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The Public Interest Law Society (PILS) will hold its next bi-weekly meeting on Thursday, Oct 2 at 12:30 in the office, 112 LR. Current and future projects will be discussed.

FEDERAL CRIMINAL CODE TO BE TOPIC

The Washtenaw ACLU (American Civil Liberty Union) and the First Unitarian Church are sponsoring a public discussion on Senate Bill No. 1 this Sunday, September 28 at 8:30 PM at the First Unitarian Church, 1917 Washtenaw Avenue.

The discussion will focus on the controversial proposals in this pending legislation to revise the Federal Criminal Code, including proposals to reinstate the death penalty in federal criminal cases, to make it a crime to disclose classified information to the public, to increase the wire-tapping authority of the federal government.

The speakers are Reverend Erwin Gaede of the First Unitarian Church, Professor Harold Norris of the University of Detroit College of Law, and Professor Peter Westen of the Michigan Law School. The Public is invited to participate in the discussion.

FACULTY POSITIONS

Faculty and students are interviewing Sallyanne Payton, chief counsel for the Urban Mass Transportation Administration. Ms Payton will be on campus today and tomorrow. We welcome her to the University of Michigan.

Women Law Students Association

Moot Court

The team which will represent Michigan in the 1976 Jessup International Moot Court Competition is now organizing. Copies of this year's problem, which concerns the legality under international law of a host country's regulation of foreign direct investment and the expropriation of foreign assets by a developed country, are now available in Room 914 Legal Research. For further information, call Gregg Jones at 761-3175.

MON

WL SA

There will be a meeting of the steering Committee of the Women Law Students' Association at noon on Monday, Sep 29 in the WLS office. All women are welcome.

Law Spouses To Hold First Meeting

Spouses

The Law Spouses Association will hold their first meeting on Monday, Sept. 29, at 7:30 p.m. in the Lawyers Club Lounge. We will be discussing some of the activities that will be happening this fall. New members are especially encouraged to come to our first meeting. If you have any questions please call Ellen Bruinsma at 668-6313, or Cindy Yund at 662-6345.

CLIENT COUNSELING COMPETITION

The 1976 Client Counseling Competition of the Law Student Division of the American Bar Association will take place in March 1976. Last year eighty-nine schools participated; this year with your cooperation, it is hoped that many more will enter the Competition.

In the actual Competition, which takes place at a regional host law school, each team of students is given forty-five minutes. The first thirty minutes are devoted to an interview with the client during which the students are expected to elicit the rest of the relevant information and propose a solution or outline of what further research would be necessary. During the last quarter hour the students may confer between themselves and verbally prepare a post interview memorandum. This memorandum can be used to explain to the judges why the participants handled the interview as they did.

Cont. p.5
Our tale begins early Monday morning as the head of the University of Michigan Law School Placement Office, Nan Cee Cougar (growl), and her erstwhile assistant and comrade, Carling, commence another week of toil at the placement office:

**SCENE I**

Nan Cee Cougar: (stumbling) Oof! Carling: What is it? Nan Cee Cougar: I tripped over this package by the door! Carling: There's a note on it: "Here's $1,000 in unmarked bills. Please make sure I get an interview with Arnold & Porter". Nan Cee Cougar: Oh well, just another bribe. Carling: What should I do with it? Nan Cee: Send it back. Carling: There's no address... Nan Cee: Well, the money came wrapped in an issue of the Michigan Law Review. Send it up to the fourth floor.

**SCENE II**

We now shift to room 250. It is 3:15 in the afternoon. Placement sign-ups are about to begin. Ace law student Armand A. Legg is counselling his friend, Prince Thun, on various techniques employed by law students to make sure they get to interview with the firms they want.

Armand A. Legg: Now Nan Cee Cougar tries to act tough—but don't let it fool you.

Prince Thun: (incredulously) You mean you break the rules?! I thought they were designed to promote fairness...

Armand A. Legg: Fairness? Get with it kid. This is law school.

At that moment Armand was interrupted by the passage of the law review upper staff. The room fell silent. After his customary genuflection, Armand went on:

You see, Princey, I have this book—the book. It's a manual on how to beat the system.

