January 14, 1977

University of Michigan Law School

Follow this and additional works at: http://repository.law.umich.edu/res_gestae

Part of the Legal Education Commons

Recommended Citation
http://repository.law.umich.edu/res_gestae/631

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Law School History and Publications at University of Michigan Law School Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Res Gestae by an authorized administrator of University of Michigan Law School Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact mlaw.repository@umich.edu.
**FEMINIST LEGAL SERVICES GEN'L MEETING**

Thursday, Jan. 13 12 Noon
WLSA Office Guest Speaker Diana Pratt

**LA RAZA MEETING**

Jan. 13 Thursday
7 PM La Raza Office

**Saturday**

**BIRTHDAY PARTY FOR DONN RANDALL**

Sat., Jan. 15 8 PM
Hidden Valley Club House
BYOB and NO parking in carports
South on State before Eisenhower

**Monday**

Undergraduate Law Teaching
Fall 1977 and Winter 1978

All persons interested in undergraduate law teaching for Fall 1977 and Winter 1978 should attend a brief meeting to be held at 12:00 noon on Monday, January 17, 1977 in Room 132. Course proposals for next fall and winter are due on February 15, 1977.

Assistant Dean Don Cohen
318 Hutchins

**THE RESEARCH GROUP MEETING**

1st, 2d, 3d year students
Mon., January 17 12:15 Rm. 220

**Thursday**

**PHI ALPHA DELTA BOOK EXCHANGE**

The winter semester book sale concluded Jan. 11. We will have quite a sum of money to disburse. Money and unsold books will be available Thursday, Jan. 20 in Rm. 118, 9 AM to 3 PM. Please come in at that time. If you do not pick up your money at that time, we will not be able to get it to you for another month.

**THE ADVENTURES OF PREP 'N TOM**

**HEE PREP, DOES IT FEEL GOOD STARTING YOUR LAST SEMESTER OF LAW SCHOOL?**

**DOES LEE MAYORS LIKE TO COME HOME FROM WORK EVERYDAY?**
1977 CLIENT COUNSELING COMPETITION

Meeting for all interested persons

Thursday, January 20th
12:00 noon - Room 132

D. Cohen

We welcome all Editors to the Editors.

RG Staff

HIS FACE WAS FAMILIAR

Marshall G. Cummings Jr. has no one but himself to blame for his less-than-successful court appearance. Cummings, 25, accused of purse snatching, was acting as his own attorney Wednesday. As he cross-examined the victim, he asked her: "Did you get a good look at my face when I took your purse?" The jury convicted Cummings and gave him a 10-year prison sentence.

PETTY POETRY

From the Pen of Per Stirpes

Hello mudder,
Hello fodder,
I'm in law school,
What a bother!
Books are heavy,
Class is boring,
Only fear of being called on Prevents snoring.

Lots of guys here
Are real turkeys,
Your generation
Called them jerkies,
It's not their fault,
Blame their environment,
Conservatism here is a requirement

My dear parents,
I am pleading,
As this letter
You are reading,
Send more money--
I am pleading,
A few more handy
Gilberts I am needing

This sketch of the Law Quad is available on cards (size shown) or on 15" x 40" prints. Contact Geoff Silverman, J-24 Lawyers Club, 764-8985 for details.
HELSINKI CONFERENCE
Mr. Brian J. P. Fall will be the ILS's first speaker this semester. A British diplomat and currently a visiting fellow at Harvard Center for International Affairs, Mr. Fall will join the ILS as follows:

* 5:45 PM -- Dinner, Faculty Dining Room, Law Club
* 7 PM -- Conversation on the Helsinki Conference: Peaceful Settlement of Disputes

* ILS members and guests who wish to join Mr. Fall for dinner must: (a) sign the sign-up sheet at ILS door between Wed., Jan. 19 and Wed., Jan 26; and (b) bring own meal ticket or meal card from Law Club to dinner on Jan. 27.

LAW SPOUSES

The Law Spouses Association will be sponsoring its annual Progressive Dinner on Saturday February 12. All law students and faculty are invited to participate in this event. The evening begins with cocktails at several homes, then couples move to different locations for salad, then move again for dinner. For dessert everyone meets back at the Lawyer's Club Lounge.

