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See, I told you that lawyer wouldn't get the shirt off my back.
today

CAMPBELL COMPETITION

There will be a meeting with Professor Estop to discuss the problem on Friday, Oct. 10, 3:30, in the moot court room. Friday will also be the deadline for dropping from the competition.

WED

BAH REVIEW EXPERT TO VISIT U. M.

Professor Michael Josephson, founder and director of Josephson's Bar Review Course, will be in Ann Arbor on Wed., October 15th to discuss the Bar Review Courses and to answer any questions pertaining to the Bar Review courses. The presentations will be held in the Law Club Lounge at 10 A.M. and 2 P.M. next Wednesday. BRC offers courses for Michigan, California, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, New Jersey and, as of Summer 1976, for Massachusetts, Minnesota and New York as well. Further, correspondence materials can be prepared for most other states.

Further information regarding BRC courses or the upcoming visit by Professor Josephson can be obtained by contacting any one of the Mich. BRC Campus Representatives: Cathy Fleming (761-4421), Tom Linn (971-0684), Jon Lowe (769-7653), and Helen Hudson (769-8581).

** CAR FOR SALE **

1971 FORD GALAXIE, 400 cu. V-8, auto., power steering, power disc brakes, a/c, full tinted windows, AM radio, rear window defogger, new exhaust system, white walls, low mileage, with 2 new snow tires on wheels. Excellent condition inside and out -- this car has been well taken care of. Best offer. Asking $1666. Call Jon at 769-7653 for more information.

SPEAKERS WANTED

The Speakers Committee of the Law School Student Senate is now planning its programs for the year. The Committee seeks maximum student input before making invitations and committing its resources (derived from law school fees).

Is there someone you would particularly like to hear speak and answer questions before a law school audience? In particular, do you have personal contacts with anyone who might be of interest to the law school community? If so, please fill out and detach the suggestion slip below. Place it in the Speakers Committee box on the table in front of room 100 (Friday only) or in the Committee's mailbox at the Lawyers Club office.

I would like to suggest the following speakers for consideration by the Speakers Committee:

1. ____________________
2. ____________________

Please include your name and phone number if you have any personal contact with, or information about, a suggested speaker. The Committee will contact you.

Name: ____________________
Phone: ____________________
OPEN LETTER TO THE STUDENT BODY

Now that I have said what I felt—and what I felt I had to say—about the procedures involved in the "Kamisar exams" affair, I would like to get to the substance.

I did apologize to the Dean and various students who came to me this past summer about this incident not because I was compelled to, or even asked to, but simply because I thought they were entitled to an apology. And so, of course, is the entire student body. For the same reasons I also assured the Dean and the students who came to see me, just as I now assure the student body generally, that I will never let this happen again.

One of the reasons I was so upset about the fact that no member of the Law Student Senate ever asked me to defend or to explain my "procrastination and tardiness" in turning in my grades was that in the course of this meeting I would have readily apologized and agreed to write a public apology—and was mad at myself for not having been bright enough or sensitive enough to have done so on my own initiative.

I will not defend or justify what I did and I won't try. I've got a long, complicated explanation of how and why it happened, but it seems to me that at this stage, the only satisfactory way to convince anybody that I was in an extraordinarily difficult situation in the spring and summer of 1975 is to turn the grades in on time the next twenty years. I am determined to do just that.

I am, inter alia, a stubborn cuss. When someone publicly

condemns me in one breath and publicly demands that I apologize in the next, my initial response is "Never!" or "Go to hell!" But I should have publicly apologized a long time ago, and better late than never. There will undoubtedly be some who will always believe that I apologized only because I was compelled to or intimidated or embarrassed into doing so. I regret that, but those who know me well will know that I hesitated to apologize only because of these possible interpretations, but I did so nevertheless because an apology was plainly called for. There will undoubtedly be those, too, who will surely believe that my cry of outrage at the lack of procedural due process afforded me was a cheap ploy to avoid or to obfuscate the merits. I regret that, too, but those who know me well will know that if I believe anything I believe that procedure is important and that my sense of outrage was genuine.

Yale Kamisar

RG

REPLY

Unfortunately in law school we get into the habit of thinking about the world in terms of Rights and Privileges instead of right and wrong. In terms of Rights, the RG, in its own way, does partake of First Amendment Rights and ought to be protected in its comments upon the public activities of public figures. Mr. Kamisar is a public figure for law school purposes and the timeliness of his grade reports is an appropriate matter for public comment. I believe that the RG not only has the right but also the duty to foster discussion of how the law school and its professors are performing.

On the other hand, this law school has
ELECT

Four of these aspiring candidates turned their resumes in late. When that happens it means that the harried editor of the rag has to do the typing himself. He would really appreciate it if people would finally realize that 1200 noon does not mean 500PM.

LSSS·CANDIDATE

Richard Alan Poole

Did you know that the University of Michigan lays out less than one-third as much for the average law student as it does for a medical student? The Law School's faculty/student ratio is currently greater than twenty to one. Law School costs the University less per student than virtually any other graduate or professional school.

These are striking facts in the face of the repeated claims that expanding the Law School's clinical offerings would be "too expensive." Legal education is paying for its past efficiency by its present inability to expand and modernize its curriculum - surely an egregious injustice!

The Law School can and should play a role in shaping the future of the legal profession. One way this obligation can be met is by highlighting new and alternative practices of law. I believe that the Senate should lend its full support to the alternative practices conference sponsored by Section Five. Further, the law school should develop institutional channels (through the placement office) to make a wider variety of legal career opportunities known to law students.

It is my conviction that these suggestions are consistent with the Law School's goal of excellence in legal education.

TOM FRIEL

I believe our Section, Section Four, is in a unique position. We have had the benefit of being together for many months now and are able to understand our situation and the change that autumn has brought to "our" school and ourselves. We've found, perhaps a niche for ourselves; but we cannot allow it to limit our future in and our impact on this Law School.

What are the issues confronting us? Firm stands on any issue at this time are, at best, awkward for they would be grounded only in limited experience. The summer was short and exposed us to only a few of the many problems and dilemmas of which we are fast becoming aware.

One thing is certain: we are not in the forefront of liberated student bodies. This must change. As your representative, I will work with you to identify the issues and articulate your responses. I am willing to make a substantial investment of my time for our section; I want to see that we are vigorously represented.

CAROL SULKES

Section 4

As a summer starter, I am aware of some of the hassles that go with being a law student. As a resident of the Lawyers Club, I am sensitive to the needs of people living in the Quad. As a member of LSSS, I would be receptive to all suggestions, complaints, and cries of desperation, and strive to bring about the necessary changes.