**SCENE III—THE MANUAL**

Armand A. Legg: Here are some of the best parts:

"THE JUMP SHIFT"

The jump shift works in the following manner. Get your target group together e.g.—the best and the brightest for Covington & Burling. Make sure you are spread throughout room 250. As soon as the Covington & Burling sheet reaches one of your group, employ the jump shift. On a pre-arranged signal, all the best and brightest will change seats—in order to gain proximity to the treasured sign-up sheet.
"THE DECOY"

However, in order to successfully initiate the jump shift it may be necessary to decoy Nan Cee Cougar. This may be done in several ways:
1) One of your members may douse her with lighter fluid and set her afire;
2) Have someone page her with a phone call portending tragedy; 3) Roll an infant down the isle. All three of these techniques are generally sound and will assure that her attention is sufficiently diverted to allow you to use the jump shift.

"UP-UP AND AWAY"

In the event Nan Cee Cougar is not misled by the above ploys, it will be necessary to abandon the jump shift in favor of the airplane technique. This method is simplicity itself.
The first person in your group who gets the hallowed sign-up sheet folds it into a paper airplane (delta wing design is preferable) and sends it along to the next person in the group. To prevent interception have the group bring along a number of decoy paper airplanes. Vary the designs sufficiently from the sign-up sheet. Before the sign-up sheet is launched, send these phony into the air. No one will suspect.

"THE SWITCH"

The Switch is designed solely to irritate the "proctor" at sign-ups. Again on a pre-arranged signal (different from Jump Shift's, of course) all the students turn around and face the opposite side of the room. The proctor will not know how to cycle the sign-up sheets (i.e., back to front) and will slowly be driven mad if the Switch is employed frequently.

"THE LAW REVIEW"

All members of the Michigan Law Review are equipped with embossed i.d. cards indicating their lofty status.

Additionally, Upper Staff have this i.d. tattooed on their derrières.

Upon showing of this i.d. card, or the appropriate derriere, a law review student at sign-ups is allowed to:

1) Stand in at the end of the row where the sheet he wishes to sign is located.
2) Pre-empt anyone's time, or if the sheet is filled "bump" someone.
3) Erase everybody else off the sign-up sheet.

SCENE IV

We now interrupt examination of the Manual for a candid look at law students during sign-ups. (The above manual is available from Douglas Kahn or Yale Kamisar, Hutchins Hall, for $10.50--Part of a series entitled: "How to be an Elitist and Enjoy It", forward by B.G. Kennedy.)

vignette 1:

In the front of the room we have third year law student Bue Kollic. Next to him is a ten-year old.

Bue Kollic: (drousily) Hey kid, what 'chu doin' here?
Kid: I'm here for sign-ups.
Bue Kollic: Are you kiddin'?
Kid: No. I'm gonna be in the class of 1990, and I want to make sure I get a chance at interviewing with the best firms.

continued on page 9
All American Bar Association approved law schools are invited to enter a pair of students in the Competition. Application forms and a thirty dollar ($30.00) entry fee per school should be received by the Law Student Division by November 24, 1975. A book containing 20 Client Counseling problems with analyses of the problems is available from the Law Student Division at a cost of three dollars ($3.00). Two copies of this book are sent free to all schools which enter the Competition.

Also available are videotapes of past Regional and National Competitions. Due to the great demand for these tapes they will be loaned out only for a two week period. The charge will be five dollars ($5.00) and will double for each week the tapes are kept past the two week limit. If you are interested in the Competition or the tapes we will send you a list of those counseling problems available on tape.

In order for the school to enter the Competition its Dean must approve and a faculty member must be willing to serve as advisor. Each school may select its entrants in any way it chooses. After the deadline date for applications, November 24, 1975, the exact location of the Regional Competitions will be announced. There will probably be about nine regions. The Regional Competitions will take place on March 6, 1976 and the National Competitions will be held on March 27, 1976. (note date change from August announcement).

The regions and host schools have not yet been determined because the number and location of participants are presently unknown. If you think your school might be interested in serving as a host, please consult your dean as well as the team's faculty advisor and indicate this interest on your completed application form. All that is involved in being a host school is having the Competition at your school on March 6, 1976, obtaining judges for the Competition and a person to act as the client. The Law Student Division of the American Bar Association will cover any costs incurred by the host school, including videotaping, if the facilities are available and will provide a meal for the participants on the day of the Competition.

The travel and lodging costs incurred because of participation in the Competition cannot be reimbursed. There will be an award of one hundred dollars ($100.00) to the winning team in each Regional Competition. The National winning team will receive three hundred dollars ($300.00) and the National runner-up team will receive one hundred and fifty dollars ($150.00).

