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"Now, I want to re-emphasize . . . again . . . one more time, that we don't discriminate in our hiring practices - Why, some of our best friends are women."
NOTICES

CONFERENCE ON WOMEN IN LAW

Wayne State University Law School's Women's Law Caucus is sponsoring a Conference on Women in Law to be held on Saturday, November 16, 1974 at McGregor Memorial Center across from W.S.U. Law School. Child care will be provided. Call 577-4833 to register.

FRAT

Phi Alpha Delta Law Fraternity will have Prof. Sam Estep as its guest on Thursday Nov. 14. Prof. Estep will present a memorial talk honoring the late Prof. Paul Kauper.

Everyone (including faculty) is invited to attend. Our luncheon is at noon in the Faculty Dining Room (between the Lawyers' Club Lounge and the Student Dining Room.

Free coffee is provided--bring your bag or tray and join us.

Wanted: Any old campaign buttons or other items from any election, even the one just completed. Contact Roger Rapaport at 761-5475.

LSSS

The Law School Secret Society (LSSS) has decided to come out of hiding. We have been having a very successful year, but many students still may not be benefiting from our activities. In order to gather ideas from a wider spectrum of students, we will hold an open forum on Monday, Nov. 11, at 4:00 p.m. in Room 100 Hutchins Hall. This is not to infer that our regular meetings, held every Monday at 4:20 p.m. in the Faculty Dining Room, Lawyers' Club, are any less open, but this time we are actively soliciting your attendance. We have some extra money to spend, and we would like your guidance on how to spend it. If you don't tell us now, we don't want any complaints later.

In order to maintain some degree of organization in the meeting, any ideas you may already have should be submitted in writing to my mailbox or left at the Lawyer's Club desk. We will try to be responsive to as many questions, ideas and complaints as time permits, so stop in and speak out.

S/Terrence G. Linderman

E. S. P.

On Friday November 8 at 3:30 p.m., the Law School Student Senate Speakers Committee and the National Lawyer's Guild will sponsor a presentation of THE ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE PROJECT.

The E.S.P. is a travelling seminar originating in San Francisco. Its presentation here at the law school will be a two-hour introduction to the law of electronic surveillance, including quick overviews of discovery, legality and suppression of various kinds of bugging.

The speakers will be Barry Winograd (staff attorney with the UFW in Berkeley) and Marty Fassler (general practitioner from Berkeley). Winograd and Fassler are co-authors of the Electronic Surveillance Handbook (which will be available at the presentation). They were staff members of the NLG Grand Jury Defense Office.

This short presentation is an introduction to the day-long seminar which will take place at WSU in Detroit on Saturday November 9. Further information can be obtained at the presentation on Friday.

THE FILMS

On Friday, November 8, the Film Committee will present On The Waterfront, starring Marlon Brando and directed by Elia Kazan. The film won 8 Oscars, including Best Picture and Best Actor. Show times are at 7&9 P.M., in Room 100, Hutchins Hall. Admission is free for law students, $1.00 for non-law.

(SEE DOCKET P. 13)
Monday Nov. 4, 1974-Professor Pierre de Donis announced to his section 1 Property class that due to the pressure from his peers (I said peers, not equals) that there would be syllabus depletions and exam changes. To make it simple for the already heavily burdened first year students, he cut the course work in half by omitting every other word from each case assigned. He added, of course, that those who had difficulty understanding certain concepts could, at their option, read every word. As per exams, Prof. de Donis explained that instead of exams every three weeks that there would be only one per month, with two every other month. I'm sure these substantial changes are a great relief for those Section 1 scholars on the eve of yet another Property Exam and so near to another haunting realization (not Halloween, but a Contracts midterm on Monday).

