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Professor Pooley Postpones Prospective Seminars

Don't be surprised if sometime within the next two years you see your Contracts professor in a hard hat, climbing into the excavation for the new library. Professor Beverley Pooley, Director of the Law Library, foresees being greatly involved in all phases of the building's construction, although he is not yet contemplating switching careers from law professor to construction worker.

Between overseeing the building's progress as well as directing the operations of the present library and teaching Contracts again in the fall, Professor Pooley has decided to postpone teaching a seminar in Entertainment Law. Although he will remain active in the Entertainment Law Society, Pooley feels that he wouldn't be able to devote enough time to individual students which is essential for a seminar.

Preparation for a class on Entertainment Law was one of Professor Pooley's projects during his sabbatical this past fall. Materials concerning entertainer's contracts and remedies for breach of contract were gathered at the Institute for Advanced Legal Studies in London, England. In addition to his research in the field of entertainment law, Professor Pooley also spent time renewing his interest in the developing body of law of ex-colonial African countries. Concentrating specifically on corruption in African societies, the major portion of his research was done at the School of Oriental and African Studies in London. Pooley noted that this information is readily made available by governments overthrowing "corrupt" regimes who are more than happy to publicize their predecessor's mistakes.

A seminar on the topic of the post-independence law of African countries south of the Sahara is a possibility Professor Pooley would be especially interested in. Emphasis would be primarily on the growing body of law accompanying these countries' economic development. Pooley would also be able to relate some of his experiences from the two years which he spent living in Ghana (1960-62). However, his involvement in this class as well as the seminar on entertainment law, must wait for at least a couple of years until the new library is completed.

One of Professor Pooley's activities while on sabbatical which did have a present purpose was to inspect some of the newer law library facilities in England, and compare them to the plans for Michigan's own law library. Ideas on their various structures and operations were gathered, some to be incorporated in our library.

It is unfortunate that most law students presently in school will have graduated before Professor Pooley's prospective seminars in both African Law and Entertainment Law will be offered, as well as before the new library is completed. Pooley suggests however, that all students who are interested in entertainment law school join the Entertainment Law Society, in which he intends to remain actively involved.

Desired by construction activity in the area of the Law School Fund office in the Legal Research building, that office has been moved to the suite of offices formerly held by the BLSA, WLSA, FLS and LaRaza organizations.

The decision, made three weeks ago, was the result of careful weighing by the administration. The specific needs of the Fund, coupled with its value to the school came out on top when compared to the value of the office locations of the four organizations.

The Law School Student Senate was allocated three rooms in the Lawyers Club to replace the office space. The Senate, under protest, recommended specific allocations of the space to the four organizations. The organizations filed a protest with the Dean and a meeting was held on March first to consider alternatives to the Lawyers Club space.

As a result of the meeting, Dean Pierce said that he would do his best to see if existing space in Hutchins could be used by the organizations instead. The areas under consideration are the Women's lounge and room 209. These areas would be temporarily put to the use of the organizations until the end of the semester when there is a possibility of obtaining office space in the residential area of the Lawyers Club.

All of the space re-allocations are for the duration of the construction. When the new library is completed it is expected that the student organizations will return to their previous locations.

There is a possibility that other offices in the east end of Legal Research will also have to be moved, but every effort is being made to keep them in operation despite the construction activity. If this does occur, it is likely that...
LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

EDITOR:
As the author of MOST of the LSSS special report on attendance at their meetings, I would like to set the record straight.

First, the editorial comment was not part of the article turned in to the RG. The report was non-committal and made no conclusions. I felt that the facts spoke for themselves.

Second, the reason for leaving the article unsigned was twofold. My intent was that it be an editorial opinion of the RG, should the staff decide to run it. In addition, as a Senator, I felt that my name attached to the article would lead people to believe that I was carrying out some type of personal vendetta, which is not true.

Third, with a number of the present senators running for reelection, I felt it important that their records be brought to the attention of their constituents.

Alan D. Walton

Dear Editor:
U of M law students seldom find time or occasion to compliment their brethren, but a recent occurrence warrants special recognition of a fellow student whose identity is and probably will remain unknown to all of us.

I would personally like to thank the student who stole every copy of volume 429, United States Reporter from the library and room 200 Hutchins Hall and, in addition, ripped several key cases out of various older case reporters some-time during the two weeks prior to spring break, when I was trying to research my case club brief on exclusionary zoning.

