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A Reply To The "Bakke Challenge"

By Perry Hooks

The Res Gestae printed an editorial in its February 26, 1979 issue which examined, in an indirect manner, an important aspect of "current" American thought: race relation in America. The editorial, entitled "Law Admission--Bakke Challenge," contends first, that the "compelling" state interest and goal for an affirmative action program at the Law School is to remedy "past discrimination and the lack of minority representation in the bar"; second, that these goals are inappropriate because "rather than concentrating on discrimination today, they focus on discrimination in the past"; and finally, that a "sufficiently compelling" goal is that of compensating persons for "inequality of opportunity", specifically suggesting that urban and ethnic poor, the physically handicapped and immigrants should also be comprised in the affirmative action plan. The author of the "Bakke Challenge" article concedes that the goals of a race-oriented affirmative action program have never been explained to him. Despite that significant analytical handicap, the editorial announces that the "goals need to be rethought."

This reply will focus on three points. First, a race-oriented affirmative action plan is "compelled" in order to increase minority representation in the bar and to assure a diverse student body at this law school. Second, discrimination in the bar is not just a problem of the past. Finally, it is detrimental to the goal of "equality of opportunity" to claim that the "suggested" groups are also a "minority".

(Continued on Page 10)

Child Abuse Is Item At Gov's Confab

DETROIT--A provision of Michigan's Child Protection Law requiring social workers and other professionals to report suspected instances of child abuse or neglect has led to confusion on the question of confidential communication between client and professional, according to a University of Michigan child advocacy specialist.

Addressing the Michigan Governor's Conference on the Community Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect in Detroit Thursday, March 15, Donald Duquette said counselors' statutory requirement to report suspected child abuse cases to state authorities takes priority over confidentiality guarantees.

Duquette, a lawyer heading up the Child Advocacy Clinic, noted that the 1975 Michigan Child Protection Law specifically states that such privileged or confidential communication is "abrogated" in order for treatment personnel to report child abuse cases or to present information in a child abuse civil proceeding. The only exception, he said, is confidential communication between lawyer and client.

The University's Child Advocacy Project, established in 1976, involves students and faculty of the Law School, Medical School and Social Work School in dealing with problems of child abuse and neglect. The clinical law program, which Duquette heads, is a main part of the project.

Duquette noted that, under the Michigan law, confidentiality is also waived in order for the state Child Protective Services (which has responsibility for child protection in Mich.) to gain information and assistance from other agencies and professionals in child abuse cases.

(Continued on Page 3)
NOMINATIONS FOR 'MIXER' FEW

Crispin Birnbaum reports that as of Friday, March 16, she had received only three nominations for the Jane L. Mixer Memorial Award. In one last effort to garner a complete list of the deserving students for this award, Crispin again exhorts law students to look among themselves to find those few who have done the most toward advancing the cause of social justice during the preceding year.

She further requests that all nominations for the award be made to her in writing at 307 Hutchins by this coming Wednesday, March 21, 1979. For further information and details please stop by and see Crispin.

WLSA Conference

A seminar entitled "Women and Legal Careers," sponsored by the Law School and the Women Law Students Association will be held on Saturday, March 24, from 10:00 a.m. until 1:00 p.m. The seminar will take place in Room 100 Hutchins Hall.

The purposes of the seminar are to interest women in the legal profession in general, and to attract more women to the Michigan Law School. The WLSA will be presenting information on the Law School's admission procedure and requirements, the law school experience from a woman's standpoint, career opportunities in law, and managing career and family responsibilities at the same time. There will be both professional and student panels, and opportunity for informal discussion.

The speakers will be: Dean Eklund; Erica Weiss, assistant Michigan state attorney general; Mary Bolda, research attorney, Michigan Court of Appeals; and Susan Westerman, attorney with the Detroit firm of Dykema, Gossett, Spencer, Goodnow & Trigg.

For further information on the seminar, contact Debbie Fochtman 769-8785, Susan Tukel 764-8998, or Gail Ernstien 764-8906.

