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RES
GESTAE

Sitting on Top of the World
CAMPBELL COMPETITION

Meeting for distribution of problem and sample brief. Friday, October 3, 3:30, in the Moot Court Room. Allocation of issues will be discussed. Participants should check Campbell Bulletin Board for the rules of the competition and alignment for argument.

IM Golf deadline—see article p...

MON

Transcendental Meditation Lecture—see article on p...

INTERNATIONAL LAW SOCIETY will hold an informal meeting in the Lounge of the Lawyers' Club — 7:30 p.m. on Monday, October 6, 1975.

To discuss:

Sources of information regarding international law placement possibilities generally, within and outside the U.S.

TUES

WLSA MEETING

There will be a General Meeting of the Women Law Students Association on Tuesday Oct 7 at noon in the Women's Lounge. Everyone is invited. Refreshments will be served—bring your lunch.
OPEN LETTER TO PROFESSOR
YALE KAMISAR

Dear Professor Kamisar:

I am sure that you are aware that grade reports for Michigan Law Students for the Winter term, 1975, were not sent from the Registrar's Office until the end of August, a full three months after final examinations were finished. I am equally sure that you are aware that your procrastination and tardiness in turning your grades into the Registrar's Office was the reason that over 1150 students had to wait so long to receive their grade reports. Your insensitivity to students' feelings in this regard has prompted Law School Student Senate to authorize me to formally express students' displeasure and remonstrance at being treated in such an inconsiderate manner.

I am aware that you are not alone in turning your grades in later than is reasonably necessary (the deadline was June 16, 1975), but the fact that your grades were turned in nearly two weeks later than your three latest colleagues and over four weeks later than the rest of the faculty tends to show that you have even less consideration for the position of students at this law school than some of the other faculty members.

Maybe you are unaware that since grades are used as a measure of the success of law students and since grades may make a great deal of difference to a student in terms of the opportunities open to him or her, your dilatory behavior caused a great deal of consternation and anxiety for nearly every student at this law school. Since the computer system used by the university for recording grades on transcripts requires that all the grades be in before any grades can be recorded and reported, the actions of one professor, Yale Kamisar, caused all the grades of all 1150-plus students to be held up.

Due to the fact that the registrar did not receive your Constitutional Law grades until August 5, 1975, the whole process of preparing transcripts could not commence until it was already time for summer grade reports to be processed. This caused the Registrar and her staff to have a double work load. Similarly, the lateness in receiving the transcripts meant that the Assistant Dean could not determine whether those students who were on condition would be allowed to register for the next term until long past the time those students should have known of their status in order to plan their lives accordingly. Those students needing transcripts for employment purposes had to explain that their "great" law school did not have enough control over its faculty to require professors to get their grades in on time. Graduating students could not assure their employers of their graduation because official word from the school was held up by the actions of one professor. In some cases, students could not be certified for the bar examination because you found better things to do than grade final examination papers, which I always understood was a part of the job for which you are paid. And first-year students who have had little feedback after nine months of a new and sometimes intimidating experience had to wait an extra three months for that feedback because you were apparently too busy, probably writing and publishing in order to fatten your own pocket. Your priorities are misplaced.

This letter is a condemnation -- a condemnation of your inconsiderate and unfeeling (not to mention unprofessional) behavior as well as a condemnation of a faculty who professes the ability to police itself yet has no provision to penalize professors who have acted as you have.

There have been rumors that you were appropriately apologetic to the Dean and other of your colleagues for
causing this problem. That may or may not be true. I would welcome a public apology to the students and to the Registrar and her staff. And I would certainly consider the faculty to have disregarded their professed dedication to the quality education that this law school claims to provide if they do not see that such a situation does not develop again. And I will not consider the faculty's duty in this matter fulfilled until they have publicly announced how they intend to prevent this kind of misbehavior in the future.