Well, Contracts Professor U.N. consciousable has kept in step with this reduced property load. Showing no influence of the upcoming Property exam, he sped his Monday afternoon contracts class through restitution cases about as fast as one reads Snoopy in the funny papers. Having felt smug for briefing ahead, many students were now faced with blank pages (and expressions to match!) by the end of the hour. One breathless student was heard to say, "Is there no remedy for this treatment?"

Naturally, we are just getting the true gist of an early Torts class theme "Is Law School a Tort?", but one should question whether or not Professor T. Feasor was directing that issue to his own position. The "bluebook" flu that hit his class the day of the last Property exam was enough to make even the hardest Tort Professor shudder. Add to that the street drilling episode that drove us to Room 100 and then home, and one wonders how far the "duty" toward careful and reasonable instruction carries in its demands on a law professor. Professor Feasor is truly dedicated, however, and is (SEE BLUES P. 8)

Dear Stan Ford,

A couple of weeks ago you called upon us to come forward and try to explain why we decided to inflict Law School on ourselves and what we hoped to accomplish from the flagellation. After chewing the matter over, I decided to get off my tail and respond. I am Yellow Dog, ex-revolutionary, ex-dope dealer, ex-draft dodger, ex-factory worker and a rather anonymous law student. Back when I was but a young pup, I was impressed by the fact that the Amerikan Empire, taken to its logical conclusion, would result in the complete obliteration of the Earth (which included me.) Wanting dearly to survive, I joined forces with my fellow dog brothers and sisters and embarked on "The Revolution."

The goal was simple enough, destroy the Capitalist-Imperialist-running-dog-lackey-exploiters-of-Blacks-Chicanos-women-gays-workers-Third-World-and-other-oppressed-minorities. The tactics were equally simplistic; trashing the Bank of Amerika, liberating the Administration Building, bombing CIA offices and other acts designed to elevate the consciousness of the Masses.

However, as time wore on, my skull was fractured, m lungs seared, and my nerves shot from paranoia. I began to suspect that my body could serve a more useful function than stopping National Guard bullets. Besides, the only Masses I was relating to were crazies like myself while The People were home guzzling beer and watching "I Love Lucy."

Hoping that "Working-Through-The-System" might be a viable alternative, and realizing that my degree in Occult Mysticism was hip but worthless, I set my sights for Law School. It was at this point in my life that I started chasing my tail.

At first I didn't think much of it. Lots of other dogs were doing it and it was a rather (SEE DOG P. 10)
Dear Editor:

We recently received a copy of the October 18, 1974, issue of Res Gestae, which states that our "law firm is the chief legal representative of the Gallo corporation...." This is incorrect. We have never represented a Gallo Corporation. The E & J Gallo Winery, Inc. is represented by the distinguished San Francisco law firm of Orrick, Herrington, Rowley and Sutcliffe, which information is set forth in Martindale-Hubbell.

Since 1966, we have represented "Gallo Sales Company, Inc.", a local wine wholesaler which distributes Gallo, Paul Masson, Christian Brothers, Sebastiani and other California and French wines in the Bay Area. Our legal activities for Gallo Sales Company, Inc. have not included representation in labor relations matters. Our firm has not represented any client in any anti-UFW activities.

This letter will not comment on the justice or wisdom of the article's criticism on lawyers who represent clients such as E & J Winery, Inc. For present purposes, it is enough that Res Gestae is in error in its identification of this law firm.

In fairness, your inquiry as to the morality of law as it is practiced by firms such as ours might also be broadened to reflect the total picture. In recent years, lawyers in our firm have been involved in a wide variety of public interest matters (in some basis), including, for example, litigation against capital punishment (People v. Anderson, 6 Cal. 3d 628 (1972)); and action to eliminate inhumane conditions at the San Francisco County Jail: litigation to invalidate an anti-bussing law (S.F. Board of Education v. Johnson, 3 Cal. 3d 937 (1971)); an action challenging the search of a college newspaper for evidence from its files possibly needed in a criminal prosecution (Stanford Daily v. Zurcher, 353 F. Supp 124 (N.D. Calif. 1972)); numerous volunteer cases for organizations such as the NAACP Legal Defense Fund and the ACLU: and successful representation of California Rural Legal Assistance in several months of administrative proceedings when that organization's existence was threatened by a funding veto by Governor Reagan (a controversy described in "Political Interference with Publicly Funded Lawyers: The CRLA Controversy and the Future of Legal Services", 24 Hastings L. Rev. 599 (1973), written by two of our partners.)

