Today

CAMPBELL QUARTER FINALS
Thursday, Nov. 18
2:45 PM Moot Court Room

PAD
Thursday, Nov. 18 12 Noon
Prof. Doug Leslie
"Hiding the Ball"
Faculty Dining Room

TODAY — LSSS MEETING
(Thursday)
3:30 PM in the Upstairs Lounge of the Lawyers Club. Agenda items include:

1. Discussion of the Ethics Lectures
2. Recommendations to the Committees and administrators planning the new building.
3. Resolution relating to open faculty meetings.
4. Discussion of proposals relating to a new management agreement between the Lawyers Club and the University Housing Division.

Friday

LEGAL AID MEETING
1st and 2nd Year Volunteers
Friday, Nov. 19
2:30 PM 110 LR

P.A.D. Notes
Those interest in joining Phi Alpha Delta Law Fraternity are invited to attend an informal reception in the Cook Room at 7:00 p.m., Friday, Nov. 19th. Drop on in, meet members and professors, and enjoy some refreshments! Members are encouraged to bring along interested friends.

Preceding the reception, new members will be initiated into the Fraternity—5:00 p.m., Courtroom number 1, in the County Building on the corner of Main and Huron. Members are welcome!

PRECLASSIFICATION DEADLINE
Friday, Nov. 19 4 Pm.

ENVIRONMENTAL LAW SOCIETY MEETING

Friday, Nov. 19 Noon
Lawyers Club Lounge

THE LAW SCHOOL STUDENTS AND FACULTY ARE INVITED TO HEAR THE FOLLOWING SPEAKERS:
Nov. 17: Mr. William McLaughlin
State Republican Party Chairman.
Nov. 19: Mr. Morley Winograd
State Democratic Party Chairman.
Nov. 22: Mr. Bill Kimberling
Fed. Elections Commission

All: 10:10-11:00 AM
100 Hutchins Hall
(Guest Lecturers in Political Science 300, Contemporary Political Issues)

Haywood Burns, Professor of Law, New York University will speak on "Capital Punishment and Race" Tuesday, November 23, 1976. 7:30 p.m., in the Cook Room of the Lawyer's Club. Sponsored by B.L.S.A.
INSURANCE INFORMATION FRIDAY

Mr. Faris Howrani will be available outside Room 100 from 9:00 AM to about 3:30 PM this Friday (Nov. 19) to answer questions concerning the Midland Mutual Life Insurance program for Law Students. Students may recall that Mr. Howrani's program was the one commended to Student attention by the LSSS after comparing data from a number of major competing insurance programs.

HOW TO SUCCEED AT EXAM TAKING

Several of the first-year professors will meet with interested students to discuss study methods and exam techniques according to the following schedule:

- Nov. 19 10:00 Rm. 138
  Chris Whitman
  Marcus Plant
- Nov. 23 10:00 Rm. 138
  Tom Green
  Alan Smith
- Dec. 2 2:15 Rm. 138
  Jerry Israel
  Jim Martin

Tuesday

THE INTERNATIONAL LAW SOCIETY invites you to participate in a conversation on "A NEW ECONOMIC ORDER FOR THE THIRD WORLD" with Ambassador Joseph Greenwald, in the Law Club Lounge, on Tuesday, Nov. 23 at 7 P.M.

Mr. Greenwald was U.S. Ambassador at the European Economic Community for several years, having served also as Assistant Secretary of State for Economic Affairs. He has been with Bendix Corporation since he retired as Ambassador this year.

Please note: There will be a served dinner with Ambassador Greenwald at the FDR at 5:45 on Nov. 23. Everyone is welcome, but must bring own meal ticket. A sign-up sheet will be posted at the ILS office (102 LR) from Thurs., Nov. 18 (today) through Monday, Nov. 22. The ILS will be charged for the cost of dinner for those who sign up: Please do come if you sign up.

After a few introductory remarks on "A New Economic Order," Ambassador Greenwald will deal with questions from the audience.

This will be the first of a series of ILS meetings to examine the impact of U.S. (government, corporations, individuals) upon the third world, and vice versa. The ILS welcomes your participation not only in the conversations but also in the organization of these meetings.

Monday

THE BAR EXAM

--an address by Professor Michael Josephson, National Director of the Bar Review Center of America (BRC).

3:30p (Monday) in the Lawyers' Club Lounge. All are welcomed.

SPECIAL BONUS: Those who enroll with BRC at this presentation qualify for a $10.00 bonus discount on any BRC course. This one-time-only discount is in addition to the $30.00 "early bird" discount which will remain in effect until Dec. 1st. (Remember, the Dec. 1st deadline applies to both the February and July bar exam review courses.)

Contact Rich Ingalls (at 434-5896) or any other BRC rep for further details.

NEW SECTION!

BISHOP'S INTERNATIONAL LAW

There is a possibility that there will be two sections of Prof. Bishop's International Law course next semester since the scheduled section conflicts with Section One's first year schedule. Those persons interested in having a second section scheduled should sign the list in Dean Eklund's office.
LEXIS

LEXIS, the computerized legal research system developed by Mead Data Central, Inc., is now available for use by U-M law students. This amazing tool makes it possible for a researcher to locate and retrieve legal documents with all the power and speed of a computer, but requires little expertise to use and no prior computer experience to learn.

The potential uses of LEXIS are almost unlimited. Among others, they include analogs to conventional methods, such as digesting and Shepardizing, as well as many which are without parallel using traditional tools.