Things I'd like to accomplish:

PROFESSOR EVALUATIONS

Professors can be oblivious and insensitive to how things look from the other side of the podium. A carefully prepared evaluation would not only help students in the course selection process, but would induce professors to become more responsive to student needs.

DINING IN THE LAWYERS CLUB

It is often not worth waiting in line for half an hour to get lobster tails at the Gandy Dancer, and it is never worth waiting that long to get "cheese cutlets" at the Lawyers Club. Needless to say, both the food and the service could use some improvement. Perhaps a different line arrangement and/or expanded hours for meal time (especially at lunch, for those who have 12 o'clock classes) could help alleviate the problem.

[Handwritten note: But there already is an end of classes!]

CONT P3
ELECT

DCN: RANDALL, SECTION 1

I graduated from Amherst College last spring and went out to Alaska. Although I'd like to be able to say that I worked on the pipeline, I ended up making airplane meals for JAL and Korean Air. If you remember the company that poisoned a plane load of people, that's the one I worked for. I then rode a 100 c.c. motorcycle to Michigan.

All of which doesn't particularly qualify me, but, more importantly, it doesn't disqualify me. There are several things I'd like to see happen here:

1) The broadest possible spectrum of student organizations ought to be funded, so as to encourage people to develop interests outside of classes and the library.

2) Improvement of the social atmosphere of the school. The Friday cocktail party ought to be a regular institution. There ought to be a greater diversity of entertainment offered.

In general, I seek to retain humanism throughout the three years spent here. I think three lonely years in the library do not constitute an education, and that the opportunities should be provided for the greatest range of outside activity.

CATHERINE FISCHER

Section 3

In trying to distill myself into less than 201 words I'm struck by the rapidity with my interests and endeavors shifted from private enterprise to public service: Graduation from Wayne State's Business School after several years of successful self-employment; an audit position with one of the "Big Eight" CPA firms; Controller for Wayne County Associations for the Retarded and subsequent promotion to Deputy Director; passage of CPA exam; and several of its local units, on rehabilitation processes and advocacy functions on behalf of the handicapped; Member, Board of Directors of Washtenaw Association for Retarded Citizens; Consultant on Mental Retardation programming and fiscal procedures to Washtenaw County Community Mental Health Center.

My special interests are being competent in what I do, advocating for those needing such representation, instilling a bit of humanism into large institutions suffering from tradition, being critical of the lack of accountability among public agencies and making certain that the moderate viewpoint has its day in court.

Section 2 Student Senate Candidate

Jon Forman

In general, I am a civil libertarian with liberal leanings. As an ex-psychology student, my primary interest in law hinges upon the protection of the rights of mental patients and other incarcerated individuals. I intend to practice or teach law.

As a student representative, I would try to prevent tuition increases and at the same time try to expand the educational opportunities. In particular, I would urge more seminars, an expanded clinical program, and more externship programs to other institutions and law projects. Furthermore, I would like to see student participation on faculty selection and tenure committees, with particular emphasis upon the quality of teaching. I am also in favor of the Alternatives Practice Conference and would like to see an expanded placement program for those looking for public-interest law jobs.

The most important responsibility of student senate is the allocation of money. In this respect, I think that funds given to student groups should be used in large part for lectures, movies, and other activities for the benefit of all law students. Finally, I will work to get more office space for all student groups. Thank you.
RICK LIPSCHULTZ, Section 1

I have never held elective office, so I've never screwed my constituents.

I'm Skokie, Ill and did my undergraduate major in Marketing, which gives me excellent potential as a future "Corporate Pig". However, I do not intend to oil the machinery of the Corporate Mill by which we are supposedly being processed. I will
1) increase student input into curriculum content,
2) examine the feasibility of a course in Professional Ethics,
3) start a student (used) book exchange,
4) develop a system by which students can transmit constructive criticism to faculty members,
5) make the comments in #4 available to students during registration to aid in selection of professors, and perhaps my least constructive and most useful goal is
6) the substitution of few cocktail parties and sherry hours with an end-of-the-semester Beer Bash to serve as a tension release.

That's it. If you like it, vote for Rick Lipschultz, Section 1.

JEANETTE RAMSEYR, Section 3

I'm from New York and I lived there most of my life. I graduated from Hofstra University in 1973 with a B.A. in Sociology. I received my Masters in Social Work (MSW, 1975) from Boston University. My concentration at B.U. was in Community Organization. My social work education lacked the legal experience needed when working with people and their personal problems.

As a Senator, I hope to be dealing with questions regarding minority faculty hiring, expansion of the clinical program setting more "alternative firms" (other than corporate law firms) to be involved in the interviewing process and any other needs the student body deems necessary.

I, personally, feel in order to be well-rounded attorneys, we must be well rounded individuals and that can come most easily through social activities. I don't think Law School should be devoted strictly to academics. We should have both more social involvement and more pinball machines. So please don't forget, vote for Jeanette.

Placement News

PLACEMENT NOTES

The Center for Law and Social Policy has changed their interview date from October 17 to October 21. Sign-ups will take place beginning Tuesday, October 14.

Internal Revenue, Office of the Regional Counsel will have a group meeting Thursday, October 16 at noon in room 218. Charles Strood, Acting Assistant Director, will speak to interested 2nd and 3rd year students.

H. Arvid Johnson, a representative from the Committee on Corporate Law Departments of the Section of Corporation Banking and Business Law of the American Bar Association will give a presentation on Monday, October 13 at noon in room 250. He will speak on the qualitative and quantitative increase in the practice of corporate law departments; the breadth of corporate law practice; the structure of law departments; the involvement of corporate lawyers in both social and legal problems affecting business; and a general review of salaries, benefits, and opportunities for advancement both as a lawyer and by lateral movement into management. All students are invited to attend.

If you have accepted a position, please stop by the Placement Office and fill out a form.

Fredrikson, Byron, Colborn, Bisbee, Hansen & Perlman - Minneapolis Group meeting for all persons signed-up to interview. Monday, October 13, 8:30 a.m., room 218.
"Where The Bread Is Buttered"

I think the LSSS letter was wrong, wrong plain and simple. And I strongly resent Pam ('you've come a long way Baby!') Hyde presuming to 'speak on behalf of the students'. Last time I bothered to check, I was a student and certainly did not agree that anyone should speak for me. Most certainly not the LSSS, which everybody knows is just a fancy name for the 'student council', and is comprised for the most part of neo-junior high mentality. I mean, when was the last time you ran for 'student council'? Of course most of the time they are an innocuous group, good for a few mixers and spreading around some money. But the clowns are always in the center ring and if one is going to be at the circus one must see the center ring.