All law students are invited to attend.

Moot Court Finals Set

The final round of the Henry M. Campbell Moot Court Competition will be held at 2:30 p.m., Tuesday, Apr. 3, in Room 100, Hutchins Hall, announced Roger Stetson, chairman of the 1979 Campbell Competition. The Campbell Awards Banquet will be held the same evening at 6:30 p.m. All students are welcome to attend both the final arguments and the banquet.

Presiding over the final arguments will be U.S. Supreme Court Justice Lewis F. Powell, Jr., Judge Philip W. Tone of the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals, Judge Constance Baker Motley of the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York, Dean Terrance Sandalow, and Professor John Jackson.

This year's hypothetical case was authored by Professor Jackson and explores the constitutionality of "legislative vetoes" and conflicting obligations for the United States under the 1974 Trade Act and the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. A copy of the record and problem is on reserve in the library and copies of the finalists' briefs will be on reserve March 22. A short summary of the problem and the issues will be available in Room 100 the day of the argument.

The awards banquet will be held at the Michigan League beginning at 6:30 the evening of April 3. Justice Powell will announce the decision of the court, and Judge Tone will give a brief address. Tickets for this year's banquet will be $3.50 each and will soon go on sale. (There will be a cash bar at the banquet.)

Students who participated in the quarter and semi-final rounds of the Campbell Competition are entitled to complimentary tickets to the Banquet. These tickets may be picked up from Roger Stetson in Room 343 Hutchins.
The major thrust of the act, said Duquette, is to ensure that instances of child abuse or neglect are reported to Protective Services and that action is taken which is in the best interests of the child.

Duquette, who has been studying the confidentiality question under a grant from the Washtenaw County Coordinating Council for Children at Risk and the Michigan Office of Criminal Justice Programs, offered the following suggested guideline for professionals treating persons involved in suspected child abuse:

"Information must be shared with Protective Services, regardless of privilege, if it is directly related to inadequate mental or physical care received by a child suspected of being abused or neglected."

But there are some limitations, he suggested.

In a psychotherapy session, for example, such intimate matters as "the patient's relationship with parents, early adolescence, sexual relationships and current sexual dysfunction may all have been revealed to the therapist in addition to the admission of child abuse or neglect. If revealing the other information is not directly related to suspected child abuse and neglect, it seems that privilege would not be abrogated as to the secondary information."

Unlike public agencies, however, private agencies and professionals have no statutory mandate to enlist supportive services of other agencies or to bring matters into court, Duquette intimated.

"Therefore, unless private agencies and professionals actually suspect child abuse and neglect—in which case they must report that information to the department—their duty to preserve the privacy and confidence of their clients remains intact."

Duquette further explained: "Private agencies and professionals may be entitled to widen the circle of confidence to include professionals or community programs consulted for the benefit of the clients. The consultants, however, are bound by the same legal duty to preserve client confidences and to respect client privacy as is the original agency or professional.

"Coordinating scarce community resources is a serious problem in the area of child abuse and neglect. Appreciation of the legal ability to consult with others for the benefit of the client may improve services to families by allowing professionals and agencies to talk together more freely about client needs."

(U.M.I.S.)

Collaboration Needed To Protect Children

DETROIT--In dealing with cases of child abuse and neglect, many community professionals strive to strengthen family life by providing counseling services to families under stress.

Such professional consultation—including a collaborative evaluation by legal, medical and behavioral specialists—is especially important in deciding whether a child is to remain with his own family or be placed in a foster home, a group of child advocacy workers from Michigan argued Thursday. They spoke before the Governor's Conference on the Community Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect, being held March 15-17 at the Renaissance Center.

Too often throughout the state, such consultation with specialists prior to long-term foster placement or institutionalization of the child does not take place, said social worker Marjorie Ziefert, an instructor in the U-M Child Advocacy Project.

"Despite passage of the 1975 Michigan Child Protection Law which requires multi-disciplinary consultative services in child abuse and neglect cases, most decisions to remove a child from the home environment continue to be made independently by workers of the Protective Services Division of the Michigan Department of Social Services," said Ziefert.