Everyone is expected to contribute in some way, so there is no charge for the evening. The Law Spouses Association provides chicken for those who host dinners. It is a fun way to get to know your professors and fellow students.

For reservations, call:
Deb Bruemmer (769-3839) or Cynthia Yund (662-6345) before January 31.

SOME ARE MORE EQUAL THAN OTHERS
We're not naming names, but at a certain Midwestern law school, a certain unnamed Professor has reportedly promulgated a new theory of equality. Isn't it an amazing coincidence that the vast majority of students selected for a seminar entitled "The Idea of Equality" is on Law Review (and none are from the R.C.!). Now, obviously, no one's knocking Law Review. Some of our best friends... However, we don't believe that being on Law Review should be a criterion for getting into any course. The other 92% of us pay tuition, too!

RC'S MORNING CONSTITUTIONAL

Supremacy Clause............Ned Othman
Prohibition....................Ken Frantz
Necessary & Proper........Carol Sulkes
Right to Bear Arms........Bob Brandenburg
Spending Power.............Don Parman
War Power....................Stew Olson
Impeachment..................Crusader Rabbi
Due Process of Law..........John Mezzanotte
Equal Protection............Terry Sandalow
General Welfare............Dot Blair
Repeal of Prohibition......Earl Cantwell
Self-Incrimination........Dan Schulman
Compulsory Process.........Sandy Gross
Contract Clause.............Dennis Fliemberg
More Perfect Union.........Kevin McCabe
ERA................................Bonnie Lederer
Eminent Domain...............Murray the Kahn
Taxing Power..............King Kahn
Commerse Clause............Andrea Sachs
Electoral College..........Michael Marr
Dear RG Editor:

This letter is in response to the open letters printed in the last RG critical of certain aspects of this year's Campbell Competition.

It is unfortunate that there was some confusion as to the applicable judging standards in the quarterfinal round. Because it is impossible to recruit a judging panel which will sit for the entire quarterfinal round (a function of its prohibitively large size) a certain amount of variation from day to day is inevitable. I informed those participating that the judges were told to weigh performance on the brief and the argument equally but that as a general rule the argument was weighed more heavily. The "equal weight" standard was also spelled out in the posted version of the quarterfinal rules, which was on the Campbell notice board for a month or so before the round. Obviously, this proved inadequate, in the future, this problem can be ameliorated by providing each contestant and judge with photocopies of the rules of evaluation.

It would seem that a more fundamental problem underlying both letters is the concern that the looseness of the articulated standards might allow the conscious or unconscious use of improper criteria. The discretion to somewhat vary the weight of the argument and brief creates an opportunity for intentional or accidental discrimination on racial grounds. Arguably, judges who for some reason might favor or disfavor a particular person or group might even with the best of intentions unconsciously adjust the weighting to aid or hinder those favored or disfavored. For the reasons mentioned, it is impossible to get complete uniformity in evaluations. Further, past experiments with more precise standards were not found to be particularly helpful; it was commonly perceived that most judges would simply make an overall judgement on the performance and plug this overall judgement into the specific criteria.

I also presume that this same concern underlies the criticism of our lack of black judges. Because the recruiting pool for Campbell judges consists primarily of law school faculty members and prior Campbell finalists residing in close proximity to Ann Arbor, the number of non-white (or for that matter non-male) persons available is quite small. The Campbell Chairperson is not, generally speaking, acquainted with many area attorneys and is consequently unable to compensate for this. All I can suggest is that those concerned with this problem contact the Chairperson in the early fall or late spring and suggest any Ann Arbor, Detroit or Toledo area attorneys who might, because of connections with the law school or otherwise, be interested in serving as Campbell judges. Such suggestions will be received with distinct eagerness, given the usual difficulties of uncovering volunteers.

