March 14, 1975

University of Michigan Law School

Follow this and additional works at: http://repository.law.umich.edu/res_gestae

Part of the Legal Education Commons

Recommended Citation
http://repository.law.umich.edu/res_gestae/609

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Law School History and Publications at University of Michigan Law School Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Res Gestae by an authorized administrator of University of Michigan Law School Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact mlaw.repository@umich.edu.
Though homeward, as you trudge
you declare my law is fudge,
of Beauty. I'm a judge!
And a good judge, too!!!

3/14/75

Res Gestae
Clinic: If you wish to consider Clinical Law in the summer or fall of '75 come hear Ed Goldman, Steve Pepe and Val Weston talk about the program. The meeting will be held March 19th, Wednesday at 3:15 p.m.

Sign-ups for fall and/or summer will be from March 12th to March 28th. Sign-ups are to be done in room 300.

AFRICAN FAMINE RELIEF PROJECT

In connection with the "Food Day'75" activities sponsored by the Food Action Coalition of the School of Public Health, the African Students Association is proud to present another benefit dinner on Saturday March 15, 1975, at 6:00 P.M. at the Memorial Christian Church fellowship hall, corner of Hill and Tappan Streets. Donation of $2.50 will be collected from each person. Money over costs will be a contribution to the African Famine Relief Project. The dinner will include assorted West African dishes. Please phone in your reservation requests to 662-5529 or 663-0128 (after 5 PM) before March 13, 1975.

Immediately following the dinner there will be a brief entertainment of "Cultural Panorama." Please call 663-0128 if you would like to participate or give any contribution to the cultural show. We can use songs, musicals, short folk stories, dances or fashion show.

Donations are tax-deductible if made through Ecumenical Campus Center, 921 Church Street, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104

TRANSCENDENTAL MEDITATION

On Friday, March 21st, 8 p.m., at 1407 Brooklyn Avenue, Ann Arbor, a special meeting will be held for those in the Law School practicing Transcendental Mediation. Clarence Guienze, Associate Warden at Milan's Federal Correctional Institution, will be guest speaker. He will be reporting on Milan's rehabilitation pilot program involving Transcendental Meditation and describing plans that are currently underway for a major research project at Milan to measure the effects of Transcendental Meditation on the psychophysiology, behavior and recidivism among Milan's prison population. Following the guest lecture, refreshments will be served.

For further information please call 761-8255. Hope you can make it!

Jim Zatolokin
THE WATCHER

by Joe Fenosh

And in the many journeys, the wanderer came upon a most strange place. It was a land unlike any the wanderer had ever seen. A land where there was bounty, yet many had nothing. A land where there was capacity for great joy, yet where many were sad. The wanderer's point of origin had seen the development of a social sense of community, this hitherto unexplored land was populated by solitary beings unaware even of their own loneliness.

Thus the wanderer decided to stay and observe. The first thing the wanderer learned was that this strange land was possessed of a hierarchical social system. Admittedly, this shocked the wanderer, for the concept that one was either a superior or an inferior was most distasteful and primitive.

This society, it seems, was dominated by beings called W's, more particularly by WM's. Groups that were subordinated were called B's, C's, I's, or A's, and even WP's! This truly puzzled the wanderer. For were not all of these beings the same? The wanderer therefore decided to make a more thorough examination to determine what made the WM's superior to the A's, B's, C's, I's, and WP's.

Try though the wanderer might, no difference could be ascertained.

"True", thought the wanderer, "though some of these beings come from various environments, and some possess biological differences, I can find no difference in merit, talent, or intrinsic value. Why then do the WM's predominate over their seeming partners, the WP's?"

"Perhaps I'm looking at too broad a picture", thought the wanderer.

So in the interest of more expeditious research, the wanderer chose to observe a place where the younger

(CALLOGY P. 10)
Am I back? I don't give two shits in one bean gourd and if why does anyone? You and your disgusting ingratiating nomenclature, and I didn't have to look that up.