In many Michigan communities, these workers are typically college graduates
with only a smattering of specialized training in social work, psychology or other social sciences, noted Ziefert. "A foster home placement is a difficult decision for them to make on their own. Such pressures probably account for the turnover rate of between 50 and 100 percent for Protective Services workers across the state each year."

The same situation is true for most workers responsible for children in foster homes, noted Don Duquette, the director of the Law School Child Advocacy Clinic. "Without much training beyond the bachelor's degree, foster care workers typically have a very high turnover rate. This means that the pattern of unstable personal relationships in the child's homelife is likely to be repeated because of frequent staff changes," he said.

"In many cases, we remove children from an unstable home situation only to place them in a series of foster homes. Movement from foster home to foster home may be equally destructive."

But Ziefert and Duquette emphasized that it is difficult to generalize about child abuse and neglect cases. With some children it could be a life and death matter to remove them from their natural home, while in other cases there may be more gained by keeping the child in his natural home and initiating a therapy program for the entire family, they said.

In addition to Ziefert and Duquette, other members of the U-M Child Advocacy Project serving as conference panelists were: Psychologist Lucetta Stern, Child Psychologist Ann Thompson, Pediatrician Kimberly Miller, and Social Worker Judith Stone, who serves as coordinator of the Child Advocacy Project.

Established in 1976 under a grant from the Harry A. and Margaret D. Towsley Foundation of Ann Arbor, the Child Advocacy Project involves students and faculty in the law, medical and social work schools in an interdisciplinary approach to the nationwide problem of child abuse and neglect.

Reasons for the absence of backup support from a variety of agencies and specialists in child abuse cases range from artificial barriers between the disciplines and an absence of funding, according to Ziefert and Duquette.

Under Michigan's 1975 Child Protection Law, many professions were required to report suspected cases of child abuse and neglect when they had "reasonable cause" for such a suspicion. As a result, the number of cases handled by the Division of Protective Services has skyrocketed, noted the U-M workers.

But other provisions of the law--calling for a multidisciplinary approach in dealing with the cases, and outlining continuing education seminars for Protective Services staff, probate staff, and members of private agencies--have not been carried out because state budget officials have claimed a shortage of funds, they said.

But Ziefert stressed that early intervention and effective treatment in such cases could pay off in the long run, reducing the need for special education programs, rehabilitation centers for juvenile delinquents and other agencies that are likely to serve abused children as they grow to adulthood.

"Statistics have shown that abused children are more likely to grow up to become abusive parents, and this further underlines the need for effective early treatment," said Ziefert.

Another mandate of the state Act is to preserve family life whenever possible, said Ziefert and Duquette. Among other groups helping with problems of child abuse and neglect are lawyers, social workers, psychiatrists, pediatricians and psychologists, according to the U-M group.

(U.M.I.S.)

Need Help

The Financial Aid Office has announced that applications for financial aid for 1979/80 are now available outside Room 308 Hutchins Hall.

$¢$¢$¢
The Michigan Law Review and the Journal of Law Reform are sponsoring a Joint Writing Competition for admission to the editorial staffs of the publications for the 1979-80 academic year.

Eligibility

All first-year students and 1978 "summer starters" currently enrolled at the University of Michigan Law School are eligible for the competition. Students who have completed only one year of law study and who have been away from the Law School during the 1978-79 academic year, either on leave of absence or on a joint study program in the graduate school, and who have not previously entered the Writing Competition, are also eligible.

Dates of Competition

The Writing Competition will begin on Monday March 19, 1979 and end at 5:00 PM on Monday, June 4, 1979. Entrants may choose any three-week period between those dates.

Composition of the Editorial Staffs:

What Are the Odds for the Competition?

The Review will invite approximately 30 members of the class of 1981 to join the staff in August 1979. At least 16 members will be chosen based upon first-year grades; the Review estimates that three to six members will be chosen based upon the Writing Competition, with the exact number to be determined by the strength of the entries. Last year, 103 first-year students initially entered the Competition, and 58 submitted papers. Six students joined the Review solely on the basis of their Writing Competition entries; 22 others joined on the basis of their first-year grades.

