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MARGINAL ARGIE FINDS HIS ROOTS

BLACK SHEEP 9-21-72

ANCIENT ARGIE 9-21-72

WHO ELSE?

ARGIE, S.D.
ORIGINAL ARGIE 12-3-71

GRANDPA ARGIE 3-28-75

"MA" ARGIE 2-27-76

MARGINAL ARGIE 3-34-77

The Res Gestae
SPECIAL NOTICE RE: JOBS CONFERENCE

SPONSORED BY MICHIGAN BLACK ALUMNI

Mr. Frank Jackson, a member of the Lawyers Club Board of Governors, a former President of LSSS, and now an attorney with the Detroit Corporation Counsel's Office, asked me to assist in publicizing the Jobs Conference scheduled for this Saturday (March 26).

Mr. Jackson particularly urged that I stress that the Conference is designed to be of benefit to all Michigan Law Students and that everyone is invited to participate even though the event is under the sponsorship of Michigan Black Alumni.

In a brief conversation at the recent Board of Governors Meeting, Mr. Jackson outlined the planned program for me, indicating that the major emphasis of the Conference would be on techniques and information related to finding jobs with smaller, perhaps more specialized, law firms and government agencies. While the focus of the conference will apparently be exclusively on the recruiting/job hunting process rather than on actual interviewing for positions, Mr. Jackson indicated that some of the attorneys participating in the program may incidentally be in a position to make some employment offers should satisfactory contacts develop out of the Conference.

-- George Vinyard
LSSS President

Alternative Practices Seminar, on Consumer Protection and Environmental Law. 7:30 PM in Rooms 116 and 120. Speakers:

George Steeh, Chief of Consumer Protection Division, Genesee County Prosecutor's Office.

Larry Owen, Michigan Insurance Bureau.

Ed Petrini, attorney for PIRGIM.

Roger Conner, West Michigan Environmental Action Council.


(For more information see the Notices section of today's Res Gestae.)
**Tuesday**

COMMUNITY DISCUSSION OF LEGAL ETHICS

Panel: James Crippen, Local Attorney  
Rev. Irwin Gaede, Thoughtful Outsider  
Jean King, Local Attorney  
Prof. Joseph Sax, Law School

TUESDAY, 3:30 p.m., MARCH 29, LAWYERS CLUB LOUNGE

Sponsored by: Guild House  
LSSS Speakers Committee  
and Dean's Office.

SEE FIRST TWO PROBLEMS BELOW!

What would you do if . . . . ?

**Wednesday**

Alternative Practices Seminar, on Labor and Discrimination Law.  
7 PM in Room 150. Speakers:  
Theodore Sachs, senior partner in Detroit firm representing unions.  
Dolores Smith, Detroit District Counsel, EEOC.  
Zena Zumeta, organizer for Michigan Nurses Association.

LAW SCHOOL FORUM

There will be a Law School Forum on the question:  
"Can a State That Has Ratified the Equal Rights Amendment (ERA) Move To Withdraw Its Ratification Before the Amendment Has Taken Effect?"

Featuring:  
William M. Paul  
(Class of 1977)  
and  
Peter D. Winkler  
(Class of 1977)

Wednesday, March 30, 3:30 PM, Law Club Lounge

**Thursday**

BLSA ELECTIONS

Nominations for the following offices are now open for the 1977-78 academic year: Chairperson, Vice-Chairperson, Corresponding Secretary, Responding Secretary, and Treasurer. Interested people may nominate themselves by placing their names and the positions for which they wish to run in the nominations box in the BLSA office. Nominees are invited to submit written statements with their nominations. A description of duties is posted in the BLSA office.

A BLSA MEETING will be held on Thursday, March 31, at 3:30 in room 138 at which the nominees should be present to address the organization.

ELECTIONS will be held the following Tuesday on April 5 in the BLSA office from 10 a.m. - 5 p.m.

EDITORIAL STAFF

Editor-in-Chief........Ned Othman  
Chief Editor..........Wm. Randolph Hearst  
Night Editor...........Carol Sulkes  
Supervising Editor....Bob Brandenburg  
Copyboy...............Don Parman  
Day Editor.............Stew Olson  
Editor Emeritus......Ken Frantz  
Asst. Assoc. Editor...John Mezzanotte  
Assoc. Asst. Editor...Dot Blair  
Contributing Editor...Earl Cantwell  
Noncontributing Editor...Andrea Sachs  
Asst. Editor..........Sandy Gross  
Assoc. Editor.........Kevin McCabe  
City Editor...........Bruce Johnson  
Country Editor........Crusader Rabbit  
Graphics Editor.......Zieghoff Braintree  
Culture Editor.......Paul Grant  
Executive Editor.......Remainderman  
Noneditor.............Dan Schulman  
Managing Editor.......Dennis Fliehman
NOTICES

CELEBRATE!

Believe it or don't, the Social Committee still exists. The strike is over so we can get beer and wine delivered, budgetary restraints can be temporarily forgotten, and besides, April Fool's Day is a Friday. So, for those of you seeking oblivion or at least temporary foolishness, we offer:

BEER, WINE, SODA POP, FOOD
Friday, April 1, 3:30 PM
Law Club Lounge

Coming soon -- Trip to Detroit for a Tiger baseball game, Fri., April 22.
Crease Ball -- April 16
Tickets available soon.

SURVIVAL HANDBOOK

What is law school really like? We need your opinions for next year's "Survival Handbook." Here's your chance to exercise those first Amendment rights you've heard so much about. Please place your comments in the box on the table in front of Room 100 by Friday, March 31. All points of view are welcome and will be represented in the handbook. We cannot complete this section of the handbook without your help. Thank you.

SR. JUDGE APPLICATIONS - CLARIFICATIONS

Several questions have been raised concerning applications for next year's Senior Judges in the Case Club program. First, one need not have served as a Junior Clerk to be selected as a Senior Judge. While Junior Clerk experience is, of course, relevant, other experience in writing, clerking, and teaching will also be viewed as significant. Second, persons expecting to graduate in December are eligible to serve as Senior Judges during Fall Term. They will be paired with a Senior Judge who will serve during the Winter Term.

Senior Judges earn two credit hours per term in connection with the Writing & Advocacy Seminar, which explores methods of teaching writing and advocacy. They are also paid a stipend of $225.00 per term.

Applications are available from Valerie Latham in Room 318 Hutchins Hall. They should be submitted by Wednesday, April 6, 1977.

