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RES GESTAE

nov. 21, 1975

"GUNNER"
Docket

MON

Robert Leonard, Prosecuting Attorney for Genesee County (Michigan) will speak Monday, Nov. 24, at 4 P.M. in the Lawyers' Club Lounge. Mr. Leonard will speak on "Winning the Confidence and Support of Minorities, the Poor, and the Young." Mr. Leonard pioneered Community Probation Authority, an alternative to imprisonment for non-habitual offenders which defers and, if successful, forecloses prosecution. C.P.A. is featured in A Prosecutor's Manual on Screening and Diversionary Programs (Nat'l District Atty's Assn) and serves as a model for developing programs across the country.

Mr. Leonard has also moved his office vigorously into consumer protection and public interest litigation.

The speech is sponsored by the LSSS Speakers Committee.

TUES

PARTY FOR ST. ANTOINE

The Law School Student Senate Social Committee is hosting a cocktail party Tuesday, Nov. 25th at 4:00 p.m. in the Lawyer's Club Lounge honoring Dean Theodore St. Antoine. The pleasure of your company is requested by the Committee. Among the events planned for this occasion in the illumination of Prof. (Canon?) Conard's transparenecies - these, we have it upon good authority, constitute a treatise conn on deanship and sainthood.

JOKE

Q: What has 4 legs and chases cats?
A: Mrs. Katz and her attorney.

Left: "Paul" Rushman

The above is the final entry in the "G" contest for the worst legal related joke. Deadline is the end of November. Professor's and students' entries are noted and entries must include name and address of sender. Winners will be announced as soon as possible.

To: The Faculty & Administrators, etc.

Subject: Automobile for Jacques Bourgeois

Jacques Bourgeois, an alumnus of the Law School, a member of the Faculty of the Vrije Universiteit te Brussel and a lawyer for the European Economic Commission, will be our exchange professor from Brussels next semester. His housing arrangements seem to be taken care of reasonably satisfactorily, but he has recently written me asking about long-term car rentals. It occurred to me that if any of you knew of someone in this position, you might want to offer your car to Jacques. (I have driven with the man and he is not a nutty driver as most Belgians are.) If anyone is so inclined or has information on any leads, could you please get in touch with me?

C. Donahue

LSSS

LAW SCHOOL STUDENT SENATE MINUTES
November 13, 1975

The meeting was called to order at 6:00 p.m. in the Faculty Dining Room of the Lawyer's Club. Present were Pam Hyde, Bertie Butts, George Vinyard, Otilla Saenz, Jon Karp, Jon Forman, Carol Sulkes, Jeanette Ramseur, Gwen Mosley, Sharon Williams, Paul Ruschman, Phyllis Rozof, and Valerie Anderson. The minutes of the last meeting were approved.

Petition for Swainson's Resignation

No action was taken to endorse the resolution presented last week by Paul Rushmann, which called on John Swainson to resign from the Michigan Supreme Court, for obvious reasons (i.e., having heard that the LSSS was about act, Swainson decided to bow out beforehand.)

Student Directory

Bob Garff, who compiled the 1975-76 Law School Student-Faculty Directory, presented his report to the Senate. The problems of compiling an accurate listing of addresses and phone numbers early in the semester were discussed. The profit produced by the directory totalled $665. Of that amount $133.00 went to the Senate, and Garff retained the rest as compensation for his efforts.

Cont p 13
She whispered "Vey is mir!"
And he said "I am you."
They embraced.
And he whispered "I want you."
And she said "Stop mumbling."
And he said "I want you."
And she said "You gotta make a public announcement?"
They embraced.
And he whispered "I'll never leave you."
And she said "And it's nice to see you, too."
And he said "I am you."
And she whispered "Vey is mir! A mishugginer."
They embraced.
And then he noticed that he whispered.
And then she noticed that she said.
Then he noticed he was bored with whispering.
And she noticed she was bored with saying.
They embraced, but even that was boring.
And she whispered "What's with the saying?"
And he said "What's with the whispering?"
And she whispered "Wish we were in a dirty poem."
And he said "'Embraced' could be dirty, couldn't it?"
SECTION TWO TUTORIAL

by Mens Rea

General Announcements: Section Two is still in need of a hero. I am sure that many of you qualify or could get it together to qualify for this position. Write your reasons on a postcard and send to Box 69 Lawyers Club.

PROPERTY

Carpio's Law: 'Fake 'em with your style'

1. Mr. X has an easement of way through his Property book which he acquired by prescription. Does opening the book more than once per day constitute unreasonable and excessive use of this easement?

2. Review Question. A grantee deeds a Contracts book to B until he is able to comprehend and do all of the reading for class if not to C and his heirs.
   a) What estate is created in B? in C?
   b) How would a non-night-school-law student draft this instrument?
   c) Should the Rule Against Perpetuities apply in this case?

CONTRIAL

Evelyn Wood Reading and Thinking Dynamics Classes forming Now Now Now

Soper's Axiom: Tell me what you think I think I am possibly trying to convey to you. What would the Restatement do with such a problem?