Instruction will be available on week-nights from Tuesday, November 23 through Thursday, December 9, and additionally at various times during the semester break. Interested students should sign-up in front of Room 310 Legal Research (in alcove off Reading Room) and pick up a copy of the LEXIS Primer. For more information contact either the LEXIS consultants in Room 310 LR, (4-3204), or the Law School Computer Facility in Room 729 LR, (3-5396).

Jim Shaughnessy
Bart Thomas
Jacqueline Dent
LEXIS Consultants

PLACEMENT NEWS

Chief Administrative Law Judge Joseph Zwerdling of the Federal Power Commission will be here on Friday afternoon to talk to interested students about law clerk positions with the FPC Administrative Judges. His presentation should also be of interest to 2nd year people, who may be interested in finding out more about the Administrative Judges. More information is posted outside the Placement Office under Friday. The Judge will speak to third year students individually after the presentation.

The booklet concerning summer jobs with the Federal Government is in the office. We have been able to get only two copies so far, so please use them in the office. Some deadlines for law clerk applications are in December.

SENIOR DAY PLANNING

Having gotten little or no response to last week's plea for volunteers to help with the planning of Senior Day ceremonies for next spring, I am giving all you interested third-year students one last chance to step forward. The time commitment should not be excessive since the majority of the work involved is just setting the exact date and time (it will not be on Saturday this year due to recent Student Senate action establishing a policy that the ceremony not be on the Jewish Sabbath), and drawing up a priority list of persons to be invited to speak. I feel it only fair to warn everyone that if I do not get any volunteers before next Tuesday I will consider myself the committee and consult only with my weirdest friends. If that does not stir you to action, consider:

How would you like to have the Malevolent Memo Maker as the featured speaker?

-- George Vinyard  
LSSS President
READ-ONLY MEMORIES
By The Malevolent Memo Maker

Warning! Do not--repeat, DO NOT--jump from any windows or chairs, or flap your arms, or otherwise appear to be attempting to fly this week. 'Tis the season when low-flying turkeys are fair game, and you'll look funny with your drumsticks tied together in the oven.

* * * * * * *

On the political scene this week, President-Elect Carter said we may have to put up with 5-7% unemployment for the first few years of his administration. Now, three guesses--who was it that only 3 weeks ago said that 5% unemployment was "totally unacceptable"?

A few other promises--"Veep to get key policy role"; "No credibility gap"; "No White House empire-building"; "Agencies won't be controlled by White House staff". Yep, that's what Carter promised. Of course, it's also what Nixon promised--so I'm a bit skeptical.

* * * * * * *

Last week several major papers' censors quashed a Doonesbury strip that showed Joanie in bed with a male friend. The only other time the strip was cut was the anti-Nixon "Guilty, guilty, guilty!" episode.

So, now we know what those editors don't like--whether done with a willing participant such as Joanie, or done to an unwilling 220 million!

* * * * * * *

With the weather as cold as it's been, the Health Service recommends that all law students arguing in the Campbell Competition use thermal briefs.

* * * * * * *

There's absolutely no truth to the rumor that the squirrels on the Quad have applied to Carter for a Federal Peanut-Sharing grant.

* * * * * * *

Are any of you thinking of a job with the Federal Trade Commission? If so, you might be interested in knowing the sort of major, earth-shaking cases you'll be working on.

For example, the FTC has just issued a ruling on trinkets sold to Alaskan tourists, saying that it wasn't illegal to represent the articles as "genuine" products of Alaskan natives, though most were machine-made in other countries. The items included were such tourist favorites as ossified moose excrement (really machine-created), and the simulated reproductive organs of the male walrus. Just the sort of things to send home to Aunt Jane and the kids...

* * * * * * *

Ever wonder how pop songs are written? One current top-40 hit was inspired by, of all things, the recent Presidential debates.

Ford's team was trying everything to enhance his image. One goal was to make him seem more manly and attractive, and less like a block of balsa wood--hoping, of course, that the female reporters on the panel might be more friendly in their questioning.

But there was a big problem.

Since his college days, Ford has been bothered by what might kindly be termed "locker room aroma". Researchers from all over the nation worked to find a new manly fragrance for him, since the usual preparations just weren't enough. They tried everything--musk oil, flower-based scents, even the smell of fresh bread--but none worked. Finally, though, a breakthrough! A small, ugly, very smelly South American rodent--Rattus Edmundia--provided a unique chemical extract. Alone, it was a horrid, skunk-like smell, but combined with Ford's odd body chemistry, it was enough to make Barbara Walters change networks for free.

Naturally, the Ford team was overjoyed with this vast technological triumph. They ran thru the hall of the White House, shouting the news at the top of their lungs. Just by chance, singer Gordon Lightfoot was at the White House, for some sort of campaign fund-raising appearance. Inspired by the good news, he turned the phrase he heard into a new hit song: "The Reek of the Edmund Fits Gerald."

Well, I guess you're tired of all this ship, so pardon me while I sink out of sight. No waves of groans or storms of protests, please!
Editor, RES GESTAE:

I am writing this letter in my capacity as an individual law student and not as President of the LSSS, but I must admit that the criticisms of R.G. which I am about to set forth are conditioned somewhat by insights and information that I have gained as a result of my position on the Senate.