Of course, maybe I shouldn't blame you. After all, you're obviously too busy to take personal notes from the cases, and your clandestine maneuvers around the library have probably never exposed you to the photocopyers on level one. Besides, even if they had, why would you be expected to shell out a few nickels so that the rest of your 360-odd classmates, many of whom were attempting to read the same cases at the time, should have the opportunity to finish their assignment?

Without any clues to your identity, I can only conjecture about your sickeningly selfish character. You probably bitch about the tuition, but have you ever asked the librarians how much case reporters cost these days? Or maybe you spent spring break complaining to your parents about how fiercely competitive law school is. I don't suppose you had the courage to tell them that case club is graded pass-fail. Better yet, you probably resorted to stealing because you were getting tired of not finding the reporters you needed. I can't imagine you ever considered that others may have faced similar plights at the time.

I've been compiling a growing list of the missing cases and will submit it to the library staff in the near future, but only you and your deft little fingers know the extent of the damage done. Unless you're a totally hopeless disgrace to our profession, you'll accept my challenge to rectify the inconvenience you've caused by returning those books and pages to those of us who respect the library resources. Or is that too much to ask?

Mike Grace
Section 2
SFF CAMPAIGN

The Student Funded Fellowship Campaign has been initiated by a rather widespread "plastering" of posters around the law school. Some preliminary applications have been received, as have numerous pledges and a few donations. Students who have secured summer employment and who wish to support the SFF Program should make their pledges as soon as possible; this will enable the SFF committee to review applications and determine the number of awards that can be made.

Application materials can be picked up in Room 217. People interested in working in the public interest area should look into the possibility of an SFF award at their earliest convenience, but before the beginning of April. Also, those individuals who would be willing to assist the committee in soliciting and collecting pledges should contact one of the members of the committee, or leave their name at the LSSS office. Any donations can be made by check, made out to the "Law School Student Funded Fellowships." Remember, the SFF Program is not relying on large contributions, but on a large number of relatively small donations. Support the SFF--it is a worthwhile endeavor.

LAW SCHOOL

ADMISSIONS STANDARDS

A SHAM?

As anyone knows who has applied to law school recently, getting accepted is tougher every year. What standards do schools use to judge applicants? That's the subject of an article in the current issue of Student Lawyer, which details the struggle of one unsuccessful Harvard Law candidate.

In "Selecting the Chosen Few," author Joel Seligman writes that it is not enough to have high academic achievement, a perfect law school exam score and be an alumnus of Harvard to get accepted at Harvard Law School. That's what Kenneth Krohn found out, at any rate.

Krohn, one of 6,057 applicants to Harvard in 1975, was rejected along with 5,000 others. But, unlike the others, he chose to sue Harvard, challenging the admission procedures. Seligman notes that Krohn charged that the school's admissions office standards bear "little or no relation to the selection of those applicants most qualified to pursue a legal education and/or the legal profession, or that such standards do not exist at all."

Seligman also charges that the Law School Admission Test (LSAT) is biased in a number of ways and criticizes law schools for giving so much weight to high scores in assessing candidates.

LAW SCHOOL

SENIOR DAY

Senior Day, 1978, will be held on Saturday, May 13, at 1:00 P.M. in the afternoon at the Rackham Lecture Hall. The ceremony will be followed by a reception in the Lawyers Club Lounge.

The purpose of Senior Day is to honor the graduating class in a way more personal than possible at a University-wide ceremony, though it is not intended to take the place of the University Commencement exercises. We hope you will be able to attend as we feel this will be a memorable occasion for you and your parents and friends.

It is important that we know whether each graduating senior is or is not planning to attend, since seating arrangements are made so as to facilitate the awarding of certificates to each member of the class present. We would greatly appreciate hearing from you on this matter as soon as possible. You may respond by stopping by my office, 320 Hutchins Hall, and giving the information to my secretary, Mrs. Howe. We will send invitations to guests whom you wish to invite.

JANE MIXER MEMORIAL

AWARD NOMINATIONS

"Students in the Law School, friends, staff, faculty, and her family contributed to a fund to establish an annual award in memory of Jane L. Mixer who met an untimely death while in her first year in the Law School. The award will go to the law student who has made the greatest contribution to activities designed to advance the cause of social justice in the preceding year.""

Provisions for this award further provide that "nominations for the award will be made by students in the Law School with the recipient to be chosen from among those nominated by the Scholarship and Awards Committee.