So I noticed you.

The 'Kamisar' incident does not stand alone. It is simply one of a long line of what I consider gross abuse of the only law school newspaper. 80% of the students here will never take Professor Kamisar for a class, they'll only know about him from what they have read. From what a small group or a large group, it doesn't matter--have to say about him. And so it goes for the rest of the faculty.

These people, and I mean people, have taken more shit than anyone could possibly deserve in this law school. And most of it, totally unrelated to their position here. Vicious attacks on their personalities as well as their professional conduct. As persons castigated (to an undreamed of degree) even upon very private matters. At times it has seemed as if there were not a single shred of respect to spare. Respect for individuals who have already contributed so much.

But what good does it do, could it possibly do, to reiterate constantly, following every invective, a law Professor's truly great qualities, sacrifices, and contributions. For example, in the case of Prof. Kamisar, who has made more of an impact in securing fundamental rights and liberties throughout the criminal process than he?

The merits of any case are always complicated as all of us know. Certainly it is not to any purpose for me to debate with someone throughout the next few weeks about whether or not Professor Kamisar, or any professor should do this or that or the other thing.

Both sides of the story should be told. But who is to speak for the faculty? Why should one even presume to feel they need defended? Moreover, it is most certainly clear that a student who week after week, did nothing but praise the faculty, who find himself the object of scorn and his integrity severely questioned. I am sure Greg Hill could comment.

But once is perhaps alright. Let me say that in my time here I have found all of my professors, all of them, excellent teachers (if in different ways), superb scholars, and fine individuals. Not a single one of them has treated me unkindly, unfairly, or severely, even when on several occasions I richly deserved it.

On this occasion I am going to take a moment to get particular.

Professor Kamisar who always provided an interesting class and forced me to question some of my deepest convictions by the sheer brilliance of his argument and dedication to the cause.

Prof. Cunningham who took the time twice to discuss a personal legal problem.

Prof. Soper who endured my tirades concerning Art, and was always willing to take time to talk with me concerning the rigors of law school. In addition, perhaps this man is responsible for my staying here.

Prof. Reed too, spoke with me several times concerning a legal career and the difficult task of reconciling it with other personal values.

Prof. Burt who endured my obnoxious classroom manner, discussed it with me freely, and in doing so enabled me to better participate. Burt also took time to help me with law school exams in general, and thereby improved my overall performance.

Prof. Polasky did the impossible making accounting less of an albatross.
Prof. Allen never turned me away when I came to him with questions and criticisms.

Prof. Plant talked to me concerning jobs and teaching law in particular.

Dean Rivera took extra time and effort to ensure that the classes I needed to graduate would be available.

Dean Mason at financial aids altered my program twice when I came to him with problems and has always been open with me in financing a legal education.

I have 5 new professors this term, (Conard, Regan, Israel, Martin, Green) and already they have shown themselves to be of the same High-Caliber.

There are other incidents. These are little things I know, and I seriously doubt that the Professors above even remember our conversations. They are not the end-all, end-all.

And all this in addition to excellent classroom work and immediately fair evaluation. This too outside of their massive and profound contributions to the law.

Let us be more concrete. It is because these people are here that the legal education here is so valuable. A person can teach high-school for ten years and not make a salary comparable to graduating law students from U of M.

I don't care if someone wants to write so and so is a lousy teacher because of such and such. That is proper, and while I may not agree with it, no one is above professional evaluation. But that is not what has gone on here. Rather, it is so and so is a lousy teacher, and stupid, and dishonest, and on and on and on. Nothing constructive, nor in good-natured humor.

No good can come of any of this. A good example is an incident Tues. I became involved in an argument with the editor Harry Zeliff. I said things I didn't mean, got angry when I did not intend to. I like Harry and I respect him. I publically apologize to him now. But the point is that this kind of 'dialogue', the kind of attacks and recriminations, personal attacks and recriminations, serve no purpose whatsoever. I am sure that in this very column I have overstated the case myself. But when the battle is begun this way the weapons are chosen and drawn.

There is a tendency to regard law professors as pillars of stone. That is simply not the case. Any one of us need only consider the possibility of teaching law in a few years to realize such a simple truth. But we forget. I would not care to have my divorce and accompanying sarcastic remarks blown all over the pages of RG. I would not care to have my integrity attacked. I would not care to have my masculinity questioned. I would not care to be held up to ridicule, among my students and my colleagues here and at other schools. No one ever gets so high as to be 'above' that.

It also seems a bit inconsistent. Are we trying to improve the student/faculty relationship or make it more antagonist?

I call upon those bent on criticizing, justified or not, to exercise a little common sense. I am all for criticism. Anyone who knows me personally knows I hate 'authority' of all kinds and resent its interference. I don't love law school, I don't even particularly like it. But none of that is at issue here. Fair is fair. One can believe that fighting is sometimes right, (as I do), but 'ganging up' is wrong. And no one should shoot an unarmed man. That is essentially the faculty position in these affairs. What are they to do? Give lower grades? More reading? Write letters every week to RG? Nobody could take such suggestions seriously.

The RG editor refuses to accept adequate editorial responsibility. Even the NY Times has an editorial policy. Therefore, I can no longer, in good conscious, be associated with this publication. I am not trying to be noble, God knows Livorine could never do that. And while I cannot throw the first stone, I can certainly throw some of them.

But I have better things to do with my time.

Good Luck

Pro Bonds
"The National Lawyers Guild is an association dedicated to the need for basic change in the structure of our political and economic system. We seek to unite the lawyers, law students, legal workers, and jailhouse lawyers of America in an organization which shall function as an effective political and social force in the service of the people, to the end that human rights shall be regarded as more sacred than property interests. Our aim is to bring together all those who regard adjustments to new conditions as more important than the veneration of precedent; who recognize the importance of safe-guarding and extending the rights of workers, women, farmers, and minority groups upon whom the welfare of the entire nation depends; who seek actively to eliminate racism; who work to maintain and protect our civil rights and liberties in the face of persistent attacks upon them; and who look upon the law as an instrument for the protection of the people, rather than for their repression."

Preamble for the Constitution of the National Lawyers Guild, adopted 1937, amended most recently in 1971

UNEMPLOYMENT WORKSHOP TUESDAY, OCTOBER 16th in the Lawyers Club Lounge at 3:30. First of a series led by Harry Pollack, attorney with Legal Services in Ypsilanti. Read the Michigan Employment Security Act, MCLA 421.1 et seq. especially §§2, 28, 29, 32-36, 46, 54, 62, before the meeting. Nuts and bolts workshop to enable you to act as a representative at unemployment hearings.