It is unfortunate that Messrs. Guzman, Super and Morse didn't call either this firm or the attorneys who represented UFW to get their facts straight before writing this article. If they had, they not only would have found out that we have not represented E & J Gallo Winery, Inc. but they also would have found out that some time ago unrelated circumstances necessitated our cancelling our interviewing appointments at Michigan.

We hope to come back to Ann Arbor next year, because we always have felt welcome there and we still do.

S/Howard N. Nemerovski

Sir,

Res Gestae would like to point out that its function is to provide a forum for student opinion. It has no editorial policy as such, nor does it have the staff or resources to investigate the veracity or accuracy of every piece submitted. Articles appearing

(SEE LETTERS P. 5)
herein are the sole product of their authors.

We have published your response in order to provide the law school community with your views.

Res Gestae is, and will remain an organ for the expression of student comment at the University of Michigan Law School.

To The Editors:

Recalling Judge Bazeloon's appearance here a few weeks ago (and Jim Jenkins comments published in RG) I was especially perplexed with the enclosed article from last Sunday's New York Times:

In a seminar on "Law and the Future of the Closed Institution," Judge Bazeloon, a Northwestern Law School graduate and chief judge of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, spoke of those institutions that were hard to get out of--prisons and mental hospitals.

Drawing on opinions that he has written during his 25 years on the appellate bench, the judge lectured on the danger of society allowing its desire for self-protection to serve "as a rationalization for a process of helping disturbed or disturbing individuals by confining or institutionalizing them."

The goal of treatment, he said, has led to abuses by providing "a screen for unprecedented expansion of the state's power over individuals loosely as deviant."

Judge Bazeloon cited the case of a teen-ager who spent more than 15 years in a Washington mental hospital after he had indecently exposed himself. Indecent exposure is normally punishable by a jail sentence of not more than 90 days.

COLLEAGUE AGREES

In the last few years cases expanding the right of confined persons to resist treatment have begun to appear. "The institutionalization has threatened to become a new panacea for dealing with the problem of institutional abuses," the judge said. "The promise of freedom may be just as chi-
Dear Anony-Ms.

I was disturbed by the virulence of your condemnation of your law school experience, as evidenced by the letter which appeared in this column on October 18th. I would like to think that you were putting us on with your acrimonious analysis, or better yet, that "you" were merely the satirical creation of an overworked Res editor -- but I know better.

I can only feel pity for one so bitter, for one trying so hard to play a role she little understands and enjoys even less. For every truly liberated woman there are dozens of frustrated females, unhappy with themselves, bitterly lashing out at those "responsible" for their own failure at self-integration. Your paranoia (the McCarthy "a-commie-under-every-bed" variety) dictates that you see a chauvinist in every bed and every man a misogynist.

You complain of the school's "lack of understanding of the role of women in the legal profession," but you provide not a clue as to what you think that role should be. Instead of showing the world that you can spit better than the boys, why not offer the unliberated the advantage of a truly liberated viewpoint; persuade the uninformed by the force of your logic; shame the recalcitrant by your good example? Unfortunately, your catty comments merely embarrass genuine liberationists (male and female) and delight the bigots.

Your invective wins no friends for the cause; it serves only to groom the bête noire of womankind, the stereotypical "bitch."