Sincerely,

Pamela S. Hyde
Law School Student Senate
Pamela S. Hyde, President

cc Dean Theodore J. St. Antoine
Helen Betts, Registrar
Professor Yale Kamisar

Dear Cut and Paste Crew:

I will admit that my assessment of the abilities and responsiveness of the faculty of this law school is based on limited evidence and experience. I called it, if I remember correctly, "my initial impression." Yet NG called me to fight what I was assured would be a concerted effort by administration and faculty to rape or seduce (the obscenity is ambiguous) my wish— an attempt to squelch through ridicule disguised as Socratic teaching and through the pressures of competition any questioning or probing of the values and policies which make the law so hospitable to the wealthy and socially powerful. Your column and (during orientation my student government leaders offer variations on the theme.

My professors have made nothing which remotely resembles the described assault on my mind. Quite the contrary, am I simply fortunate in the particular law professors assigned me for my first year? Perhaps. But from the more candid comments of friends in the second and third year classes, I think not.

Please proceed to enlighten me, Mr. Allison. But as you do so, please remember the accomplishment of this administration and faculty and weigh them in your own final judgment of your experience here. Consider that just because you will graduate from Michigan you are much less likely to be an unemployed lawyer than you would be were you to graduate from almost any other law school in the country. Do you seriously believe that the faculty and administration deserve no credit for this very fortunate situation? Please open any recent West casebook to the page preceding the title, a page which lists the "Editorial and Advisory Board" to the American Casebook Series. Note, please, the conspicuous presence of Yale Kamisar, the professor you most glibly malign. Do you seriously think you would be better prepared (a) to understand the law or (b) to find a job as a lawyer were he not on our faculty? Admittedly, another professor in criminal law might be willing to spend more time with clinic and more time chatting with students. But in my ideal law school there would be a place—with honor—for such superlative scholars as Professor Kamisar, whatever his supposed weaknesses an an instructor.

Perhaps I should not ask too much seriousness of Res Gestae. But I would like to register very clearly my objection to the ill-supported ("mindless") diatribes against the administration and faculty which seem to be so fashionable.
DUMP TRUCK

"I NEED A DUMP TRUCK, BABY,
TO UNLOAD MY HEAD."--B. Dylan
by Larry Halperin

Recently CBS produced a program
on hunting called "The Guns of
Autumn," and the network was inundated
with letters and calls even before
the show was put on. From a statement
in TV Guide announcing the proposed
content, the National Rifle Association
realized something was up, and without
seeing the show went to work and
(directly or not, depending on your
belief in fairy tales) got every
sponsor but one to withdraw its
commercials. I'm not going to talk
about free speech or economic intim-
Idation today; I'm going to talk about
irredeemable, unexcusable assholes-
by that I mean anyone who hunts,
anyone who owns or uses a gun, anyone
who opposes total gun control, and
anyone who doesn't agree with me 100%.

Now if I wanted to stir up some
excitement here, I think I might
have just done it. There must be
some "outdoors sportsmen" in my reading
audience who think it is to be one
with nature to go out in the field on
a beautiful fall day lying in wait
for ferocious deer or menacing pheasant.
Judging by the vitriolic response
CBS got, a nerve is touched in America
anytime a somewhat unflattering word is
said about "the gun." People jump as
if their actual cocks had been grabbed,
instead of just the Freudian symbol.

I will not listen to reason or
logic on this subject. I have an
absolute total prejudice against killing
and nothing anyone can say about game
management or a Constitutional right
to bear arms will make me think it is
ever ok for anyone to have a gun. I
am lumping gun control in with hunting
because I see it as a connected piece-even
though the TV show was just
about hunting. The people who

support hunting are in general the same
people who will loudly object to any
further tightening of the present
ineffective gun control laws.

I'm just sick of it. My high
school graduation took place the day
after Robert Kennedy was buried. I
gave a speech pleading with people to
write to Washington and to urge enact-
ment of stiff gun control measures.

But then, as now, I knew it was futile.
John Kennedy and Martin Luther King
had been killed, so had thousands of
"regular" people, by guns, but nothing
had been done. It seems like Turner's
thesis concerning the frontier mentality
of America was to have permanent valid-
ity. Is this the 1830's? Do we all
live in Dodge City? Am I being too
simplistic to say that males tote guns
to prove their masculinity? Maybe
but to tell you the truth, I just
can't buy the idea of getting a gun
to protect yourself from the "bad guys"
who will always be able to get one.