Please do not hesitate to request any further information about the Competition.

Sincerely yours,

Alice E. Fried
Director
Law Student Division

[Ed: entry blank available at RG Office].

BLACK LETTER LIFE

By
R. Richard Livorine

'Going To Sunday School'

It is not a little disconcerting to find oneself seated next to a law student, face duly scrubbed, hair cut to more 'acceptable' lengths, clean shaven- or hair carefully set and combed, make-up flattering but tasteful, all- turned out in their Sunday best, taking notes with the insouciance of a person with something better to do.

It is even more disconcerting to find oneself so attired and attuned. One would have liked to think one was in some vaguely definable way, 'above all that'.

Above all what?

But this metamorphoses, this transformation from student to something else, is of course very important. Without it, it is becomes increasingly difficult to justify all this three year's grief. Now, money, style, respect if not prestige, money, a new life, a tangible and positive contribution, money, a stamp of worth, reaffirmation, and of course money.

Sometimes one feels like a high school football player looking for the best offer.
Sometimes one feels like a high-school drop out looking for a job. Sometimes one feels.

There's hardly any middle ground.

The interviews are key. They have their light side. Take the various theories purporting to account for how and who gets the job.

There is the 'clothes make the person' theory. This theory maintains that firms are looking to hire a first-class suit to parade around the office. The problem with this theory is that it fails to account for the early 1950's bad taste exhibited by some of the firm representatives. Their classic coordination of dull gray with dull gray.

There is the 'ass-kiss' theory. This theory maintains a firm suffers from a deep inferiority complex of some sort and that they need compliments from wet-eared third year law students. But people with successful interviews are surely not willing to accept this account. People who feel good summarily reject theories which insist they feel bad.

There is the GRADES theory. This is perhaps the most widely accepted. This firm maintains that a firm wishes to hire a report card thus cementing their claim to 'the highest grade point in the city'. The problem with this theory is obviously every firm can't have the top grades and so they must hire somebody even if they regard the somebody as a nobody. Of course they can always ask for an LSAT score.

There is the 'they hire their own' theory. This theory holds that firms are most interested in one's name, sex, race, family, neighborhood, marital status, etc. in an effort to maintain a certain country club atmosphere. The problem with this theory is Tania Hearst.

Which theory is correct?

Ask at the placement office.

---

**REFUND**

**PIRGIM**

Now available:
Student Accounts Office
Second Floor, SAB
September 29 - October 3
8:30-12, 1:00-4:30

---

**LSSS MINUTES**
September 18, 1975

Members Present: Hyde, Butts, Dawson, Ruschmann, Vinyard, Williams, Karp, Harris, Saenz, Anderson, Hiler.

In the absence of the Secretary, P. Rozoff, who had a conflicting court appearance, G. Vinyard undertook to record the minutes.

The minutes of the previous meeting were approved after being corrected by the addition of mention of the discussion concerning the possible withdrawal of K. Krieger, Board of Governors Member, from the Law School. At that time, Pam Hyde undertook to attempt verification of Krieger's withdrawal and to investigate procedures for replacement as prescribed by the LSSS Bylaws. At this meeting Pam reported that she had written to Ms. Krieger requesting verification of her resignation from the Board of Governors. Though Krieger apparently had not officially withdrawn from the Law School to date, there had been informal reports to the effect that she had transferred to another school.

The Lawyer's Club Dietician made a brief presentation to the Senators and answered questions concerning changes in the food service this year. She communicated the capacity of the food service to provide food, utensils, service, etc., for events such as Sherry Hours sponsored by the LSSS and other student organizations. However, she stressed the need for adequate advance notice (7 to 10 days on food items) and direct communication between herself and the sponsoring groups; communication with the Law Club Secretary or Housing Director in connection with reserving a room is not sufficient to arrange for food service. All groups requiring the assistance of the Food Service must complete an "Entertainment Requisition Form" provided by the Dietician.

---

**PIRGIM**

Simply:
1. Take i.d. to SAB
2. Fill out brief form at SAB
3. Receive CREDIT on next tuition bill for amount of PIRGIM fee.
President Hyde reported on the application form and sign-up procedure for students seeking appointment to student positions on these Faculty committees. The procedures and form were developed by the ad hoc committee composed of Hyde, Saenz, and Vinyard. It was agreed that the same committee will screen candidates and report to the entire Senate the names of all persons who sign up for each committee along with recommendations for appointment based upon the screening process.