Students are encouraged to make nominations as soon as possible. Please submit them to Crispin Birnbaum in Room 300, Hutchins Hall. The final deadline for nominations is March 22, 1978. The Committee would appreciate a brief statement of the activities of the various nominees thought to qualify them for the award. Students may make more than one nomination. The nomination and statement may be submitted in letter form, addressed to the Committee. The recipient will be announced at the Honors Convocation on April 20, 1978.

1978-79 SENIOR

JUDGESHIPS

Applications for 1978-79 senior judgeships in the Writing and Advocacy Program are now available from Maggie Hagen, 318 HH. Senior Judges earn $250 per term and 2 credit hours per term. When they submit applications, applicants will be given an appellate brief evaluation exercise which they must discuss during a personal interview with Assistant Dean Cohen by noon, Friday, April 7, 1978.
ETHICS CODE--
'A TREASURE OF PLATITUDES'

An article in the A.B.A. Journal describes the legal profession's Code of Professional Responsibility as a "treasure trove of platitudes" that has nothing to do with legal ethics as actually enforced by the courts and bar associations.

In "The Myth of Legal Ethics," Eric Schnapper contends that disciplinary proceedings are almost exclusively limited to three abuses: attorneys who steal funds from clients, attorneys who accept fees but fail to pursue cases, and lawyers who commit felonies.

"One searches in vain for a lawyer disciplined for failing to give free legal assistance to the indigent; for failing to disclose legal precedent contrary to his client's interests; for misrepresenting facts to judges, juries, or opposing counsel; or for using political office or connections to attract clients, although the frequency of these occurrences is common knowledge."

"The code sets wondrous standards beyond the reach of most mortals," he said. "As enforced, it is intended solely, and somewhat erratically, to protect the few individuals rich enough to hire a lawyer from misconduct, although not from incompetence."

Schnapper, who practices with a public interest law firm in New York City, said the legal community apparently was surprised by the misconduct of lawyers involved in Watergate.

"Both the public opinion polls and our own experience, however, tell us that the public probably was not the least surprised to find a group of lawyers up to their ears in unethical or illegal activity."

"On the contrary, samplings reveal that now, as in the past, the public regards lawyers as among the least trustworthy of people. Lawyers are often viewed as clever and devious people who, using all sorts of technicalities and double talk, trick honest working men and women out of their hard-earned money and property."

Schnapper said lawyering "is within the relatively narrow category of occupations where borderline dishonesty is fairly lucrative."

"In many instances," he said, "the very art of the lawyer is a sort of calculated disregard of the law or at least of ordinary notions of morality."

Schnapper said the reasons why Codes of Professional Responsibility are largely not enforced are that (a) "they are enforced by lawyers who will themselves be subject to whatever limitations they treat as enforceable," (b) "the traditional sanctions--disbarment and suspension--are so drastic that no one wants to use them except in the most extreme cases," and (c) "the traditional, if not exclusive, source of complaints to grievance committees are disgruntled clients, who may object to pelf or incompetence, if they can detect it, but are hardly likely to protest that their attorney, although successful, used unworthy means."

Schnapper said most of the so-called unethical behavior of lawyers "lies beyond the sensible jurisdiction of disciplinary agencies and ethics committees."

He said such agencies would better be donated as "committees on theft, negligence, and certain felonies" because "legal ethics, like politeness on subways, kindness to children, or fidelity in marriage, cannot to great effect be taught in school or enforced by third parties."
1978 MIDWEST BALSA CONVENTION REPORTS

On February 15-18, the black law students of the University of Iowa, hosted the Midwest Regional Balsa Convention of 1978 in Iowa City, Iowa. The convention was attended by representatives of 14 law schools in the Midwest Region. The University of Michigan Law School was represented by Paul F. Royle and Vice-Regional Director of the Midwest Region, Charles Lowery.

The convention agenda and activities may be summarized briefly as follows:

(1) Business Activities
A. Financial Matters - Financial matters were given brief attention, focusing primarily on the problem of regional dues yet to be paid by several chapters.

B. Chapter Reports - Reports were made by all the chapters concerning activities and events sponsored by their respective chapters during the past year.