CRIMINAL

Announcement: Change of venue proceedings have been postponed until January 1976.

CIVIL

Indictment: Conspiracy to engage in a rococo trains of thought.

... reasoning in writing. There would be better provision for administrative review and quick court appeals.

... The bill is now being reviewed by many of those it is intended to benefit, prior to introduction by Rep. Perry Bullard (D-Ann Arbor) in a few weeks. If you're interested in a chance to offer advance comments, call me at PIRGIM's Lansing office (517/487-6001) and ask for a free copy of the draft bill. If you'd like to read the report too, it costs $1, or 50¢ to PIRGIM supporters.

LIFE from p.3

They embraced.

And she whimpered "Lift me fair to ecstasy with your can of giant olives."

And he sobbed "Drive me to frenzy once more, with your alpaca ear-muffs."

And she moaned "Bite my elbow"

And he cried "Stroke my insole."

They embraced, they slept, and they got a disease.

LIFE from p.3

But now I feel we are slothily and slothily. Even the sunshine and the murmuring breezes, so often deserving of deep contemplation, simple approval, and gladness appreciation—come now without dignity or promise, gross mutations of better times.

Let me be understood. The time will come when I shall call the icy, uncompromising, vindictive cold of the winter. When I shall anxiously scan the weather maps wondering when the spring will come.

That is a different kind of love and a different kind of beauty.

It is the change, as I said. There should be four and they should come. I cannot share the almost gleeful attitude of those who feel we have somehow cheated the rigor of the coming cold.

Weather is no dice game. Weather is the character of the universe and I think we should all indulge in a little solipsism there.

One hopeful note: they say that 'absence makes the heart grow fonder.' When it comes, finally, (I had thought inexorably) perhaps shall be the more elated for the wait, the more dynamic for the now more sudden change.

But it is not quite the point. I'm talkin' about weed.
Editor, Res Gestae

As a first-year student, up to now I have successfully employed all possible ways to avoid getting in any Law School activities other than studying. But I would like to propose one way in which the students as a group can exercise their influence to change the Law School, and that is to recommend that Michigan Law School stop requiring the LSAT for admission, and instead make it optional, or find an alternative objective indication other than the LSAT in its admissions process.

I am not a psychologist or an educator, and am not qualified to judge either the cultural, social, or racial bias of the LSAT, or its statistical validity as a measure of intelligence or probable future success of law school applicants. What I desire is to calm a personal gut feeling about the monopolistic stranglehold which the Educational Testing Service has on testing at the college and graduate levels. Why should this Law School contribute to the policy of supporting a private business organization which directs and affects the futures of hundreds of thousands of young adults each year without any outside checks or accountability to those who it is supposed to serve? Why should this school support a private company who charges fees for every "service" they render, including a financial aids service whose formulas are no secret to anyone, or if they are, shouldn't be? Admittedly, it's administratively convenient for the law schools, but can it be fair to subject applicants to extra unneeded expenses which probably aid the school little in evaluating them as individuals?

Down with ETS and the LSAT! With application fees as high as they are, it's unfair to force applicants to support a powerful and unregulated monopoly. Abolish the requirement, and find a cheaper and more individualized objective testing method for admissions.

Kent Schielke

To the editors:

I would like to express my appreciation to the committee of students from the Women Law Students Association who put so much time and effort into the planning of the recent Alumnae Weekend. I think that all of the students and alumnae who were able to attend even a portion of the activities found it most interesting and enjoyable. Such opportunities for interaction and a sharing of ideas and experiences among women in the legal field are of particular relevance to our needs as we try to orient our lives, as lawyers and women.

Thanks again. I'm looking forward to next year's program.

Florence Sprague

Dear R.G.:

I would like to exploit your pages for the purpose of inviting all law students and their friends to attend the annual Fall Concert of the University of Michigan Men's Glee Club, TOMORROW NIGHT (Saturday) at 8:00 p.m. in Hill Auditorium. The Cornell University Men's Glee Club will sing the first half of the program and join the U-M group for the respective Alma Maters of the two schools and for "A Testament of Freedom," a setting of the writings of Thomas Jefferson by American composer Randall Thompson.

The concert will also include appearances by the Cornell octet, "The Hangovers," and the Michigan octet, "The Friars," of which I am also a member. There will still be plenty of time to celebrate Michigan's football victory before and after the concert, so why not plan on squeezing our concert into your weekend schedule? Tickets ($1.50, $2.50 and $3.50) are on sale today and tomorrow at Hill Auditorium box office.

THANKS!