P. Hyde reported on her investigation of the recently announced discontinuance of the Administration's policy of providing bluebooks for final exams. Discontinuance of the policy would not necessarily mean reinstitution of the old requirement that the bluebooks provided by each student be inspected for crib notes before the exam. There will be more discussion of this issue at the next meeting.

President Hyde reported that she had confirmed via the Dean that Prof. Kamisar was responsible for the extremely late issuance of official grade reports for Winter 1975 term. Hyde is to place an open letter from the LSSS to Kamisar in RES GESTAE expressing the Senate's reaction to his tardiness.

It is now official policy on the highest authority that the playing of volleyball in the Law Quadrangle is forbidden. Students may play volleyball in the area by the Library stacks on Monroe Street. Anyone with questions or complaints concerning this policy should contact Art Mack (Law Club Housing Director), Dean Gribble, Dean Pierce, or Dean St. Antoine, depending on the level on which the individual wishes to hassle. In no case would it be fruitful to approach the LSSS President on the matter since she has already exhausted the afore-listed administrative channels.

P. Hyde announced that the Law Club Housing Director has proposed a new procedure for residents moving in and out of the Lawyer's Club which is designed to alleviate damage by cars to the sidewalks and grass. The procedure proposed would ban autos from the interior of the quad, but permit free parking in the Faculty paid lot and on Tappan

---

Treasurer Butts reported that the Law Club pinball machines had been burglarized over the previous weekend and that efforts are being made to improve security. The machines were ripped off four times during the summer according to Butts.

The ad hoc committee looking into alternative designs for the Law School Library addition was reported as planning a report for the next meeting. G. Vinyard had talked with Dean Pierce who had expressed a willingness to come to an LSSS meeting and discuss development of plans for the addition. Vinyard is to arrange for Dean Pierce's attendance at an LSSS meeting sometime in the next two to four weeks.

D. Dawson reported on discussions with Dean Rivera concerning student desires for more opportunities for clinical experience. High costs and faculty attitudes are apparently the major barriers to an expanded clinical program according to Dawson. Dawson is to continue gathering information on costs, alternatives, and offerings at other top law schools, as well as talking with more faculty members.

Vinyard reported no progress on the drafting and typing of the proposed new LSSS constitution.

The ad hoc committee of LSSS members charged with conducting first-year student elections reported a proposed timetable for announcements, petitions, and balloting. The schedule and rules will be published in RES GESTAE.

A motion requesting that the Faculty create at least two voting student positions on the Faculty Personnel Committee and at least two voting student positions on the Library Advisory Committee was passed. Students currently hold voting positions on the following Faculty committees: Administrative, Admissions Policy, Academic Standards, Curriculum, and Placement.

materials, and authorized Steve's use of the LSSS Office as a place to work. All labor involved in the project will be done on a volunteer basis; any law students wishing to assist Steve should contact him directly or communicate their interest in the project to the LSSS officers or members.

President Hyde reported on the application
The Dietician announced the continuation of the Food Committee and the Dining Hall Suggestion Forms used last year to insure feedback to the staff from those using the service.

At the request of the Dietician, the LSSS voted to permit the Food Service Personnel to use the LSSS storage room above the kitchen for conferences and other purposes as needed for the remainder of the year. The room is not currently being used by the LSSS.

LSSS members questioned the Dietician concerning recent policy changes relating to non-paying guests in the Dining Hall. The Dietician explained that the former policy permitting non-dining students to enter and have coffee or other beverages as guests of regular diners was revoked following issuance of a Procedure Memorandum from the University Housing Office. This memorandum resulted from the work of a cost-reduction committee on which the Law Club Dietician worked. She pointed out that she could not change the policy back without committing insubordination vis-a-vis her immediate superiors in the Housing Office, and she also indicated her agreement with the new policy. LSSS members pointed out that the new plan excluding non-paying guests and the new picture ID check system were both instituted without consulting LSSS or the Lawyer’s Club Board of Governors, apparently in violation of a 1972 agreement between the Lawyer’s Club and University Housing authorities which calls for such consultation. P. Ruschmann will be contacting the higher authorities in the University Housing Office on behalf of LSSS in order to remind them of their obligations under the agreement with the Lawyer’s Club and in order to see that the changes currently in effect are subjected to the prescribed procedures before they are considered final.