The WASHINGTON COUNTY JAIL PROJECT is working to channel complaints of prisoners and force officials to adhere to the decree handed down in the Washtenaw County jail conditions suit. David Chambers and Tom Plumb are working on this; contact Tom at 761-0581 if you'd like to help.

The JACKSON PRISON PROJECT, taking affidavits of the prisoners as to medical treatment at the jail, probable won't get started for another month.

THERE WILL BE A GUILD REGIONAL CONFERENCE OCTOBER 24-26 in Cincinnati. Some of the topics on the agenda are Unemployment Counseling, Black Lung/OSHA, Grand Jury Abuse, and Alternative Practice. (Schedule posted on Guild bulletin board.) Sign up at Tuesday meeting. Transportation will be cheap or free.

WE WANT TO GET GUILD NOTES ON ITS WAY TO YOU SO WE WILL COLLECT DUES, which include a subscription to this monthly newspaper, AT THE TUESDAY MEETING.
Larry Halperin's essay on gun control was definitely the funniest item in the 10/3/75 RG. I had to suppress my laughter in the library when I read in the left-hand column of page 5.

"I will not listen to reason or logic on this subject."

And exactly in the right-hand column:

"Let's try to be rational for a moment."

The all too sad fact is that Larry's lack of reason and appeal to blind emotion is the typical attitude taken by those in sympathy with him.

Since, as Larry confessed, no amount of reason can reach him, I shall simply respond: Larry, you are wrong.

Gordon Tans '76

To RG:

A moderating note is, I think, necessary in the Great Kamisar Controversy. This is so particularly since Ms. Hyde claimed to speak as president of LSSS and thus imputed her views to all of us. I, at least, decline the honor.

The smear techniques of McCarthy and others had, one hoped, died out in the fifties, but isn't a scurrilous public attack, without granting the right of immediate reply, the same technique, albeit in what you believe is a better cause?

As for the substance, Professor Kamisar's delay certainly did put an extra burden on the registrar's staff, and an explanation and apology, if they have not already received one, would certainly be called for. As for the students, it was indeed an inconvenience, but, since official transcripts are usually delayed several weeks anyway, any student who was eager to know his grades could and probably did enclose postcards.

A better system for assuring prompt grade reporting does seem in order, but an open personal letter, is undeniably poor taste, is hardly the way to effectuate it.

Lest anyone think I am trying to emulate the todaying letter which followed Ms. Hyde's, I believe the law school, with all its good points, also has its faults, and the highest form of patriotism toward any entity is that which tries to improve it. I hope we can all stop sniping at each other and work as mature people to solve our disputes.

Mary Coombs

An open letter to the RG sports czar:

As RG sports czar you have a unique opportunity to encourage a wider interest in activities which will take the law student's mind off (some completely) the cases and problems of the classroom. Therefore, let me suggest that the RG start point spreading the Canadian (Canadiens is a hockey team) Football League. The interest generated would be rewarded with an awareness of a game played by American refugees, graduates of Dalhousie University, broadcast because Channel 9 ran out of Beverly Hillbillies reruns, and capable of being understood by Wayne State artists.

The aspects of Canadian football which make it worthy of a law student's interest (even on a Wednesday night) are too numerous to mention here, but the highlights will be set forth. To start out CFL teams have sexy names. The Alouettes, the Tiger Cats, the Argonauts, and the Eskimos. For those who like more violence in the team name there are the Blue Bombers, the Stampeders, the Lions, and two teams called the Rough Riders. The CFL has only three downs to make a first down (this was intended to help Michigan State grads keep track of the game as...
they have limited mathematical ability but Vance Fried claims Johnny Rodgers stole fourth down when he entered the league). The three down system makes for a lot of punting. The fact that there are no fair catches means many bruises for those speedsters who have to run them back. The offensive team is allowed to run plays without being set for any period of time (Ohio State would have had a touchdown in the last second of the game against MSU in 1974 had CFL officials been present). CFL teams often charge out of the huddle and the hike the ball without stopping.

The CFL teams have 12 players per side. And because there are 12 players on each side the field is both wider and longer. Teams can march 109 yards for a touchdown and can run pass patterns 30 yards deep in the end zone. Besides extra points, touchdowns, field goals and the like the CFL has what is known as a "single". Originally known as a rouge the single takes place when a team fails to return a field goal, punt, or kick-off out of its own end zone. No sissy touchbacks are allowed. The best way to sum up the CFL is to ask what other football league would have referees signal time outs to the TV cameras by waving a white hankie overhead.

I'll take the Stampeders by 9½ over the Blue Bombers.

Very truly yours
s/David R. Haarz

To Greg Hill

We have already been reminded more than once in law school not to suspend our judgment in the face of apparent injustice. Presumably we are here to learn to weigh all sides to a controversy, and I suggest you give some weight to the experience of those who know this law school better than we, and to reserve your enthusiastic approval until all the facts are in. You should also remember that unquestioned respect for the presumption of authority led many otherwise decent people into watergate, and temper your admiration accordingly.

Nancy Keppelman '78

OPEN LETTER TO PROFESSOR KAMISAR

Dear Professor Kamisar:

For too long now, the Res Gestae has been used as a forum for personal attacks and innuendos directed at members of the law school faculty, both individually and collectively. Generally, these invectives are published as the work of one person showing forth only his/her own lack of good taste. However, when the elected president of the law school student body, with the blessing of the law school student senate, stoops so low as to engage in such a personal and unkind attack as that of which you were the victim on October 3, we are ashamed.

We were among those whose grades you did not turn in until very late. We agree that it would have been highly appropriate on your part to have offered some kind of explanation or apology to those affected. We also agree that the faculty and administration should provide some manner of dealing with such an unfortunate situation in the future so as to ensure that no student be disadvantaged by an excessive wait for a transcript. How this might best be done we consider best left to the professors and administrators. We only ask that something be done.

Nevertheless, we were sufficiently embarrassed at the extreme impropriety of our elected representatives that we wish to offer our sincere apologies for their action. In our minds, rarely if ever is this type of personal attack warranted by one adult against another, and we find it almost inconceivable that it should ever be excusable within the law school community.

We recognize that you are very capable of making your own defense, but we are indeed sorry that it became necessary for you to do so. Please accept our assurance that Ms. Hyde does not speak for the entire student body when she indulges herself in such personal assaults.