C. Elections - Candidates for regional office were elected with results as follows: Regional Director: Rosalyn Bates-University of Iowa, Vice-Regional Director: Debra Keyes-Case Western Reserve, Secretary: Marilyn Turner-University of Iowa, Treasurer: Michelle Woodard-Ohio State University, Sub-Regional Directors: Fred Benton-Capitol University, Sandra Leeks-University of Indiana, Bruce Ware-Marquette University.

D. National Balsa Business
1. National Balsa Constitution - The proposed By-Laws of the national organization were distributed and briefly discussed. Address cards for ratification were turned in by representatives of the Midwest Region.

2. National Balsa Officer Candidates - An appeal was also made that delegates of schools in the Midwest Region, support those midwestern candidates who opt to run for office.

3. Balsa Reports - It was indicated that Balsa Reports which is published by the Balsa members of the University of St. Louis Law School may be moved from that school to another law school outside the Midwest Region. St. Louis University would like to retain responsibility for publishing the magazine and support was expressed for keeping the publication in the Midwest Region.

(2) Workshops
A. The Role of Lawyers in State and Local Government - Arthur O. Eve, Deputy Majority Leader of the New York State Assembly, conducted this workshop, encouraging lawyers, particularly black lawyers to get involved in state and local government.

B. Fair Housing Laws - F. Willis Caruso, an attorney in Chicago, who is an expert on fair housing laws, conducted this workshop. Caruso who argued the Arlington Heights case before the Supreme Court, provided information on how to handle fair housing law cases.

C. Bakke/Reverse Discrimination Discussion - Chapter reports were given on particular Bakke oriented activities conducted by the individual chapters and general discussions of reverse discrimination and the issues of the Bakke case were conducted.

(3) Other Activities and Information
A. Frederick Douglas Moot Court Competition - The moot court competition was won by the team entered by Chicago-Kent Law School. The University of Cincinnati took second place and Marquette University received the best brief award.

B. Banquet - A banquet was held on the last night of the convention, with Congressman Charles Diggs of Michigan making the keynote address. The subject of his address was U.S. policy with respect to Africa.

C. Awards - Lawrence Mayberry of Indiana University was the recipient of the Outstanding Student Award for the Midwest Region. He was involved in the minority admissions summer project sponsored by the National Lawyers Guild and the National Conference of Black Lawyers, as well as participated in the organization of various Bakke demonstrations.

D. Black History Week - The convention was held during Black History Commemoration Week at the University of Iowa. Law students at the convention were able to attend some of the activities around campus, including various workshops and speakers such as Lennox Hinds of the National Conference of Black Lawyers, Jane-Galvin Lewis of the National Black Feminist Organization and Frank Gilliam of the Minnesota Vikings football team.

E. Job Placement Information - Those interested in jobs in the Washington, D.C. area should consult the recent publication of "Washington Want Ads". A copy can be obtained by contacting David Martin of the A.B.A. (312-947-3918).

BAR/BRI Review - Discounts

Early sign up discounts for several states have been extended including New York, California, Connecticut (March 31). For information on these states and others with discounts, as well as other sign ups, check outside of Room 100 on Wednesday between 11:45 and 12:30. If you cannot stop by at those times, call either Terry Carlson, Calvin Keith, Jane McAtee or Donn Randall. (Phone numbers in the student directory) (PD. ADV.)
Report: National Women’s Conference, Houston, Texas

"How dare you presume I’m a girl." Slogan at Houston

The statistics resolution was passed without amendment:
* All departments and agencies should be required to collect, tabulate and analyse data on the basis of sex to assess the impact of programmes on women. Statistics should also be used to gauge the progress of infiltration of minority Americans including Blacks, Hispanic Americans, Asian Americans and American Indians into various levels of society.

"Every mother is a working mother." Slogan at Houston

"Welfare IS child abuse." Slogan at Houston

A substitute recommendation was inserted into the platform by the welfare women’s caucus. One of the most debated issues was whether the resolution should abhor current moves by the Carter administration to "reform" welfare. As adopted, the recommendation proposed:

* Federal and State governments should assume a role in focusing on welfare and poverty as major women’s issues. As well as major improvements of the welfare system, improvements should be made in employment, social security and retirement systems; a universal minimum wage should be adopted; non-traditional job opportunities should be opened up; quality child care should be provided, as well as comprehensive health insurance and legal services. The Convention does not support the Carter administration proposal HR 9030. Any welfare reform program must be developed in on-going consultation with those affected.