Bruce Johnson
Law '77
POLL #1
COLLEGE FOOTBALL:
Ohio State at Michigan (3½)
Nebraska at Oklahoma (3½)
Arkansas at Texas Tech (4½)
Penn St. at Pittsburgh (6½)
Kansas St. (2½) at Colorado
Missouri at Kansas (3½)
Utah (32½) at Arizona
Oklahoma St. at Iowa St. (9½)
Notre Dame at Miami (Fla) (7½)
California (1½) at Stanford
Virginia (23½) at Maryland
Michigan St. at Iowa (11½)
Tennessee at Kentucky (4½)
West Virginia at Syracuse (4½)
Mississippi (3½) at Mississippi St.
Washington St. (15½) at Washington
SWU (6½) at Baylor
Clemson (11½) at South Carolina
North Carolina (10½) at Duke
Harvard (½) at Yale
Illinois at Northwestern (11½)
Purdue at Indiana (5½)
LSU at Tulane (6½)
Wisconsin (7½) at Minnesota
Oregon St. (10½) at Oregon
Cornell (10½) at Pennsylvania
Cincinnati (10½) at Miami (0½)

PRO FOOTBALL:
Cincinnati at Philadelphia (1½)
Dallas (3½) at New York
New England (3½) at Buffalo
St. Louis at NY Jets (7½)
Green Bay at Washington (1½)
Denver at Atlanta (1½)
San Diego (23½) at Minnesota
Detroit (1½) at Kansas City
NY Giants (7½) at Green Bay
Chicago (15½) at Los Angeles
Philadelphia (7½) at Dallas
San Francisco at New Orleans (7½)
Pittsburgh at Houston (3½)

POLL #2 (Thanksgiving week)
COLLEGE FOOTBALL:
Auburn (21½) v. Alabama at Birmingham
Arizona (3½) at Arizona St.
Army (20½) v. Navy at Philadelphia
Baylor (3½) at Rice
Florida at Miami (Fla) (17½)
Georgia at Georgia Tech (2½)
West Texas St. (1½) at North Texas St.
Vanderbilt (15½) at Tennessee
Texas (1½) at Texas A&M
Tulsa at Houston (8½)
USC (1½) at UCLA
Boston College at Holy Cross (24½)
Temple v. Villanova (10½)
Syracuse at Rutgers (10½)

PRO FOOTBALL:
Buffalo (2½) at St. Louis
Los Angeles at Detroit (3½)
Pittsburgh at NY Jets (17½)
Kansas City (8½) at Baltimore
Atlanta (22½) at Oakland
San Diego (9½) at Denver
Minnesota at Washington (1½)
Chicago (6½) at Green Bay
NY Giants (7½) at Dallas
San Francisco at Philadelphia (½)
New England (15½) at Miami

COLLEGE BASKETBALL:
Indiana v. UCLA at St. Louis (EVEN)
Louisville at Memphis St. (5½)
Drake (10½) at Creighton
KAMISAR ON DOUGLAS'S SUCCESSOR

ANN ARBOR---A University of Michigan law professor says Supreme Court Justice William Douglas' retirement---and the likely appointment of a more conservative justice as his successor---"might well lead to a gradual erosion of precedents" involving the death penalty, police search and seizure, and a number of other issues.

Prof. Yale Kamisar, a constitutional law authority, noted that the appointment of a conservative in place of the liberal Justice Douglas would further tip the balance of power in favor of the court's conservatives. In many cases in the past, four Nixon appointees to the Court Aligned against three liberals, while justices Potter Stewart and Byron White were generally considered "swing men" who could go either way on issues.

If a conservative were appointed in place of Justice Douglas, said Kamisar, "it would be very unlikely to see any dramatic overrulings of earlier liberal precedents. Rather, there might well be an accelerated erosion of those precedents by means of rulings that constrict applicability of earlier court decisions."

But Francis A. Allen, another U-M constitutional law authority and former dean of the U-M Law School, said liberals may be "mourning prematurely."

Allen noted that "Douglas was not--in the majority on the court anyway, and no one can really be certain about the judicial philosophy of the person who will be appointed to succeed him. Often people perform differently on the Supreme Court than predicted."

Kamisar said one area of change on the high court could involve the death penalty which, as administered in states, was held unconstitutional by the Supreme Court in 1972. The professor predicted a more conservative high court might gradually "hack away at this precedent in installments," limiting its applicability.

Kamisar said earlier court rulings upholding the "exclusionary rule" in search and seizure cases are another likely target for a conservatively re-aligned court. The "exclusionary rule" means that evidence received through illegal search and seizures, in violation to the Fourth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, cannot be used in a court trial.

A more conservative court could also break precedents in cases involving the 1968 Crime Control Act, dealing with wiretapping and surveillance, according to Kamisar.

Kamisar noted that Justice Douglas had been criticized in academic circles for his "unscholarly approach" but the professor praised his style as being "straightforward and refreshing."

In contrast to his colleagues' opinions which were often heavily footnoted, said Kamisar, Justice Douglas sometimes quoted from newspaper stories and on at least one occasion from a church sermon.

"He ruled the way he felt on the issues," said Kamisar, "and many have criticised him for ignoring the precedents. But he was incredibly bright and quick, although it is true that he gave away points to his more conservative colleagues by not researching and challenging their opinions."

Kamisar also praised Justice Douglas for his "powerful and eloquent" defense of First Amendment rights during the 1950's, when the anti-subversive movement in America was at its height.
PIRGIM REPORTS

PIRGIM SEEKS NEW LAW TO OPEN GOVERNMENT FILES

By
Edward Petri ni, PIRGIM Attorney

How often have you heard public officials proclaim how "open" they are? To favor openness in government is definitely vogue.

