in this column, don't blame me. My copy is retyped in the RG office, run thru a paper shredder, and then glued back together in a random fashion. Do you realize that before it reaches the RG office, this column is actually the Personal Ads from the L.A. Free Press?

You know you're getting old when all the participants in the Olympics are younger than you, when it takes twice as much booze to get drunk as it used to, and when the LS&A freshman start calling you "Sir." The latter is a particularly bad sign if you happen to be female.

Do you realize the total cost of law school? Add up the cost of three years' tuition, fees, books and miscellaneous expenses. Then add in the salary you could be making, and the extra expenses of housing at the local inflated rates. If you're from out-of-state, you may be paying as much as $50 for each hour in the classroom! For that much, the least the school could do is provide a soft bed so that I can sleep thru the classes in comfort.

This week's Richard M. Nixon Memorial Doubletalk Award goes to an unnamed CBS announcer. At the end of "Carol Burnett" last week, a voice came on to give the credits. After the last one, the voice said, "This is your announcer speaking." So who else was I supposed to think it was?

Having trouble starting your car in this cold weather? Here's a tip from the auto club (which my car wanted to join, but it was blackwalled by someone). Each night, pour a few pounds of sodium chloride into the battery, to break down the deposits on the cell plates. Be advised, however, that local statutes provide severe criminal penalties for saltin' batteries.

If any one you turkeys are stupid enough to try the above remedy, also be advised that battery acid does extremely nasty things to the body. Like dorm food, only worse.

Lest the cooks at the Law Club get upset by that last remark and try to poison me again, let me add that last Thursday's dinner was actually partially edible. One little thing bothered me, though--the still-visible brand on the steak read "Property of Hawthorne Racing Stables."

Talked to an old friend last week that runs a housemoving company. If everyone will kick in a few bucks, we can get a good rate on moving this Gothic dungeon to Miami.

Is it always this cold and rotten during a Michigan winter? During the last five years, I rode a motorcycle each and every day, in snow, ice, sleet, and everything else, so I thought I was immune to cold weather. But I wasn't prepared to live in Siberia.

Time now for a pet peeve. I'd like to thank the local merchants for their hospitality, kindness, and sense of civic virtue. At great sacrifice to themselves, they provide the student population with the necessities of life-food, drink, entertainment, etc.--at prices which are only twice as high as they should be. With such a captive market, they might easily raise their prices to a level which is impossible to pay, rather than the current rates which are merely painful and outrageous. Again, let me thank them for their restraint. If I ever have the pleasure of representing these wonderful people, I hope to return the favor by charging a minimum of $300 per hour, plus a 150% contingency fee.

According to last week's news, somewhere there's a very unhappy burglar. A farmer reported that someone stole four large boxes from an unheated room on his farm. Each box contained 20,000 sleeping hens. Police are now looking for suspects having a bad case of hives.

Though I know you'll all be terribly disappointed and upset, I'm sorry to say that Read-Only Memories may not appear in next week's issue (please control yourselves--no crying in the classroom!). No, the RG Editor hasn't finally come to his senses.
Since you all look here each week secure in the knowledge that I will keep you informed as to what is "au courant" I felt it was my solemn civic duty to get out to Briarwood to see Barry Lyndon as soon as possible.

So last Friday night found me in one of those tiny theatres breathing heavily in anticipation of the Time-certified wonders of Stanley Kubrick. Initially and parenthetically let me note that this was the Ann Arbor premiere, and it came off as would be expected. That is: apparently the crew in the projection booth had heard the movie had an intermission, so after about an hour and a half, in the middle of a scene, the film stopped and the lights went on. After we came back and were watching the movie for 20 minutes the Real Intermission sign, as designed by Kubrick came on, but we just plowed into Part II at that point. That's Ann Arbor style... and it was a cold, windy night. And I had difficulty finding a parking place. And it wasn't as overwhelmingly crowded as I thought it would be. And I had a good time with my friend (a class-mate from Criminal Justice no less)... And—well, I guess I have to fess up at this point. I'm not sure what I think of the movie. It is undeniably one of the most beautiful looking works of art you will ever see. Each shot is lovingly framed to convey the lush color of the scene. The sets are played for all they are worth—whether it is the green fields of war where the Red Team battles the Blue Team, or the interiors of gorgeous English mansions decorated in the highest style of the 18th century. But unfortunately Mr. Kubrick seemed to forget where the inanimate background leaves off and the animate foreground begins. That is, all through the movie my friend and I noted the various styles and colors of the hair of the two "stars." Afterwards I realized that wasn't normal—or as Michael Wood puts it in the N.Y. Review of Books: "Performances by Ryan O'Neal and Marisa Berenson, as Barry and Countess Lyndon, are so wooden that their hairdos keep stealing the show from their faces."