The Journal will extend invitations to members of the 1978-79 entering class on the basis of either a Writing Competition paper or some other legal writing sample such as a Case Club memo or brief. The Journal will accept only one entry from each student. The Case Club materials must be submitted by 9AM, May 14, 1979. Joint Writing Competition materials must be submitted in accordance with the rules of the competition. Last year, of the 66 juniors offered a position on the Journal staff, 26 were invited to join on the basis of their Writing Competition papers.

Each publication will judge the Writing Competition entries and issue invitations independently. Writers who are invited to join both publications will be asked to choose between the two.

Procedure

There are three topics from which to choose. Accompanying each topic description will be a list of principle sources which have been placed on reserve in the Law Library. The entrant will be allowed 24 hours in which to select one of the three topics for his or her paper.

Papers will be due three weeks from the date of receiving the topics, or at 5:00 PM on June 4, whichever is earlier. (Note that a topic must be selected no later than May 14 in order to allow three full weeks for research and writing.)

Topics will be available from Rodney Martin in Room 412 Hutchins Hall between 10:00 AM and 11:00 AM, Monday through Friday, and at other times by special arrangement.

Students who will not be in Ann Arbor on the date they would like their three-week period to begin should leave a stamped, self-addressed envelope with Rodney Martin so topics can be mailed. Mailed-in entries must be postmarked on their due dates—again, no later than 5:00 PM on June 4. Registered or return-receipt mail is suggested—neither the Review nor the Journal will accept responsibility for papers lost in the mail.

Evaluation

Primary emphasis will be placed upon the writing and analytic ability...
demonstrated by the entry. No premium will be placed upon the quantity of sources—we have sought to put all useful sources on reserve, although it is possible that a few were unintentionally omitted.

Format of Entries
Papers should be styled in the form of a law review Note, not in the form of a memo or brief. Any recent issue of the Law Review or the Journal should provide an adequate example of the desired form. Copies of successful papers from 1978 are on file in Room 412.

Please observe these rules in preparing your papers:
1. 12 page suggested maximum on text. No more should be necessary to deal with the topics in the time allowed.
2. 8 1/2” by 11” paper
3. Double-space typed
4. Margins:
   Left: 1 1/2”
   Right, top and bottom: 1”
5. Attach footnotes separately. Please do not type them on the text pages. Footnote pages do not count toward the twelve page maximum.
6. Put the entry number received at the time of topic selection on your paper.
7. Submit two copies of the paper to the Review in Room 412, and four copies to the Journal in Room 731.

Questions
If there are any further questions, please contact Rodney Martin (764-0542) or Jane Helppie (763-2195).

Representatives of the Review and the Journal will attend Case Club meetings in the near future to discuss the publications and the Writing Competition.
As published in the R.G. earlier this term, the Law School has switched its billing procedure for the xeroxed copies of materials which students receive in various classes (handouts, syllabi, etc.). In an attempt to answer some of the many questions which have arisen regarding this new procedure, the administration has issued the following notice.