Reality can be quite the opposite, however, especially if potentially embarrassing information is concerned.

Take the following incident, discovered during research for PIRGIM's recent report on access to Michigan government records, State Secrets.

During the winter of 1972-73, a Detroit-based consumer organization, Citizens for Better Care (CBC), made several requests for documents from the Michigan Department of Mental Health. Because it was concerned about the quality of care being provided to former mental patients in community placement facilities, CBC asked for copies of official inspection reports and other documents relating to enforcement of minimum standards at facilities under contract with the state.

According to Brian Clapham, CBC Project Coordinator, the reports revealed overcrowding, wholesale building code violations and inadequate staff supervision. In one home, the patients were not even being fed on Sundays and holidays.

Maybe that's why access to the official reports wasn't easy.

Some of CBC's requests received no response at all from the department. Others were met with a terse rejection, the agency refusing to explain legal bias for denial.

At one point, Dr. E.G. Yudashkin, the department's director at the time, wrote CBC that he was asking his staff "to stop wasting their time replying to these questions until we have some more definitive statement regarding (CBC's) purpose," apparently assuming that access to public information can be denied if the agency does not care for the goals of the requestor.

In fact, Yudashkin even turned CBC's request around by demanding to know information about CBC, including detail about its corporate status, bylaws, policies, funding sources, staff "qualification," etc. -- as though private organizations were subject to freedom of information laws instead of government agencies.

Not until CBC went to court was the department forced to agree to make almost all the information available.

But the favorable settlement did not end CBC's problems.

Although forced to recognize CBC's right to access, the department refused to provide copies of reports on seven facilities until CBC paid the department $4.36.57. The department tried to charge CBC for the time it took for highly trained, highly paid administrators to review each report and make the deletions specified in the settlement agreement.

CBC went back to court. It pointed out that the reports followed a form outline and that the lowest paid clerical assistant, following simple instructions, could make the deletions. In March, 1974, the court ordered copying costs at 10¢ per page, or $42.00 for the entire set of reports.

This is just one of 16 case studies PIRGIM selected for its report on freedom of information problems in state and local government in Michigan. The next step is to take action to solve this problem which hinders the work of students, scholars, consumer advocates, journalists, and lawyers, among others.

In order to assure that citizen access to information does not continue to be frustrated by official arrogance, administrative and judicial delays, exorbitant copying charges, and other barriers, PIRGIM has drafted a comprehensive new freedom of information law for Michigan.

Among other features, the proposed law will clarify what is available and require agencies to respond to citizen requests for information within specified time limits. It would not open all government files, but reasonable exceptions would be more clearly defined. And if information is denied, the agency would have to explain its legal
A few months ago the Weekly Personal Foul took a brief glimpse into the resurgence political activities of a well-known ex-president of a major nuclear power in the western hemisphere. Very few people paid much attention and this lack of public outrage has only served to encourage him. Similarly, very few people believe me, but it's true. Out there on the coast of California, a little man with a long nose and quivering jowls quietly raises two fingers in a victorious gesture and murmurs:

THE COMEBACK TRAIL!

In recent months, Mr. Nixon has been making himself visible at public meetings, golf tournaments, and most recently in a magazine interview with the most widely read women's magazine in the nation. (and don't think he didn't think of that) He has expressed a desire to re-establish himself in the Republican Party "primarily in an advisory capacity" (where have I heard that before?).

If you still think it's funny or just impossible or that he wouldn't dare or that it could never happen, etc., consider some of the following.

As to it being impossible, Mr. Nixon has already done it once. He was involved in some giant scandal almost (or more than) 15 years ago and his political career ended. Or so it seemed. No-one could even imagine that this political deadbeat would ever surface again, and certainly he would never even dare to! But he did. Not right away. He waited until it was in the past, but he eventually hit the comeback trail. Some may say that it can't happen this time, he was president, but don't count him out too fast.

Listen to what the man is saying. These are quotes. He is constantly checking out how much people have forgotten: "what do they think of me lately?""yes I know all the things that were and still are being said about me. Do you think the mood of the people is changing?"

Responding to a statement that he (mr Nixon) is at least half of Mr. Kissinger, he replied: "How many people do you think understand that? It's true of course."

If you still have any doubts, at one point in the interview he stood up (on his bum leg. oh what courage. did you get that in the war, sir? in a fierce battle, you say? gee!) and said:

"Never give up. Never give up. Remember that."

And if you still don't think he can make it back to the white house, how about riding in on the coattails (saddle?) of your candidate and mine, young Dave Eisenhower!

I can see it now.
"The First Father-in-law"
The people can put up a trailer on the lawn for him to live in.
"Mobile Home One"
Yessir, that boy's a chip off the old block, a real leader, someone you can follow, someone who can take charge!

Back to the quotes: "We have very little leadership in our country today. We are a compromised country at the moment."

So don't be suprised when you hear a louder and louder voice from out California way calling:
"the comeback trail, the comeback..."
trail, you won't have Richard Nixon to kick around any more!"