You want a story? A man went into the woods. He found a dead bear there. He mused to himself. "How sad that a bear should die". While he stood there a beautiful woman in a long yellow dress came up to him and exclaimed you found my bear! How wonderful!" The man replied suavely, 'Yes I did. It was right here all the time I imagine.' She replied gravely, 'you're a wonderful man.'

At that the man turned and walked away because hearing that always made him uncomfortable.

What now eunuch? Nothing to say? No wonderful little phrases you picked up in Eng. 101 to dangle out in front of everybody so they'll know you're so well read? No detached intelligence? You disappoint me. Not caring about the answer and not thinking about not caring and so that is an answer you say.

I say you make me sick and I could care less that you could care about what I think because- you, you are not even capable of beginning to understand me.

You need another story? A woman walked on the beach. She stopped to dig holes in the sand because she liked the way water always filled them back up. But she got tired and said to herself, 'I probably should go home.' She started back but suddenly realized she'd forgotten something. But it was getting dark and she didn't want to go back. So she got in her car and went home. There was a telegram waiting for her. Her sister had died. She was supposed to go to her parent's house right away. As she tearfully pulled out of the driveway a Mack truck hit her car and splattered her all over the road.

Cruel, violent, violent cruel. Come on genius. Come on Mr. Sensitivity. But don't be involved with it. Just talk about
Too few law students even know about one of our finer institutions, the Law School Fund, yet the entire school—students, faculty and staff—benefits from the tangible results of this activity. It is time this shroud of secrecy be lifted—at least before you get your first invitation to contribute.

The Fund is of modern origin, first seeing the light of day in 1961. The fourteenth successful campaign has just ended (each annual drive runs from February 1 through the following January 31). The Fund has grown rapidly. After the first eight years total gifts exceeded $1 million, the $2 million mark was passed in year eleven, and with the results of the 1974 campaign the cumulative total has surpassed $3 million.

There is scarcely an aspect of the life of the Law School that has not been enhanced by the presence of the Fund during the years of its existence. About 25 to 30 per cent of the gifts are earmarked one way or another by the donor. The balance is unrestricted. The various financial aid accounts for needy students have received substantially more than one-half of all receipts. Other direct benefits for the students have included prizes for outstanding scholastic achievement, improved placement and admissions operations, support of the student organizations such as legal aid, case clubs, the Journal of Law Reform, the senior day festivities, etc. Visitations of distinguished leaders and lawyers have been supported through the Fund. Some of the money has been used to assist faculty research, purchase equipment useful in the instructional programs such as closed-circuit television from the Washtenaw County Court House, video-tape recording and viewing equipment, and to augment the resources of the law library.

Some necessary additions and alterations have also been made to the buildings, such as the carrels on the second level of the third-floor library in Hutchins Hall, the interview rooms along the edge of Room 200, the remodeling of the library to make levels one and two open stacks, air conditioning and new lights in some of the second floor.

LSSS REPORT 3/10/75
By George Vinyard

It happened again; in the absence of the LSSS Secretary, yours truly's version of the LSSS meeting was adopted as the official minutes. The motion was made and there being no discussion, the vote was registered with a dexterity seldom exhibited by your august Senators. Since I was not quick enough to register an effective protest, here once again are the results of co-option (co-optation?).

LSSS MINUTES--MEETING OF MARCH 10, 1975

The meeting was called to order by President Terry Lindeman.

A representative of LA RAZA Law Students presented a request for re-allocation of approximately $73 of previously budgeted funds to cover expenses of members attending the Midwest Regional meeting of the national La Raza organization at Notre Dame on March 7-10. This request was approved. A further request for a new appropriation of $761 for transportation of three La Raza students to the National Conference in Salt Lake City, March 27-29, was communicated and will be considered for final action at the next LSSS meeting. It was noted that two of the students plan to attend the conference as candidates for presidency and vice-presidency of the national organization. If they are elected, the National headquarters of the organization would be located at the Michigan Law School for the following year. It is also hoped that the next National Conference would then be held in the Midwest Region. Senators suggested that La Raza approach the Dean during the week and suggest that the Law School Administration contribute to funding for the conference travel as it has in the case of BLSA and WLSA.