I doubt there are going to be too many
situations where one would be safer to
take out a gun and start shooting than
to just face the situation as it occurs.
I would much rather go the other way
and totally ban all guns. For a long
time, maybe forever, those who "should
not" have guns will be able to get them.
But maybe eventually they will all be
confiscated and there won't be any
possibility of Squeaky Fromme, or
Sarah Moore, or robberies-armed, or
Saturday night murders where friends
get mad at each other and look for a
way to win an argument, or, for that
matter, dead animals mounted to serve
as "trophies" in some shmucks den.

Let's try to be rational for
a moment. The government touches all
aspects of our lives. It says who can
be a barber, it says how wide your
driveway must be, it controls driving
cars, purchasing alcohol, and it says
which establishments can have dancing
and which can have recorded music. With
Second Amendment? Let the Supreme Court face reality and declare it was designed in a different time for different people. Let our "well regulated militia" consist of the Army, Navy, Air Force and Marines. We spend enough on them each year to assume that they won't need any assistance in fighting off the Ruskies from some moron with a .38 in his dresser.

I could go on, but as I read this over, I realize it's all been said before. I just want to add that I find great humor in the response of those who defend the right to own and use guns. The hunters who complained CBS falsified the picture when it showed one incident of a deer being shot 7 times before it was killed, were sincerely convinced that killing with one bullet was much better, even commendable. I say bullshit with a cynical laugh. And Gerry Ford who is "unalterably opposed" to gun control. What does one do with an idiot like that? Just because a vocal minority loudly proclaims its unalterable opposition to gun control, the political "leaders" bravely follow the line to allowance of death by a pulled trigger. (Did you catch what happened when the Consumer Product Safety Commission tried to claim that bullets and other ammunition were a dangerous product that should be taken off the market—Congress promptly passed legislation declaring that ammunition, along with guns, was not within the purview of the Commission.) This much interference, surely regulation of literally a life and death matter is justified. And what of the

There is no hope, I know. There is no hope for many things. But if a few more joined in this Sisyphean task, perhaps some day we might fool ourselves into thinking something might be done.

CHICAGO, Feb. 7 - Law school enrollment is at an all-time high, but the rate of increase has slowed substantially, the American Bar Association said today.

Let us admit—with pride—that his law school is among the very finest. Let's give the faculty and administration the honor that is due them for their contribution in making it such. And let us realize that the shortcomings of this school are targets for skilled marksmen who know how to define and hit a narrow, specific target—not for any old scatterbrain with a blunderbuss.

Yours truly,
Greg Hill

P.S. I do not recall ever being guaranteed a place in any of the courses listed in the law school bulletin, whether or not I consider it vital to my legal education. While Wayne State highlighted her clinical offerings in her law school bulletin, Michigan did not, which led me to suspect that Clinic might have lower priority claims against law school recourses here than there. I agree with you; Clinic probably should have higher priority. (You realize, however, that the proposition is hardly self-evident and occasions much debate in the most sophisticated circles of legal education.) But to prove breach of contract in my court, you are going to have to allege at least that the law school failed to offer the course(s) desired or that your friend was not permitted a reasonable opportunity to compete for the seats available in the course(s). I would still have to enter judgment for the defendant or demutter to the evidence. - Greg
I've become pales and fades, and I
wallow with him in all the mysteri-
ous glory of what a man can be.

He is the only man that has ever shown
me that. I have read the great books,
seen the great paintings, cowered in
the painful beauty of a snowfall or a
sunset. I have been made small before.
Like Job covering his eyes I have known
the glare.

But Ali need not turn away. He has ei-
ther fought his way into that light, or
he was born into it, and his art comes
to me only to let me know it. It will
take a long time for me to decide which.

He bids me look. Unlike the book, the
painting, or the moods of earth, which
lead by way of proof, he trades off on
the relationship of our blood and flesh
'if you have eyes, then see.'

And I see. And I feel. I have wept for
the beauty of it.

Some scoff. Perhaps most. To be carr-
ied away with such nonsense. With such
a buffoon. With nothing more than a
hustler, my god livorine be serious
you're talking about nothing but a box-
er.