Donald S. Cohen
Assistant Dean
WAIT TILL NEXT YEAR

The International Law Society again sponsored the Law School's entry in the Jessup International Law Moot Court Competition. This year's team consisted of Kent Cprek, Tom Donlon, Gary Kaplan and Fred Rodriguez. After much hard work and a lot of long hours the team traveled to the Regionals at Ohio State University on March 4th and 5th. Unfortunately Michigan did not fare well in the oral arguments and therefore will not be going on to the Nationals (no hasty conclusion should be drawn from the results in relation to the situs of competition). However, the team did win the award for best brief in the Regionals. Therefore, although the team will not continue in the overall competition, its brief will be considered along with the best of the other regions for the national award for the best brief in the Jessup Competition.

Last year four of the five members of the Michigan team that finished second in both the brief and overall competition in the Regionals graduated. Next year however three of the present four will return, so as with any team that competes annually, satisfaction can be sought in hopes of next year's accomplishments. Meanwhile this year's team would like to express its appreciation for the special help of two individuals. First, thank you to Mrs. Mary Gomes without whose help the winning brief would never have gotten to Columbus, and second to Dean St. Antoine for continuing financial support in face of escalating fees permitting Michigan to continue unbroken its record of entry every year since this competition began. May Michigan's dream of reaching the National finals in this endeavor also soon come true.

Submitted: T. J. Donlon
Jessup Coordinator

RAW REVIEW WRITING CONTEST

The Michigan Raw Review is sponsoring a writing contest, so that those of you who don't have a prayer of getting on the Journal or Review will actually have something to put on your resume. Valuable prizes will also be given out, including, but not limited to, free copies of the Raw Review (make great gifts of toilet paper) a complete set of beer mugs and glasses stolen from each establishment that the Barristers have been thrown out of, and, a free ticket to the Crease Ball, which enables you to impress your best date and get sick drinking cheap champagne. Prizes will be awarded solely on the basis of merit-filth, perversity, "sickie humour" or even real satire, listed in increasing order of importance.

The rules are simple, so that if you couldn't follow the rules in the other contest, you can here.

1. Start writing immediately. Entires are due April 8th, so that if you feel guilty about slanderous attacks, you can go to church on Easter and forget about your guilt.

2. Entries should be placed in the Social Committee box by the desk at the Lawyers Club, or handed to any Barrister who admits to being such.

3. The entries must be funny or slanderous, or even have no merit whatsoever-the ultimate accolade. They should apply to Law School.

4. Special Bonus! - Any kid who can get his mom or dad get his item printed disirregardless of merit or lack thereof. You also immediately win a free ticket to the Crease Ball.

Editor-In-Chief
(Here strike up "Hail to the Chief")
Donn Randall
(Most High)

OYEZ, OYEZ, OYEZ

The most Honorable Society of Barristers hereby announces that the 1977 Crease Ball will take place Saturday, April 16, from 8:30 p.m. to 12:30 a.m. in the Lawyer's Club Main Lounge.

Tickets will be available from Barristers beginning April 4 in front of Room 100 and elsewhere [Frazier's, e.g.].

The price per couple will be a mere $5.00 and a splendid time is guaranteed for all.

By Order of Himself,
The Lord High Chancellor
**LAW CLUB GUEST TICKETS**

Lawyers Club is once again selling guest tickets.

**BAR/BRI REVIEW COURSE**

BRI courses are taken by more students than the courses of any other bar review company nationwide. BRI courses are now available in over 30 states, including ILLINOIS, NEW YORK, PENNSYLVANIA, TEXAS, CALIFORNIA, AND FLORIDA.

Your BRI reps at Michigan are:

--Frank Kimball .....4-8994  
--John Palmer ...... 4-8940  
--Bill Paul .......... 4-9054  
--George Vinyard .... 4-8949

Copies of the National BRI Digest for 1977 are available in the Placement Office: the Digest summarizes BRI offerings and Bar Exam requirements in all 50 states and D.C.

Copies of the 1977 Digest will also be available Thursday & Friday in front of Room 100.

**MORE COURTSIDE COMMENTARY**

Dear Fleas:

Your piece in last week's R.G. challenging the JLR to a rematch is a grammatical disaster. Any well-trained high school student would laugh at it.

As written, the gerund clause in the first sentence beginning with "Abandoning" modifies the subject "notice," which is amusing. We envision the first draft of your notice lurking in the shadows of the Review carrels muttering, "Rats! I'll abandon my original plans to protest our loss.

Instead, I'll mean to be a warning to next year's JLR staff. That'll show 'em . . . .

By the way, Fleas, what gives you the idea that plans to protest an opposing team's victory are original? We've known poor sports to do it every time!

The second paragraph begins with a classic error - overuse of the expression "would be." For example, unskilled writers frequently begin sentences with "I would hope" when they mean to say "I hope." Needless to say, Fleas, we would hope you drop this habit. The rest of the paragraph abounds with wordiness, redundancy and misspellings (see the edited version).

The third paragraph presents another common error - placing two ideas in an "if...then...") form, when no such implication is intended. As written, you challenge us (again, need we point out that you say "we would like to," when you mean "we want to"?) if we doubt the outcome of next year's game? Is your challenge good if we don't doubt next year's game? We suspect you meant to say "We don't give a damn what the JLR staff thinks about next year's game; we challenge them." Additionally, Strunk and White advise us that it is poor grammar to begin a sentence with "However." The proper form is as follows: "If the Journal 2nd year class doubts this, however, we would like to (again, "we wish to"). . . ." Finally, your last phrase about selecting editorial positions for a rematch is another amusing error: do you really mean to say that you select board members just for a rematch? Bêy, are you guys organized!

A literate poor sport would have written the notice this way:

"Abandoning plans to protest the recent JLR victory in the annual basketball slugfest with the Review, the Fleas write this notice to warn next year's JLR staff.

Although the Review received no support in the game from its 3rd year students, the JLR was carried by such 3rd year greats as _____, _____, and _____.

We will massacre the JLR next year. Further, we challenge the JLR to play again this year, after selection of editorial boards."

The Fleas
Note: With the dangling modifiers, misspellings, and unnecessary words cut out, your point comes across much more forcefully, don't you think?

A literate good sport would have written the notice this way:

"We challenge the JLR to a rematch."

The Fleas

Thank you for your patience.

Grammatically Yours,

The JLR Second Year Staff

---

R.G. EDITORSHIP

George Vinyard has submitted his opinion that those who want to become next year's RG editor-in-chief should submit their names to the New Senate. His filing deadline is 4:30 PM April 13. Applications available Tuesday, April 5 at the Lawyers Club Desk. George feels the LSSS will then select the new editor-in-chief from these names.

* RES GESTAE Editor-in-chief -- The venerable editor of the R.G. is approved by the LSSS from among those who apply. Spring approval is necessary if the editor is to have some say in the formulation of the budget under which he or she must operate; early approval is also needed to get the R.G. off to a smooth start in the Fall. The duties of the editor should be apparent from the nature of the publication; there have traditionally been modest funds available for salaries for the editor and his/her layout crew (about $900 in 76-77).