I do not know of any indication of improper criteria being used by any of the Campbell quarterfinal judges, and I do not think it is fair to assume, without evidence, that such criteria were used. Whatever variations in weighting existed were, in my opinion, within the range to which the contestants were alerted. There was, at least, notice given that the briefs could (although seldom would) be evaluated equally with the arguments. The problem would thus seem to essentially a failure to ensure that each competitor understood this possibility.

While I would agree that this may raise a question as to the managerial ability of the Campbell Chairperson, I doubt that it calls into question that fundamental integrity of the Competition.

Sincerely,

Mike Herbert
1977 Campbell Chairperson

RETURN LETTER TO JANET ANDERSON,
ELIZABETH CAMPBELL AND BLSA:

Thank you for your open letters of December 9, 1976.

You have raised some interesting questions. Unhappily you have directed them to the wrong person. I do not speak for the Campbell Competition. Like the Law Review and other student activities here, the Campbell Competition is run by students. At their request I drafted the problem for this year's Competition, but beyond that and perhaps judging the final
The University of Michigan Law School has received a $1 million grant from the Herbert H. and Grace A. Dow Foundation of Midland, Michigan.

The grant, made in connection with the Law School's current capital campaign, will help finance the new addition to the Law Library. (The campaign seeks a total of $10 million over a three-year period, including $8 million for the library addition).

In announcing receipt of the gift, Dean Theodore J. St. Antoine said: "We are absolutely delighted with this latest major contribution to the Law School's capital campaign. This moves us considerably forward towards our goal of $8 million for the library addition. "The grant from the Dow Foundation will add significantly to the momentum we have generated for the campaign, and I am confident it will encourage others to make a commitment to our new building project."

In addition to the $8 million library addition, the Law School's campaign seeks a total of $2 million for renovation of the Lawyers Club, the major residence hall for U-M law students, and for endowed professorships, student fellowships and other program needs.

The Herbert H. and Grace A. Dow Foundation, named for the founder of The Dow Chemical Company and his wife, was established in 1936 and dedicated to "the public benefaction of the inhabitants of the city of Midland and the people of the state of Michigan. The foundation concentrates on educational and civic projects.

Peanut Sale OK after Sundown

The Sumiton City Council has suspended local enforcement of an old state law forbidding the sale of a bag of peanuts after sundown. The council took the action "in view of the occupation" of President-elect Carter, and suggested that the Alabama Legislature take steps to do away with the state law.
NOW is the time to submit photographs for inclusion in the 1977 Law School Yearbook. Photos should be wallet-sized or larger black-and-white glossy prints (although we can use color prints in a pinch). Please print your name on the back of your photo as you would like it to appear in the Yearbook very lightly in pencil (be careful not to damage the print). Photos may be submitted at any time prior to January 25, 1977 by dropping them off in the Law School Student Senate mailbox in Room 300 of Hutchins Hall. Or, you may deliver your photo to our photographer in Room 100 on January 19, 20 or 21. (Next Wednesday, Thursday or Friday). If you have no suitable photo to submit please see the next column.

YEARBOOK STAFF TO TAKE PORTRAIT PHOTOS — FREE!

If you have no suitable photo to submit, The Law School Yearbook staff will take your picture, at absolutely no charge, at the times and in the places listed below:

WEDNESDAY, January 19, Rm. 100
11:00 A.M. - 3:30 P.M.

THURSDAY, January 20, Rm. 100
12:00 Noon - 3:30 P.M.

FRIDAY, January 21, Rm. 100
12:00 Noon - 3:30 P.M.

YEARBOOK SUBSCRIPTION AMNESTY PROGRAM ANNOUNCED

Because of the tremendous outpouring of public sentiment, the staff of the Law School Yearbook has decided that those who failed to purchase copies of the Yearbook in September should not be forced to suffer the horrible consequences of their folly. Therefore, any person who is truly sorry for failing to order a copy of the Yearbook in September will be allowed to avail himself or herself of a special Yearbook Amnesty, by presenting himself or herself, along with $10.00, to our representatives in Rm. 100 on January 19, 20 or 21.
The Board of Governors of the Lawyers Club will convene for its annual meeting on Saturday, February 5, 1977. The Board is responsible for establishing all policies governing use of the facilities of the Lawyers Club, an organization of which all law students are members (regardless of residence).