Basically, my gripe is that RES GESTAE is extremely poorly done and uninformative about goings on in the Law School Community. The tremendous qualitative and substantive shortcomings show up most clearly when R.G. is contrasted with the WLSA Newsletter. Now there was a time when R.G. partially redeemed itself from its shoddy appearance by including a good deal of well-written satire aimed at the Law School. Even then, when R.G. was more entertaining, it did not serve Law Students well by providing them with hard information about Law School policy changes, faculty backgrounds, etc. Now, there is still the appearance of an underground, rabble-rousing publication, but only microscopic vestiges of vitriolic wit remain.

I have a number of suggestions for improving the appearance and content of R.G. I hope you will take them in the (mostly) constructive spirit in which they are offered.

1) Use some of your nearly $5,000 budget to get some standard layout sheets (pre-drawn lines for columns, etc).

2) Adopt a more standardized format with pre-printed headings for regular features that are more pleasing and distinctive graphically.

3) Take steps to encourage Law Faculty and administrators to make more use of R.G. to publicize deadlines, schedules, new course offerings, etc.

4) Print your deadlines and the format for submitted material in each issue.

5) Have more reader-participation contests.

6) Reprint (with acknowledgements) the general interest features and faculty interviews which have appeared recently in the WLSA Newsletter, e.g. Roger Martindale's interview relating to the new Admission Standards or the interview with Kamisar relating to faculty recruitment.

7) Develop and publish some sort of editorial policy.

8) Exercise some discretion as to what you print and how much you encourage regular contributions by people with little or nothing to say.

9) With regard to # 8 above, try to cut down on the amount of Malevolent Memo Maker that you run; it is a pity, but the author lacks both wit and charm (a devastating combination of inadequacies in an author when carried to such extremes).

10) Try soliciting articles from Faculty members and students who are engaged in interesting projects outside the classroom.

11) Write an editorial now and then.

Enough from me for now; I would be more than happy to discuss any of these ideas or criticisms with any or all of the staff. I would urge all R.G. readers who are as dissatisfied as I am with the current state of affairs to begin making their own criticisms, or better yet, to begin contributing to the writing and editing.

Sincerely yours,
George Vinyard

THEATRE

Actor/director Nicholas Pennell has re-returned here for a third season as guest artist-in-residence for The University of Michigan Professional Theatre Program (PTP). Pennell will direct the PTP Guest Artist Series musical "Oh, What a Lovely War," a British music hall revue that will bow in the Power Center for the Performing Arts on Tuesday, Nov. 23, with subsequent performances on Nov. 24, 26, 27, at 8 p.m. and Nov. 28 at 2 and 8 p.m.

Joining Pennell on "Oh, What a Lovely War" is PTP Guest Artist Mardy K. Medders as musical director and Musical Choreographer.

Tickets for "Oh, What A Lovely War" are available at the PTP Ticket Office in the lobby of the Mendelssohn Theatre, Monday-Friday, 10 a.m.-1 p.m. and 2-5 p.m.
Freshmen (And Women)! Learn About Exam Fun In—

Louie Law in
FINAL EXAM

Unfortunately, there are no hard and fast rules, but...

Are there any questions?
No, really. If anyone is confused, please speak up.

Did you understand why they were estopped?

The question boils down to one of notice. The insurance company had only the duty to...

What a disaster! I think I'm gonna get an "A"!

Remember that name — A James Lawrence Production — Good luck to all.

I am very sympathetic because I know how disappointing it is to learn you just don't have what it takes.

Remember that name — A James Lawrence Production — Good luck to all.

I am very sympathetic because I know how disappointing it is to learn you just don't have what it takes.

C+

Louie Law
A-51 Lawyer's Club
A*M

the end
Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at approximately 3:40 p.m. by President George Vinyard in the Faculty Dining Room of the Lawyers Club. Members present were: Martin, Harsha (came late), Haines, Ramseur, Friedman, Gross, Armbruster, Mosley, Silverman, Peters, Kralik, Lowery, and Vinyard.

Minutes

The minutes of the previous meeting not having been printed and distributed, approval was postponed.

President's Report

George Vinyard reported briefly on progress in planning for the student presentations to the Committee of Visitors, on the delivery and availability of the Student Directory, and on Residential Committee plans for re-negotiating the Management Agreement between the Lawyers Club and the University Housing Division.

Speakers Committee Report

Greg Sullivan has been selected by those volunteering to serve on Speakers Committee as the Committee's Chairperson-Secretary/Treasurer. Greg reported that the Committee set Thursday at 7:30 PM as its regular weekly meeting time. Meetings are to be held in the Faculty Dining Room. Speakers activities initiated include possible appearances by Zolton Ferency and Archibald Cox, and a tenant's organization conference.

Vice-President's Report

Eric Martin reported on his discussions with Dean Eklund concerning communications with students, e.g. better use of bulletin boards, individual message boxes, etc.

Social Committee

The Committee desperately needs people to work on a mixer.

ABA Law Student Division

Jim Schnare reported on ABA activities. He and George Vinyard plan to attend a meeting of Law School Student Bar Association for the 6th Circuit to be held in Toledo on October 16. Jim pointed out that the next ABA Convention will be in Chicago (August 1977) and that Michigan would be foolish to send fewer than 10 people. He stressed the opportunities for Women and minorities to have a
significant impact through the Law Student services Fund which provides money on a matching basis for Law Student projects. About 100 more Michigan students will have to join ABA-Law Student Division in order for Michigan to be eligible for these funds.