The Treasurer presented a statement of revenues and expenditures for the year up to the end of February. The bottom line of this report showed an estimated $1,600 of unappropriated funds as of February 28. The report also contained a list of supplemental appropriations made subsequent
classrooms. The Fund has also helped with the rehabilitation work in the Lawyers Club. This list is not exhaustive, but it underscores the fact that it would be difficult to overestimate the importance of private giving to the "good health" of the University of Michigan Law School.

The recently completed campaign was the best ever—more dollars ($416,833), more gifts (4,993), and the greatest participation yet by alumni (4,464, which is 40.9% of known living alumni).

The headquarters of the organization that makes the Fund go is located in Room 161 Legal Research. A National Committee composed of alumni, faculty and students is charged with the responsibility for making the basic plans for each annual giving program. The alumni members come from across the country. Student members are the incumbent and newly-elected presidents of the Law School Student Senate. This Committee will meet in Ann Arbor this weekend, March 14 and 15, to review the past campaign and make plans for 1975. Malcolm L. Denise, Dearborn, has been National Chairman for the past two years. He will be succeeded in 1975 by Samuel Kruglak of Canton, Ohio.

On the local scene Professor Roy F. Proffitt has general administrative responsibility for the Fund. Mrs. Lois Richards is in direct supervision of the office, where she has the able assistance of Alene Smith, Linda VanDeCar, and Wenda Fortson.

Great effort is taken to see that each alumnus is reminded of the Fund each year. It starts with a letter from the National Chairman. Then, to the extent possible all alumni are contacted personally by a local solicitor early in the fall. Sometimes later agents for each of the various classes contact those who have not yet contributed. Of course some of the local solicitors also follow-up on some of their prospects. No one is asked to contribute more than once a year, but those in charge believe that increased numbers of alumni participating each year is the key to success.

The "team" of volunteers required to conduct each campaign exceed 600 alumni. The regional, state, and local chairmen have the final responsibility for organizing their own areas. Service on this "team," as well as making their contributions is something that students can look forward to.

Although extremely pleased with the results for 1974, Proffitt observed that with inflation, increased tuition, and general increases in the "cost of living" for the Law School everyone connected with the Fund "has to run like the devil just to stand still." Fortunately, the Fund has done better than stand still.

Happily, some students do learn about the Fund before graduation. In the past three years a substantial number of graduating seniors found it convenient to make their initial contributions to the Fund by assigning their right to a $5 refund from the University Cellar to the Law School Fund. If any of our readers feel so inclined, forms are available in Room 161.

Copies of the printed report for the Fund for 1973 (1974 will not be available for several weeks) will be placed on the table in front of Room 100. Help yourself. From it you can see the growth pattern for the first thirteen years. The success of the Fund must be maintained to provide those "extras of excellence" that will assure that the University of Michigan Law School retains its position as one of the truly great law schools of the world.
Ant
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SECTION ONE INTERVIEWS TORT PROFESSOR LIMPTOAST

SECTION ONE: Professor Limptoast, you recently gave our section a take home to be completed within a period of four days. The question itself was forty pages long with an additional thirty pages of "optional" reserve reading. You advised that we be original, innovative--no excessive paraphrasing and that plagiarists would be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law. Do you really think that such an exam advanced our knowledge of tort law?

PROFESSOR LIMPTOAST: Damn, that was well put!

SECTION ONE: Ah, nevermind. Now that you've begun reading the exams what are your impressions?

PROFESSOR LIMPTOAST: Frankly, I was disappointed. No creativity. No initiative.

SECTION ONE: Could you elaborate?

PROFESSOR LIMPTOAST: Well, for example, one student realized the need for finesse --you know to distinguish himself. So he used poignant epigrams from authoritative sources. I remember one quote, "The tougher it gets, the cooler I get". I like that.

SECTION ONE: Didn't Richard Nixon say that?

PROFESSOR LIMPTOAST: No, I think Linc said it to Julie on "Mod Squad".

SECTION ONE: Since the exams seem to be pretty much the same, how are you going to curve them?