You portray your professors as narrow-minded and fearful, as responding to "the liberation of all persons" by stereotyping, making snide remarks, and exhibiting petty jealousy. It is gratifying to see that you have so successfully transcended these unliberated, chauvinistic expressions. Equally gratifying is the realization that not only are the professors narrow-minded and fearful, but they are inept (if not senile) as well. What else could account for this bigoted, all-male faculty allowing six women to slip by them, undetected, into the carefully protected top slots in the graduating class? We all should be grateful that these "blinded" old men are not competent to put their prejudices into practice.

You despair that the perceptiveness and sensitivity (so magnificently displayed in your letter) are not evaluated in the school's assessment of "a person's true intellectual character." 'Tis a pity that you must discover so late in the game that law schools have never claimed to be finishing schools for debutantes; they are boot camps for lawyers, where hard discipline in the development of analytical skills takes precedence over giving free reign to creative impulses and refining the Aesthetic Sense. If you find you cannot retain (much less develop) the latter, while devoting yourself to the rigors of the former, perhaps you should have another chat with your career counselor.

(This Hardasses' Name Withheld by Request).
THOSE OF YOU MARVEL COMIC COGNOSCENTI WHO HAVE THRILLED TO CONAN THE BARBARIAN, KHA-ZAR, SWORD-AND-SORCERY COMICS, AND OTHER UTER TRASH WILL SOON THRILL TO AARON PEDANTZ, BARBARIAN-LAW IN THE DAYS OF THE HYBORIAN EMPIRE--

WHAT WILL HE DO?
MAKE OUT CORPORATION PAPERS FOR THE PRIESTS OF MIDNA?
CHALLENGE THE SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY OF THE KING OF Cimmeria?

BRING A MALPRACTICE SUIT AGAINST ZOQUAN OR THE SORCEROR?

WHATEVER HE DOES, HE'LL DO IT HERE! IN THE PAGES OF R.Q. NEXT WEEK!!
WATCH FOR IT ON YOUR FAVORITE TABLE IN FRONT OF ROAM DOO, H.H.
willing to hold class over each period until Christmas so that we will not miss out on important knowledge of the law.

We can expect from all this that procedure Professor Anecdote, "civil" up to now, will soon have us learning the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to be second nature in preparation for Professor Chi Chi Coop, who is due in from Puerto Rico next term. In the meantime, we hope the stories don't run out.

Well, listen, I gotta go. I have a Case Club memo due on Thursday.
last week did not call the sign-up crowd "fools." We hope this clarifies his remark. (By the way, who did get that job?)... What second year law review member has made a practice of bragging about the number of job offers received?... What law professor went to the dean of students last fall to make sure no law review students got red-lined out of his oversubscribed class--and got away with it?... Which member of the personnel committee sent letters to other law schools asking them to refer bright young men for faculty positions?... What pompous LSSS members think they can censor Res Gestae? How about a poll of students to find out how many want to publish the results of the faculty poll? Or would the results have to be suppressed? And speaking of polls, Res Ipsa has decided to run a poll of its own. We'd like your assessment of law professors from a somewhat less academic angle. Suspend your disbelief for just a moment and submit your choice of the 5 (five) SEXIEST law professors, with #1 being the sexiest, and the 5 (five) least sexy with

# 5 being Pat Nixon's ideal match.

SEXIEST

1. _______________________
2. _______________________
3. _______________________
4. _______________________
5. _______________________

PAT'S CHOICE

1. _______________________
2. _______________________
3. _______________________
4. _______________________
5. _______________________

TIE BREAKER: What is the total amount of alimony being paid by M.L.S. professors annually? _______________

Submit your entries by Monday noon to the box at the R.G. table (in front of room 100) or the Lawyers Club Desk. (We've got news for you, "Res Ipsa"--you'd better provide your own box and collection procedure.

- R.G.