Sincerely,
Robert Wm. Hastings, II
John R. Myers

SiCerely,
Robert Wm. Hastings, II
John R. Myers

CONT. P 12
Mr. Halperin

A major obstacle to any form of comprehensive and effective gun control today is the continued ability of gun and ammunition manufacturers, the N.R.A. and other special interest groups to convince hunters, skeet and trap shooters, and other sport shooters that any form of gun control will mean the absolute abolition of gun ownership by anyone for any purpose. As long as that misconception exists, there is little chance that legislation to prohibit the ownership of handguns and regulate the ownership of long guns will come out of any legislature.

By perpetuating that fallacy in your column, you have done a great disservice to the cause of gun control. Equating the abolition of hunting with gun control by identifying hunters as those from whom society and the little creatures of the field need protection creates a large constituency for anti-gun control lobbyists and makes it difficult for rural legislators to vote for any form of gun control legislation.

The pen is also a dangerous weapon, Mr. Halperin, and you have used it in a dangerous and irrational manner. There is hope for gun control, and in the near future. There are some people in this school today who will have some personal impact on gun control legislation, and I hope that your emotional outburst will not prevent them from approaching the problem in the rational manner that is necessary.

Gun control, and effective gun control, does not mean the abolition of hunting and sport shooting.

Yours, etc.,
Rion Bourgeois

p.s. You can be sure, Mr. Halperin, that this is not the 1880's. Back then columnists had enough class not to brand as "irredeemable; unexcusable assholes...anyone who doesn't agree with me 100%"; at least not in print.

---

dump

DUMP TRUCK

"I NEED A DUMP TRUCK, BABY, TO UNLOAD MY HEAD"-- B. Dylan

Larry Halperin

I. DISARM

In the category of putting your money where your mouth is. Last Sunday's New York Times had an advertisement for a group headed by Ramsey Clark that is going to work for gun control legislation at the national level. They pointed out that the "other side" is well-organized and well-financed, and it's time for those citizens who want something done about the proliferation of guns to have their voices heard in Congress. So--the advertisement had boxes to check off, showing your contribution of $15, $25, $100, $500. I checked off "other" and sent $5. If you think it's time to silence the Guns of Autumn (and all the other seasons) why don't you pass along a few bucks. Make checks out to DISARM. Send to Ramsey Clark, Chairman DISARM

175 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10010

II. KAMISAR

Events move faster than type. I was going to add my comments to this issue, but I feel that Professor Kamisar's letter obviates the need for any statement by me. And, I would hope, this week's massive coverage of the cause célèbre will be the end of it.

III. ANN ARBOR

I would like to wax lyrical for a paragraph. Stop me when I start to sound like my colleague Livering. Although I wasn't too fond of Ann Arbor when I first got here, I find my affection for
the place constantly growing. These last few days when the sun's been warm and the trees transposing their colors have just been delightful. I spent all day Tuesday just wandering around campus, and it was great. The people are smiling and friendly in these final days of shirtsleeves; and the feeling of a community that might not be too together politically but is together in some way really pervades the air. There's always something doing here, and that leads up to a preview of next week. Be practicing on your non-law well-roundedness, because the Dump Truck will be presenting a special quiz testing how you rate in this Oasis in the Sahara of the Bozart.

IV. P.S.

1. I am glad to see that last week's column has brought forth some response. I'd just like to say that I was totally aware of and consciously chose to use the "foul language" and the extreme viewpoint presented. I felt it was one sure way to get people off their ass and to stop merely assuming that "something" should be done.

2. Did Stan Ford and Yellow Dog graduate?

---

Thomas Jefferson in Autobiography:
"If the present Congress errs in too much talking, how can it be otherwise in a body to which the people send 150 lawyers whose trade is to question everything, yield nothing, and talk by the hour?"
submitted by Dennis Flieman

RG from p. 3

become a tense hostile netherworld filled with goblins waiting to hide books and dragons waiting to breathe fire on overmatched knights errant. Or so the stories go. But if Professor Kamisar and I are typical of the "warring" factions, I confess to trying to frighten only when I am frightened, in condemning only because I feel condemned, and in depreciating only because I feel depreciated. I do not think that Mr. Kamisar is much different.

Somehow I have come to believe that universities were once communities in which pupil joined teacher in a common search after knowledge—a search in which the student played a valued role. The atmosphere is more like a business: students pay a fee and get a service. The pressures of time keep professors from being able to extend personal contact but to a few students and the others must take what inference seems most natural. So the students adjust. Since this is a cold, impersonal business, they make cold, impersonal demands that a school claiming to be among the best give A+ quality teaching although its teachers were hired only for their A+ scholarship.

While this metaphor has much in its favor, the coldness fosters much wrong in denying to both professors and students the human courtesy that they deserve as human beings. It is counterproductive in that the coldness and hostility overwhelm many people and prevent them from pursuing knowledge as freely as they might. It ought to be understood and corrected. Tuesday morning Mr. Kamisar extended me the courtesy of visiting me. I am ashamed of myself and proud of him that he was the first to initiate personal contact.

I have come to believe that no matter how much right the students and RG have to discuss the performance of faculty members, this ought to be done with the least possible sacrifice of courtesy and kindness—not as a matter of legal right but of something more important. I failed to consider the possibility that delay in publishing the letter from the President of the LSSS may have been a kindness to Professor Kamisar. I was wrong. I am sorry that all I can do now is apologize. I cannot even promise that I will do better because I am particularly susceptible to the dehumanizing pressures which I am sure will remain the order of this school. This is a paury resolution but it is as honest as I can be.

The editorial policy of the RG continues, however: The RG is the law school paper. Its facilities are open to any member of the law school community to express their ideas on any matter of concern to that community. Personally, although I at one time enjoyed the sport as much as any, I now have little stomach for much of the invective which pours out of the pages of RG but I have no stomach at all for censorship.

Harry Zelliff
LIFE IS A SANDWICH AND EVERY DAY IS ANOTHER

BITE

By Rick Durden

As has been the subject of great screaming, crying and gnashing of teeth, the Law School may be the subject of a budget cut. How much is uncertain but judging from the pale faces of administrators it must be nearly fifty per cent. (conservative estimate)

It is said the professors aren't worried, it's difficult to further subdivide the penny, food stamps are available and corduroy lasts years.

Under points of intense trivia, the following conversation was related to me by a student who happened to overhear it while masquerading as a Republican.

"Dean St. Antoine, I've nearly finished my research into expenditures of your institution."

"Very well, I am sure you were impressed with the way we are operating with a minimum of waste."