PROFESSOR LIMPTOAST: No problem. I'll let my wife read them!

SECTION ONE: Oh, is she a legal scholar?

PROFESSOR LIMPTOAST: No, she teaches English at Res Ipsa J.C. Whenever the shit hits the fan, I let my wife nail 'em on syntax.

SECTION ONE: You began the course by ex-

Q. What kind of lawyer do you want to be?
A. I always wanted to be a public defender until I realized the kind of bureaucratic cooption, which is not to say as a private attorney you're not also caught up in a bureaucracy, but at least you have the illusion of control over your own interests and desires.

Q. Have you had any good experiences in law school?
A. Enjoyed the growing militancy among the first-year students. Occasional classes have been excellent. Burt-enjoyable, fine intellect. Allen--a fascinating metaphor for certain part of the American past who at his best moments can remind you of Gary Cooper at High Noon.

Best is Kami sar. He combines all outstanding qualities a professor should have plus humanity. Its a gas to watch him battle. He's a real hassler, and advocate. Also made some good friends here. Met some good lawyers, good future lawyers, wonderfully entertaining existential rats. Enjoyed hundreds of facist psychodramas.

Q. What are your hobbies?
A. Getting stoned and eating brownies,
to the fall approval of the general budget, and an explanation of the sources of LSSS funding. (The report was attached to the minutes for inclusion in R.G.) Mr. Butts also presented a proposed budget request form which the LSSS may use in its upcoming budget process for soliciting requests from committees and special interest groups.

LSSS voted to appropriate $260 for a program to be put on by Women Against Prisons after receiving a written itemized budget outlining the program content. Tentatively scheduled for 8-10 p.m. on Thursday, April 10, and 3-6 on Friday, April 11, the proposed program would include two documentaries on women in prisons originally produced for network television. Also planned are two speakers and a presentation by the Milan Theatre Troupe, a prisoners group. Considerable discussion was addressed to the issue of whether or not funding a non-law school organization is appropriate for LSSS, but this did not come to a vote. Proponents of funding without bothering to officially recognize the organization argued that voting to fund a specific program for the edification and education of law students is appropriate regardless of what group implements the program and can be distinguished from funding the operation of the group itself through a general appropriation.

A Sherry Hour originally scheduled for Friday, March 14 (today) was rescheduled for Friday, March 21.

A proposal from Section 5 that the Alternative Practice Conference scheduled for April 5 (a Saturday) conclude with a "happy hour" consisting either of a reschedule Sherry Hour or of a small-scale kegger was communicated to LSSS. Senators expressed opposition to re-scheduling a Sherry hour for the purpose, but expressed some support for the idea and a more definite proposal will be entertained at the next LSSS meeting.

The LSSS voted authorization for the treasurer to transfer $200 from the Contingency Fund to the Sports Budget which appears to be going in the red as a result of greater than anticipated participation.

In an official vote, the LSSS ratified the straw vote of the previous meeting to allocate an additional $245 to BLSA for the purpose of funding transportation to the National BALSA Conference.
beings of this strange land were educated. The wanderer chose a school whose graduates were to preserve and create values for the strange land. The students here were claimed to be among the more intellectually advanced.

The wanderer was disappointed. The WM's really dominated this school. In fact, there hardly were any WF's, B's, C's, A's, or I's at all!

Even among the WM's at the school, behavior was strictly controlled. Power seemed to the WMP's, many of whom were of advanced age. However, it was WMD who exercised dominion over the school.

All this confused the wanderer. If there was no ostensible difference between the WM's and the B's, C's, I's, A's, and WF's, how much less so among the WM's themselves?

But the wanderer had detected signs of change in the strange land. The B's, WF's, C's, I's, and A's, had begun to move for equal positions with the WM's. Such efforts were resisted by many WM's. But as the wanderer knew from his travels, attempts to suppress others ultimately fail.

The few WF's, B's, A's, C's and I's at the school had also raised their voices.