Editor's Note: However, it is the position of the Res Gestae that the RG staff makes the selection of the editor-in-chief for the following year with approval by the LSSS.

---

AND THEN DECRIMINALIZATION?

A 21 year old man wants police in Paso Robles, California, to find his stolen marijuana and hashish.

Jerry Boisevert reported that his half-ounce of Colombian gold and a half-gram of hashish, which he said was worth $64, was taken along with his class ring. Police said that Boisevert cannot be arrested. "He didn't have possession," they said.
Dear Law School Folks:

This will be my last chance to invite you to a Michigan Men's Glee Club concert (assuming that I graduate), so here goes. The time and place are indicated in the tasteful little ad at left. The Glee Club spent spring break touring Colorado, California, Oregon and Washington, singing this program to appreciative audiences at every stop, so we will be in top form for our home concert a weak from Saturday.

For you music buffs, the program will include "Miserere Mei" by Lotti, "Man Is for the Woman Made" by Purcell, "Lovers Love the Spring" by Frackenpohl, "Chorus of Returning Pilgrims" from Tamghauser by Wagner, "Tarantella" by Thompson, "Inveni David" by Bruckner, "Processional" by Ives, "Chanson a Boire" by Poulenc, "Gaudeamus Igitur" (traditional), "Drinking Song" from The Student Prince by Romberg, and "Bachelor's Dance" by Brel, as well as numerous University of Michigan songs, several popular spirituals, selections by The Friars (zany octet) and The Midnight Suns (barbershop quartet).

As you may or may not know, the Michigan Men's Glee Club is one of the finest in the country, having thrice won the coveted top prize at the International Musical Eisteddfod in Llangollen, Wales. I've been twisting people's arms to get them to come to concerts for three years, and I don't think I've had a disappointed customer yet. So give us a try. If you have never heard the Glee Club, I think you'll be in for a pleasant surprise.

Reserved seats are $4.00 and $3.00, and general admission is $2.00. You can buy tickets from me (P-15 Law Quad) or Rick Scott (0-31 Law Quad), or at Hill Auditorium box office.

Hope to see many of you there!

-- Bruce Johnson
MORE INFORMATION ON 77-78

POSITIONS FOR STUDENTS ON FACULTY
AND OTHER COMMITTEES

As noted in last week's R.C., the new Senate will need to act promptly to fill a number of appointive positions this spring. More data on the various committees of the faculty and administration which have student members follows. These positions offer student opportunities not only to affect Law School policies which affect students, but also to work closely and become better acquainted with members of the faculty.

APPLICATIONS AVAILABLE -- Tuesday, April 5
at the Lawyers Club Desk.

FILING DEADLINE -- 4:30 PM, Wednesday, April 13 (file at the L.C. Desk).

* Academic Standards & Incentives -- Membership includes three (3) students; functions primarily in the area of policy relating to grading procedures, e.g. the pass/fail option, standards for honors; current student members are Bruce Engler, Tom Friel, and Sherri Toennes.

* Administrative Committee -- Membership includes one (1) student who should ideally be in Ann Arbor over the summer or at least return early (mid-August); functions primarily as a reviewing body deciding on student requests for exceptions to the academic regulations, and this year has been engaged in revision of the statement of academic regulations and requirements; Peter Winkler is the current student representative.

* Admission Policy Committee -- Membership includes three (3) students; functions include consultation and advice for the Dean in charge of admissions regarding the admissions criteria and procedures, as well as participation in the screening of individual applications; members of the committee may also assist in representing the Law School at meetings relating to Law School admissions that are held for prospective applicants; current student members are Susan Bittner, Richard Drubel, and William Leavitt.

* Building Committee (Student Advisory) -- An ad hoc committee appointed by the Senate to consult with the administrators and faculty members involved in planning the new library structure (and perhaps in the future, improvements in Hutchins Hall classrooms); membership includes three to five students; current members are Sandra Gross, Carol Harmon, Steve Harris, and John Robinson.

* Curriculum Committee -- Members include three (3) students; functions involve review and comment upon curricular proposals submitted by faculty members or students; current student members are Elizabeth Hilder, Dennis Holsapple, and Katherine Ward.

* Law School Judiciary Council -- Membership includes either one or two students; functions of the Council are restricted to hearing disciplinary cases involving alleged violations of substantive Law School Rules adopted by the Faculty (e.g. plagiarism, interference with functioning of the Law School such as abridging the academic freedom of students or faculty); current student members are Liane Lawrence and Merton Marsh, but the Council has not heard any cases for a number of years since most alleged violators prefer to have their cases handled administratively by the Dean. The level of activity, composition, and functions of this body may be changed substantially next year as a result of the work of an ad hoc Faculty Committee chaired by Prof. Sandalow which is dealing with the overall subject of disciplinary procedures.

* Placement Committee -- A committee of students which consults with the Placement Director regarding possible improvements in the Placement Office policies and procedures; membership varies from three to six students; current members are Michael Adelman, Jeffrey Baker, William Brunstad, and Calvin Keith.

* Scholarship Awards Committee -- Membership includes two (2) students with one appointed each year to serve a two-year term; functions of the committee range from participating in the selection of recipients for certain prizes, to planning the Honors Day ceremony for the Law School, to formulating policies and procedures relating to the administration of the law school financial aid programs, to hearing individual student appeals for exceptions from the financial aid applications procedures; current student members are
Belated congratulations to Yale Kamisar. Just think--only a year ago, "Yale Who?" was the favorite target for the RG's staff of malcontents (and seemingly about half the student body too), and now he's reached national prominence in Time.

Maybe the LSSS should be a bit more appreciative of me. With my help, they may get fame and Fortune (or at least Newsweek) after all.

Professor Kamisar's fame hasn't gone to his head, though. He's changed his mind about complaining to one of the Eastern universities; he's decided that even though it is a bit too informal for his new status, that the Trustees of Yale can continue to use his first name (maybe they'll draw a better class of students that way).

Rumor has it, though, that many students have heard a phantom-like voice in the stacks late at night, saying "Does the name 'Kamisar University' ring a bell?"

A court in my hometown just ruled that Illinois' statutes making cocaine illegal are unconstitutional. I can't say that I agree with the court, but at least it solves the problem of how to pay my tuition...

Welcome back, strikers! It's nice to have real food again in the Law Club, instead of the imported plastic imitation stuff that was served during the strike. Have you ever tried to eat a three-day-old pork chop with a blunt plastic fork, and a plastic knife that couldn't even scratch the paper plate?

In response to several questions:
No, MMM didn't write the nasty note on the LSSS food service survey that was posted on the dining room door.
But if I had thought of it first, well...