Thanks for all the great contributions we received this week. Keep those cards and letters coming in! (Res Ipsa c/o Lawyer's Club Desk) And remember--Res Ipsa guarantees the anonymity of your contributions (true or not)---Don't sign your name!! If your contribution wasn't used this week, watch next week's column. And please remember you've only seen the printable items. Res Ipsa plans to raise money for hot tips by selling the unprintable ones!! (For a substantial sum of money, R.G. will reveal the identity of R.I.!!)

TH-th-that's all, f-folks!
R.I.
novel experience. I started thinking in terms of GPALSAT and spent hours looking at these funny little grid patterns in books about Law School.

When I got to Michigan, I continued to chase my tail but at a much more frenzied pace. Although my non-Law friends thought this off, it seemed perfectly normal to me since all the other dogs were doing it. We all took it quite seriously and kept note of how close the other dog was to finally catching himself. We even developed little pecking orders based on tail chasing, with the superior dogs, who prided themselves on their abilities, getting the nod from the Masters to go to the Coif Kennel where they could be pampered and put on show in the National Tail Chasing Contest.

But the toll on my nervous system was too great and I was getting very dizzy. So I sat down for a while and just watched. I am still sitting. I suggest to you and my fellow dog brothers and sisters to try it sometime. You will realize just how ludicrous you all look, madly chasing your tails. You might even come to adopt my motto, "Fiss On It."

But Law School has not been a complete exercise in frustration. I have learned some new tricks and refined some old ones. I have even reacquired my revolutionary zeal for I'll be damned in hell first before I see a lot of mutts with their snouts up their ass move into the positions of power they think they are destined to occupy. The Great American Dream Machine is still merrily trucking down the eight lane super highway to its own self-destruction. And it's only dogs can't see beyond the ends of their own tail who fail to recognize it.

Thus I intend to use my time in Law School and the legal profession to subvert the system through the two most effective means at my disposal, logic and decadence.

Law has taught me the incredible power that lies behind an idea. Consider the beauty of an idea. It is as intangible and elusive as...
the wind words that carry it. Yet if held by enough people, it can move mountains and overthrow governments. And nothing, not even human death, can stop an idea whose time has come.

My idea is old and simple. Man needs to live in harmony with himself, his fellow man and his environment if he is to survive.

My working hypotheses, based on my observations are 1) Law School and the values it inculcates are antithetical to this ideal and therefore not conducive to survival in its broadest sense, 2) people and the institutions they create are susceptible to change, particularly if it is in their own self interest, 3) survival is in everybody's interest and 4) a legal education is valuable to the extent it equips the student with the technical skills and knowledge to effectuate social change.

If RG is amenable, I will expand more on these ideal later and hopefully stimulate you into using your legal skills to further a social order whose goal is survival, not nihilistic suicide.

For those of you who are persuaded tail chasing is the ultimate trip in life, I will be more than happy to push you along your chosen path to self-destruction. Any revolution is hastened when the ruling elite is decadent and although my resources are limited, I intend to encourage your debauchery. To this end, I will be leaving my secret weapon, the Yellow Dog Nip Stick, at discreet and random locations around one Jacobian quadrangle. If you are fortunate enough to discover one of these little goodies, take it home (or to your carrel), light either end inhale and while holding your breath, mentally recite the forty-seven exceptions to the hearsay evidence rule. Or better yet, pick up a copy of the Story 0 and start reading.

To you non-tail chasers, enjoy yourself. I also intend to use the Yellow Dog Nip Stick as a means of positive reenforcement. To this end, I will deliver one whenever the occasion calls for it to anyone who does anything to prick the over-blown balloon of self-importance that calls itself the University of Michigan Law School.

Therefore, I take pride and pleasure in awarding my first Yellow Dog Nip Stick to Joe Fenech, whose tireless defamation of the doggerel brings joy every Friday morn and to Stan Ford, who shares my interests in sex, drugs, mysticism, comic books and Perry Mason and whose comments on the power of journalism brought Yellow Dog out of the closet.