That is all true here. I am not blind
to the life of Cassius Clay. The
Cassius Clay who reads poorly, who
drives semis for sport, who writes atro-
cious poetry, who sometimes falls to
the canvass.

But this is all true here. That livor-
ine goes to law school, plays baseball,
talks too much, and ... sometimes falls
the canvass.

The beauty be that there is some truth
there. That there is Muhammad Ali.

When Ali reminds and reminds, 'I am but
a servant of Allah', he speaks his
best peace. While he and I have a dif-
ferent notion as to the concept of
'Allah', we both know he has a foot here
and one foot in the door.

And I love this man for the crack.
TRANSCENDENTAL MEDITATION PROGRAM AND THE LEGAL PROFESSION

There will be an introductory lecture on the Transcendental Meditation program on Monday, October 6th at both 12 noon and 8:00 p.m. in the Cook Room, Section N of the Lawyer’s Club.

As law students we’ve been thrust into a demanding environment. Competition, a heavy academic workload, family and social pressures, to name just a few of the demands, all tend to produce a lot of stress. Our ability to succeed in law school (and in “real life” as well) depends on our ability to meet these demands. Recent studies have shown that the Transcendental Meditation (TM) technique may be one very effective way of becoming more capable of dealing with such an environment by making us more capable in general as human beings (a shared level of existence more basic than that of being “law students”).

The TM technique is a simple, natural, effortless procedure practiced twice daily for about 20 minutes. During these 20 minutes, the meditator sits in a comfortable position with the eyes closed. Meditators claim that it provides deep rest and relaxation to the mind and body, thereby unfolding greater energy, creativity, and mental potential. The practice involves no religious or philosophical belief and requires no change of lifestyle.

Until recently, many people were skeptical of meditators’ claims of increased energy, clarity of mind, emotional stability and overall feelings of self-worth. However, since the initial studies done at UCLA and Harvard Medical School in 1970-71, a convincing body of physiological, psychological, and sociological data has been compiled showing that the TM technique results in very real and lasting beneficial changes.

Researchers found that during TM oxygen consumption spontaneously decreases about 16% indicating a state of deep rest. This reduction is almost twice that observed during deep sleep. The concentra-

The regular experience of “restful alertness” during TM results in greater energy, clarity of mind and an increased ability to focus on the task at hand. Studying tends to be more productive. Studies show significant increases in intelligence growth rate and improvement in academic performance in meditators. Because the TM technique is a natural way of reducing stress and increasing inner stability, the pressures of competition, family and social expectations are dealt with more easily and effectively.

In addition to the personal benefits to be gained, it is of interest to note that the TM program has been used as a rehabilitative tool at a number of correctional institutions, including Milan Prison. The results have been very promising.

The results shown by over 1 million people who have started the TM program since it was introduced 17 years ago by Maharishi Mahesh Yogi certainly cannot be ignored. All of us in the legal profession are responsible for bringing about meaningful change. We should be familiar with all of the tools available to us today.

S/ Jim Zatolokin
U of M Law ’75
Teacher, Transcendental Meditation program
The following is a hypothetical situation. Any resemblance between the facts as presented here and a "real world" occurrence may have been intended but won't be admitted except under cruel and unusual punishment. Nor does the author mean to imply that law students use drugs. However this does not exclude professors from suspicion. The only way that some of their actions can be explained is that they must have been stoned.

The Scene: Somewhere in Ann Arbor

The Situation: Police move in on a suspected pusher in their vigilante(sic) effort to make Ann Arbor safe from these drug-crazed dope fiends.

The Background: A picture of Superman standing in front of the flag as the narrator finishes his pitch..."fights a never-ending battle for truth, justice, and the American Way (I always knew those years of watching TV as a kid would come in handy one day)."