Nor am I responsible for the seating chart in the locker room--but, dedicated student that I am, I of course signed it!

Personal to the other signers:
Some of you are totally twisted, obscene, and tasteless, judging by the names and/or drawings you contributed to the chart. Have you ever considered working for the RG?

Each year, the Campbell competition gives those people who actually liked Writing & Advocacy a chance to argue a case before a U.S. Supreme Court Justice. Well, not this year.

According to Dean Cohen's office, Justice Powell has cancelled his scheduled appearance. The Campbell case this year apparently is a little too similar to a real case now before the Court.

So who will be the VIP judge this year? Nobody knows yet; Dean Cohen's office will only say that it will be "somebody from out-of-state."
Suggestions, anyone?

MMMemo to the LSSS: When are you going to junk that lousy Coke machine in the pinball room, and buy one that works more than 3% of the time?

More more position info. (cont. from p. 9)
ELECTION

The following statements have been submitted to the RES GESTAE by candidates for LSSS positions in the forthcoming election, March 30. (See the sample ballot in this issue for further information for time and polling places.)

President

ERIC L. MARTIN

My name is Eric L. Martin and I am a candidate for LSSS President. I perceive an absence of "real interaction" between students, faculty and other members of the U. of M. legal community. Yet, the interaction is perceived as an essential part of a student's legal education. The U. of M Law School has lost much of its sense of community because of this lack of "real interaction".

The LSSS has a duty to facilitate interaction among students, faculty and other members of the Law School Community. Student organizations must begin to work together to create programs, activities and forums where ideas and information not generally expressed in the traditional classroom setting can be discussed and considered. The problem of limited resources, human as well as financial, underscores the need for increased interaction. Student organizations should be encouraged to tap "in house" faculty resources both, in the areas of particular faculty member's professional expertise and in areas where they have a general interest.

The LSSS is the best administrative forum for encouraging interaction. My goals and objectives as LSSS President would be to maintain the present theory and general scheme of LSSS operations and also encourage student organizations to better utilize their scarce resources. I would encourage such utilization of resources by looking favorably at programs sponsored by organizations which encourage student interaction, student/faculty interaction, and student exposure to programs and ideas not often dealt with in the traditional classroom setting.

ROBERT SANTOS

HI! I'm Robert Santos '78 and running for Pres. of LSSS. If you don't know me - you've probably seen me! The guy with the really long hair who works in the library and haunts the halls. I'll be spending this summer in A^2 and will be taking a minimum credit load next yr. I have both the time and desire to work during this period for a stronger LSSS. i.e. A senate advocating student views, working to satisfy their needs, and working with but not for the administration, to this end I would advocate the following:

1) Student control of the student activity fee. Initiate student run investigations of the copy center funding and law school subsidizing of other schools.

2) Establishment of student staffed ethics, and grievance committees.

3) Have the senate serve as a clearing house for housing information, travel and car pools, and maintenance of a job file for those firms not handled by the placement office.

4) A voluntary tutorial program - providing year round assistance in library research, - Brief writing, establishing study groups, sharing experiences of law school etc.

5) Retain present special interest groups but encourage formation of others to reflect the interests and diversity of the student body.

6) Expand § 5 to include both 2nd and 3rd year students into a year round program offering a broader spectrum, possibly in conjunction with the placement office.

7) Retain R.G. but create a monthly magazine with articles contributed by both faculty and students - reflecting a variety of tastes and interests.

8) Draft proposals and petition all possible sources of future funding to include alumni and fed. grants to carry out these programs.

The Senate should advocate programs which reflect the needs, skills and attitudes of the students. With increased student participation, we can do it! I believe in responsibility - if you share this view be responsible and vote for a strong LSSS - on March 30.
I. Platform

The primary goal of my administration will be to rehabilitate the student service programs of the Senate. The Senate makes its largest expenditures for these programs, speakers, social committee, and R.G., and as president I would do my best to ensure that they are functioning well during the entire school year. I am in favor of continuing all the minor student services which the Senate provides, including the directory and the faculty evaluation. Also, I would like to see the orientation program for first year students expanded.

With regard to the Lawyers Club, I will propose a new room entry clause for the lease to the Board of Governors, and ask that certain other unreasonable clauses be stricken from the lease. I will demand the required operating reports from the Building Director and publicize them.

The funding of student organizations should be conducted equitably, and with as few ideological overtones as possible. I will propose a balanced budget, and work with the Senate to develop some additional guidelines for distribution of the funds.

II. Experience

The members of my section must share in my record as an LSSS representative since they constantly kept me aware of their needs and viewpoints. I was able to keep my few promises (attending every meeting, proposing revisions of the first year election code). In addition:

1) I drafted comprehensive procedures for protection of privacy in the Lawyers Club. This put a stop to searches of residents' rooms by the building director.

2) Drafted a demand for a truly voluntary funding system for MSA fees.

3) Publicized the viewpoints of the Senate in the R.G. I felt that it was essential for the students to be aware of what the Senate was doing.

4) Proposed changes in the Lawyers' Club management agreement which were favorable to students and which will increase the supervisory control of the Senate over the club.

I am running for both President and At-Large Representative, and would appreciate the chance to serve in either position.

DON RANDALL

I am running for President because I feel that I will be a good president, responsive to the needs and desires of law students. This broad statement rests on two general bases: my past record of service to the law school community through working as chairman of the social committee, and the things I would like to see accomplished in the future.

The Social Committee required a substantial commitment of time and effort, both in the planning and execution of events. New and cheaper supplies of necessities were found, so that more events could be scheduled. Different types of activities were planned: trips to Detroit, for example. I think that demonstrated ability to give time and effort on behalf of others, combined with foresight and creativity in planning and funding are requisite characteristics for president.

I would like to see the funding procedure for the LSSS changed. The current system is inefficient, misleading, and unfair. Unfair because you are saddled with professors' duplicating costs, which ought be covered by regular tuition. Unfair, because you pay for materials received by people in classes in which a high percentage of material is duplicated. There is a better way which would enable you to receive all the benefits of the money you pay: direct payment of a student activities fee. I would also like to see a better funding system for the R.G., which has the potential to be a vital part of school life. A student activities fee of $10 per semester would allow all current activities, a better R.G., and funds for development and new activities.

With all this in mind, I urge you to vote for me, for president and/or member-at-large. In any case, I hope that you will vote so that your voice maybe heard.
Vice President

TWENTY REASONS WHY YOU SHOULD OR SHOULD NOT VOTE FOR STEVE FETTER FOR VICE-PRESIDENT

1) I have never asked a library groupie to go out.

2) I came to law school because ABC wouldn't hire me for "Wide World of Sports."

3) I speak with a Brooklyn accent, but I also say "For Sure."