S/Yellow Dog

ABA

FEDERAL LAW JOB OPPORTUNITIES LISTED IN NEW ABA PUBLICATION

The American Bar Association is publishing a book cataloging law job possibilities with the federal government.

Entitled "Federal Government Legal Career Opportunities," the 158-page publication describes briefly the anticipated job openings, type of work, location, qualifications and how to apply.

The new book is a project of the ABA's Law Student Division.

Further information can be obtained by writing to: Alice Fried, American Bar Association, 1155 E. 60th St., Chicago, Ill. 60637.

ABA COMMITTEE UPDATES PRIMER ON SOVIET LEGAL SYSTEM

The American Bar Association is publishing a revised and updated pamphlet dealing with the Soviet legal system. Written by Dr. Bernard A. Ramundo, "The Soviet Legal System - A Primer" explains how U.S.S.R. law is formulated and applied, and how its legal processes differ from those of the United States. Ramundo is a professional lecturer at George Washington University Law School. The 44-page primer is a project of the ABA's Standing Committee on Education About Communism. For further information, contact: Frederick R. Franklin, American Bar Association, 1155 E. 60th St., Chicago, Ill. 60637.
"A Left to the Jaw, and a Right to the Head, He Knocked Him Out, Just like He Said"

Ali comes into the ring first. The audience is on its feet, stamping, clapping, pounding, screaming "Ali! Ali! Ali!". Unmitigated emotion, pure feeling, quintessential spirit, it raises everybody and across the whole world it raises the man who engenders it and is engendered by it. "Ali! Ali! Ali!". It is no pun that the name 'Ali' is so close to the word 'all'. Is it not all of us. Does he not begin to stunt and dance and play that magic with his hands for all of us? Is he not feeding and being fed? How real is all this magic? How real is Muhammad Ali? As real as the ethereal ecstasy of winning.

The bell, and the first round and they come out eyeing one another, measuring, searching, trying to get sure. And then the first flurry and the other man must bow his head and grab Ali's waist, losing the battle of the quicker hands. But then Ali backs up, offering nothing he retreats to the ropes, and his hands go up toward his face, and the other big man begins to pound away at him, doing what he always does. And our Ali stays ever on the ropes, leaning back, slipping and blocking punches with the desperation of a man's last gasp. The bell sounds and it is like a death ring as we look to one another and wonder if the myth and the man fall tonight.

The bell, and the second round and this time there is no flurry as Ali immediately backs up to the ropes, but is he being taken there or is he leading the other man there? The other man again pounds and pounds. A sharp left, and yes, Ali has been caught, he falters just a little but regains his poise just as the other big man begins the assault anew. And Ali is back on the ropes and why doesn't he break out of that cocoon and become the butterfly? The crowd is quiet, almost deathly quiet in comparison to the raging exaltation of ten minutes before. But suddenly, in the last thirty seconds of the round, Ali's hands lace out, counter-punching with the sting of the bee, the head comes forward a little and the hands faster still and they are finding the other big man. And the tide turns. The crowd, as if all memory has been erased, sees only those ripping hands, for no more than half a minute and it is enough to set them roaring, Ali has come back. Has Ali ever been gone?

The bell, and the third round and the whole fight has been changed. Again Ali backs up to the ropes, again the other big man begins to pound but this happened before and Ali is not hurt. He leans back, counter-punching constantly now, peppering the other big man whose punches lack ferocity now, are beginning to round out. Ali and the crowd lift each other and he lashes out at the other big man. He lashes out at the other big man.

The bell, and the fourth round and Ali is clearly dominating the other big man, the fight, the country, the world, all of us, and is there anything left? He is still on those ropes, but so confident his hands are down and he awaits with his deadly right, looking for a spot. The other big man is ragged now, impotent, with his fists and his presence. Ali never stops with the left, battering the other big man. Talking and punching and doing things bigger than either talking or punching.