Dear Mom,

I know that you were always a stickler on good penmanship and this letter is illegible at best. However there are mitigating circumstances. The police are presently trying to break down the door. Now don't get alarmed. When they came to the door and asked to be allowed to look around I calmly asked to see their search warrant. Wouldn't you know it? The officer said that he must have left it in his other suit. After expressing my sympathy at his oversight I told them that though I have the deepest respect for the police I had a headache and closed the door. Now this may not be the version you hear from the officers. But if he would have backed up when I thought that he was going to I wouldn't have caught his hand in the door. Now I suppose that they're going to trump up some assault charges to justify their breaking and entering.

Now as any law-abiding citizen knows, and those that don't can ask Kent Knutson, what does any decent person have to fear when the police make an illegal search? After all, if you are an honest person, you have nothing to hide! But my situation isn't quite that simple. You see, these friends of mine had just been evicted from their apartment-illegally, of course-and had asked me if they could leave some things here while they looked for another place. Well I agreed before I knew what I was getting into. Presently there are enough chemicals to keep the top twenty NCAA football teams on their winning ways for the rest of the season (Yes, Virginia, those fine, upstanding young men do get up for the game). Now, I admit that I could have refused to keep the stuff after I found out what it was but I remembered what you said about living up to your word once you give it. There goes one of the hinges, Mom. I don't think it'll be long now. But how would all these drugs look to the police if I had let them in? Even with my sweet and innocent face, it's hard to overcome this much circumstantial evidence. Fortunately I am taking that Kamikazi police practices course this term. We have already been through searches and I'm sure that the police will never be able to show probable cause. But there is one little problem that I'm not quite clear on. CHIMELE v. CALIFORNIA 395 U.S. 752, 89 S.Ct. 2034, 23 L.Ed. 2d 685 (1969) - the cite is for the benefit of those who always take down same and then never look it up- allows the police to conduct a search within the grabbing distance of the suspect. Since the world's record for the long jump is over 29' that would mean that they would have free rein of the apartment unless I cowered in one of the corners and moved all the drugs to the other end. But I don't think that I have the time. Already the door looks like Euell Gibbons dropped in for lunch. And everyone knows that the old "man's home is his castle" cliché is becoming a moat (sic) point. So I am going to exercise my discretion by going out the window. But don't worry about me. You may not hear from me for a while but these friends will put me up for a few months. I'll be staying with Jimmy Hoffa so you know that I'll be taken care of. I had originally considered San Francisco because of the good job market. You can't imagine how much a good urban guerilla can make. But I heard that someone squeaked on the organization. MOORE on that later.

What's that down there? It looks like that guy from the Celtics with his S.W.A.T. buddies (for those of you who are not cur-
rent on basketball or violent TV, John "Hondo" Havlicek is the leader of the Celtics while "Hondo" Harrelson directs the fire power on S.W.A.T. Those of you who didn't need the explanation can bask in this moment of glory). Don't shoot, fellows! I'm unarrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr
ELECT

LS SS FIRST YEAR ELECTIONS

Elections for the four first-year positions on the Law School Student Senate will be held on Wednesday, October 15 from 9:00 AM through 5:00 PM in front of Room 1000 Hutchins Hall.

All first year students are eligible to run for the Senate and may vote for one representative from each of the four first-year sections.

The following rules will be followed:
(1) Candidates must present at petition signed by at least 25 first-year students by Thursday October 9. Students may sign more than one petition. Petitions may be picked up at the Lawyers Club desk starting on Friday, Oct 3.

(2) Candidates may submit a typed resume of no more than 200 words to the RG office no later than 12:00 noon Tuesday, Oct 7. They will be published in the October 10 issue of RG. (Resumes submitted after the deadline will not be printed; the 201st and succeeding words will not be printed--ed.)

(3) Only first-year students may vote, but each voter may select one representative from each of the four first-year sections.

(4) Plurality vote wins. In case of ties the election committee will announce procedures for breaking the tie.

(5) Candidates will be contacted in the event of a decision to take their pictures for posting at the polls on election day.

(6) No campaign signs, posters, etc., are to be posted within 25 feet of the polling place.

(7) When votes are counted, each candidate may either observe the counting or select a representative for that purpose.

(8) In the event that any losing candidate finishes within 3 votes of the winner, that candidate, or a representative or that candidate, may request a recount.