"Not at all, I've seen a great deal of fat that must be cut away to place this school at the peak of operating efficiency."

"What? Where?"

"Example: many classes, especially first year, have a professor speaking to nearly one hundred students."

"Isn't that enough?"

"No, far too many, a poor use of students. Four or five per professor would be more efficient, freeing many for other tasks and the professor would be just as busy."

"What other tasks did you have in mind?"

"All sorts of useful things, custodial work, housecleaning, groundskeeping, cooking, or dish washing. It keeps them off your back and tremendously reduces fixed costs."

"I see, prepare them for the jobs they will be qualified for upon graduation. Are there any other areas that we could improve?"

"Most definitely, the library."

"Why, was it empty?"

"No jammed to the walls with students sitting around reading. How do you expect to get anything done if everyone reads their day away? It is imperative that you limit the students library visits to their coffee breaks."

"This is all sounding better and better. Tell me how all of this will fit into the traditional goals of the law school?"

"Quite well, play your cards right and you won't loose a single alumni donation nor will the university loose a single defense contract."

"This is fascinating, do go on."

"I visited a professor of sorts, he runs the library and teaches on the side, a Mr. Pulley I think, has a funny accent."

"Yes, we have to allow a few minorities on the staff otherwise people make loud, righteous indignation noises."

"He is a good example of the necessary diversity on the faculty. I told him from now on to spend a few hours dusting books each day instead of loafing at his desk."

"That won't save much will it?"

"What's his salary?"

"$20,000 a year."

"Then I've saved you twenty grand, he just quit."

This was the last statement that the correspondent could make while remaining reasonably lucid. He then went running across the quad yelling something that sounded like "Balance the budget, bit the bullet, tighten your belt, let's have a return to good old fashioned economics."

There was something else but he disappeared into the distance.

Pity.
LSSS

LSSS MINUTES
October 2, 1975

The meeting was called to order shortly
after 6:00 p.m. in the Faculty Dining Room
of the Lawyer's Club. Senate members pre­
sent were: Pam Hyde, Bertie Butts, George
Vinyard, Sharon Williams, Jon Karp, Otila
Saenz, Paul Ruschmann, Dave Dawson, Bruce
Hiler, Valerie Anderson, and Phyllis Rozof.

The minutes of September 18, 1975 were ap­
proved. The minutes of September 25, 1975
were corrected by changing the last sentence
of the paragraph entitled "Dining Regu­
lations" to read: "Paul Ruschmann will
discuss with Bob Hughes of the Housing
office the procedure whereby this policy
was instituted without contacting the
LSSS (in possible violation of a contract
the Housing Office has with the Board of
Governors)." The minutes, which had erred
in referring to a contract between the
Housing Office and the Law School Student
Senate were then approved.

New Policies in Lawyer's Club Dining Room

Paul Ruschmann reported that he had contacte­
d Bob Hughes at the University Housing Office
to discuss food service policy changes which
were instituted without contacting the LSSS
or the Board of Governors. Hughes told
Ruschmann, in essence, to work out these
problems with Art Mack, Director of the
Lawyer's Club.

The Senate appointed Bertie Butts and George
Vinyard to draft a letter to the appropriate
officials stating the Senate's position
that the actions taken in changing food
service policies are a violation of a con­
tract existing between the Housing Office
and the Lawyer's Club Board of Governors.
The following resolution was also adopted:
Be it resolved that it is the opinoin of
the Law School Student Senate that the
policies concerning picture i.d. cards
and guests in the lunchroom constitute a breach
of the Board of Governors' contract with
the Housing Office.

Faculty Evaluation

Steve Olsen gave the Senate reasons why he
felt it would not be feasible to do a pro­
posed faculty evaluation for classes held
last Winter Term. Olsen recommended that
only an evaluation of this term's classes
be conducted; the LSSS concurred.

Ann Arbor Teach-In

Paul Centolella advised the Senate of a
number of groups and deans which were being
approach for funds to support the Ann
Arbor Teach-in. He said the estimated
maximum cost of the Teach-in was $15,978.
It was moved by Jon Karp that the LSSS
"Advance" $100 to the Ann Arbor Teach-in on
condition that William Kunstler (or another
speaker to be approved by the Speakers
Committee) will speak at the Law School for
an honorarium of $150 (such fee to come
from the Speakers Committee Funds) during
the time of the Teach-in. George Vinyard
moved to amend the motion in order to change
the amount to be advanced by the LSSS to
$200. This amendment failed, the vote being
4-7. Vinyard then moved to amend the amount
which the LSSS would advance to the Teach-in
to $150. This amendment was accepted by a
vote of 6-3. The main motion then passed.
The word "advance" was defined as stipula­
ting that the LSSS will receive a pro rate
share of any profits earned by the Teach-in.

President's Report

Pam Hyde reported that the problem of light­ing
near the bike racks in the Quad was al­
ready being looked into by the Administra­
tion. She also reported that she had writ­
ten a letter to Prof. Yale Kamisar regarding
late grade reporting and that she had
written a letter to Brian Kennedy, explain­ing
that the Senate funds are not refund­
able and that the financial records of the
Senate are available for his inspection.

Committee to Serve as Liaison with Food
Service

Joe Ayaub explained the confusion presently
existing over the status of the "Food and
Rate Committee". As this de facto committee

Words that above about
Faculty evaluation?

Facult y evaluation
did not know what its official capacity was, Ayaub tendered the resignation of the entire committee. George Vinyard then moved that the Senate re-establish the Law School Student Senate Food Committee with Joe Ayaub as head and with its major functions to be: (1) to serve as communications liaison between the Senate and Food Service; (2) to oversee on behalf of the Senate the Food Service in the Lawyer’s Club. This motion was passed.

Vacancy on Board of Governors

George Vinyard was elected to fill the vacancy created on the Board of Governors by Kathy Krieger’s absence. Maryl Norris will be asked to step into Vinyard’s former member-at-large position because she received the greatest number of votes of the people not elected to office during the election last spring.

Hot Air

Jon Karp moved that the Senate urge the University of Michigan administration to turn the hot air blowers on at 7:30 a.m. so that the students don’t freeze in their 8:00 o’clock classes. The motion carried.

The meeting was adjourned. Dean Pierce has agreed to attend next week’s Senate meeting to discuss the addition to the Legal Research building. All interested students are encouraged to attend.

Respectfully submitted,
Phyllis Rozof
Secretary
Because of some serious strategical errors committed by the opposition coaching staff, the Student have a chance, this week, to stage the impossible upset. Stanford and Baylor almost pulled it off. Miami of Florida and Colorado won all our hearts; and the Personal Foul has a chance to help in the effort by drawing an interference call!