Speakers Committee Membership

A motion by D. Friedman to approve the following appointments to Speakers Committee was adopted unanimously:

- Gregory Sullivan (Chairperson)
- David Ugartechea
- Eric Martin
- Steven Rosenbaum
- Douglas Zingale
- Stuart Lev
- Martin Wegbreit
- Mike Payne
- Ross Eisenbrey
- Rick McHugh
- Dennis O'Connor
- Hans Quitmeyer
- Charles Polzin
- David Narefsky
- Robert Gillette

Senior Day Change

The following motion by D. Friedman was adopted by a vote of 8 aye, 2 nay, 3 abstentions:

MOVE: That LSSS recommend to the Law School Faculty and Administration that Senior Day ceremonies for 1977 be scheduled for a day other than the Jewish Sabbath.

Use of Xerox Materials in Classes

Discussion postponed until next meeting.

Complaints Concerning Lawyers Club Policies, Services and Facilities

M. R. Harsha's motion referring a number of items relating to Lawyers Club services, policies and facilities to the Residential and Executive Committees for action was adopted by a vote of 10-2.

Film Committee Admission Policy

G. Mosley moved, and the Senate adopted by a vote of 10-2, the following:
That the Film Committee be directed to change its policy relating to admission charges so that spouses of law students are no longer given treatment that is preferential in comparison to that accorded non-spouse guests.

LSSS Meeting Schedule for Fall Term

J. Ramseur moved, and the Senate adopted unanimously, the following:

That LSSS establish the following schedule of regular meetings for the remainder of Fall Term 1976, with each meeting to be held at 3:30 PM in the Faculty Dining Room, and with additional meetings to be called as necessary on an ad hoc basis with at least one week's notice to members:

Thursday, Oct. 21
" Nov. 4
" Nov. 18
" Dec. 2

Centrex Phone by Women's Locker Room

General Discussion was devoted to the questions of why the centrex phone by the Women's Locker Room is not available for local calls off-campus. This item is to be investigated further.

Study Days for Fall 1976 Examinations

D. Friedman moved, and the Senate adopted by a vote of 11 aye, 0 nay, and 1 abstention, the following:

That LSSS recommend that the Dean re-establish the number of study days before exams at 4. That if the teachers of those Thursday classes which would be cancelled feel it necessary to hold more classes, LSSS suggests that those professors schedule those classes at extra sessions before the 4 study days.

Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 5:10 PM.

Respectfully Submitted,

George Vinyard
(from notes by Sandra Gross)
We've had many requests from first-year students for some words of wisdom as exam time approaches, but don't take our word for it. Here's one of the better Contracts exams we were able to dig out of our files. Give yourself 15 minutes.

**ACROSS**

1. Bad beer or an unmitigating plaintiff
5. The scope, but not the description, of Article Two of the U.C.C.
8. This state doesn't have any cases in the book (abbr.)
10. The answers to the questions in Chapter One are found in Chapter____
12. A good friend for all contract lawyers to have (abbr.)
13. What we all say when class is over
14. This buyer's remedy usually screws on top
15. You pass one every time you make a sale
17. His theaters were struck with a Loew blow, but he recovered
20. If you've got one, go ahead and waive it
21. He tried to make hay with the railroad
22. Other first-year courses are straight lines, but mine is a____
24. A seller's remedy under the U.C.C. (two words)
25. What a seller does best
26. Dierickx got his wet

**DOWN**

2. This judge would have made a good insurance salesman
3. The educational purpose of Groves v. Wunder
4. Trick or____, this defendant was done in by the Coop
5. Most of the issues in this course are what color
6. This peeved Peevyhouse
7. His yo-yo doesn't have a string
11. The expanse of knowledge you must still acquire
16. Your remedy usually has lots of this
17. These damages are only incidental to the U.C.C.
18. When money damages are adequate, you get a remedy at____
19. Most non-breachers have this duty
22. What your hands must be if you want equity
23. She was so silly she lost her stereo

---

**THE LAST ADVENTURE OF PEEP’N’ TOM**

*by your “ORKIN” man*

**PEEP’N’ TOM MEET GODZILLA**

**NICE WEATHER, ISN'T IT?**

Sure is. Let's toss a Frisbee.

**HOW, WITH OUR EYES CLOSED?**

Oh yeah, no hands.

**LET'S JUST WATCH THE SQUIRRELS EAT THEIR NUTS,**

No nuts either.

---

13
TIEBREAKER: How many points will be scored in the Michigan-Ohio State game?

NAME ___________________________ 

John Mezzanotte

---

PRO

Chicago at Detroit (2-1/2)
Minnesota at Green Bay (13-1/2)
Dallas at Atlanta (13-1/2)
Oakland at Philadelphia (11-1/2)
Washington (6-1/2) at St. Louis
Cleveland at Tampa Bay (11-1/2)
New England at NY Jets (12-1/2)
Houston (11-1/2) at Pittsburgh
San Diego (1-1/2) at Buffalo
Cincinnati at Kansas City (12-1/2)
Los Angeles at San Francisco (3-1/2)
New Orleans at Seattle (4-1/2)
NY Giants (9-1/2) at Denver
Baltimore at Miami (8-1/2)

Thanksgiving Weekend

COLLEGE

Oklahoma (3-1/2) at Nebraska
Pittsburgh at Penn State (4-1/2)
Notre Dame (7-1/2) at USC
Auburn (17-1/2) vs. Alabama*
Georgia Tech (13-1/2) at Georgia
Texas Tech at Arkansas (5-1/2)
Baylor at TCU (14-1/2)
Rice (20-1/2) at Houston
Texas A & M at Texas (4-1/2)
Arizona State (2-1/2) at Arizona
Army (1-1/2) vs. Navy *
Florida vs. Miami, Fl a. (10-1/2)*

Pro

Buffalo (6 1/2) at Detroit
St. Louis (4 1/2) At Dallas
Green Bay (12 1/2) at Chicago
From the Desk of THE PLAGIARIST:

For those of you planning to sitck around over the Thanksgiving break (Don't kid yourself--how much studying do you really expect to get done with all of those football games on TV??!!), the RG presents its award-winning Thanksgiving recipe for turkey stuffing.