The bell, and the fifth round and the other big man's blows have no more resolve than a child kicking his broken toy. Ali looks and waits, waits and looks. The other big man steps back and eyes Ali on the ropes and wonders how is he ever going to hurt him. Ali just leans back meanly, right hand coiled, left hand challenging.

The bell, and the sixth round and it is now only a matter of when. Not only does the crowd now know Ali has and will win, but (See Life p.13)
that he will knock-out the other big man. The man who has never been knocked down. The man who was too big and strong to be knocked down. But that same man could not be bigger and stronger than Muhammed Ali. Ali continues to pummel him, the other big man looks like an amateur, and the cliche 'on the ropes' can never mean the same thing again.

The bell, and the seventh round and it is more of the same except now the crowd shouts and pounds waiting for the consummation. Waiting for the truth to be born that will in turn breed the myth. 'Truth' and 'myth', Ali has made them nearly synonyms.

The bell, and the eighth round, and the other big man throws his big one that badly misses and he falls over the ropes, will, strength, and power gone, only the ropes permitting him to stand. And Ali takes him to the other side, and waits for the big left to miss and then the right, inexorably crashes down and the other big man staggers. There is another crushing right, maybe two, it doesn't matter, and Ali leaps sprightly out into the ring and delivers a classic left-right combination and the other big man just opens up and begins his slow, turning, fall as Ali towers over him. And through that helpless fall Ali does not strike again, but merely watches having taken only what he needed and leaving the rest for the others. The other big man crumbles to the canvas and Ali's hands go up, everything goes up, the ring goes up, no longer microcosmic but macro-Ali.

Ali believed.

The skillful, methodical, fighting, was only the 'how' of feeling. Is it a blue-print?

I go home, and later try to read some civil procedure, but shake my head and close the book embarrassed for what I've become to want to read that after what I've just experienced.

---

**Docket**

Section 5 will meet again on Tuesday, Nov. 12 at noon in the Faculty dining room.

At our last meeting, all four first-year student senate members were present. We discussed a prospective campaign to get non-UFW head lettuce out of the Lawyers Club dining hall (the only dormitory on campus which still serves non-UFW head lettuce). Also discussed were the funding policies of the Student Senate and the general attitudes of that body. We also would try to see if we could get more 1st yr representation on Student Senate. There was a suggestion that Student Senate minutes be published in R.G. in order to let people know how all that money is being allocated.

We talked about "Law school as a Tort", ways of dealing with repressive professors, etc. This discussion is sure to be continued.

The prison panel is tentatively scheduled for Wednesday, November 20.

**LAW SPOUSES**

Law Spouses (our current Alias) will hear a talk by Nancy Krieger on the Legal Job Market Tuesday, November 11 at 8:00 in the Law Club Lounge. Everyone is welcome.

**WLS**

Monday November 11 1 p.m.--Meeting in WLS office for Fund Raising Committee for National Conference on Women and the Law.

This will be a permanent meeting time for the Fund Raising Committee. All must attend.

**WANT AD**

**FOR SALE:**

Stereo record player with am-fm radio. Please contact Jane Waterson in 313 Hutchins Hall.
Jackson Prison allows quite extensive tours of the facility, including small group meetings with prisoners, and lunch in the main dining area. We believe that students may benefit from such a visit, and propose to combine with it a colloquium on the prison experience, perhaps to be scheduled the day before the visit. We are currently considering inviting Judge Justin Ravitz and Lee-Del Walker, a former Jackson inmate now working for Detroit Legal Aid.

Preliminary dates are Thursday evening, January 30 for the colloquium, and Friday, January 31 for the trip to Jackson. The itinerary for the latter might be:

11:00 AM Leave AA by bus
12:00 Lunch in main prison dining room
1:00 Informal meeting with small inmate groups
2:30 Tour of prison facilities
3:30 Leave Jackson
4:30 Arrive AA

If you are reasonably sure you are interested please answer below as applicable and drop this note in the proper receptacle on the table near Room 100, Hutchins Hall. This is not binding, but we do need reasonably accurate figures, so please be honest with yourself.