Speaking of ethics, which I wasn't, but it seemed like a good time to bring it up, I would like to make a feeble protest that ethics are discouraged at the University of Michigan. Some may say "so what's new about that" and others may say "that's an outrageous lie, you've gone too far Gunga Din" while others may say "who cares?"

Mr. Attorney General, Harry here has a teensy bit of cash he'd like to donate purely for the good of the Party; oh, but you know Antitrust's been harrassing poor old Harry and we thought...

Still others may say "what is he talking about and how does he make his voice do that?" But the vast majority of intelligent and concerned law students will of course reply, "My goodness, Mr. Allison, can that be true, and if so, how?"

Well, just to keep myself from getting bored, I'll tell you. Have you ever wondered why it is that ethics (excuse me, that's professional responsibility, NOT ethics)? [I'm sorry, I didn't know there was a difference] (Well there is and don't you forget it!) [Forget what?](huh?)

is offered ONLY ONE SEMESTER during the entire school year. And that semester is, of course, the fall - or football - semester. What difference should that make you ask? Glad you asked! The course is also ONLY OFFERED ON SATURDAYS! The only way to take ethics at the University of Michigan is to take it on football Saturdays (don't you understand? The first thing you have to learn in ethics is that you have to make some sacrifices! If you're not willing to show up on football Saturdays, you're not going to be willing to make the sacrifices you must in order to be ethical, so you might as well not be taking the course anyway)

The answer, of course, is that the course is offered when Judge Gilmore can teach it. This is OK. I mean it's definitely worth the inconvenient time to take the course from him because he is so good [I really do mean that]. But the fact that the best professor in a subject can only teach it for one semester per year doesn't mean that it can't be taught by anyone else. (It might be fairly interesting to see what would happen if all first year property classes were cancelled because the best professor took a sabbatical) [It would be even more fun to see all first year property classes cancelled ... forever.]

I guess if no other professors are willing to teach ethics, that might be a problem. Then of course there might be another problem if there AREN'T any professors at the University of Michigan who are QUALIFIED to teach ethics!

Moving right along ... on the TODAY show last Monday or Tuesday, Barbara Walters interviewed a woman who wrote a book all about how the only way to be a REAL woman is to dedicate your entire soul to subservience to your husband and how you have to worship him and obey him completely (especially re: sex), etc etc etc ad nauseum. Everyone had a great time and enjoyed seeing how much restraint Barbara Walters has and how truly civil she can be.

It must have been a special occasion 'cause she followed that interview up
with an interview of the new Miss Teenage ("I don't really think I'm quite prepared to dedicate my entire life to serving and worshipping a man just yet") America.

BUT NOW, ON TO THE IMPORTANT THINGS IN LIFE!

Football is life. Everything in real life has it's counterpart - and IDEAL - in the game of football.

Everyone knows the whole bit about life being a game, obeying the rules, being prepared, opponents, competition, an' all that. Especially the part about anything is legal if the ref doesn't catch you. But I may have some new one's you haven't thought of before.

LITTLE GEMS
- or -

What the Hell Am I Doing Here in School when I Could be Running Around Getting All Psyched Up about the Ohio State Game?

You can always tell a really good quarterback from one who is just mediocre or is only a flash in the pan (as it were).

The poor QB will run out of the huddle and hustle up to the line of scrimmage.

The good QB will step out of the huddle and merely approach the line. He (or she)? will be looking, surveying, checking out the defense - using every second he has to find out how the defense is set up, checking them out from every vantage point he can.

(Boy, that was heavy.)

Law students and lawyers think they are the refs. Don't you believe it. The police and the courts are the refs. All we are, are the various epithets hurled by the players in an attempt to make the refs see things their way. You've seen the games. Right or wrong has nothing to do with it. Winning is all that counts. Conning the refs is part of winning. Some hot shot lawyers are the equivalent of tearing up yard markers. Some lawyers equate comments like, "Core on ref, he's been offsides on every play! Call it! You're not even in this game ref!" Law students (I know it's harsh, but) are just little, tiny, "shit"'s.

G. Burgess Allison said that if I use his name again, he'll start civil proceedings. He also said that if R. Richard Livoring writes another poem, he'll start a criminal action!

THANKSGIVING DINNER

Turkey..............Harry Zeliff
Ham.................Larry Elder
Pumpkin Pie.......Ken Frantz
Cranberries.......Tony Kolenic
Sweet Potato......Carol Sulkes
Leftovers..........G. Burgess Allison
Stuffing...........Howie Bernstein
Fruitcake.........R. Richard Livoring
Gravy...............Jessie Siegel
Salad..............Dot Blair
Today we're going to learn about contracts!

Oh, boy, what do I do get?

Well, we'll see about that.

Okay, Cookie Monster, I'm going to give you a cookie every minute for 5 minutes if you'll sit still for the whole time.

Okay?

But where's my cookie?

Waddya mean? I sat still!

Excellent, you've just learned reliance.

Well, where's my cookie?

Why should I give you a cookie? How do I know you're going to sit still for the whole time?