The Coaches Corner

Lesson One - The Oakland Raiders are a good football team. They have tremendous talent and would still be a good team, even if they were coached by Doug Kahn! Their innate talents are such that they would have a winning season if they were coached by Helen Betts. But they aren't. Nor are they coached by Marcus Plant. They are coached by John Madden. The fact that Oakland wins is no tribute to John Madden. The experts in the field, in fact, say that John Madden's only real attribute is that he doesn't get in the way of Al Davis.

The Personal Foul wishes Dean Ste. Antoine all the best in his new position and hopes he does well wherever he goes.

(This thinly veiled, but glib malignment goes for the rest of the administration and faculty, too, Mr. Hill.)

Lesson Two - In the early 60's, a running quarterback named Terry Baker broke all sorts of records in the Pac 8 and won the Heisman Trophy. He was very highly touted and was drafted right away by the L.A. Rams and was expected to be the very best. He had credentials coming out of every pore. All the pro teams wanted him. His reputation was immeasurable. But he was also so bad that the Rams cut him from the team before training camp was over!

Moral: credentials may not mean much. Credentials which are such that they do not translate into actual quality in practice mean nothing!

The Personal Foul would like to take this opportunity to welcome all the freshpersons and transfer students to "one of the best law schools in the country, with a nationwide reputation" and introduce them to our faculty.

Cheap Shots (29c/oz)

15 yards for piling on: Isn't it just typical that a brilliant scholar such as we find on our faculty (with tons of credentials by the way, just ask Greg Hill) would defend his transcript tardiness primarily on the basis of not being allowed to see the chastisement before publication? What a display of law school mentality! If you don't have an answer, explain why the criticism is improper. Or if you don't have an answer, explain why you don't have to tell what it (the answer you don't have) is. Or say that you would have given the answer if someone had come up and asked you personally (but of course now it's too late for all that). and then of course, what about the rest of the students affected by the (in)action? If every law student affected by the incident had come up and asked you why, would you have taken the time to explain to each one? Especially since all 1150 students were so affected.

(a perfect example of law school mentality falling down the slippery slope would be if the answer to that question was yes!)

(If you need that last one explained, Mr Hill, it is law school mentality to say something that you don't mean, but no-one could ever prove that you don't. Mr. Yamisar would never even have (or take) the time to explain to each of 1150 students any such answer, but at the same time, he knows that all 1150 would never show up (especially considering the present law school system of evaluating performance) and that it doesn't make any difference anyway because he would never have to offer to now).
And I almost forgot Mr. Hill:
First of all, I don't even know what "glibly malign" or "diatribe" mean! And I still can't figure out what a demutter to the evidence is! (what a cheap shot)
I loved your defense of Yale Samikar. It was quite timely. Especially the part about getting a job. I know that I am not better prepared to understand any law just because Yake Lamisar is on the faculty; I know that I am not better prepared to find a job just because Yale Scimitar is on the faculty; and I know that Yale Kamistar's presence (awesome though it may be) on the faculty has hampered student's efforts to find a job!
I am glad, though, that you believe that I am prepared in such a fashion, but if anyone falls for your argument (especially the administration) that just shows how out of touch with reality they are! Presence does not equal education. Presence without teaching is an assurance of no education.
You show your belief (conscious or not) that reputation, credentials and "publishing" equal quality. This most high reverence for credentials is the type of elitism which reinforces the Dean of this law school who he tells us that no blacks have been hired to the faculty because there are "none qualified" !!!!

Elitism, Mr. Hill, is the rule of absolute qualifications. How you became qualified, who did not have an equal chance to become qualified, and the lack of translation of "qualified" into "quality" are all ignored or forgotten! This attitude is dangerous, faulty, archaic, and dying day by day.
Elitism will never die completely, but neither will the concepts of white supremacy or Aryan/Nordic genetic superiority. It is the type of thinking (or not thinking, to be more exact) which says that men are better employees than women because they are paid more than women. Obviously since there are more men working, they must be better, right? And therefore, if I'm going to choose an employee, I'm going to want the best ... the man.
Certainly, Mr. Hill, this is not a situation where any one professor is right or wrong, good or bad, high quality or low quality, one or the other. But as long as this type of pompous elitism is exhibited at frequent (enough) intervals by the teachers of our lawyers, it will continue to persist in positions of power in our society and will continue to block the efforts of valuable but "unqualified" persons to earn a place in said society.
And finally, as to being just any old scatterbrain with a blunderbuss, I am not (I repeat, not) old.
- and I oppose the possession of unregistered firearms by all scatterbrains.

Parting Shot

When Martin Luther King led Alabama blacks' attempt to register to vote and an eventual march to Montgomery, the City Chief of Police, who was "acquainted" with Rev. King, said, 
"Why Mr. King, if you're just tryin' to get my goat and diminish my affection for you and the rest of your kind, I know you have succeeded."

And finally, Mr. Hill, how dare you print a letter in the RG which condemns my opinions and actions without letting me read it first so I could have my reply printed in the same issue. Your letter was in blatant disregard of every principle of procedural fairness. Never once did you ... (I think this is where I came in)

- G. Burgess Allison

GUN CONTROL:
An Alternative View
"Happiness is a Warm Gun. Happiness is a Warm Gun. When I hold you in my arm, And I feel my finger on your trigger, Then I know that no one can do me no harm, Because Happiness is a Warm Gun. Happiness is a Warm Gun."
-- Lennon & McCartney
At the risk of being called a dupe for having fallen for what my friends assured me had to have been a satire or joke, I have to take this time to respond to the letter signed "Greg Hill" in the last week's Res Gestae. I have met too many actual persons who do have the views in that letter to let this occasion pass.

"Mr. Hill" cautions, no admonishes, those of us who would dare Critize this great and glorious institution to remember that, as a result attending this place, we will have a better chance of getting jobs than almost any other law student in the country. Thus, we peons had better keep our mouths shut. However, that attitude is exactly what is wrong with the whole business of reputations, especially as it concerns educational institutions. For isn't a reputation based on past performance? And is there any reason to assume that because a school once was good, it still is?

What "Mr. Hill" has totally failed to realize is that, instead of our holding the various pseudo-Socrateses in awe, we should be continually testing them to make sure they measure up to the standards of the institution. Every professor here must prove he/she measures up to the quality of the school or he/she should be given his/her respective rop of hemlock. There is no faster way to degenerate than to think you've reached such a peak that you need strive no more. When you once reach the point that you say "because he/she is a Michigan Professor, he/she must be good" quality is on the way out and buffonery becomes a way of life. (Need I bother to remind people of what happens when a whole country treats the highest elected official as if he doesn't need watching and can not do wrong?)