Diana Pratt appeared for the Environmental Law Society requesting that funds allocated in the current budget for convention travel be reallocated for renewal of the organization’s subscription to the Environmental Law Reporter (a looseleaf service costing $250 annually) with the remaining travel funds ($65) being reallocated for general purposes. The Senate voted to reallocate $250 for the ELR subscription and to return the balance of the travel allocation to the LSSS contingency fund. It was noted in discussion concerning the disposition of the excess $65 that the Society could return to the Senate with a new request if plans should later develop for travel to a regional convention or for some other new project.

Kathy Ziga gave a presentation concerning the Women Law Students’ plans for an Alumnae event in November, and specifically requested LSSS assistance in funding a cocktail hour/reception for returning women graduates which would be open to the entire Law School community. Following discussion of the possibilities of combining such an event with a regular LSSS Sherry Hour and consideration of the relative responsibilities of the LSSS and the Law School Administration for funding such events, the Senate declined to take action on the request pending more information as to cost and as to the position of the Administration. The consensus seemed to be quite supportive of the event, with support being qualified by a feeling that the Administration should assume responsibility for programs relating to Alumnae(1).

The Senate adopted a resolution supporting a Women Law Students proposal that the Law School Administration underwrite the framing costs associated with placing suitable pictures of Martha Griffiths, Cornelia Kennedy and another historically significant woman in the law in Hutchins Hall.

Steve Olson presented a plan for teacher and course evaluations based upon a survey instrument developed at Oakland University. The Senate voted an allocation of $150 to cover the cost of printing and other
Street for the duration of the moving days plus include provision of carts for transporting belongings from the free parking spaces to the rooms.

In response to a note from Peter Imes, the Senate passed a motion requesting the Administration to install floodlights in the area of the bicycle racks and the walkway between Hutchins Hall and the Lawyer's Club kitchen.

The Senate declined action on a request from a student that the LSSS purchase a Polaroid camera for use in the investigative work done at the clinic, noting that such equipment is an educational program expense which should properly be borne by the Law School.

Due to an unanticipated reallocation of funds in a student employment program, it became necessary for the Senate to allocate $320 for the Fall semester for partial funding of secretarial assistance for student organizations. The 15 to 20 hours per week of secretarial assistance will be obtained through the University's temporary employment office. The motion allocating the funds directed the principal groups involved (BALSA, LaRaza, and WLS) to post a descriptive notice of the position to be filled with the temporary employment office. If the groups can find a qualified law student who wishes to have the position, they may arrange for the appointment of that individual.

The Senate voted to censure the Republican Law Students and the National Lawyers Guild for erasing timely announcements placed on the blackboard in front of Room 100 Hutchins Hall by other organizations and then failing to reproduce the obliterated announcements in conjunction with their own. LSSS policy for the blackboard is that it is for the use of all student groups for displaying pertinent announcements and that those posting announcements subsequent to previously posted announcement(s) which are not outdated should reallocate the board space so as to share equally with the previous announcement(s).

In addition to topics mentioned above, items for discussion at the next meeting will include the following:

1. A Law School yearbook—so far two students have indicated interest in putting one together (Ed Marod and Heather Kelly).
2. The apparent shortage of chairs in Room 250.
3. The policy permitting students to type exams.

Respectfully Submitted,

George Vinyard
Acting Secretary

Vignette 2:

In the back of the room, in a corner, are first year students Rosie, and Birger. They're from New York, and are very much in love. The pressures of law school have already begun eroding away their insouciance. They were destined to have a daughter of fire and ice—but that's a different tale.

Birger: Aw Rosie, I don't know why I ever left Con Ed to go to law school. You know I'm the second one to get the sign-up sheet that I wanted.

Rosie: That's terrific!

Birger: Then how come there are already 44 people signed up on it?

Rosie: Tell Nan Cee Cougar . . .

Birger: Naw. Last I saw she was on fire running down the hall.

Rosie: Ah, the good old jump shift decoy.