Why should I sit still anymore if you don't give me my cookie?

You've just learned mutuality.

Now we'll have to go to Ed to straighten this out.

Hey, wait, Cookie Monster!

Self-help is not a contract remedy.

Possession: nine points of the law.
Codicil

Discussion on the desirability of having a Law School yearbook led to the conclusion that it is too late now to publish one for this year. Efforts will be made by the LSSS, however, to facilitate putting out a book for next year.

Resolution Regarding Amendments to SGC Constitution

Ken Birneis, past president of the Medical School Council, described the efforts of an ad hoc group composed of presidents of the various schools (School and College Government Task Force) to come up with a plan whereby the all-university student government would be more responsive to student needs. The result of this effort was revisions to the SGC Constitution which will be presented to the students for ratification Nov. 18, 19, and 20. Birneis asked the Senate to endorse the proposed amendments. In response to this Jon Karp offered the following resolution:

The LSSS supports the School and College Government Task Force and recommends a "yes" vote on Proposal B.

The motion to adopt the resolution passed by a vote of 9 to 1 with 2 abstentions.

Paul Ruschmann then moved that a resolution be adopted stating that the LSSS is opposed to the concept of a university-wide student government. He argued that the only effect of such a "bureacracy" was (in essence) to buy typewriters and produce paperwork. This motion failed 4 to 5 with 3 abstaining.

George Vinyard moved that the LSSS join the School and College Government Task Force and appoint Pam Hyde to be our representative but with the stipulation that the LSSS not be bound by any decisions the Task Force made. This motion passed with no opposition.

Student Participation in University Decision-Making

Drafts of resolutions regarding the Regents' response to the recommendations of the Commission to Study Student Governance were distributed and discussed. This culminated in the adoption of the following resolution:

The Law School Student Senate rejects the proposed recommendation (draft resolutions) of the Executive Committee as being totally unsatisfactory and unresponsive to student proposals for representation in academic decision-making.

Senate Meeting Dates

There will be no LSSS meeting on Nov. 27, and the December 4 meeting has been switched to December 1. This is to accommodate Thanksgiving and final exams.

Library

Discussion on problems of overcrowding led nowhere. The Senate passed the following resolution:

Since the LSSS is unable to come up with a brilliant solution to the problem of crowded conditions in the library, individuals disturbed by the situation should bring their suggestions to the Senate or use self-help remedies (i.e., find a non-law student sitting in a desirable seat and ask them to leave).

Agenda for Nov. 20, 1975

1. Minutes
2. Officers' Reports
3. TV Antenna Problem
4. Ramseur Proposal
5. LSSS Constitution

Respectfully submitted,
Phyllis Rozof

LIBRARY ACQUIRES NEW YORK RESEARCH SET

Faculty and students concerned with research on New York law may be interested in a new Library acquisition, Shepard's New York Law Locator. This seven-volume set, shelved with the other New York materials in the Reading Room, is a massive index, employing very specific terms, to all manner of New York legal material--statutory, regulatory, case, periodical, etc.
This was not my idea. But after the frivolous remark in last week's R.G., the public clamor for this article was not to be denied. Despite the limitless breadth my topic suggests, my thesis (as my subject) is relatively simple: "Woody Hayes is what America's all about!" But before elaboration, a digression. I wish to pay my readership the supreme compliment. What with Woody's name mentioned prominently in the local press, His smiling face seen on T-shirts, the rising incidence of rear end collisions as distracted drivers strain to read the tributes to His team plastered on the bumpers of the cars ahead, and the ever more frequent sight of fans of the contrary persuasion getting rolled down State Street, this place is starting to remind me of COLUMBUS! Indeed, there are only two differences: in Ann Arbor on a football Saturday there are only 100,000 lunatics but in Columbus there are 500,000; and while Columbus also has those who detract from the sport and urge misplaced emphasis on academics, these are somewhat less tolerated than their counterparts in Ann Arbor, being shot wherever found. And so, my dear Wolverines, though I've taken considerable abuse from you this season, I hope you'll read this essay in the spirit in which it was written: RETALIATION.

Universally loved for his grandfatherly disposition and even temper, Woody exemplifies the American ideal of hard work. His creed is that, though he may be outplayed or outsmarted, he'll never be outworked. He feels that the American way of life will never be jeopardized as long as everyone works hard at an honest living - which is why he's said on several occasions that "what's wrong with America today is that there's too many goddam lawyers."

Woody is also at the forefront of the conservation movement. His concern arose several years ago from an unfortunate incident in L.A. when he tripped over a photographer who he couldn't see through the smog. His concern for the environment runs to the smallest creature therein. Once, for example, while visiting Ann Arbor, he observed a paid employee of his friend Mr. Shemberucker maliciously stabbing with a yard-line marker at an earthworm. Filled with righteous indignation from his realization of the vital role played by the earthworm in the ecology of Tar-tan Turf, Woody tore the marker away from the offender and ripped it to shreds.