Interested in both colloquium and prison visit: _________. Visit, but not colloquium: _________. Colloq. only: _________. (Check one) Different day of the week for visit (weekends are out.) _________. Suggestions for other speakers: ________________________________________________

Misc. Scribblings: _______________________________________

Thank you. MIAP kulaks.

S/Ron Walker
**RG RANKINGS**

1. Ohio State (7)  
2. Oklahoma  
3. Alabama  
4. Michigan  
5. Texas A&M  
6. Nebraska  
7. Florida  
8. Texas  
9. Auburn  
10. Notre Dame  

11. Penn State  
12. Southern Cal  
13. Maryland  
14. Houston  
15. Oklahoma St.  
16. Miami, O.  
17. California  
18. Vanderbilt  
19. Texas Tech  
20. Arizona St.

Others receiving votes: Missouri, Rice, Pittsburgh, Michigan St., Mississippi St., Arizona, Georgia, Yale, Memphis St., LSU, NC State, Miami (F.), Law Lavelle.

**LEFTY’S LOSERS**

**TEAM**

**COMMENTS**

1. Wake Forest (0-8)  
   Attracting those big point spreads  

2. Utah (0-7)  
   Possible cloud on Deacon’s title?  

3. Florida State (0-8)  
   Awarded a permanent Bottom Ten slot  

4. Columbia (1-5)  
   Impressive...even in victory  

5. TCU (1-6)  
   Uses formaldehyde, not Gatorade  

6. Oregon (2-6)  
   Farm team for the Portland Storm  

7. Drake (2-6-1)  
   Runs fumble option to imperfection  

8. Army (2-6)  
   To the rear...MARCH!  

9. New Orleans Jazz (0-8)  
   NBA’s point-a-minute outfit  

10. Air Force (2-6)  
    College football’s F-111  

**FOOTBALL POLL**

Stewart Olson won the poll with a percentage of .636. The overall percentage was a dismal .438.

All the people who hate both MSU and OSU get a special treat this week. They play each other at East Lansing. Ideally, MSU will lose by 56 or win by a point.

Circle winners. Cross out losers. Place in the box in front of Room 100 by 5:00 Friday. Only one entry per contestant.

College

- LSU (14½) at Alabama  
- Auburn at Mississippi St. (10½)  
- Texas at Baylor (17½)  
- California at Washington (7½)  
- Florida at Georgia (6½)  
- Harvard at Princeton (5½)  
- Michigan at Illinois (20½)  
- Indiana at Northwestern (6½)  
- Wisconsin at Iowa (1½)  
- Nebraska at Iowa St. (20½)  
- Oklahoma St. at Kansas St. (14½)  
- Vanderbilt (1½) at Kentucky  
- Miami, O. at Kent St. (6½)  
- Ohio St. at Michigan St. (27½)  
- Minnesota (14½) at Purdue  
- Missouri (15½) at Oklahoma  
- Yale at Pennsylvania (14½)  
- Penn St. at NC State (13½)  
- Southern Cal at Stanford (10½)  
- Texas A&M at SMU (13½)  

Pro

- Detroit (8½) at Oakland  
- Cleveland (11½) at New England  
- Pittsburgh at Cincinnati (8½)  
- Houston (14½) at Buffalo  
- San Francisco (14½) at Dallas  
- San Diego (6½) at Kansas City  
- NY Jets (6½) at NY Giants  
- Washington at Philadelphia (7½)  
- Denver at Baltimore (10½)  
- Chicago (3½) v. Green Bay at Milw.  
- Miami at New Orleans (10½)  
- Atlanta (10½) at Los Angeles  
- Minnesota (1½) at St. Louis  

**Tiebreaker:** How many passes will msu (MSU) have intercepted?

George A. Pagano