- The new system went into effect on January 29, 1979.
- We hope that it will continue from now on, i.e. it is not merely an experiment.
- The new system is designed to provide the most equitable distribution (cost-wise) of xeroxed materials possible. You will pay for exactly what is available to you instead of paying a lump sum each term for general materials and instead of a maximum for any one course with xeroxed materials rather than a casebook.
- We will charge you for materials available (quite different potentially from materials received) for all the courses in which you are registered each term (at the end of the third week of class).
- You will be charged 2 1/2¢ per impression (1 page both sides = 5¢).
- Students will not have to pay the usual registration copy center fee ($15 for fall and winter, and $5 for summer) each term. You will be able to pick up your election sheets and registration materials without having to first pay the Law School fee over at the LS&A.
- We will keep track of each student’s individual "account" in a ledger by first entering the $15 fee paid for Winter Term 1979. Then, we will subtract the costs for materials available for each course in which that student was registered as of 1/29/79.
- We will make these cost calculations for course materials after the third week of classes at the beginning of each term (1/29 this term).
- This date is after the official drop/add period is over. Any drop/add activity which occurs after this date will not affect the copy center fees.
- Certain courses make available a great deal of materials while other courses offer none. If you have a complaint regarding the number of xeroxed pages (and therefore the cost) made available to you, you may wish to discuss this with your professors.
- Because you will no longer be paying the $15 fee, students must "balance their accounts" with us each term from now on. A staff member with the ledger will collect amounts owed for the prior term shortly after each new term begins (amounts owed for Winter Term 1979 will be collected in June or October, 1979, depending on whether a student next enrolls in the summer or fall term). Students will be told how much they owe for the previous term's materials. If a student owes money, she/he will be instructed to write a check at that time to balance the account. We cannot accept cash. (Ed. Note--I think that if the School refuses to accept legal tender in satisfaction of this obligation it will relieve further tender obligation though previously owed by the student. Check your U.C.C., Chapter 2.)
- If few materials have been made available, a student might not have used up all the money in his/her account. In this case, the "excess" money will remain in the student's account and be carried over as a credit to the next term's calculations.
- If a student fails to balance his/her account at the designated time each term, we will notify the student that she/he has failed to do so. If the student continues to be remiss, we reserve the right to cancel that student's registration (as the Univ. does generally for amounts owed).
- Graduating students or students who otherwise permanently leave the school will be billed or reimbursed for amounts in excess of $10 only. Amounts less than $10 will be considered to be our profit or loss, or conversely, your profit or loss. Students completing their final term should therefore leave a forwarding address with the Registrar, 304 HH, which we will keep in you file.
- The $5 LSSS fee, which used to be included in the $20 fee, will also be billed each term when the student balances his/her account.
I had dinner with a friend at the Fleetwood Diner the other night. The Fleetwood, in case you've never bothered to venture more than a block away from the Law Quad, is nothing if not an Ann Arbor institution. It's the ultimate greasy spoon, located in what I'm pretty sure is a genuine old railroad car, replete with electric yellow and chrome decor, a counter with real swivel stools, a menu posted on the wall, superb chili burgers and corned beef hash that approaches the legendary. The virtues of the Fleetwood aside, it's really sort of a dive, which made it a somewhat inappropriate place for (at least, part of) our dinner conversation. We talked about good manners. Not superfluous minutiae from Emily Post--like a gentleman's obligation to rise when a "lady" enters the room, or which fork to use for your tomatoes in aspic, or the proper attire for an afternoon garden wedding (in case you're wondering, it's dove grey cutaway and waistcoat, striped trousers, and white tie)--but the very basic social graces. The common element of respect we expect from one another, but more often than not, don't receive.

Rudeness runs rampant these days. We're all guilty of it. It's not only at the Law School, where you assume people should know better but act worse anyway. It's everywhere. You own a foreign car which you must have serviced. Naturally, there's only one such dealer within a fifty-mile radius and he claims he's got to order the part specially from someplace like Marienbad--and of course it will take from three to six weeks and you must pay in advance. "Take it or leave it, kid," he sneers.

Or you wait twenty five minutes in line at a window at the LS&A Building, only to get to the front of the line where the girl on the other side of the iron bars tells you that you've been waiting at the wrong window and it's too bad but she can't process that form for you and she doesn't care how long you've been waiting. Interminable lines, surly bureaucrats and monopolistic businessmen--they're all part of some grand scheme of de-humanization.

"Don't members of the 'Me' generation have any sense of common decency?" my friend asked. She was getting a little upset about this and I tried to soothe her somewhat, but as I went on I realized I was getting a little upset myself. Minor acts of rudeness and insensitivity shouldn't even be enough to spoil one's day, not to say throw one's life out of kilter. But you reach a point at which you're not exactly mad at anybody anymore but you're pretty pissed off just the same. You begin to wonder whether people ever really were pleasant as a rule, or if that concept, too, isn't just a fabrication of the "Me" generation critics trying to make us feel as if we invented selfishness and egomania. Maybe not, but it certainly looks as if the current craze for personal fulfillment and advancement has become so all-encompassing that we're turning into zombies.