When, much to his regret, the Red menace had overrun the South and the war had ended, Woody unselfishly adopted two young refugees. Moved with compassion at the sight of the two youngsters in the government camp performing such menial tasks as demolishing surplus Tiger tanks (with their bare hands), Woody decided to train them in a useful trade. You'll see them playing linebacker next year. Indeed, Woody's team is taking on an increasingly international look (as those of you who saw how the Bucks scored all their points in last year's game will recall). Woody's finding such long distance recruiting practices necessary to fill out his team. The Ohio high school players who would otherwise be backing up the Buckeye regulars are starring for the Wolverines.

All kidding aside, may the better team win this Saturday and may you all enjoy your trip to the Orange Bowl - BEAT NEBRASKA! ! ! ! !

The BG Bettor says: Here at the RG it is our policy that such examples of journalistic excellence shall not go unnoticed or unrewarded. Therefore I have awarded a coveted honor to the Buckeye Blasphemer. He has won the opportunity to sit at the seat of his choice at any hour of the day or night in the McDonald's on High Street in Columbus--the highest honor a Buckeye can receive.
This week, as promised, the RG RANKINGS have a special feature. Each of the nine pollsters is making a prediction on the score of the biggest college games. No, John, that doesn't include Brown-Columbia. It doesn't even include BC-Holy Cross. The pollsters whose predictions are most accurate will receive the highly coveted Bear Bryant Competitive Spirit Award, which features a picture of the Bear ducking away from a Sooner and a Cornhusker. Here are the predictions.

**RG RANKINGS AND RG PREDICTIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Michigan</th>
<th>Auburn</th>
<th>Arizona</th>
<th>Army</th>
<th>Cal</th>
<th>Georgia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ohio St.</td>
<td>A 128</td>
<td>13 A 35-28</td>
<td>N 31-0</td>
<td>S 37-34</td>
<td>Ga 21-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSU</td>
<td>23-20 A 138-14</td>
<td>AS31-20</td>
<td>M 35-10</td>
<td>0 17-16</td>
<td>GT 21-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Princeton Prognosticat (13-10)</td>
<td>A 131-10</td>
<td>AS34-24</td>
<td>N 24-0</td>
<td>0 24-20</td>
<td>Ga 20-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oberlin Oracle</td>
<td>M 24-13</td>
<td>A 127-17</td>
<td>AS31-20</td>
<td>N 34-7</td>
<td>0 35-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Gopher</td>
<td>M 20-16</td>
<td>A 137-10</td>
<td>AS21-20</td>
<td>M 31-3</td>
<td>0 21-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckeye Blasphemers</td>
<td>OSU 14-10</td>
<td>A 124-14</td>
<td>AS31-20</td>
<td>N 24-3</td>
<td>0 24-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stillwater Cowboy</td>
<td>M 24-23</td>
<td>A 121-7</td>
<td>AS35-24</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0 28-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vassar Flash</td>
<td>M 24-20</td>
<td>A 128-13</td>
<td>AS21-21</td>
<td>N 27-7</td>
<td>0 28-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICHIGAN Maven</td>
<td>M 24-20</td>
<td>A 133-7</td>
<td>AS28-17</td>
<td>N 23-18</td>
<td>0 30-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consensus</td>
<td>M 19-17</td>
<td>A 130-12</td>
<td>AS30-23</td>
<td>N 31-5</td>
<td>0 27-22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RG RANKINGS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yale</th>
<th>Nebraska</th>
<th>Pitt</th>
<th>UCLA</th>
<th>Texas</th>
<th>UCLA</th>
<th>Indiana</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harvard</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>Penn St.</td>
<td>USC</td>
<td>Texas A&amp;M</td>
<td>Texas A&amp;M</td>
<td>Indiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>H 31-27</td>
<td>N 28-24</td>
<td>PS 28-17</td>
<td>SC 14-13</td>
<td>Tex 24-13</td>
<td>UCLA 81-78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wittenberg</td>
<td>Y 20-10</td>
<td>N 27-21</td>
<td>PS 10-3</td>
<td>IA 30-27</td>
<td>A&amp;M 20-7</td>
<td>UCLA 90-86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Princeton</td>
<td>Y 24-14</td>
<td>O 27-21</td>
<td>PS 24-14</td>
<td>SC 21-17</td>
<td>Tex 30-20</td>
<td>UCLA 78-75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oberlin</td>
<td>H 16-13</td>
<td>O 28-20</td>
<td>PS 28-20</td>
<td>SC 31-27</td>
<td>A&amp;M 20-10</td>
<td>UCLA 85-79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden</td>
<td>T 21-21</td>
<td>O 23-20</td>
<td>PS 21-17</td>
<td>SC 24-20</td>
<td>Tex 24-17</td>
<td>UCLA 80-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckeye</td>
<td>H 28-3</td>
<td>M 28-24</td>
<td>PS 17-14</td>
<td>SC 31-24</td>
<td>A&amp;M 28-14</td>
<td>UCLA 82-76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stillwater</td>
<td>Y 28-14</td>
<td>O 24-21</td>
<td>PI 14-10</td>
<td>SC 21-10</td>
<td>Tex 14-13</td>
<td>UCLA 85-81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vassar</td>
<td>H 21-17</td>
<td>O 22-21</td>
<td>PS 24-21</td>
<td>SC 28-14</td>
<td>A&amp;M 21-14</td>
<td>Ind 76-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICHIGAN</td>
<td>Y 17-10</td>
<td>N 24-22</td>
<td>PS 16-14</td>
<td>SC 28-24</td>
<td>A&amp;M 10-6</td>
<td>Ind 74-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consensus</td>
<td>H 19-18</td>
<td>O 23-22</td>
<td>PS 20-14</td>
<td>SC 26-20</td>
<td>A&amp;M 18-16</td>
<td>UCLA 80-77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The following is the score of the Army-Navy game as predicted by the Stillwater Cowboy:*