Truly the acting and the characters are played down. There is no sympathy generated by the title character as he works his way up in society and then falls his way down. Our attention was always being too drawn to the heavy makeup, or the famous natural candle-light scenes, or the bits of historical curiosity (as an 18th century restaurant) to allow us to be really happy when Barry "makes it" or to wonder why he turns mean to his wife right after. (This "Meanness" is adduced into evidence by the narrator's hearsay declaration followed by the horrific scene of Barry actually BLOWING SMOKE INTO HIS WIFE'S FACE AFTER SHE ASKED HIM TO PLEASE PUT OUT THE PIPE! I actually wanted to cry when the couple's beautiful little boy was dying, but it was impossible to feel moved. I worked a tear up into my eye, but Ryan, Marisa, and Stanley just couldn't make me blink it down.

I'm not sure how much I liked the film, but I do believe everyone should see it. Kubrick is considered a, if not the, major American director, and the $2.50 will be a worthwhile expenditure in acquiring a knowledge of the "auteur's" complete œuvre. And since I will offer no further critical remarks, I am going to share with you a dazzling insight I had while watching Barry Lyndon. I have read about 6 or 7 reviews and no one has made this startling literary analogy yet, so I want full credit when you try to impress your friends with what follows.

Ryan O'Neal as Barry Lyndon is a man on the make. He courts a beautiful young woman who is married to a rich old man of nobility. It is...
Barry's insolence, probably with the woman's encouragement, that causes the old man to have a heart attack and die. The marriage takes place immediately and the son from the first marriage is angry at the speed of the marriage. There is an almost unnatural attachment between this son and his mother (they are always holding on to each other) and at the same time the son's resentment toward his new father builds. The son, Lord Bullington, accepts some direction from Barry Lyndon for a while but his hatred grows until finally he threatens to kill the man he regards as a usurper. He doesn't kill him though, and instead goes away, ponders his course of action for a long time, feels angry at himself for doing nothing and finally comes back to set things right by getting rid of the man who has been sleeping with his mother.

Now put into those terms it is clear that this story is a retelling of none other than HAMLET. If one puts Lord Bullington into Hamlet's position and considers Barry Lyndon as Claudius, the plot outlines are remarkably similar.

The significance of this analogy, other than proving that Sophocles, Freud, Shakespeare, Thackeray and Kubrick all suffered from Oedipus complexes, is left for you to determine.

nor have the men in white coats come to take me away. I'm heading for a warmer climate for a few days of swimming, boating, and general laziness, and I don't plan to even think about law school. I'm a bit apprehensive about the boating, though. The last time I went, the boat capsized and my billfold fell into the lake. I thought sure I'd lost it, but seconds later a big fish ten surfaced, took the billfold in its mouth. Another large fish then surfaced, took the billfold away from the first fish, and tossed it back into the boat. First time I'd ever seen a lake with carp-to-carp wall-letting.

If you can top that pun, don't tell me about it. Place yourself in a large manila envelope along with 10¢ in coin, and mail to Merkle Press, Box 1000, New York, NY 01027, in care of the Destruct File Editor. Please don't include return postage.

Mann, W. Howard:
Good lord, what madmess rules in brainsick men;
When for so slight and frivolous a cause
Such factious emulations shall arise?
I Henry VI (IV i 111)

PETTY POETRY

From the pen of "Per" Stirpes

( Today's poem is in the nature of a valentine, entitled "Labor's" Love Lost.)