My friend told me I'd just been reading too much stuff about messianic cult leaders and submission to authority. But that's not what I'm talking about, I told her. I haven't even seen "The Body Snatchers." I'm just deploring the fact that the world is generally peopled by self-aggrandizing pompous cretins who don't have a shred of respect for someone else's humanity until the latter has proven himself "worthy". (Trial by fire or ordeal, perhaps?) My dinner companion and I couldn't find the slightest justification for that sort of world view, but clearly and unfortunately it's become extremely fashionable to project such an attitude. This realization depressed us; we're both pretty obsessed with being fashionable, but why do you have to be an asshole to be chic?

We lingered over our remaining french fries and coffee for a while longer, not saying much. The Fleetwood is awfully nice about lingering; the waitress will come and fill your cup a thousand times if you can drink that much coffee. Ultimately, I paid the checks, helped my friend out of her seat and into her coat, opened the door for her, and we left. (Let no one accuse this fellow of poor
When we got to the car I told my friend we were being ridiculous. We could worry about far more vital issues: double-digit inflation, the impending Ice Age, the proliferation of nuclear warheads, long-term effects of oral contraceptives, the heartbreak of psoriasis. Bad manners and disrespect are less pressing problems but they're far more ubiquitous, and, more important, they can be easily remedied if we just work at it. It's a snap, really. All we have to do is start acting like human beings.

So who says simplistic answers aren't the best? Sometimes, however, they're just not as simple as they sound.

---

**Bridge Problem**

"So what?" bellowed Yale Kamisar in reply to the challenge put forth by the young faculty member. "Everybody knows I'm the best at everything: tennis, bridge, everything!"

Gerry Rosberg wasn't sure whether such a contest would fail because of substantive or procedural problems, but a referee (Beverley Pooley) was found and a place (Room 200) was located.

"I could fit it in my schedule," declared Luke Cooperrider. Lee Bollinger jumped at the opportunity to be the fourth, exclaiming, "Bridge really is quite metaphysical."

And so the match began. The first hand was:

**Yale**

- AKQ7
- KQ74
- AKQJ3

**Lee**

- J103
- J9
- 852
- AJ863

**Gerry**

- 2
- 6
- 1076
- KQ1097542

**Luke**

- 98654
- A108532
- 94

What appeared to be a sure grand slam for North-South turned out to be a disaster. Why did South pass 7 clubs?

"God dammit, Luke, bidding clubs three times doesn't mean I have clubs! The first club bid was artificial showing a strong tow-bid. The next two times were cue bids."

"I suppose you might be right," exclaimed Luke, "but doesn't the bid of an opponent's suit twice expose a Psych?"

At this point Pooley suggested, "How about a game of Hearts?"

Next week: Kamisar finds out an end play doesn't have to deal with homicide!
A REPLY TO THE BAKKE CHALLENGE (From Page 1)

Under Bakke, if an affirmative action program uses race as a factor, that usage is permissible when it can be shown that such a race-conscious program is needed to improve or increase the delivery of services to citizens who have not been effectively served. Justice Powell criticized the University of California at Davis for their failure to present evidence on this point. The goal of meeting diverse community needs was recognized as a permissible objective of affirmative action programs. Though members of the bar are supposed to serve all citizens, there is a marked tendency for service not to be distributed in an equal manner. Notably, minority communities have not been adequately served. Also, clients seek attorneys who they trust and who they feel will be more sympathetic to their legal and personal needs. Without minority members of the bar there is an understandable perception that the legal system is designed to suppress or at least not fully consider minority views as to what the law should be. It is of "compelling" importance to have minority attorneys who will facilitate the expression of minority community views in legal institutions such as the courts and legislatures, rather than have the communities express their feelings in the streets.