The WP's and B's approached the WMP's and the WMD, and sought equality and dignity in treatment. The B's and WP's asked the WMD that more of their number be admitted as students and teachers. The WP's and B's asked that they be treated fairly. They only wanted the same treatment that the school afforded WM's.

But as in the past, the WMD and the WMP's ignored the B's and the WP's. This made the WP's, and the B's angry, and their actions became less conciliatory and more aggressive and demanding.

This whole experience in the strange land made the wanderer sad. And so the wanderer left the strange land, planning to return when those beings learned compassion for each other.

And in his departure, the wanderer thought what fantastic tricks these people play before high heaven, as to make the angels weep.

CRUMBEL

CAMPBELL AWARDS

William Black, Warren-Harrison, Marilyn Huff, and Ron Henry have won the 1975 Campbell Competition Justice Byron White announced at the Campbell Awards Banquet Wednesday night. The Counsels for Respondent won what was called a close decision over James Davis and Mark Luscombe, Counsels for Petitioner. In addition to Justice White the court was composed of Skelly Wright (D.C. Circuit), Mary Coleman (Michigan Supreme Court), Dean St. Antoine and Professor Weston, the author of this year's problem.

Also announced were the Best Brief Awards, presented by Justice Coleman and won by Peter Spanos for the quarter final round and William Black for the semi-final round.

A short address was made by Judge Wright on the subject of the coming of age of majority of Brown v. School Board of Topeka. He reflected on the manner in which Plessy had gradually been restricted to its terms and then finally overruled and expressed a fear that the Rodriguez and Detroit Busing cases mean the end of the growth of Brown and the beginning of a process of restriction. Justice White in a later tribute to Judge Wright expressed the opinion that much gratitude is owed the latter for his years of lonely service as an enlightened district judge in the South. (HJZ)
listening to the Grateful Dead, reading Larry McMurtry, Norman Mailer, Jane Austen, Gabriel Kolko and reading poetry in the john and being quasi-infatuated with my eleganzo other half. I also like to make tacos for my friends but as more of them are becoming law students, they're getting ulcers and can't eat Mexican food.

Q. Do you ever participate in class or feel like participating?

A. Yes in seminars. I have a lot of trouble participating in large classes. It seems futile. In a large class you have to be assertive, it's more ego than intelligence. In seminars you tend to fall into a bureaucratic response to a series of questions. But it's no doubt superior to classes. Strangest thing, ultimately you realize no matter how much you put down law students they're all really scared in a unique fashion. They all think they're different from other law students and in any ultimate sense we all are.

Q. Why do you watch Guiding Light?

A. Great. It's everything law school isn't. Life crammed together till it's a cliche. Law school is life abstracted so much it becomes transparent. Like Legal Research is a perfect metaphor for law school. The bottom is all granite and traditional. It symbolizes law, tradition, custom. When you first get interested in law school, what you think symbolizes how people ought to live and then you look up higher, it's aluminum and you realize somewhere along the line cost-benefit analysis took over. It's all corporate.

Q. What have you got out of law school?

A. In WW II there was a concentration camp and this guy worked mining diamonds and everytime he leaves the guard searches him and his wheelbarrow. The guard thinks he's stealing diamonds. Know he's stealing diamonds. Questions him with the socratic method. "Isn't it true you're stealing diamonds?" They meet 20 years later in a bar and the guard says, "you have to tell me, where were you hiding the diamonds?" The inmate's reply. I wasn't stealing diamonds. I was stealing wheelbarrows."

pressing sympathy with the law student syndrome--the competition, the intense atmosphere and--

PROFESSOR LIMPTOAST: I was pressured.

SECTION ONE: Pressured?

PROFESSOR LIMPTOAST: That's right. Pressured. Students think that a prof who wears pullover sweaters and calls you by your first name has gotta be responsive.

SECTION ONE: Isn't that hypocritical?

PROFESSOR LIMPTOAST: That's an impertinent question: What's your i.d. number?

SECTION ONE: Aren't you concerned about your image?