Staff

Kingmaker: Harry Zeliff
Trapeze Artist: Larry Elder
Lion Tamer: Jessie Siegel
Bearded Lady: Mary Busby
Barback Rider: Howie Bernstein
Clown: Larry Halperin
Sad-faced Clown: R. Richard Livorine
Barker: Dot Blair
RES GESTAE

Sept. 17, 1975

Not a particularly new idea, but perhaps it might be useful (don't think it has been done before in RES GESTAE) to run a rough survey of the amount of reading material a student is expected to cover weekly at the Law School — and a comparison of which faculty member demands what (in the form of number of pages and quizzes), so that some idea of the reading and work load per first-year student, and others, is made public. Allowance should also be made for the Campbell Competition, etc., for clinic work, and for the time needed for placement interviewing (for seniors particularly), plus other broadening legal experiences etc. (work done by La Raza students counseling minorities, by BLSA similarly, Women Law Students groups, Student International Law Society — who help out on individual basis frequently with foreign students, and the like). Might be interesting to see this in print! Might also give some faculty members room for thought at the load students are carrying. In the Fall semester 1974-75, for example, Coffey of Case Western Reserve (Securities Regulation) lost half of his class and most of those who had signed up for his seminar, because of the work load he imposed on top of all of the rest which had to be done.

Another interesting point in this connection is the amount of work which is expected to be put into a seminar paper — given that the content is O.K., some faculty members require 10-15 double spaced pages per credit hour, while others require from 20-25 per credit hour at least (or even more). It only evens out if one is aware of the individual requirements per faculty member and spreads the load accordingly; if you don't know ahead of time, you may get stuck with an abnormally heavy load.

Am not a Law Student, as it happens, but have over the years heard many comments from undergraduate and graduate and foreign graduate law students at Michigan about this problem, and wonder if it might help for it to be exposed in print — might possibly help! Over to you.

Sympathetic bystander

Dear R.G.:

In response to Brian Kennedy's letter of last week taking issue with the treatment of his previous letter to LSSS in the LSSS minutes, I would like to go on record to the effect that Mr. Kennedy's own summary was also inaccurate insofar as it failed to reflect the insulting pomposity and adolescent sarcasm of his prior letter.

The letter to LSSS purportedly cited authority for Mr. Kennedy's claim (though I do not recall any specific citations), but the innuendo that LSSS has disbursed funds unconstitutionally which appeared in the prior letter and the letter to R.G. is totally without support in
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Fact as far as I can tell on the basis of Mr. Kennedy's letters or of my own experiences with the LSSS budgeting processes. But then it is always nicer to speak with authority than to find out what is going on in reality.

It is also great fun to take time out from lofty inspiration now and then to put the lower forms in their places. (with or without their awareness of what is happening to them). I am sure Mr. Kennedy got a chuckle out of the subtle way he slipped one over on R.G. with his hilarious allusion to your "powerful role in the law school community." What a wit!

George Vinyard  
LSSS Member-at-Large

Dear George:

I do not know quite what you meant by your last paragraph in the above letter. I do think that it was rather nice of Brian to recognize that the RG has been a bit thin so far this year and to advertise that we welcome Fresh-articles, especially those displaying a bit of wit. I took Brian's "allusion" to be some harmless puffing. If you are of the opinion that RG has no role or influence in the law school community, I remind you of the method by which you campaigned for your "powerful role in the law school community."

Henry

"I appreciate conciseness, Mister Smith, but the proper restatement of the rule enunciated in Clark v. Maloney is not 'finders, keepers'!"

I WANNA KILL !!!!!
- Arlo Guthrie

In Detroit this summer, a shooting incident which set off a series of riots downtown involved a white bar owner killing a young black who, according to the bar owner, was rifling his car. This prompted considerable public concern over exactly what situations and circumstances would warrant the use of deadly force by a non-police citizen in defense of personal property. It stands to reason that a person whose car, or purse, or wallet or whatever, is being stolen right in front of him or her can hardly depend on the workings of the various police forces to prevent the theft. Or put in the rather colloquial language of a man overheard in a Dexter bar, "If someone's makin' off with mah car, can't I just pull out mah gun an' smoke 'im?"

In answer to repeated requests that the Res Gestae be more responsive with significant comment and sophisticated proposals directed towards contemporary problems and issues of real social import, we present the following guidelines as to the appropriate use of deadly force by common citizens which might become necessary in various day to day situations.

PHI ALPHA DELTA

Our speaker on Thursday, October 2, will be J. David Singer, Professor of Political Science and Director of the Joint Program in Law and World Politics. Please join us at noon in the Faculty Dining Room. Coffee is provided.