According to the "Bakke Challenge" article, meeting the needs of minority communities was the only justification for an affirmative action program at the Law School. However, Dean Sandalow's guidelines on admissions were printed on the front page of the same Res Gestae issue. Those guidelines suggest that along with increased representation in the profession, another goal of the affirmative action program is to provide a diverse student body so as to enhance the educational environment of the Law School. Justice Powell strongly stated in his opinion that diversity "clearly is a constitutionally permissible goal." He further stated that diversity is not only desirable, but that it is justified by the First Amendment, as well as the Fourteenth Amendment.

Having racial minorities in law school furthers the goal of an increased ability of law students, minority and non-minority, to understand, not just observe, other people with different perspectives, attitudes and backgrounds. The ability to communicate is also facilitated. Affirmative action is not a failure if some, or even all, black students, for instance, do not end up practicing "corporate" law. The ultimate goal of affirmative action, whether it be called "social engineering" or not, is to put racial minorities in a position where they too have an equal opportunity to participate in the American mainstream, without stigma.

Nor should we forget the context of affirmative action in Bakke. The "Bakke Challenge" article says that by adding immigrants and other to the affirmative action program, it will become "sufficiently compelling." The compelling interest test in Bakke was necessary only because race was a factor in admissions. Having the affirmative action program include the "suggested" non-racial groups would have little legal significance in determining whether the school has shown a compelling interest as racial groupings have been deemed subjects of strict scrutiny.

Of greater concern is the position of the "Bakke Challenge" article that discrimination in the bar is a thing of the past. As was pointed out in Bakke, in 1977, blacks, though representing 11.5% of the population, represent only 1.2% of the legal profession. Just next door, Wayne State Law School is currently in court because of its treatment of minority students. There are also several suits pending nationwide challenging state bar associations for discriminatory practices in their administration of bar examinations. The "Bakke Challenge" article might be right in taking the position that discrimination in the legal profession is a thing of the past, however, the statistics and the current litigation should make one critically examine that position.

(Continued on Page 11)
A REPLY TO THE "BAKKE CHALLENGE"
(Continued from Pages 1 and 10)

As its last point, the "Bakke Challenge" article suggested that the Law School's affirmative action program should concentrate on "inequality of opportunity." That is a laudable goal to seek and one that everyone should believe in.

Unfortunately, race continues to determine opportunities in America today, not just yesterday. Race is not, for instance, a superficial explanation for a "deeper" social problem such as poverty. A middle class Black at this law school faces many of the same problems as an "economically poor" Black at this law school, solely by virtue of being Black. In most social and professional interactions, the shade of Black is not changed by the amount of green, either before, during or after law school.

There is also inequality of opportunity for people such as the "urban poor." However, it is not proper for those groups to seek special admissions on the basis of some compelling interest because those groups have not been groups subject to stigma and to treatment that minority groups have received and continue to receive in America. For this reason, applicants such as those who could be described as "urban poor" should be considered for their contribution to the law school environment, as are all students, recognizing their special circumstances, but their circumstances do not compel "extraordinary" efforts as does the need to increase the numbers of minority students in American law schools.

"BAKKE" STORY JUST HURT

Nowadays, as demonstrated in a pre-spring vacation R.G. article, it is popular for many nonminority persons to tout the Bakke "reverse racism" credo. One can see a distinct correlation between the economic contraction of the 1970's that reduced the nation's resource pie and the inelegant retreat from a national commitment of rectifying inequality via affirmative action programs.

This retreat is punctuated by the hue and cry among neoconservative circles of "Enough! It should not be a liability to be white when being considered for professional school." On the gut level this sentiment may seem very endearing to many members of the majority. Some feel the need to cloak their resentment of affirmative action through sociological theories of benign neglect and of the inability to "socialize" the urban black masses, genetic theory of intelligence, or nice legalisms of reverse discrimination. Whatever the rationalization—for it is just that—behind this position, I submit that it is glib, specious and a travesty of justice.