Student members of the Board of Governors are:
- George Vinyard, ex officio as LSSS President
- Martha Haines, Class of 77
- Jeanette Ramseur, Class of 78

Topics for consideration at this year's meeting will most likely include the following:

1) The renewal of the Lawyers Club management agreement with the University Housing Division, and any changes in the terms thereof.

2) Policies governing reserved use of the common areas of the Lawyers Club for meetings, social events, etc.

3) Rental and Board rates for 1977-78 and the terms of the room and board contract.

4) Whether or not to continue to lease space in the Lawyers Club to the Medieval and Renaissance Collegium (MARC).

5) Planned capital improvements.

Any resident or non-resident members of the Lawyers Club who has concerns about the above or other matters should express those concerns to the student members of the Board or to the LSSS as quickly as possible. LSSS and its Residential Committee have already been considering some of the major issues for some time, but the next three weeks constitute the most effective time for the expression of individual views that is likely to occur for at least a year (and perhaps for five years since that is the term of the proposed new management agreement).

submitted by Geo. Vinyard

---

The Law School Student Senate will hold its first meeting of Winter Term at 3:30 PM THURSDAY, January 13, in the Upstairs Lounge of the Lawyers Club.

The tentative agenda includes:

1) Discussion of the upcoming Board of Governors meeting.

2) Appointment of student members on the Faculty Scholarship Awards Committee.

3) Funding for the newly formed Entertainment Law Society.

4) Establishment of the LSSS meeting schedule for the remainder of Winter Term.

5) Discussion of the matter of closed Faculty meetings.

6) Discussion of the matter of Title IX and other grievance procedures for the Law School.

ELECTION REMINDER — The LSSS Constitution and Election Code establish the time limits within which elections must be held and the Spring Elections must be in the latter part of March in order for the transition from retiring to newly elected officers to be smooth.

Filing deadlines and the actual election date will be set in the near future, but in the meantime those who may be interested in running for LSSS offices or seats would be well-advised to begin familiarizing themselves with the inner workings of this illustrious organization. There will not be many more meetings before the elections and Spring vacation has a disruptive effect on campaign planning, so please start planning now. Believe it or not, working on LSSS does offer some advantages (I will elaborate upon request), so consider getting involved (or more involved).

-- George Vinyard
LSSS President
LSSS Discussed Faculty Use of Xeroxed Materials in Courses

Last term a number of individual students expressed concern about various aspects of the Law School Copy Center operation and the use by faculty members of copied materials of inferior quality or limited usefulness. The Senate devoted considerable time to one of its meetings to a wide-ranging discussion of these matters and the result was the memorandum to Dean St. Antoine and the Faculty which is set forth below.

In addition to writing the memo, I spoke at some length with Dean St. Antoine and with Mr. Ralph Maten, the person in charge of the Copy Center located in the basement of Legal Research. The Dean indicated that he shared student concerns in this area, particularly those relating to costs, and said he would provide an accounting of the use of the $15 out of each student’s Law School Fee each semester which is devoted to covering the costs of copying. Mr. Maten took issue with item number 2 in the memo which indicates the impression of many students that the Copy Center is inefficient and has inadequate quality control.

Specifically, Mr. Maten communicated the following to me as evidence that the fault lies not with the copy center operation but with Law School Faculty and staff and/or with the Law School’s system of operation:

1) Law Student fee money does not go toward direct support of the Copy Center operation whether or not work is being done. Other than providing space for the Copy Center, the Law School only pays for it on the basis of printing jobs actually done. The copy center is part of a University-wide system which is entirely self-supporting on the basis of its own revenues.

2) Poor quality of copies received by Law Students is almost entirely the result of bad originals provided by Faculty members or their secretaries, e.g. using third or fourth generation xerox copies as originals.

3) Xerox texts for which students are charged are priced according to the standard copying rates charged by the Copy Center. This results from Law School policy, i.e. the Law School Fee funds are not allocated to cover part of these costs.