**Turkey Stuffing**

4 Eggs
4 Cups of Bread Crumbs
1/2 Cup Chopped Celery
1/2 Cup Lipton Onion Soup
1 Cup of Uncooked Popcorn

Beat eggs and other ingredients and stuff turkey. Bake at 375 degrees for 3 hours. When 3 hours are up, get the hell out of the kitchen because that stuffing is going to blow the turkey's ass right out of the oven.

---

**DEVIL'S DICTIONARY—LAW SCHOOL EDITION**

*By Crusader Rabbit*

For the edification of those law students still confused by legal terminology, Crusader Rabbit presents a guide to legal lingo:

(1) **Acceptance** - a definite indication that a contract has been closed which the other side has mistakenly read into your client's actions.

(2) **Appeal** - taking your case to a higher court where your client can finally get justice.

(3) **Assault** - a threatening gesture placing a person in imminent danger of a battery which the other side has mistakenly read into your client's actions.

(4) **Battery** - a device for storing electrical energy.

(5) **Best evidence** - documents which you are trying to introduce as evidence.

(6) **Common Law** - the accumulated, conflicting, confusing, irrational, scatter-brained, nonsensical opinions rendered by several thousand judges over the several hundred year history of Anglo-American law.

(7) **Contract** - the creation of legally enforceable economic obligations between two parties because neither one trust the other one.

(8) **Creditor** - a person to whom money is owed by dead-beat moochers who have no intention of paying.

(9) **Crime** - lower class behavior which the upper class has deemed offensive enough to be punished by the use of State power.

(10) **Debtor** - a person who allegedly owes money to a disreputable charlatan who has taken advantage of people.

(11) **Dictum** - a judicial opinion with which you disagree and does not apply to the present case.

(12) **Due Process** - a method of delaying judicial proceedings.

(13) **Easement** - a right of way over your client's land which the other side has long since abandoned.

(14) **Equal protection** - treating everybody the same when it is to your client's advantage; treating some people differently when it is not.

(15) **Estoppel** - a representation made by the other side which prevents them from (a) changing their position (b) raising a defense or (c) suing your client.

(16) **Expectancy** - the amount that your client expected to gain from a contract and to which he is justly entitled.

(17) **Foreseeability** - an intuitively obvious effect resulting from a cause initiated by the other side's actions.

(18) **Fraud** - 95% of all commercial advertising; 100% of all political advertising.

(19) **Hearsay** - witness testimony which the other side is trying to introduce as evidence.

(cont on p 16)
(20) **Judicial Discretion** - an arbitrary and obviously prejudicial ruling by a judge which cannot be challenged on appeal.

(21) **Judicial Notice** - a method for a judge to take notice of facts damaging to your case which the other side was too stupid to mention.

(22) **Jurisdiction** - a power to decide a case which a court does not have over your client.

(23) **Justice** - a result favorable to your client for which he must pay heavily.

(24) **Landlord** - an owner of property who rents it out to some parasitic loafer who has no intention of paying rent.

(25) **Law** - a set of rules designed for the protection of property, liberty, and life -- in that order.

(26) ** Marketable Title** - a piece of paper that says that your right to a piece of land is so strong that probably nobody will sue you over it.

(27) **Necessary Party** - somebody you want to bring into a lawsuit to shift the blame away from your client.

(28) **Negligent** - conduct for which you can sue somebody for money damages.

(29) **Offer** - a definite indication that a contract has been proposed which the other side has mistakenly read into your client's actions.

(30) **On-Point** - a previous case which is exactly like your case, with a judicial holding that happens to support your case.

(31) **Ownership** - a fiction which allows some people to possess more land and goods than other people.

(32) **Parol Evidence** - oral testimony which the other side is trying to use to modify a contract, which is distinct from oral testimony which you are trying to use to interpret a contract.

(33) **Possession** - 9/10ths of the law

(34) **Precedent** - a previous case with a judicial holding that supports your case.

(35) **Property** - any material thing that may be held by A against the cupidity of B.

(36) **Reasonable Man** - a composite person possessing the best characteristics of Billy Graham, Ralph Nader, Lawrence Welk, John Wayne, O.J. Simpson, Betty Ford, and Dean Prosser.

(37) **Reliance** - the amount that your client spent under the impression that a contract would be performed and to which he is justly entitled.

(38) **Remainder** - the fractional component left over after a divisor has a divided a dividend.

(39) **Res Ipsa Loquitur** - something that you think is so obvious that you don't have to prove it; something that is so incapable of proof that you can't prove it.

(40) **Res Judicata** - a doctrine that insures that an unjust result in one lawsuit cannot be challenged in another lawsuit.

(41) **Restitution** - allowing an "Indian Giver" to get back what he gave away.