(It was at this point that the Michigan Law School representative performed so as to precipitate a bout of laryngitis: waving a placard announcing "Every mother is a working mother", chanting the same, in the company of others holding signs variously proclaiming: "Down with patriarchy"; "Wages for housewives"...Photographs were taken: does this mean a new addition to F.B.I. files? deportation?...)

"It would be wrong for women who have been in the mainstream of life, or whose aim is to get there, to be shunted off into some kind of corner office..."

Midge Constanza, Houston, Texas

The only resolution, the last, not to be passed was the proposal:
* To set up a Cabinet-level Women’s Department in the executive branch of the Federal government to help ensure that all persons are guaranteed equal opportunities without regard to sex.

Rumour had it that a rift had developed within the ranks of N.O.W. over this issue: Bella Abzug was said to be in favour, Gloria Steinem and others to be opposed. The resolution had been left over from the previous night’s debate, to come up for discussion at the closing plenary session. A lack of co-ordination, or some disagreement, was obvious. The final meeting was not the shambles some declared it to be, but a lack of organisation which had not previously been present was clear. The Chair proposed that new business be dealt with before the final resolution. The main body of spectators was not in favour of this, as evidenced by the constant cheering which supported the delegate who proposed a return to the original format, and discussion on the Women’s Department resolution. She was overruled - to protests from the audience. A second delegate objected - and at last the resolution was put, to be lost by a large majority. The final decision was that Congress should support a future meeting of two delegates from each State to monitor the adoption of the resolutions of the Plan.

"We can’t expect it to be all our way..." Barbara Jordan

"Does the country want more tax money spent for one point of view? Does the country want more of this?" Joan Gubbins, Leader of Indiana Delegation

Complaining that minority delegates had been victims to parliamentary procedure selectively exercised to prevent them from putting their view, and protesting: "They refused and railroaded and riged everything", the leader of the antiERA forces led them, wildly singing "God Bless America" from the floor, from the Coliseum to the airport and home... to write letters of protest to their congress persons and State legislatures. The remaining delegates joined the singing, alternating it with chants of ERA, ERA, now, now, NOW.

And we - we flew back to Detroit to learn that Michigan is off to the Rosebowl, remembering:

"This conference is inclusive; everybody is here and everyone must be free to define the meaning of "total woman" for herself. The difference among us at this conference cannot and should not be ignored. ...Eight months from the time we leave here, something is supposed to happen. Recommendations will be submitted. ...Not making a difference is a cost we cannot afford."

Barbara Jordan, Houston, Texas

Your reporter is not an American. But at the Houston Women’s Conference, it was nonetheless easy to be proud for America, and right to be proud of women.

Jocelynne A. Scutt, 1977
Campbell Competition Finalized Plans

The final round of the Henry M. Campbell Competition will be held at 2:30 p.m. on Tuesday, April 4, 1978 in Room 100 of Hutchins Hall. The Campbell Awards Banquet will be held at 6:30 p.m. the same evening.

The members of the final court will be:

Justice Potter Steward
Supreme Court of the United States

Judge Spottswood Robinson, III
U.S. Court of Appeals (D.C. Cir.)

Judge William K. Thomas
U.S. District Court (N.D. Ohio)

Dean Theodore J. St. Antoine
Michigan Law School

Professor Vincent A. Blasi
Michigan Law School

This year's hypothetical cases, authored by Professor Blasi, explore the existence and scope of a constitutional right under either the Ninth Amendment or the Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause for unmarried couples to cohabit.

The Awards Banquet will be held at the Michigan League and will begin at 6:30 p.m. on April 4. Justice Stewart will announce the decision of the court and Judge Robinson will be the featured speaker. Tickets for this year's banquet will be $3.50 each and will go on sale soon.

Both the final argument and the Awards Banquet should be interesting, informative and enjoyable events. If you would like any additional information, just give me a call. The Campbell Competition office phone number is 763-4234; my home phone is 662-5081.

Sincerely,

Kenneth J. Laino
Co-Chairperson
Campbell Competition

My wife had been telling me to talk to Herb for years, but this time I listened to her. And am I glad I did. Herb told me about the Steam Shovel Driver Training Institute, and, by golly, it turned my life around. After only six months, I had learned how to operate one of those big rigs, and within two months after that I was helping to build the very library I had raised the funds for. I've never been happier.