(9) The Elections Committee will be the judge of disputes not covered by these Rules and their decisions will be set aside by the full senate only in the event of an abuse of discretion.

(10) Senate by-laws take precedence over these election rules should any conflicts arise.

If you have any questions, contact me at 665-8274.

Paul Ruschmann
Chief--
LSSS Elections Committee

LS SS

LS SS MINUTES
September 25, 1975

The meeting was called to order shortly after 6:00 p.m. in the Cook Lounge of the Lawyer's Club (the Faculty Dining Room having been taken already). Present were George Vinyard, Dave Dawson, Bertie Butts, Valorie Anderson, Barbara Harris, Paul Ruschmann, Jon Karp and Phyllis Rozof. Approval of the minutes of the last meeting was tabled because the secretary had forgotten to pick up copies of these minutes from the copy center.

cont p. 12
Dining Regulations

Sherry Clifton, the Lawyer's Club Dietician, and Art Mack, Director of the Lawyer's Club, discussed the recently promulgated rules for the Lawyer's Club cafeteria. Mr. Mack said that he had relayed the students' concern to the authorities at the University Housing Office and some of the rules had been modified. Specifically: (1) alumni who wish to enter the cafeteria will be permitted to do so; (2) visitors staying upstairs at the Lawyer's Club will be allowed to enter the cafeteria to have coffee, etc.; (3) most importantly, students bringing a professor to lunah at the Lawyer's Club will be permitted to take their sack lunches in with them and not purchase a meal. The only requirement is that any student accompanying a professor to lunch must stop at the Lawyer's Club desk to pick up a "Brown Bag Card" which will then be collected at the cafeteria door. This will enable the staff of the dining hall to keep track of who is there and to seat people in an area where there will be a minimum of disturbance. The Senate discussed the policy of excluding other non-resident law students who simply wish to sit with their friends in the dining hall. Paul Ruschmann will discuss with Bob Hughes of the Housing Office the procedures whereby this policy was instituted without consulting the LSSS (in possible violation of a contract the Housing Office has with the Student Senate.

Proposed Building

John Karp reported that the student building committee had met yesterday. He introduced Deborah Inwald who showed a model she had made in order to exhibit an alternative plan for the proposed addition to Legal Research. Prices for stone facing of such a building are being investigated.

Ann Arbor Teach-in

Mike Nicholson explained a program being sponsored on November, 2, 3, and 4, 1975 by the Ann Arbor Teach-in. This group will present a number of speakers on campus, ten of whom will be attorneys. Nicholson asked the Senate to donate $200 to support this program, stressing that some of the topics will be of particular interest to law students. President Hyde asked that the LSSS be assured before donating to this program that other student governments on campus were supporting it also. George Vinyard suggested that the Senate delay action for one week in order to coordinate our plans with those of other student government groups.

Bluebooks for Exams

President Hyde said that the Administration would like to discontinue supplying bluebooks to students for final exams - that they were willing to drop any requirements that students exchange bluebooks or have them checked before taking finals. Several members of the Senate expressed an opinion that the student body would be very opposed to having to purchase their own bluebooks, so Hyde agreed to discuss this further with the Dean.

Typewritten Exams

Dave Dawson said that he was against permitting students to type answers to exams, explaining that he felt it gave an unfair "speed" advantage to those students who knew how to type, that people could bring sections of explanatory material already typed and ready to insert with them to exams, and that professors probably subconscious influenced to give a higher grade to a neatly typewritten exam answer. Hyde suggested that, before the Senate took any position on this, Dawson should provide evidence of student sentiment on this issue.

Vacancy on Board of Governors

As this was the third meeting missed by Kathy Krieger, who is reported as having transferred to another school, discussion was held concerning filling her position on the Board of Governors. According to Senate Bylaws, the only persons eligible for this post are George Vinyard, Sharon Williams, Bruce Hiler, and Phyllis Rozof. George Vinyard resisted an attempt to draft him for the position, moving that decision be postponed until Williams and Hiler can be informed of the vacancy. There was no opposition to this.

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 7:45 p.m. The next meeting will be Thursday, October 2, 1975 at 6:00 p.m. in the Faculty Dining Room.