(42) **Reversion** - what's left of an estate after everything else has been given away.

(43) **Self-defense** - a privilege to defend yourself from attack when you think that 12 other people would think that you have the right to defend yourself from attack.

(44) **Specific Performance** - a form of involuntary servitude not protected by the 13th Amendment.

(45) **Substantial Performance** - fulfilling a contract in such a way that comes close enough to count, as in horseshoes and hand grenades.

(46) **Tenant** - a renter of property who pays a monthly tribute to a rent-gouging leech who has no intention of making repairs.

(47) **Title Insurance** - getting odds that nobody will sue you over a piece of property.

(48) **Tort-feasor** - somebody who has done something bad enough and who is rich enough to make it worthwhile to sue for money damages.

(49) **Venue** - the only place where your client can get a fair trial. (CONT.)
RABBIT (CONT. FROM P16)

(50) Voir dire - a method of assuring that a jury will be chosen who will favor your client.

R.G. DEADLINE

Publication deadline each week is 12 Noon Tuesday and is firm. Material submitted on time should be typed or very neatly written.

Late Entries: Short announcements are generally accepted through Wednesday. Items should be typed in 3 1/2 inch columns to ensure consideration. If no one is in the office, simply slide the material underneath the door of 102A L.R. (next to the men's john).

"For God's sake, don't join this firm."
COMMENTS  Dan Schulman

I am fascinated by the law.
Fascinated not so much by the specific vocational training administered at the school, but by the raw concept of law itself.
Without getting into the Hobbes/Locke/Rousseau debates on the nature of man, law and society I'd like to talk first about the need for Law and later about some specific concepts within the present law I find especially interesting.

What would a society without law look like? The first vision that comes to mind is a state of anarchy—the absence of any rules or guidelines, with the strongest in any circumstance dominating the rest. But this is unimaginative, since I have not replaced law with anything else. Before we can do that we must first determine the function of law in a society.

One function is to provide guidelines for behavior. Another is to enforce existing guidelines by punishing deviation. (This is how law differs from ethics). If these were the only functions then consistency would be the highest goal of law. Instead we are told it is justice, which is a different concept entirely and involves consideration of what is and is not good. In this sense good usually means what best furthers the interest of the society of collected individuals, as opposed to individual best interest or an absolute correct way to behave (though arguments have been made that each of these is in fact the real societal good).

These concepts have filled multi-volume treatises and cannot be dealt with here. The only general statement we can make is that law is an effort to cause people to behave in a certain way. The next question then is: What else could we use to cause people to behave in a desired way? (Leaving aside the separate question of what the desired way should be).

1) Instilling a communal awareness of what is good to do: One way to do this is to make everyone's self-interest the same. But people are different, and anything less than a planetary disaster would not supercede the diverse individual interests. However, if everyone were the same, then their self-interests would naturally coincide. No society to date has ever succeeded in making every member think alike, and I personally would not favor such an arrangement. I suppose it is theoretically possible to structure a society along the lines of a hive, but I suspect there need be a communal consciousness or native instinct which insects possess and man does not. It could be that individuality and free will preclude universal agreement and necessitate law.

2) Looking into an absolute correct way to behave: If such exists, then a society acting in conformity would have no need of law. The trick, of course, is in getting every member to the point where he sees the absolute correct. He would have to be (depending on viewpoint) either constantly in touch with a supreme being or completely "sane" in a sense not usually considered within man's potential. (I realize the term "sane" is extremely vague, but I want to offer an idea of man-at-full-potential as an alternative to man-in-touch-with-God). Since there is no conclusive proof that anyone has ever attained this state (although the historical handful of religion founders are the best bet), and there is not even the suggestion of an entire society which achieved it, I think it safe to say that a system of laws is the best we can presently hope to attain.

If so, what should be in that system? One day in a particularly slow class I mentally reviewed the courses I had taken, trying to pick out concepts I thought were essential and/or thought provoking. Here is the list I compiled:

PROPERTY: The basis of basics. According to Zen we're already in hot water every time we look at the world in terms of me-it, and here we are dividing it into mine-yours.

(Cont. on p 19)
MORE COMMENTS

Once you embrace the concept of property you're committed to a certain road. Obviously you must abandon the "Eastern" concepts of unity and non-duality, for if you are one with the Chevy and your neighbors are one with the Chevy then who gets to drive it to the watering-hole on Saturday night? Property belonging to A must be defended from B and C, who want it. If they want it badly enough they will have to offer A property of their own, which leads to barter. Convenience in bartering requires money and "title". You see how a civilization could develop from this kind of thing.

TORTS: The Doctrine of Reasonableness: I love this one (it's so reasonable). In its own humble way this doctrine attempts to approach the Absolute Correct mentioned earlier. There are no specific guidelines, and consistency in behavior is no assurance of Reasonableness. It encourages serenity, wisdom and logical thinking, but also takes into consideration the limitations of human existence. The doctrine does not require a man ruled by Reason. It does require a man attempting to be ruled by Reason, as best he can under the circumstances. (Thus the standard of Reasonableness for God would have to be the "Absolute Correct Way to Behave").

CONTRACTS: The concept of binding oneself to a commitment extends far beyond the courtroom. The concept of binding, of obligation, is as central to the structure of our society as the concept of property. Without it there would only be static ownership of things, no interchange of goods or services. There would be only immediate satisfaction of momentary desires.