But who should provide the impetus for such testing and weeding-out? Ideally a person's colleagues are best suited to determine how well he/she measures up to the standards of a particular group. However, when this group becomes so lily-livered (or, perhaps, so caught up with the fact that they are great because they're here,) that they do not take any actions against the irresponsibility of one of their own (i.e., Yale Kamisar), other alternatives must be sought. At an educational institution, the students play this role and it should be active. A student who merely sits like a vegetable, absorbing all that the "Greek gods" feed him/her, without question, doesn't deserve to learn. Students deserve and should demand a high quality education, especially at an institution, as here, which continually paints itself as one of the best. To accept less is to fade back into mediocracy. And remember, "Mr. Hill", before you try to stifle the students' criticisms of the "gods", that in an open forum, criticism will stimulate progress or at least movement while back-patting and brown-nosing produces stagnation.

The second bone I wish to pick with "Mr. Hill" is his placement of prof. Kamisar in a place of honor in his (Hill's) ideal law school, in spite of the fact that "another professor in criminal law might be more willing to spend more time with clinic and more time chatting with students." I would hate to ever be a student at "Mr. Hill's" law school for I feel I have a fundamental difference of opinion with "Mr. Hill" as to just what a law school should be.

Let me start by saying that a true educational institution is not the institution but the community. The students and the teachers make the school and without their constant interaction, there is no education. Thus, when a student no longer cares enough to "bother" with a teacher or when a teacher no longer cares enough to question a teacher or when a teacher no longer cares enough to "bother" with the students, you have lost the essential ingredient to any situation which purports to be "education."

I put it to you "Mr. Hill", that far from being put in a place of honor at a law school where he will do few students any good, a teacher such as Mr. Kamisar should be shipped out as soon as possible. If all he has to offer the students is his worthless theatrics and badgering 3 or 4 hours a week, then his (and our) time would be much better spent if he were to remove himself to a suitable high level academic (but not educational) environment with other thinkers of his own temperament. (A legal equivalent to the Brookings Institute is what I have in mind).

In sum, "Mr. Hill", and all you who think along the same lines, stop and open your eyes. There's a hell of a lot more to education than getting a job when you're through.
FOOTBALL POLL

The mighty RG POLL is back again for another big week. The BC Bettor is getting hotter as the season progresses, as this week poll participants hit me for only a .523 percentage. If the pro games hadn't been so easy, it would have been .500. I guess I just can't set spreads in hot weather. Last week's winner was the Wittenberg Wonder himself, Fred Fathe. Entries go into the box outside Room 100 before 5:00. Circle the winners and cross out the losers. This week, notice that there are two identical pages. This means that you need not take 2 RGs to see how well you did on the poll. And here are this week's spreads:

COLLEGE:
Washington(26½) at Alabama
Arkansas at Baylor(4½)
Auburn at Kentucky(1½)
Tulane(13½) at Boston College
Colorado at Miami(Fla)(12½)
Vanderbilt(10½) at Florida
Georgia at Mississippi(1½)
Indiana at Northwestern(3½)
Iowa(29½) at Ohio State
Kansas(19½) at Nebraska
LSU(9½) at Tennessee
NC State(2½) at Maryland
Michigan at Michigan St.(2½)
Oklahoma St. at Missouri(5½)
Syracuse(11½) at Navy
Notre Dame at North Carolina(14½)
Oklahoma(1½) vs. Texas at Dallas
West Virginia(5½) at Penn State
Wisconsin at Purdue(1½)
Texas A&M at Texas Tech(10½)
UCLA(9½) at Stanford
Washington St.(2½) at USC
Iowa St.(4½) at Kansas St.
Mississippi St.(3½) at Rice
TCU(12½) at SMU
Virginia(20½) at South Carolina

PROS:
Denver(16½) at Pittsburgh
New England(12½) at Cincinnati
Houston at Cleveland(8½)
Philadelphia(9½) at Miami
Buffalo at Baltimore(9½)
NY Jets(13½) at Minnesota
Kansas City(15½) at Oakland
Los Angeles at San Diego(10½)
Green Bay at New Orleans(5½)
Chicago(7½) at Detroit
Dallas at NY Giants(16½)
Atlanta(9½) at San Francisco
St. Louis( 8½) at Washington

POLL TIEBREAKER
How many times will Michigan State turn the ball over on Saturday? (Fumbles and Interceptions) Answer under 5 at your own risk.

NAME _____________________________

RG RANKINGS
This week is shakeup week in the poll. The experts are becoming disenchanted with the performance of Oklahoma.

1. Ohio State(6) ..................... 171
2. Oklahoma(1) ..................... 170
3. Texas(2) ........................... 159
4. Nebraska ......................... 157
5. Texas A&M ....................... 139
6. USC ............................... 126
7. MICHIGAN ....................... 118
8. Alabama ......................... 109
9. Penn State ....................... 109
10. Colorado ......................... 94½
11. Oklahoma St. .................... 93½
12. Missouri ......................... 63
13/ West Virginia .................... 61
14. Michigan St. ..................... 52
15. Notre Dame ...................... 50
16. Florida ........................... 48
17. Arizona St. ...................... 41
18. Arizona ......................... 39
19. Arkansas ....................... 19
20. Pitt ............................... 16

The number on the right is the number of votes received by each team, with votes given on a 20-19-18-17.....3-2-1-Scale. First place votes, of course, are in parentheses.

Others receiving votes: UCLA(15½), Tennessee (15), Georgia(8), Texas Tech(5½), Kansas(4), San Diego St.(4), Georgia Tech(1), Miami(0)(1), and Illinois(?)(1)

Here again is how the pollsters are doing:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Last Week</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wittenberg Wonder</td>
<td>29-11</td>
<td>83-37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oberlin Oracle</td>
<td>23-17</td>
<td>75-45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Princeton Prog.</td>
<td>25-15</td>
<td>73-43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vassar Flash</td>
<td>23-17</td>
<td>69-51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckeye Blasphemer</td>
<td>22-18</td>
<td>67-53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stillwater Cowboy</td>
<td>21-19</td>
<td>66-54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Golden Vomer was busy this week forming the DUMP DAN DEVINE club after watching the inspired performance of the Irish last week, and therefore did not participate this week. His record remains at 49-31.