4) I have spent more time waiting to see Dean Eklund than any other U-M Law student.

5) During Thanksgiving I took my civil procedure book to Toronto and I never opened it.

6) I live two blocks away from Fraser's with a 40-year-old divorcee, her 19-year-old daughter and a pet collie and I have never committed adultery in my heart.

7) I hate case club, though I like my case club advisor (Susan, do I still have to do an Appellate Brief?)

8) My enemies like me almost as much as my friends.

9) I came to law school because NBC wouldn't hire me for "Saturday Night Live."

10) I would like to see the downstairs phone near the women's lounge changed so that any local call could be made (saving 20¢).

11) I have never met Dennis Egan or Jane McAtee, so I don't know whether either or them would be a better vice-president than me.

12) I have never gotten in to see Dean Eklund.

13) During Christmas I took my civil procedure book to Florida and I never opened it.

14) I know the name of every person in my section.

15) A library groupie has never asked me to go out.

16) I came to law school because CBS wouldn't hire me for "Sixty Minutes."

17) I do not believe that Dean Eklund exists.

18) I have never opened my civil procedure book.

19) I think I could be a good vice-president.

20) Vote for Fetter, He's better!

DENNIS EGAN

I am hoping to introduce more relevant discussion into the LSSS this year, a need evidenced by any careful reading of LSSS minutes this year. Important problems include:

- The fact that $30 of every student's $40.00 law school fees each year go to the Xerox Room yet we must pay for nearly everything we pick up there. Meanwhile, student organizations are then forced to compete for the remaining 25%.

- The fact that our 15+% tuition increase was justified as necessary to reduce student-faculty ratios, yet over 250 students are taking Enterprise Organization this spring in one classroom. Has there been any actual reduction in terms of students to teachers actually teaching classes this year?

- The fact that no academic grading standards exist. When a class does poorly, their grades may go much below the curve but when they do well, they gets a straight curve.

- The fact that the instructor review program is a force. Everyone knows who the incompetent teachers are yet what good does it do when no one else teaches an important class?

Issues such as these deserve consideration this year and I will make sure that they are in fact considered. I would appreciate your vote on election day, March 30th.
JANE MCATEE

LSSS provides students with a forum for the presentation of ideas and the resolution of problems concerning the Law School. I see Senate as a vehicle for student advocacy as well as an administrative body making important financial allocations to committees and groups that make life more comfortable for all of us here. I thus, see my role in the Senate as an advocate for students. I feel that LSSS has accomplished much this year by carrying out its duties with responsibility and fairness and I would like to contribute to the continuation of that.

Although I do not present a specific platform, some areas of concern to me are:

1) The library space problem - Although I understand that non-law students cannot be excluded from our library, I feel that more can be done about overburdening our facilities by allowing the Reading Room to become a 3 ring circus orchestrated by calculators.

2) The so-called special interest groups (e.g. R.G., WLSA, Law Review, etc) contribute a great deal to this school and I strongly support the continued vitality of such groups.

3) Senate committees are especially important for the continuing interaction between students and faculty. I would like to see that all interested students have access to the committee members and are informed of decisions being made.

My qualifications for V.P.: A loud voice and a strong will (from teaching 5th graders), an undying allegiance to Michigan (from doing my undergraduate work at OSU), an ability to argue for unpopular views (from working as public relations officer for a juvenile court) and an Irishones’ love for having fun (from organizing Pooley’s trip to Stroh’s and multitudinous other debauches).

Thank you for your support.

DENNIS MULLINS

I believe an elected person should do a good job, but not take himself or LSSS seriously. Most students don’t take LSSS all that seriously, and an elected person should be in tune with his constituents. Being on the senate makes a person no big deal because the job is quite simple. It entails allocating your money to the committees and clubs, where things really get done, and providing a forum to which you can come and complain about whatever upsets you around here.

In this vein, I make the following simple and easy-to-keep promises:

1) More $ for Social Committee and Sports Czar.

2) Complain, challenge, bitch about and protest the surprise decision on seminar priorities that pimped half of this year’s junior class (my complaint).

3) Listen attentively to your complaints.

4) I will not trash our walls with any campaign posters or other BS.

Thank You.

From David Glanz (Baby) Campaign Headquarters - the Candidate’s Platform:

1) No more snow.

2) End the school’s oppression of men; raise the proportion of women to 50% of the incoming class.

3) Publish a weekly pamphlet entitled “Michigan Revue of Law,” and let everyone be editor.

4) Build a slide from the top of the library to the bottom, creating the highest ski slope in Southern Michigan. (Also good for speedy return of reserve material.)

(cont)
5) Replace the case clubs with 15 law firms that solicit real clients. Pay student researchers a pittance; use the profits to:
   a) Have parties;
   b) Reduce all tuition;
   c) Reduce out-of-state tuition; or
   d) Reduce my tuition.

6) Buy 2 or 3 oversized tricycles. Hire an undergrad and equip him with a change-maker. Put them all in the basement of Hutchins and have night-time tricycle races around the corridor-loop. (25¢ a lap).

7) As an alternative to the Campbell Competition:

The Annual Gary Gilmore Seance and Guest Lecture (Y. Kamisar, medium).

Suggested topics:

"No-Fault in Traffic Accidents," by Idi Amin Dada, President for Life with remainder to Kenya;

First Year Clinic," by Masters and Johnson; and

"Girls of the Big Ten," by Sue Eklund.

AND IF THAT'S NOT ENOUGH: I've discovered a Dining Hall plot to abruptly eliminate PBB from your food. If I'm not elected, you'll all have to go cold turkey.

Don't just vote . . .
vote cool.

Vote GLANZ, BABY.
(He's out-of-state!)

David Glanz for Senator-at-Large
(Steve Mehlperson, Campaign Chairman)

HELLO! My name is Bernardo Garza; I'm a first-year student running for the Law School Student Senate (Rep.-at-Large). For those of you who don't know me I hail from Brownsville Texas (where it never snows!) Rather than making a lot of campaign promises that I am not sure I could keep, I would like to go into some of the reasons why I want to serve in the Senate and some of the things I would strive for or support if elected.

My decision to run for office stems from my beliefs that the Senate can serve as a tool for voicing the needs and interests of the law students to the law school administration. I believe that the Senate can perform this function by having a diverse representation and by encouraging greater input from the students. This will insure that the activities and/or policies that the LSSS supports will be representative of the diverse interests and backgrounds of all law students.

Some specific programs or policies that I would support if elected include:

1) Encouraging greater input from law students into the activities/policies that the LSSS supports.