CRIMINAL LAW: Crime as an Evil Rather Than an Ordinary Exercise of Free Will: If a man pays $500 for a vacation, or $10 to see a concert, he is making a choice on expenditure of a resource. In a sense a man who commits theft is paying for his activity with a statistical risk of deprivation of liberty, another resource. (For such statistical purpose a one-in-five chance of a five-year sentence can be viewed as a one-year sentence). Under this view a society could still deter "criminal" acts by making them as "expensive" as possible without creating a moral stigma. The fact that society does not do this is an interesting comment on its view of the nature of man. If I spend what society thinks is an inordinate amount of money on a ring or a watch I would not have to undergo "rehabilitation" for my decision. Yet a man willing to pay one "statistical year" in prison in exchange for the money stolen from a bank is regarded as morally inadequate and in need of "correction." This reflects our view (probably right) that man is not presently master of himself. If he were always capable of sane and rational decisions (even though society disagreed with his choice) we would have no need to resort to moral sanction or "rehabilitation."

CONFLICT OF LAWS: The various systems of resolving conflicts between claims of jurisdiction, especially those involving interest-analysis, can be applied with a high degree of success to conflicts found in everyday life—from who sits behind the guy with the big Afro in the movies to who gets a jump ball in a game of pick-up basketball. The method of breaking a situation down into competing interests, comparing them, and sorting them into true or false conflicts is particularly attractive and useful in resolving daily problems.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW: How a document, drawn up by men at a convention, has somehow acquired a status somewhere between an act of law and the Ten Commandments.

CORPORATIONS: Groups of people, sometimes incredibly large, acting as and being treated as a single entity.

EQUITY: A nod to the gut sense of "justice" as having a legitimate place in the hierarchy of law.

(CONT. ON P. 20)
MORE COMMENTS

INTERNATIONAL LAW: Perhaps my favorite. The law of nations is also the best description of the law of cocktail parties, fraternities, clubs, etc. Just as there is no enforcing body in international law there is no enforcing agency for "rules" in social gatherings. In the U.N. the only effective measures are economic sanctions and expulsion. In a fraternity the only effective threats are ostracism and expulsion. In both cases rules are enforced in fact mainly by persuasion and group opinion. Expulsion is ineffective as a coercive device because it is too drastic and weakens the group as a whole. Nevertheless, there is a strong, functioning body of laws in both the society of nations and the society of people. This is partly reflective of the need of all men to live under law, partly a "tropism" of mankind toward a sense of the "Good," the "Correct," and partly the very human desire of all people to avoid criticism.

There are many more such concepts, far more than space allows. In most cases they would be of little use in the actual practice of law. But they are interesting and they give a sense of how the whole thing works. They are what makes this an institute of higher learning and not the University of Michigan School of Legal Plumbing. When I was a first-year student people about me would often sit and drink coffee and talk of law. Now they sit and drink their coffee and talk of law firms.

LAST LSSS MEETING OF FALL TERM DEC. 2

A reminder to all interested persons and groups that may need LSSS to take action on something before the middle of January. Please submit written requests for LSSS action (with supporting rationale if you like) to George Vinyard, Eric Martin, Jan Anderson, or Mary Ruth Harsha as far in advance of the meeting as possible. Please be as specific as possible concerning the action you wish the Senate to take to deal with your concerns.
STRAT-O-MATIC FOOTBALL

The Week in Review:

The 1973 Minnesota Vikings cracked the win column for only the second time this season, handing the 1970 Detroit Lions their sixth loss against three wins and a tie. This has been a long and painful year for both teams, especially for Detroit, who entered league play with expectations as high as that of any other team in the league, due to their balanced offense and their defense especially adept at forcing turnovers. The Lions are now mathematically eliminated from the NFC race, clinched by Dallas. And the Vikings moved out of the NFC cellar and within a single game of the second-place Lions.

Les Josephson gained 195 yards on the ground as Los Angeles stopped the '67 Chiefs 30-13 for their fifth win in a row. The '67 Rams themselves moved into a tie for second in the NFC with the 1973 Steelers, as Pittsburgh fell to Cincinnati 14 to 13. The Steelers led all the way, until Essex Johnson swept right end with a minute-fifteen left to play for the '73 Bengals' second touchdown after a well-engineered drive beginning deep in Bengal territory. Cincinnati leads the AFC by a game and a half, looking ahead this week to their showdown with the NFC-leading Dallas Cowboys (1973), who themselves outscored the St. Louis Cardinals, 31-27.

That game was a bitter loss for St. Louis, as they could have moved into uncontested possession of second place in the NFC due to Detroit's defeat.

Finally, in the the week's sole interdivisional game, the Oakland Raiders murdered the 1967 Packers, 47-3. Quarterback Bart Starr could manage only eight completions in 40 attempts for Green Bay, plus five interceptions (one going for a TD on the return).

STANDINGS & THIS WEEK'S GAMES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AFC</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>NFC</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cincinnati</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Detroit</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>St. Louis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas City</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Green Bay</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pittsburgh v Kansas City, Cincinnati v Dallas, Green Bay v Detroit, Los Angeles v Oakland, Minnesota v Saint Louis

FOOTBALL POLL

The pro games were the undoing of many of the entrants in last week's poll. The average score on the college games was a fairly strong 14-12, but the NFL games dropped the overall median to 19-21. Congratulations to everyone who figured out that I was giving Tampa Bay 6 1/2 points against the Jets. Of course, anyone who picked the Bucs, thinking they were getting 27 1/2 points was still wrong.