4) Significant economy can be achieved by having materials printed on both sides of each sheet of paper since the Copy Center machines are set up to copy on both sides with a single run of the paper through the machine. (Incidentally, copying on pre-punched paper adds virtually nothing to the cost).

5) Delays in the production of materials for classes are almost entirely the result of delays in getting the work to the Copy Center. According to Mr. Maten, the Center has virtually no control over its workload and procrastination, particularly in the summer prior to Fall Term results in the Center being swamped just as classes begin.

6) When work must be done over for reasons not the fault of the Copy Center, e.g. bad originals, the Law School winds up paying twice.

Any further comments or suggestions in light of the information from Mr. Maten or the memo to the faculty set forth below will be welcomed by the Senate. Since the memo went out to Faculty before the end of last term, I would be interested to hear if the quality of xerox handouts this term appears to be improved over last term. Also, in addition to bringing complaints to the Senate, I would urge individual students not to be hesitant about expressing displeasure to Faculty members who chronically hand out illegible materials — they may not realize that the solution to the problem is in their hands.

-- George Vinyard
LSSS President

Vacancy for Student on Admissions Committee

Dean Martindale has informed the Senate of a vacancy in one of the student positions on the Law School Admissions Committee. The Committee’s internal operating procedures make third-year status desirable, but all interested students are urged to apply by indicating their interest to George Vinyard (764-8949 or via LSSS mailboxes at Lawyers Club desk or in Room 300) or at the desk of any member of the Senate. The deadline for applications is Monday, January 24. In particular, those students who applied for these positions in the Fall and were not appointed are urged to re-apply; the prior expression of interest will be taken into account.
The final football poll of the year produced the season's third winning record. The individual median was a respectable 22-21. Pedro Nieto took home the top prize with a 29-14 mark. Charlie Wolff entered under an assumed name (mine), but his picks gave him away. He brought up the rear at 18-25. 12 people correctly picked Oakland to win the Super Bowl, but only Ed Frankel and Rick Rufner predicted that the Raiders would beat Minnesota for the title.

Greg Need dropped below .500 for only the second time this season, but he still had little trouble holding his lead in the cumulative poll. The final standings for these hard-core predictors are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Record</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Greg Need</td>
<td>238-181</td>
<td>.568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Gary Peters</td>
<td>227-192</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>&quot;Ducky&quot; Schofield (a.k.a. Glenn Adelson)</td>
<td>224-195</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Keith Ewing</td>
<td>223-196</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Ralph Scherer</td>
<td>223-196</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Fred Fathe</td>
<td>222-197</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Greg Cross</td>
<td>222-197</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Dave Brown</td>
<td>220-199</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Drew Brehm</td>
<td>219-200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tom Geraci</td>
<td>219-200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Don Parman</td>
<td>216-203</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Mike Marero</td>
<td>215-204</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Stew Olson</td>
<td>209-210</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Paul Grant</td>
<td>208-211</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Marc Cumsky</td>
<td>207-212</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Charlie Wolff</td>
<td>207-212</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>George Yund</td>
<td>205-214</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Ed Frankel</td>
<td>204-215</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Steve Mehlman</td>
<td>204-215</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Ted Vogel</td>
<td>202-217</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Scott Fink</td>
<td>199-220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>&quot;Iceman&quot; Shugan</td>
<td>198-221</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Don Theis</td>
<td>197-222</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>John Walter</td>
<td>196-223</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Jim Say</td>
<td>195-224</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Rick Cassard</td>
<td>195-224</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Mark Simon</td>
<td>195-224</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Robert Attmore</td>
<td>194-225</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Lloyd Snook</td>
<td>194-225</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Jim Lawniczak</td>
<td>194-225</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Ralph Hall</td>
<td>193-226</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Al Domanskis</td>
<td>185-234</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Ned Othman</td>
<td>180-239</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Mark Kellman</td>
<td>179-240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Clyde Robinson</td>
<td>172-247</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With the football season finally behind us, we can now turn to basketball. The first RG basketball poll will appear next week, so study up on those scores and standings.

John Mezzanotte