2) Improvement of counseling services. There seems to be a lack of commitment by the Law School Administration in providing effective counseling. Question and answer sessions on course selections and exams with one or two professors is not adequate and professors are not always available for individual discussions concerning course selections, career objectives, or problems in a particular course. Assignment of professors to case clubs to serve as "faculty advisers" (I have yet to meet mine) is also inadequate.

3) Prioritizing financial support for the various student organizations/activities that provide an opportunity to acquire a wide range of experiences for the entire law school community.

4) Some diversity in the social activities that the LSSS sponsors. While I am sure that the beer bashes that are sponsored by LSSS are enjoyed by many (myself included), I believe that this type of activity does not appeal to all law students all the time.

NANCY OLAN

Due to a sudden death in her immediate family, Nancy Olan was unable to present a position statement.
VOTE

WEDNESDAY

8:30 to 4:30 outside Room 100

4:15 to 6:30 at the Lawyers Club

BRING I.D. (or Drivers License)

All currently enrolled law students (including May '77 graduates) are eligible to vote for all offices. Vote for no more than the number of candidates indicated for each office. Register your votes by circling the names of the candidates or by writing in the name(s) of your choice(s).

FOR PRESIDENT (vote for one)  FOR SECRETARY (vote for one)
Eric L. Martin
John Joseph Kralik
Donn A. Randall
Robert Santos

FOR TREASURER (vote for one)
write-in

FOR VICE-PRESIDENT (vote for one)
write-in

FOR BOARD OF GOVERNORS (Junior) (vote for one)
Dennis Egan
Steven Fetter
Jane McAtee

FOR SENIOR MEMBER-AT-LARGE (vote for two)
Dennis Egan
Donn A. Randall

FOR JUNIOR MEMBER-AT-LARGE (vote for two)
Bernardo Garza
John Joseph Kralik
David Gilman
Joanie Rahm
Hanny Olah

FOR JUNIOR MEMBER-AT-LARGE (vote for two)
write-in
write-in

FOLD AND RETURN BALLOT TO THE POLLWRIGHTER WHO WILL PLACE IT IN THE BALLOT BOX UNDER YOUR DIRECT SUPERVISION.
Imagine my pleasure (and dismay inter alia) at finding myself listed as one of those select few who grace the staff of the RG last week. I thought of myself merely as your humble roving reporter and your basic conductor of movie polls.

Well, I feel a basic obligation to contribute my 1/20 worth, given that honor. So from now until I get bored with it, I will grace these pages with the occasional comment concerning the lively (and moribund) arts. Not one word regarding the "quality of life" of the law school shall ever pass my lips, or my typewriters' keys, for that matter. We have enough wisdom thrown at us from these pages.

Reaction to last week's movie poll was in a word piss-poor. Only seven souls were motivated to respond. This leads me to a choice of several conclusions, only one of which is truly tenable.

A. Classes were far too interesting for people to fill out the dumb thing.

B. Nobody goes to the movies here anymore because they're all either too busy studying or too poor to afford the $1.25 matinees available at all but a few local theaters.

C. Nobody gives a flying Wallenda about the damn thing.

Well, prove me wrong. This week we rerun last week's poll, and unless I get a sampling of at least twenty-five or thirty (out of the 100+ students here) I won't be able to make an informed judgment on the state of the arts. So take the five minutes to respond and let's keep those cards and letters coming.

A few words of apology. Mary King is a misprint. It should have been Kong King, being better in that, not only is it cleverer, but it makes sense. And my bitching about the un-honored in my lead paragraph was mistaken in two particulars. Francois Truffaut and Dalton Trumbo have won Oscars. This bit of information gives me no end of pleasure, and I thank the knowledgeable person who filled me in and showed me the error of my ways. Truffaut won an Oscar for Day for Night, voted "Best Foreign Language Film". The award goes, in this case, to the director thereof. And Dalton Trumbo won an Oscar in the grim '50's for the Screenplay of The Brave One. (The kicker here that kept me from seeing the truth was that Trumbo won the award under the name of Robert Rich. Seems that in the halcyon days of Ricky & David Nelson there was this thing called a blacklist. Trumbo was on it. Robert Rich, who did not really exist, was not. Trumbo was one of the Hollywood Ten, whose ranks included Edward Dmytryk, Ring Lardner, Jr. (oscar-nominee for the screenplay of M*A*S*H) and Adrian Scott. The use of pseudonyms was quite common. "Derek Frye" was a pseudonym used by writer-producer Carl Foreman (The Guns of Navarone, etc.) Another Oscar winning writer was the non-existent Nathan Douglas for The Defiant Ones, who was in reality blacklisted actor-author Ned Young.)

Before I close, I wish to make a brief statement on the new 'trend' labelled punk-rock by the mass media:

Peh!

If you want lowdown, delinquent give-no-quarter rock & roll, pick up on the works of Graham Parker & the Rumour or Nils Loggren. Those dudes will rock your sox off. Television, the Sex Pistols, the Runaways, etc., are nothing more than the scum of bar bands with weird cloths and defiant poses. 'Nuff said.

And don't forget to vote.

SEE BALLOT ON PAGE 19.
PART TWO

THE MISSION OF

IT APPEARS THAT
WHILE REPRESENTING LESSEES
ANONYMOUS (WHO
ARE LEADING A
RENT STRIKE
AGAINST RIPOFF
RENTALS), R-MAN
WAS SIMULTANEOUSLY IN THE
EMPLOY OF
RIPOFF RENTALS!
THE TRIAL
OPENS...

HEAR YE, HEAR YE! THE DISTRICT
COURT OF HIGH CITY IS NOW IN
SESSION! THE HONORABLE EZRA
BAUTOFF, PRESIDING:

THE DEFENSE
HAS ENTERED
A MOTION TO
DISMISS. WOULD
COUNSEL CARE
TO SPEAK TO
THE MOTION?

ALLEYES ARE ON
REMAIN-
DERMAN
AS HE
RISES TO
BATTLE
FOR HIS
VERY
EXIST-
ENCE!

MAY IT
PLEASE
THE
COURT...

YOUR HONOR, THE RIGHT
TO COUNSEL IS A
SACRED THING, EVER
SINCE THE DAYS OF
THE INFAMOUS STAR
CHAMBER...

TWENTY IMPASSIONED
MINUTES LATER...

AND THEREFORE, YOUR
HONOR, THIS CASE
SHOULD BE
DISMISSED!

NOW LET ME GET THIS STRAIGHT.
RIPOFF RENTALS WANTS ITS SUB-
STANDARD HOUSING CLOSED DOWN,
SO THAT IT CAN INCREASE PROFITS
BY JACKETING UP THE PRICE OF
ITS REMAINING HOUSING?

THAT'S RIGHT,
YOUR HONOR!