Oh, by-the-way, we had meat five times last week, lobster another night, and we went to the Gandy Dancer the other night. I can't believe it. My life is finally fulfilled, and it might never have happened if I had been content with spaghetti for dinner. Just stay tuned and listen to the announcer as he tells you all the information you need to know to turn your life around:

If you feel stymied in your present job, learn a new trade the quick and easy way--the S.S.D.T.I. way! We've placed doctors, dentists and now deans in exciting, high-paying positions all over the country. Make the move today--it's only a phone call away. Call toll-free: 800-456-4554. We have operators manning the phones around the clock. That number again: 800-456-4554. Ted St. Antoine got the message and now he's telling you one: "S.S.D.T.I. put money in my pocket and meat on my table. It can do the same for you!"

Students Ousted

Cont. from Page 1

student organizations will again be faced with this dilemma as the Copy Center, Xerox room and the staff lounge will displace the rest of the offices in the corridor. At this point however, Dean Pierce feels that such moves are remote.
**STATE v. U**

Student, J.; This is an appeal from a criminal conviction for murder in the first degree. There is no dispute about the facts, the defendant is just asking for a holding that on the facts he is not guilty of murder in the first degree. We quote from the confession of the defendant.

"Honest, I didn't mean to do it! I don't know what came over me. I guess I just couldn't take it anymore. Let me start at the beginning.

"I was just starting law school and they said I had him for criminal law. I figured that was terrific! Here I get one of the most respected men in the field for an instructor. If I had only known then what I know now. I would have dropped out and become a garbage collector.

"At first he was entertaining. You know, his hollering and everything, it kept you awake in the morning. But even then I think I may have known that I wasn't going to make it. He would never look anybody right in the eye. It was as if he were afraid that he would have to start arguing fair if he got to know us.

"I first started to crack when he started telling those jokes. I tell you that man was sick. 'We're all in the same boat' and 'I don't want any part of it but pass me a leg'. Or else he would constantly repeat something over and over, like 'Who shall choose, Who shall choose?'.

"You know I was raised as a good Catholic. Really. You know, I still bow my head every time I hear the name 'Jesus'. But one day I knocked myself out in his class. He said 'Jesus Christ' so many times I kept banging my head into the desk.

"It was getting so bad that I started to space out in his class, you know, meditate, to try and keep myself calm. One day I was finally starting to find inner peace, getting it all together, when this big, heavy beer mug went crashing into the oil lamp I had bought on sale for my mother. It was a good thing that I had put the fire out, otherwise I would have been a goner!

"Anyway, I was walking across the quad when I saw him going the opposite way. I looked him in the face, steeling myself to say hello, and he didn't even look at me. He wouldn't even look at me. Who did he think he was anyway? It was then that it happened. My mind just went. I knew what I was doing, I didn't want to stop, but it didn't seem wrong.

"I hit him over the head with my LaFave & Scott hornbook and dragged him into my room in the club. I tied him up with typewriter ribbon and stuffed his mouth with a Gilbert's. Then I plucked his sideburns out, hair by hair by hair (sobs as the affiant broke down).

"When they finally found me, he didn't have a hair on his head. They told me he must have died hours before from the pain. That is how it happened.'"

We hold that the objective test applies here, the reasonable man test. Accordingly we hold the defendant is innocent of any crime since even though he would not have been able to withstand the cruel taunts of a normal law professor, let alone one who seems to have reached new depths of professorial "skill".

All Justices Concur!

---

**RES INDIGESTAE**

Tuesday, March 21
Lunch: Hamburger, Souffle with Fruit Sauce
Dinner: Spaghetti, Braised Short Ribs

Wednesday, March 22
Lunch: Hamburger Creole, Chicken Salad Plate
Dinner: Hungarian Beef Goulash, Fried Perch

Thursday, March 23
Lunch: Hamburger Stroganoff, French Toast and Sausage
Dinner: London Broil, Chicken Breast Savoyarde

Friday, March 24
Lunch: Pizza, Fish Sandwich
Dinner: Stuffed Fruited Pork Chops, Veal Paprika

Saturday, March 25
Lunch: Fried Eggs, Fried Turkey Patty on Bun
Dinner: Roast Lamb, Fish Almondtine

Sunday, March 26
Brunch: EASTER SUNDAY BUFFET

Monday, March 27
Lunch: Chicken Rissoto, Hot Dogs
Dinner: Lasagna, Fried Perch

Tuesday, March 28
Lunch: Omelet and Bagel, Baked Beef and Macaroni
Dinner: Roast Pork, Beef Chow Mein