On top of ole' Rothschild
It's terribly bare
Because on his head, dear
There's hardly a hair
But he has a mustache
That's lovely to see
And it is that mustache
That mesmerized me
Tho I don't understand him
I'm trying to cope
It's a "labor" of love, dear
What the hell, I can hope!

**P.S. To properly appreciate this poem, it helps to pretend that you're the Board (Bored?)
Exciting Moments: Water Law

Hey, what's the matter with you? Class is just starting.

Huh? Oh, it's 10 o'clock. This is when water law used to begin last semester. Let me tell you, it was awful.

I remember when I was just a little kid—my dad used to say to me:

Now, Davy, I want you to study hard. I don't want you to end up like your old man.

I remember that one time I failed my gym class. He really let me have it then...

Now, Davy. I don't like this anymore than you do.

Smack!

Ouch!

Anyway, things hadn't been going that badly until it came time for me to take a class from...

I remember his first words...

TEN-HUT!

It was brutal, Ed. We had to meet in a seminar room, because only 20 people had signed up for the class. And what followed was pure hell...

OK, mein children, we'll start the first lesson off by reading pages 1-220. After that, read approximately 150 to 200 pages per class. We should finish our first book by Oct. 15.
WE SOON RAN INTO DIFFICULTY.

Hi, ho, ho... Big joke isn't it-water law. What's the matter with you, people? This has got to be the worst class I've ever taught. Well, we'll see if a research paper won't spark your interest. I can't understand this—not prepared for water law!

Let me tell you, Ed, I put some time into that paper. I could feel my old man looking over my shoulder.

Well, Jesus, Dave, why'd you take the class?

God, how was I to know? I think I read in there somewhere that Sax was concerned, committed, and expressed his feelings with compassion.

I'll give him one thing, though, he was straightforward...

Thank you, Mr. Blockson. That was dumb, you can sit down now.

I was still grumbling, right up until the final moment. I wasn't putting in my time, but those multiple choice questions on the exam finally got to me. I had forgotten whether the reservoir Boulder Canyon Act came in 1908 or 1928. I couldn't take it so I let it fly.

I soon got my notice in the mail.

Diary, Mr. B., tough luck. Better luck next year, when I assume you shall take the course again.

You know what, though, Ed— I never took that exam over. I think I've finally started to find myself.

26 — John Guilliam

Mr. Blockson—

Yes Sir!

Sure... Sure...
Sports Poll

Last week I, unfortunately, never got around to drawing up the poll. I hope that I didn't make too many of you pay attention in class as a result. We'll try again this week.

The winners two weeks ago were Mark Kellman, Greg Need, and D. Woodcock, all with records of 21-9. Ralph Hall also was 21-9, but he lost out on the tiebreaker. So the other three will share this week's prize. This week the contest has the usual rules.

Alabama at LSU (12½)
Georgia (12½) at Auburn
Canisius (18½) at Providence
Syracuse (10½) at Nevada Las Vegas
Central Michigan at Eastern Mich (9½)
St. Louis (13½) at Cincinnati
Maryland at Clemson (4½)
Colorado (15½) at Nebraska
Marshall (19½) at DePaul
Detroit at Xavier (10½)
Louisville at Drake (12½)
Duke at St. Joseph's (8½)
St. Bonaventure at Fairfield (4½)
Tennessee at Florida (9½)
Georgetown at St. Peter's (12½)
Seton Hall (3½) at Holy Cross
Illinois (25½) at Indiana
Wisconsin (20½) at Iowa
Iowa St. (18½) at Missouri
Kentucky (3½) at Vanderbilt
Villanova (4½) v. LaSalle at Palestra
Manhattan (25½) at Rutgers
Virginia Tech (14½) at Marquette
Michigan at Michigan St. (14½)
Northwestern (7½) at Minnesota
North Carolina at Tulane (15½)
Wake Forest (5½) at NC State
SW Louisiana (12½) at N. Texas St.
West Virginia (20½) at Notre Dame
Ohio St. (13½) at Purdue
South Carolina at Pittsburgh (3½)
Princeton at Yale (15½)
Texas Tech (5½) at Texas A&M
Western Michigan at Toledo (10½)
Washington (6½) at UCLA

NAME: __________________________

TIEBREAKER: How many points will Michigan score against Mich. St.?