CONCLUDED
ON NEXT
PAGE
ACROSS

1. Television (slang).
5. Campbell competition participant.
12. Spelling or quilting.
15. Separate.
17. Legal guardians.
18. Award for 1 Across.
20. A silly millimeter.
22. Singular of signia?
24. One of TV's Three Stooges.
25. Reprises.
27. Auto club initials.
30. Make a face.
32. Location of an Irish rose.
33. Third person singular of 12 Down.
34. Compass heading.

37. Tilted.
39. Witticism.
40. Kazan and others.
43. A stitch in time saves ___.
44. Was victorious.
45. Less northerly than 38 Down.
46. Popular TV game show.
48. ____hide, featuring Clint Eastwood.
49. Having authority.
50. Answers.
55. ____ variations, a musical form.
56. Members of a TV network.
59. What you usually do in front of a TV set.
60. Place on ____ (idolize).
61. TV host (abbr.).
63. German pronoun.
64. Grind.
(ACROSS, continued)
65. Metric volume measure (Br.).
66. Greenish corrosion.
68. Descriptive of some tires.
70. Type of tree.
71. Symbol or argon.
72. Vacation vehicle.
73. Initial and last name of a 19th-century political cartoonist.
76. Chimney boys.
78. The wild blue yonder.
80. Sapped.
84. Cul de _____
85. Budgeted.
87. Open up.
88. Former A2 Congressman.
90. Changing.
92. Evil spirit.
93. TV's ___ Haw.
94. Craftsman.
95. Transmitted.

(DOWN, continued)
48. Divide again.
51. Cockney's overnight lodging?
52. Rule _____.
53. Diminutive of Dorothy.
54. Trap.
57. Killed (archaic).
58. Standstill.
60. Assist.
62. "Working at the _____ Blues."
63. Not suitable.
64. Logo chiseled at the end of "Dragnet".
67. ____ Station Zebra.
68. Spa.
69. Animal fat.
74. Asserted.
75. Flooring pieces.
77. _____ homo.
79. Not the nays.
81. Appellation.
82. English school.
83. Depression.
85. Deface.
86. Recombinant genetic material (abbr.).
89. Masculine pronoun.
90. Agency for former GIs
91. Technical nurse (abbr.).

ANSWERS appear elsewhere in this issue.

THE Problem with
NATURAL LAW:

THE 6TH COMMANDMENT
IS FINE, BUT IT NEEDS
TO BE MORE SPECIFIC.
ASK THEM TO
PLEASE ADD
COMMANDMENTS
SAYS AND
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I recently finished reading Adam Smith's *Powers of Mind*, the clever and sardonic bestseller about the consciousness movement. The book occasionally opts for wit at a cost to subtlety, but on the whole it's informative and insightful. Smith deals extensively with a question which troubles me. That is: how can there be so many different methods and movements all claiming to yield the same result? (Especially when they sometimes directly contradict one another). And if one or two are valid and the others false, what are the criteria by which to judge?

What is happening, says Adam Smith, is that scientific types are starting to refer to an "Eastern Psychology," a methodology which is strange but no less "precise" than Western Psychology. He foresees an eventual merger between the two, bringing much that is presently esoteric and mystical within the realm of our present scientific, rationalistic "paradigm." But I'm not sure how the development of a Super-Psychology, with an even greater number of sub-groups, will help with my posed dilemma.

What Smith did in his readings and researchings was to attempt a synthesis, a search for the "common denominator" in all the diverse "consciousness movements." This is the natural path for the rationalistic mind to take. According to the rules of cause and effect, we should not have opposite activities creating the same result, and the same activity creating opposite results. When this seems to happen the answer usually lies in some meddling and unaccounted for variable. We then purify and reduce until the common denominator is reached and everything once again behaves itself.

The end goals of consciousness movements are remarkably similar. So that's a common denominator of sorts. But the important common denominator is in the methodology. Perhaps those strange and esoteric Eastern practices do produce peace of mind, clarity of thought, bliss, fulfillment, or whatever. But it is hard to tell how much of their methodology is pure "technique" and how much is merely the adoption of local custom, tradition, or even personal predilection of the original teacher and developer.

Here's an example: All the people in the land, unhappy with their flabby biceps, want to firm, strengthen and enlarge their muscles. But they don't know how. So they seek out the advice of those more muscular than themselves, and ask them to become their teachers.

Guru A (with bulging biceps) says: Do as I do. Eat carrots, read Russian literature, wear stylish clothes, do pushups, and watch television. Then your biceps will be strong.

Guru B (with bulging biceps) says: Do as I do. Watch Bogart flicks, drive fast cars, do pushups, brush after every meal. Then your biceps will be strong.

Guru C (with bulging biceps) says: Do as I do. Fly kites, do pushups, etc. etc.

The hunt of course is for the pushup, the technique that the carrot-eaters and kite-fliers share in common. One difficulty in doing this is that each group is convinced that all of its methods are essential. They are afraid to tamper with whatever success they have. Another difficulty is that groups realize, even if subconsciously, that the discovery of a common denominator will render them superfluous. It is the carrot-eating that creates the group's personality, not the push-up.

I get the impression, in answer to my initial questions, that all these various spiritual groups and consciousness movements do work. If bona fide (and perhaps even if not) they all are capable of brightening and bettering the lives of the people
involved in them. I mean this in a real, and not a metaphorical sense. Although these kinds of results are not absolutely and quantifiably measurable (in the way circumference of bicep is) they are nonetheless observable. I do not believe there has to be anything mystical about it. Mysticism, I suspect, may be the result of the carrot-eating and not the pushing-up.

My conjecture, my hunch, is that faith is the active ingredient. The rational man comes to a religion; a movement, or a teacher and is told: "If you believe you will be shown a sign." The rational, "scientific" mind doesn't like this at all. It likes to be shown signs, and then believe. If it approaches a religion or a movement and is told that it must have faith before it can see the signs, it suspects charlatanism, self-deception, and most important of all (to it) invalidity. The basis of the "scientific" observation is an impartial observer. Belief results from conclusions derived from observations. If we're going to believe first, the "rationalist" complains, well then we're probably capable of seeing, or feeling, most anything.

Ultimately it comes down to this: a "rational" mind cannot blindly have faith and still remain a "rational" mind, so long as it believes in faith as a "conclusion." It is irrational to believe something when there is no evidence for it, or only evidence to the contrary. It's a lousy way of concluding. However, if faith is seen not as a conclusion but as a process, it then becomes a potentially rational action and is understandable. Then, of course, it matters little what we believe in, as long as we have a strong faith in it. In this light arguments pro and con between carrot-eating or kite-flying have no meaning. The only requirement is the active ingredient, the act of having faith in what is being done or considered.