- Army: 3 dead 1 woun. 4 MIA
- Navy: 0 dead 1 woun. 3 NIA
- I assume that that means Navy wins.

**OTHERS RECEIVING VOTES:** Kansas (6-4) (20), USC (7-3) (17), Stanford (6-3-1) (8), San Jose St. (9-1) (5), Maryland (7-2-1) (3), Notre Dame (7-3) (3), Texas Tech (6-4) (3), Hudson High (9-0) (2)

Rumors to be spread: Is it true that Bear Bryant wanted the Sugar Bowl Committee to invite Cornell if they lost this week to Penn? Is it true that the Bear refused to play Hudson High in a bowl because the Tigers were too tough?

That's all for this week.

Howie Bernstein, the BC Bettor.
This week, as promised, brings a special double feature, in honor of Thanksgiving vacation. There are two separate polls—one for this week, and one for all of you who need action over Thanksgiving.

Last week's winner was Carol Grant, the first woman winner of the year. Her percentage was .675, with a record of 27-13. She can pick up her prize at B-15 of the Lawyers Club, but not on Saturday afternoon. Low man was Al Unger at 12-28. The overall percentage was .486. Circle winners and cross out losers. Only one entry to a person. Place entries for both polls in the Box outside Room 100 by 5:00. Since they're on the same sheet of paper you can put them in the same box. But at least you can spend a little more time not paying attention in class this week.

POLL #1

COLLEGE:
Ohio State at Michigan(3½)
Nebraska at Oklahoma(½)
Arkansas at Texas Tech(4½)
Penn St. at Pittsburgh(½)
Kansas St.(2½) at Colorado
Missouri at Kansas(½)
Utah(32½) at Arizona
Oklahoma St. at Iowa St.(9½)
Notre Dame at Miami(Fla)(7½)
California(1½) at Stanford
Virginia(23½) at Maryland
Michigan St. at Iowa(11½)
Tennessee at Kentucky(4½)
West Virginia at Syracuse(4½)
Mississippi(3½) at Mississippi St.
Washington St.(15½) at Washington
SMU(6½) at Baylor
Clemson(11½) at South Carolina
North Carolina(10½) at Duke
Harvard(½) at Yale
Illinois at Northwestern(11½)
Purdue at Indiana(5½)
LSU at Tulane(6½)
Wisconsin(7½) at Minnesota
Oregon St.(3½) at Minnesota
Cornell(1 0½) at Pennsylvania
Cincinnati(10½) at Miami(0)

PROS:
Cincinnati at Cleveland(13½)
Baltimore(9½) at Miami
New England(5½) at Buffalo
St. Louis at NY Jets(7½)
Oakland at Washington(5½)
Denver at Atlanta(1½)

POLL #2 (Thanksgiving week)

COLLEGE FOOTBALL:
Auburn(21½) v. Alabama at Birmingham
Arizona(3½) at Arizona St.
Army(20½) v. Navy at Philadelphia
Baylor(3½) at Rice
Florida at Miami(Fla)(17½)
Georgia at Georgia Tech(2½)
West Texas(1½) at North Texas St.
Vanderbilt(13½) at Tennessee
Texas(1½) at Texas A&M
Tulsa at Houston(5½)
USC(1½) at UCLA
Boston College at Holy Cross(24½)
Temple v. Villanova(10½)
Syracuse at Rutgers(10½)

PRO FOOTBALL:
Buffalo(2½) at St. Louis
Los Angeles at Detroit(3½)
Pittsburgh at NY Jets(17½)
Houston at Cincinnati(7½)
New Orleans(1½) at Cleveland
Kansas City(8½) at Baltimore
Atlanta(22½) at Oakland
San Diego(9½) at Denver
Minnesota at Washington(1½)
Chicago(6½) at Green Bay
NY Giants(7½) at Dallas
San Francisco at Philadelphia(½)
New England(15½) at Miami

COLLEGE BASKETBALL:
Indiana v. UCLA at St. Louis(EVEN)
Louisville at Memphis St.(5½)
Drake(10½) at Creighton

TIEBREAKER: How many passes will Army complete in the Army-Navy game?

After vacation it will be once again time for the Bowl Poll, where you get your chance to bet on Michigan in the ROSE BOWL and on Ohio State in the ORANGE BOWL. I wonder how Woody will like Florida weather.