PROFESSOR LIMPTOAST: Come now. I'm human. I've got a sense of humor. Why just the

(COFFEE)

"In the reign of James II, it was generally reckoned as a serious crime to marry 2 wives at a time." -Gilbert and Sullivan

(COFFEE)
other week, at the Section one party, I had a beer chugging contest with one of the guys in the back row. He got so drunk. I couldn't resist it. I took him home, dressed him up in one of my wife's dresses, plopped a wig on him, and put him on a bus to Chicago. Boy, you shoulda been there.

SECTION ONE: Then what happened?

PROFESSOR LIMPTOAST: Bastard sued me.

SECTION ONE: What result?

PROFESSOR LIMPTOAST: Aw, we settled out of court. Cost me twenty-seven cases of Mr. Goodbar.

SECTION ONE: You don't appear to be too upset about it.

PROFESSOR LIMPTOAST: Not me. I'm on the move, baby. I'm working on an exciting new casebook that's going to put me on the map.

SECTION ONE: Really?

PROFESSOR LIMPTOAST: You bet your red wazoo! It's going to be the first casebook to make the New York Times Bestselling List.

SECTION ONE: What's it going to be called?

PROFESSOR LIMPTOAST: Deep Tort.

SECTION ONE: Deep Tort?

PROFESSOR LIMPTOAST: Grabs you, don't it? And it'll be full--of racy hypotheticals. Get this. A calls B, a black man, a Jew. B, because of his diminished capacity, believes A and tries to get barmitzvahed. Rejected at shul, B disconsolately buys a worm-ridden Mr. Goodbar. B eats the bar and vomits on C's leg. C's husband D, picks up E, a wino, and throws him at B. B ducks and E smashes into A, the latter mortally wounded by the jagged edges of E's bottle of Ripple. Neat, huh?

Respectfully submitted,

Larry Elder
12A-6-4$7+

(Toast)

Joe Fenech → Just a nice guy
George Pagano → The Piedmontine
Harry Zeliff → The Virginian
R. Russel Localio → Opera Critic
Jessie Seigel → sigh
Kurt Thornbladh → Where is he?
R. Richard Leavrine → He's back!
Ed Marod → (Jessie steals your artwork)
Jim Laurence → ?
Kathy Krieger → To UAW
Dorothy Jean Blair → No Plant
Yellow Dog → Nip-stick Head
Stu Ford → Big Mack
George Vineyard → LysycoPhant
Mack, Saylor, Butler → Always bug us in the office

Res Gestae
Supplemental Appropriations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P.A.D.</td>
<td>$735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Spouses</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLSA</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Aid</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women Law Std.</td>
<td>1066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section V</td>
<td>1070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ad Hoc Advocates</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feminist Legal</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial By Jury</td>
<td>549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Committee</td>
<td>640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speakers</td>
<td>(600)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$5039</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $600 was transferred from speakers to P.A.D. and Law Spouses for their speaker programs.

Expenditures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L.S.S.S. Committee</td>
<td>$1570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Film</td>
<td>4220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports</td>
<td>1030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speakers</td>
<td>570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senate Cont.</td>
<td>$8000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Organizations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Res Gestae</td>
<td>$640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.A.D.</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Spouses</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Int. Law Society</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.L.S.A.</td>
<td>1010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Raza</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Aid</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Law Society</td>
<td>860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawyers Guild</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women Law Std.</td>
<td>1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABA-LSD</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ad Hoc Advocates</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feminist Legal</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial By Jury</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$6110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Misc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Std. Fac. Dir.</td>
<td>$910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vending</td>
<td>1770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$2680</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL $16790

In response to questions as to the source of Senate funding, I offer the following explanation. $10.00 of each student's fee goes to the Senate for spending on student organizations. The appropriation of this money must be approved by the Dean before the money is transferred to the Senate. As noted in the revenue report, the other monies that come into the Senate are due to Senate concessions or returns from Senate activities, some $8750 to date. The other $20.00 of your student fee goes to the copy center. The cost of publishing the R.G. does not come out of this but is paid through Law School Operating Funds. For the next fiscal year the R.G. will be funded completely through the Senate: Cost $60-80 per issue. If the Senate needs excess revenues to meet its total appropriations, the Dean provides the extra monies through Law School Funds. As groups traditionally underspend, this seldom occurs.