Individual honors for the week went to Rick Rufner, who went 28-12, and predicted that Michigan would pick up 114 yards through the air. Actually the Blue went wild and threw for 156 yards. Only the tiebreaker kept John Nuanes (89) and Greg Cross (49) from the top spot. Rick Cassard correctly picked only 2 of the 14 pro games, and wound up on the bottom of the heap at 12-28.

Forty entrants remain in contention in the cumulative poll, and for the first time in six weeks this race has a new leader. The top contenders at this late stage are as follows:

(1) Greg Need 183-134
(2) Gary Peters 180-137
(3) "Ducky" Schofield 176-141
(4) Greg Cross 175-142
(5) Keith Ewing 170-147
(6) Ralph Scherer 170-147
(7) Dave Brown 169-148
(8) Don Parman 167-150
(9) Tom Geraci 167-150
(10) Charlie Wolff 166-151
(11) Garry Schnell 166-151

This week's poll will be a little different because of the Thanksgiving holiday. All of the games for the next two weekends will count in one big poll. Note that the games marked with an asterisk(*) are being played on neutral fields. Otherwise the rules are the same as always - circle winners and cross out losers and place entries in the box outside Room 100 before 5 P.M. Friday, or under the door at K-43 Lawyer's Club before noon on Saturday. By the way, only a temporary fit of insanity kept me from giving Michigan at least 3 points against Ohio State.
**COLLEGE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team 1</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Team 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michigan at Ohio</td>
<td>(1/2)</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa (4-1/2)</td>
<td>at</td>
<td>Michigan State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana (10-1/2)</td>
<td>at</td>
<td>Purdue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern (11-1/2)</td>
<td>at</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota at</td>
<td>(3-1/2)</td>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa State (2-1/2)</td>
<td>at</td>
<td>Oklahoma State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado at</td>
<td>(20-1/2)</td>
<td>Kansas State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice (20-1/2)</td>
<td>at</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky (1-1/2)</td>
<td>at</td>
<td>Tennessee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi (6-1/2)</td>
<td>vs.</td>
<td>Mississippi State*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland at</td>
<td>(24-1/2)</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas at Baylor</td>
<td>(2-1/2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston (6-1/2)</td>
<td>at</td>
<td>Texas Tech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCU (27-1/2)</td>
<td>at</td>
<td>Texas A &amp; M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miami, Fla. (12-1/2)</td>
<td>at</td>
<td>Notre Dame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford (3-1/2)</td>
<td>at</td>
<td>California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USC (1/2)</td>
<td>at</td>
<td>UCLA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMU (17-1/2)</td>
<td>vs.</td>
<td>Arkansas*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PRO**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team 1</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Team 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chicago at Detroit</td>
<td>(2-1/2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota at</td>
<td>(13-1/2)</td>
<td>Green Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas at Atlanta</td>
<td>(13-1/2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland at</td>
<td>(11-1/2)</td>
<td>Philadelphia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington (6-1/2)</td>
<td>at</td>
<td>St. Louis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland at</td>
<td>(11-1/2)</td>
<td>Tampa Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England at</td>
<td>(12-1/2)</td>
<td>NY Jets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston (11-1/2)</td>
<td>at</td>
<td>Pittsburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego (1-1/2)</td>
<td>at</td>
<td>Buffalo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cincinnati at</td>
<td>(12-1/2)</td>
<td>Kansas City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles at</td>
<td>(3-1/2)</td>
<td>San Francisco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Orleans at</td>
<td>(4-1/2)</td>
<td>Seattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY Giants (9-1/2)</td>
<td>at</td>
<td>Denver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore at</td>
<td>(8-1/2)</td>
<td>Miami</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Thanksgiving Weekend**

**COLLEGE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team 1</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Team 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma (3-1/2)</td>
<td>at</td>
<td>Nebraska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh at</td>
<td>(4-1/2)</td>
<td>Penn State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notre Dame (7-1/2)</td>
<td>at</td>
<td>USC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auburn (17-1/2)</td>
<td>vs.</td>
<td>Alabama*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia Tech (13-1/2)</td>
<td>at</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Tech at</td>
<td>(5-1/2)</td>
<td>Arkansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baylor at TCU</td>
<td>(14-1/2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice (20-1/2)</td>
<td>at</td>
<td>Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas A &amp; M at</td>
<td>(4-1/2)</td>
<td>Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona State</td>
<td>(2-1/2)</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army (1-1/2)</td>
<td>vs.</td>
<td>Navy *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida vs. Miami</td>
<td>(10-1/2)</td>
<td>, Fla.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team 1</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Team 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffalo (6 1/2)</td>
<td>at</td>
<td>Detroit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis (4 1/2)</td>
<td>At</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Bay (12 1/2)</td>
<td>at</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TIEBREAKER:** How many points will be scored in the Michigan-Ohio State game?

**NAME**

John Mezzanotte

**THE PROVERBIAL LAW LIBRARY SCENE**

I hit the books  
with dirty looks  
they spit dust at me

Undergrad girls  
with breasts and curls  
wishing I would see

A nervous grip  
serves to rip  
my temples free of hair

They really look great  
lost some weight  
wishing I could care

Five hours done  
time for some fun  
my head turns upward, bold

The last few days  
run off their clogs  
"His eyes look much too old"