When we are threatened, or psyched up, our perceptions and state of mind are strongly influenced by the spontaneously generated adrenaline pumped into our bloodstream. We naturally and easily enter what is in effect an altered state of consciousness. We are clearer-headed, our attention is more sharply focused, our reaction time is quicker, etc. Perhaps the act of having faith in the truth and importance of a religion, a teacher, a movement, results in the production of trace amounts of hormones, neurohumors, etc. which in turn effect our outlook upon the world. Perhaps this action, having faith, produces a biochemically induced altered state of consciousness analogous to that produced by self-generated adrenaline or by ingested foreign substances (which we classify as drugs). This is closer to the quantifiable effect scientific thought would wish.

Anger raises blood pressure, embarrassment reddens the cheeks. Why can't having faith possess its own biochemical mirrors?

More on this next week.

COMMENTS

Dan Schulman

Due to my need to hand things in at the last moment, and the need of the RG editorial staff to see Behind The Green Door, last week's column arrived after the early publication. So both are included in this issue.

In my last column I talked about the hunt for the common denominator to various religious and consciousness movements. I suggested that it might be the act of having faith in whatever the particular follower believed. I also tried to hypothesize how such mental action could have effects which were tangible and measurable within our scientific "paradigm." The physical action of doing a pushup yields the physical result of greater muscle bulk, tone and strength. But the intervening mechanisms are biochemical. Proteins break down into
is ultimately no distinction at all.
The infant science of biofeedback is showing us that much we thought to be "automatic" is potentially under mental (if not purely "conscious") control. Maybe all along the act of faith has been an unconscious biofeedback pushup. Perhaps the act of believing without rational basis produces a tiny surplus of enzyme X, which stimulates this and catalyzes that, and the end result is a religious high.

These are just words. They don't convey the important kernel of feeling involved. Science is in fashion, and an explanation synthesizing religious faith and elementary biochemistry is merely a fashionable, and therefore readily palatable, way of looking at what might otherwise seem way-out, weird, or incomprehensible. I am not trying to say that whatever valuable feelings or meanings people seem to get from religions or movements are just biochemistry in action. Because I have come up with what I believe is a clever and comprehensible analogy does not mean I am conveying what such people experience. Like Adam Smith, I am merely exercising my rationalistic predilection for analogizing to a more explainable model. To quote again from that Nobel-Prize person: "The ability to resolve the wonderful into the mundane is not something to be especially proud of." This lesson from a Nobel-Prize type is something we law-school types should be slow to forget.
Comments (cont.)

amino acids and are recombined into proteins. I then suggested a mental or spiritual exercise might produce a mental or spiritual result, also through the mechanism of biochemical interactions.

This is where I left off last week. The biggest problem with accepting this hypothesis, I suspect, is the equation of a self-induced, biochemically-activated altered state of consciousness with the spiritual benefits of devotion to a religion or movement. The term "altered state of consciousness" has naturally (and unfortunately) come to be associated with the ingestion of drugs. Talking about it usually involves a discussion of drugs, pro and con. I don't believe this is necessary. The very label--"altered" state of consciousness--implies a deviation from a "standard" state of consciousness, and I do not believe there is such a thing. A sunny-day state of consciousness is different from a pretty-sunset state of consciousness. There is no one, standard, base state--there is only a fairly wide band of states. The boundaries of this band are the boundaries of "normalcy." In determining these boundaries the means of creating the state should be irrelevant, but are not. A state of euphoria produced by the completion of a difficult task or the solution of a complex problem is a desirable and socially-sanctioned feeling. A state of euphoria as a result of prayer or religious devotion is more suspect nowadays, but still falls primarily within the realm of "normal" consciousness. A state of euphoria produced solely by the ingestion of a chemical compound is regarded as an "altered" state of consciousness, somehow artificial, probably undesirable. This is one aspect of what I feel is arbitrariness in our categorizing--these societal value judgments attached to different stimuli that produce roughly the same state. The other aspect, the more difficult, arises when the states of consciousness themselves differ.

I should interject here that despite my concern with biochemistry, I am not a biochemist and know little about it. But my three closest friends in college were biochem or neurophysiology majors and some of their respect for those subjects has rubbed off. They especially impressed upon me the extent to which man, however you may consider him, is a very biochemical being. Take the calmest of personalities and inject him with adrenalin or amphetamines and he will become agitated. Take the most solemn of personalities and fill him with enough nitrous oxide (laughing gas) and he will become giddy. Some people believe man to be only a biochemical machine, some believe him to be a soul, an engineer at the controls of a biochemical machine. I personally believe that he is both, that the two are inextricably intertwined. In any case, there is no denying that the human body is biochemical. Its various chemicals are maintained in a careful and delicate balance. Its pH is at a certain acidity. This careful homeostatic level may be evolutionarily the most efficient for survival. Perhaps it is mere happenstance. It is not the only level possible. Prolonged fasting may produce an altered state of consciousness. Ingestion of certain chemicals may produce an altered state of consciousness. Apparently deviation from the homeostatic level of a few trace chemicals, either through deprivation or acquisition, can produce mammoth changes in perception.

Then what does this say about the "validity" of the narrow homeostatic band? Are we confusing its survival efficiency with absolute "truth" of perception? Do we say "altered" states of consciousness when we should be saying "alternate" states of consciousness?

Some Nobel-Prize type once said: "The deeper you go in biochemistry, the closer you get to God." I wonder if the converse is true. Perhaps, once the concept of an anthropomorphic God is left behind, there
Last week's poll was an interesting one, since many of the games produced surprise winners, and 4 of the 8 semi-final games came within 2 points of the spreads. The winner of the main poll was Harold Rennett, who went 7-1 on Thursday, then picked up 2 extra points by picking that many regional winners. Special mention goes to R.P. Herroid, who was the only entrant to pick three regional winners correctly (the expected UCLA to beat NLU by 1). The average score on Thursday’s games was 4-4, and the average number of correct regional winners picked 1. Marquette was the only consensus choice to advance to Atlanta that actually made it. Michigan, Kentucky, and UCLA were the choices in the other regionals.

Among the people who entered on Friday, only Barb Martin managed even 3 correct picks. She saw Michigan taking the gas against UNCC, but expected Wake Forest to end Al McGuire’s career a week early.

This week I’ll give you a chance to set your own point spreads. Put down your predicted final scores for the semi-final games and Monday’s consolation and championship games. The scores will be used to break ties among people who pick the correct order of finish of the four teams. Entries must be in the box outside Room 100 by 5 p.m. Friday, or delivered to K-43 Lawyer’s Club by 2 p.m. Saturday.

Semi-Finals

Marquette____ vs. UNC-Charlotte____

North Carolina____ vs. Nevada-Las Vegas____

Championship____________

Third Place____________

Name____________________

In deference to staff crossword ace Bruce Johnson we won’t dignify this by inverting the answers.
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