Respectfully submitted,

Phyllis Rozof
Secretary
FOOTBALL POLL

Here's this week's RG Poll. Last week's winner was Rick Rufner, who got 31 out of 41 correct. He can pick up his prize at Room B-15 of the Lawyers Club. The average percentage picked up last week to .580. Circle the winners and cross out the losers. Entries go into the box outside Room 100 before 5:00.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi (29 1/2)</td>
<td>at Alabama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern (16 2/3)</td>
<td>at Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCU (24 2/3)</td>
<td>at Arkansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army (17 2/3)</td>
<td>at Stanford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Tech (17 2/3)</td>
<td>at Auburn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baylor at South Carolina</td>
<td>(7 2/3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clemson (7 2/3)</td>
<td>at Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado (16 2/3)</td>
<td>at Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida at LSU (10 2/3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington St. at Illinois (2 2/3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana (5 2/3)</td>
<td>at NC State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USC at Iowa (23 1/3)</td>
<td>at Wisconsin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas (4 1/3)</td>
<td>at Missouri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas A&amp;M at Kansas St.</td>
<td>(21 2/3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky (9 2/3)</td>
<td>at Penn State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miami (24 2/3)</td>
<td>at Nebraska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miami (0 2/3)</td>
<td>at Purdue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri (7 2/3)</td>
<td>at Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan St. at Notre Dame (5 2/3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio U. (1 2/3)</td>
<td>at Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio St. at UCLA (19 2/3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Tech (12 2/3)</td>
<td>at Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia at SMU (8 2/3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah State (26 2/3)</td>
<td>at Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke (6 2/3)</td>
<td>at Pittsburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syracuse (4 2/3)</td>
<td>at Maryland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanderbilt at Tulane (6 2/3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROS:
Pittsburgh at Cleveland (17 2/3)
Cincinnati at Houston (3 2/3)
Miami at Green Bay (5 2/3)
Denver (6 2/3) at Buffalo
New England at NY Jets (1 2/3)
Baltimore (5 2/3) at Los Angeles
Oakland at San Diego (16 2/3)
San Francisco at Kansas City (2 2/3)
Chicago (15 2/3) at Minnesota
Washington at Philadelphia (15 2/3)
NY Giants (7 2/3) at St. Louis
New Orleans (3 2/3) at Atlanta
Dallas at Detroit (4 2/3)

POLL TIEBREAKER: What will be the score in the Michigan-Missouri game?
Michigan____ Missouir_____

RG Rankings

First place votes in parentheses
1. Oklahoma (6)
2. Ohio State (3)
3. Nebraska
4. USC
5. Texas A&M
6. Texas
7. Missouri
8. Alabama
9. Penn State
10. Oklahoma St.
11. Notre Dame
12. Michigan
13. Colorado
14. West Virginia
15. Baylor
16. Arizona St.
17. UCLA
18. Arizona
19. Tennessee
20. Florida

Others receiving votes: Arkansas, Michigan St., Pittsburgh, Auburn, Texas Tech, San Diego St., Illinois, Cincinnati, Georgia

Here's the overall records of the pollsters:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollster</th>
<th>Last week overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wittenberg Wonder</td>
<td>26-15 54-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oberlin Oracle</td>
<td>31-10 52-28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Princeton Prognosticator</td>
<td>23-18 48-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Dome</td>
<td>26-15 49-31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vassar Flash</td>
<td>23-18 46-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stillwater Cowboy</td>
<td>20-21 45-35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckeye Blasphemer</td>
<td>25-16 45-35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Howie Bernstein, Sports CZAR

BULLETIN!!!!!!!

ANOTHER MANAGER OUT

Following the lead of Milwaukee and Minnesota, Law Flesh issued a terse statement today that the contract of Manager H.M. (Tardy) Bernstein would not be renewed for the upcoming season. Management officials refused to comment further, but a behind the scenes observer commented, "Discipline was lax. Hell, he didn't even come to the games before the third inning. Bernstein in reply said, "They know about as much about baseball as I know about law." Asked about his future plans, Bernstein claimed to have a position in the Florida State League "in my pocket."