Pont p.12
THE GUNMAN'S BILL OF RIGHTS

I "the bullet is almighty!" If the deceased was the only eyewitness, it was self defense.

II "the bullet is almighty!" It works the other way, too, so shoot first.

III Self defense requires only a reasonable apprehension of death or serious bodily harm. Remember, a shoe has been held to be a deadly weapon.

IV If you are attacked in your own home, you've got a free hand. Smoke him! ... and remember Rule I.

V If someone is stealing your scarf, drop him! It was self defense.

VI If he's not working alone, smoke 'em all! Any gang of thieves (no matter how young they seem) can certainly be reasonably expected to kill you for your scarf.

VII Killing children or members of the opposite sex, no matter how fun it seems at the time, is always a bit suspect, so try to keep to excuses ready at all times.

VIII If someone is stealing something of yours but there's a crowd around, don't shoot him ... that's a no-no. What you can do is yell, "Watch out, that murderer is going to kill us all!" Someone in the crowd is sure to be reasonably apprehensive of serious bodily harm and will smoke him for you.

IX Title 9. Women have just as much right to drop people in the streets as men do. Inner city gun clubs must spend an equal amount of money in recruiting and providing legal defense for women members as for male members.

X Join a local police force. Police are automatically exempted from any of the above rules and are continually faced with excellent kill opportunities. The only limitation here is that persons who abuse this privilege may have it revoked.

R. R. Livorine's eleventh amendment
If someone walks into the P-Bell with a gun, smoke him! Right away! You have a god given (and arguably legal) right to do so.

BRING BACK THE BOUNTY SYSTEM
OF CRIMINAL CAPTURE!

1said god being the same one to which various judges insist on referring to in noting that creator's intentions to separate and set apart whites and blacks, women and men, and Christians and witches.

2think of the deterrent effect!

- G. Burgess Allison

Notices:
The S.W.A.T. Fan Club is holding a meeting in Yale Yamisar's office next Sunday morning at 10:30.
Members of the Detroit Alumni Assn. are invited to attend a small gathering in a small, isolated town in the north woods of Canada. Survivor's of last year's meeting may pick up their award patch at the pre-festivities banquet.
Doug, please come home! Your mother would die if she ever found out.
Would the person who stole two matched pistols with "Big Ted" engraved in the pearl handles please return them to 300 Hutchins Hall. I'm leaving and I want them back.

the weekly personal foul

What was all set to be a rip-snorting week for the Students was stopped in its tracks last week by a letter to the editor from Greg Hill. The Students were forced to forfeit a game which, despite the usual overwhelming odds, nevertheless held that everpresent one-in-a-million chance for upset victory. Final score: Faculty 70, Students "dns".

Alternative A: Mr. Hill's letter, in general, denounced certain "mindless
obscenities" plastered all over Hutchins Hall's walls (apparently unaware of those perpetuated in Room 300 of that very same Hall) and noted that our instructors are most courteous, helpful, and superbly capable, both in their role as scholars and in their ability to teach.

Far be it from the personal foul to suggest that one person's ideas are better or worse than another's - be they the NLG's or Mr. Hill's - (notice how I precede my personal, unfounded and mud-slinging remarks with a little bullshit phrase that makes the whole thing socially acceptable criticism instead of uncivilized squabbles. Boy, I'll be a lawyer someday if I keep this up!) might I suggest that Mr. Hill's conclusions are grossly premature (almost a miscarriage) and that he be assisted in his fact finding.

To this end, the personal foul will each week (it has done so in the past anyway, so here's an excuse) remark upon some (apparently little known) fact which might go towards assisting Mr. Hill (or anyone else who might so desire such assistance) in compiling more complete information.

Today's sample for the uninitiated:

There is a person graduating this year who the administration has not allowed to take the Clinical Law Program. This is pretty bad considering that he/she has paid her/his tuition and is otherwise fully qualified and able to take the course. I mean it's the type of situation which approaches breach of contract: take their money but then say, "Yea, well you just can't take that course." Pretty shoddy example for a law school to set, huh?

Well the fact of the matter is that not just one, but MANY students will graduate this and upcoming years, having not been allowed to take this, and other courses which they may feel are essential to their legal educations.

Bum rap, shit!