Whoever submitted "Not Funnies", please come to R.G. Office. The cartoon is oversized and cannot be printed as is.

WOMEN LAW STUDENTS are sponsoring
(not a raffle)

A drawing for various exciting

DOOR PRIZES

A mere 25 cents to get in the door (to room 100) gives you a chance to win a night on the town, a magic plant grower, or other great prizes.

TICKETS WILL BE SOLD UNTIL NOON TODAY

DRAWING AT NOON, ROOM 100

GET WELL FRANK!
BASKETBALL POLL

Bill Abbott won Poll II with a record of 12-8. The average percentage was so bad that it was decided not to report it. Better luck this week.

NCAA
At Philadelphia
LaSalle v. Syracuse (6.5)
Pennsylvania v. Kansas State (6.5)
At Charlotte, N.C.
North Carolina v. New Mexico State (3.5)
Furman (4.5) v. Boston College
At Tuscaloosa, Ala.
Central Michigan v. Georgetown (9.5)
Kentucky v. Marquette (4.5)
At Lexington, Ky.
Indiana v. UTEP (15.5)
Middle Tennessee (15.5) v. Oregon State
At Lubbock, Tex.
Texas A&M (20.5) v. Cincinnati
Maryland v. Creighton (8.5)
At Tulsa, Okla.
Louisville v. Rutgers (10.5)
Notre Dame v. Kansas (8.5)
At Tempe, Ariz.
Arizona State (4.3) v. Alabama
Nevada-Las Vegas v. San Diego St. (20.5)
At Pullman, Wash.
UCLA v. Michigan (15.5)
Montana v. Utah State (.5)

NIT
Manhattan (6.5) v. Massachusetts
Clemson v. Providence (10.5)
Southern Illinois v. Pittsburgh (6.5)
St. John's (1.5) v. Lafayette
South Carolina v. Connecticut (12.5)
Princeton (6.5) v. Holy Cross
Memphis State v. Oral Roberts (8.5)
Oregon v. St. Peter's (20.5)

Tiebreaker
Which team will win the NCIT?
(a.k.a. the CCA)

Name

PREDICTIONS

The prestigious RG sports staff has decided to name the best teams in the regionals. Each member voted for the teams which have the best chance in the regional.

EAST: North Carolina (11), Penn (9), Boston College (1)

MID-EAST: Indiana (12), Marquette (6), Kentucky (3)

MID-WEST: Louisville (8), Maryland (8), Kansas (2), Cincinnati (2), Creighton (1)

WEST: UCLA (14), Arizona St. (6), Alabama (1)

RG RANKINGS

1 Indiana (7) 11 Pennsylvania
2 UCLA 12 Clemson
3 Louisville 13 Cincinnati
4 Maryland 14 UTEP
5 Marquette 15 Creighton
6 Arizona State 15 Rutgers
7 Kentucky 15 Michigan
3 Alabama 18 New Mex. St.
9 NC State 18 La Salle
10 North Carolina 20 Central Mich.
20 Boston College

Others receiving votes: Tennessee, Purdue, Nevada-Las Vegas.

ELECTION SCHEDULE

Monday, March 10: Nominating petitions and Election Rules available at Law Club desk.

Monday, March 17: Nominating petitions due at Law Club desk by 5:00 p.m.

Tuesday, March 18: A TYPED statement by each candidate of not more than 200 words may be placed in the RG. Those are due by noon at the RG office.

Friday, March 21: Statements will appear in the RG.

Tuesday, March 25: Election Day. The polling place will be located outside Room 100. Polls will be open from 9:00 a.m. until 5:00 p.m.

After votes are counted results will be posted outside of Room 100 and announced in the next RG.

Note: Last year there was only 1 nominee each for the positions of Vice-President, Secretary, and Board of Governors. We hope there will be enough candidates this year to require an election.

Questions should be referred to George Pagano 764-9088, K-43.