Alternative B: Last year, a student wrote an article in the RG which denounced various student factions as "lily-livered" (boy, was that ever a mis-quote) and unable to cut the mustard and referred to law school as sort of a boot camp for lawyers and that we should all toughen up, put our noses to the grindstone and stop whimpering. The article drew such lengthy and violent objections that the author was forced to admit (or conveniently decided) that the article was a super-subtle satire and that nobody got the joke 'cause it struck too close to home.

Perhaps Greg Hill wrote his letter with similar motives.

And in other action around the league, speeding tickets (doing over 70 in a 25 MPH zone) were issued to "Big Doug" Kahn, "Fast Eddie" Stein, and J.J. (Welcome Back, Kotter) White.

For the person who picked Michigan over Stanford last week by 18 1/2: may the dung of a thousand camels fall in your bed as you sleep. (I gotta blame somebody!)

- G. Burgess Allison
FOOTBALL POLL

The RG Football Poll returns again this week. Last week's winner was Fred Goldberg, with 29 out of 39 correct. He can pick up his prize at Room B-15 of the Lawyers Club, if he can find me. I'm usually there. The average percentage was .567. This week again, circle the winners and close out the losers. Entries should be placed in the RG box outside Room 100 before 5:00.

Name ______________________

Alabama at Vanderbilt (20 1/2)
Arkansas at Tulsa (13 1/2)
Auburn at Tennessee (10 1/2)
Baylor (14 1/2) at Michigan
Boston College (1/2) at West Virginia
Clemson (6 1/2) at Georgia Tech
Wichita St. (24 1/2) at Colorado
Florida at Mississippi St. (10 1/2)
Georgia at South Carolina (6 1/2)
SMU (3 1/2) at Houston
Illinois (20 1/2) at Texas A&M
Utah (5 1/2) at Indiana
Penn St. at Iowa (18 1/2)
Maryland (2 1/2) at Kentucky
Rice at LSU (1/2)
Oklahoma at Miami (Fl) (36 1/2)
NC State (5 1/2) at Michigan St.
Oregon (7 1/2) at Minnesota
Wisconsin (1 1/2) at Missouri
TCU (30 1/2) at Nebraska
North Carolina (28 1/2) at Ohio St.
N. Texas St. (17 1/2) at Oklahoma St.
Northwestern (18 1/2) at Notre Dame
Purdue (19 1/2) at USC
Texas Tech (18 1/2) at Texas
UCLA at Air Force (11 1/2)
California at Washington St. (3 1/2)
Navy at Washington (2 1/2)

PROS:
Buffalo (8 1/2) at Pittsburgh
Cincinnati at New Orleans (9 1/2)
San Diego (7 1/2) at Houston
Minnesota at Cleveland (8 1/2)
Miami at New England (1 1/2)
New York Jets (1 1/2) at Kansas City
Oakland at Baltimore (5 1/2)
Detroit at Atlanta (9 1/2)
Philadelphia at Chicago (1 1/2)
St. Louis (7 1/2) at Dallas
NY Giants (11 1/2) at Washington
Los Angeles at San Francisco (6 1/2)
Green Bay (4 1/2) at Denver

Don't forget to make your selections with the point spreads. For example, if you take Alabama, they must win their game by at least 21 for you to win. Unlike bookies, the RG will not take the top teams in the country off the board.

Poll-tiebreaker: In what city and state is Baylor University:

1. Oklahoma (9)
2. Ohio State
3. Nebraska
4. USC
5. Texas A&M
6. Texas
7. Michigan
8. Missouri
9. Alabama
10. Penn State
11. Notre Dame
12. UCLA
13. Oklahoma State
15. Arkansas
16. Arizona (tie)
17. Stanford
18. Tennessee
19. Colorado
20. West Virginia (tie)
21. Pittsburgh (tie)
22. Michigan State

Others receiving votes: Auburn, Florida, Wisconsin, Baylor, Boston College, Illinois, Texas Tech, California, San Diego St., Maryland

The RG Rankings have added (at his insistence) a new pollster—the Buckeye Blasphemer. You will notice that his expertness may be called into question by his performance in last week's poll (not to mention the fact that he's a Buckeye fan). Here's how the pollsters (except the BC Bettor and the Michigan Maven, who did not participate):

Stillwater Cowboy: 25-14
Princeton Prognosticator: 25-14
Oberlin Oracle: 21-18
Wittenberg Wonder: 28-11
Vassar Flash: 23-16
Golden Domer: 23-16
Buckeye Blasphemer: 20-19

That's all this week from the BC Bettor.