It is glib, for those who never were really comfortable with the idea of elevating the black, red, brown or yellow man to the same status as the white can latch on to a plausible, seemingly objective argument for insulating their dominant role in society. It is specious for a number of reasons: 1) Most affirmative action programs were half-heartedly carried out so that minorities were still represented substantially below their percentage of the population; those who entered the programs constitute a drop in the bucket; 2) Many of those accepted were programmed to fail because of their severely inadequate educational backgrounds. Thus, some of the majority felt they could say, "See, we gave them a chance, and they blew it."; 3) Contrary to popular belief, when many schools started their affirmative action programs, they increased the number of class seats to accommodate the minorities. Therefore, the seats available to white students did not significantly decline (and many of those white students who

CASINO NIGHT

The Law Spouses Association is sponsoring their Second Annual Law School Casino Night, Saturday, March 24 at 8:30 p.m. in the Lawyers' Club Lounge.

It will be a night on the house (i.e. free) with beer being served and prizes being raffled off. They do need help running the card tables and various gaming machines. The jobs may be compensated. 971-8761.

(Continued on Page 12)
ANOTHER REBUTTAL TO "BAKKE CHALLENGE"

(From Page 11)

feel they did not get in to the school of their choice would not have been admitted in the first place); 4) Three-hundred plus years of oppression, cultural extirpation, and exclusion from the mainstream of society have had a cumulative debilitating effect on Black persons, one which cannot be wiped out overnight. It should be added that this has affected all segments of Black America. Middle class status has not liberated those who were lucky enough to achieve it. Their life is made easier, in terms of material acquisition, but they as much as their less fortunate kin must bear upon birth the immediate presumption of inferiority that the majority has placed upon them; 5) The stigma that some are wont to say is attached to those admitted under affirmative action programs is more a projection of those claiming such rather than those who are its supposed object. One cannot be stigmatized unless he accepts the negative attribute. (The Jews did not acquiesce in the stigma that the Nazis placed upon them, so why should other minorities?) Whatever presumptions existing in the mind of some within the majority is irrelevant, so long as there are concrete gains in minority representation; 6) For those who see Bakke as a convenient way to question the Law School's affirmative action program in terms of its criteria. I have this to say, as one friend of mine put it, "It might make them feel better if we demonstrate a "disadvantage" by wearing a loin cloth or rolling up in a wheelchair. Finally, it is a travesty of justice to emasculate the affirmative action programs as long as there is a wide income gap between Blacks and whites with the same level of education. In fact, the average white male who has not finished high school earns more than the average Black who has. The day when there is no longer a marked absence of higher echelon Black employees in business, academia and government is the day that affirmative action will become an anachronism. Until then our school and country needs a climate of understanding, compassion, and sincerity, or we will be doomed to repeat history.

--G.A. Finch

NEXT REVIEW BOARD TAPPED

The Michigan Law Review is pleased to announce the Editorial Board for Volume 78 of the Review:

Editor-In-Chief
Jeffrey Lehman
Managing Editor
Rodney Martin
Administrative Editor
Deborah Dakin
Article and Executive Editors
David Dasef
Tillman Lowry Lay
Keith Wetmore
Project and Research Editor
Richard Layman

Note Editors
Stephen Foley
James Holzhauer
Thomas Porter
Kevin Russell
David Wiechert
Senior Editors
David Foltyn
Michael Keeley
Jill Merkovitz
Stewart Schwab
Peter Shinevar

The Review noted that the positions are conditional upon the students finishing their Notes.
Amnesty Internat’l

It isn’t well-known in this country that there are thousands of political prisoners in Latin America, many of whom are suffering from torture and other atrocities. Even among those who do know of the existence of these oppressed persons, the usual attitude is, "What can I do about it?"

Amnesty International is one group that has been quite effective in aiding these prisoners. This organization, which won the Nobel Peace Prize in 1977, is an independent movement working to secure the release of prisoners of conscience worldwide. The chapter in Ann Arbor focuses on the prisoners in Latin America.

The situation is grim in most Latin American countries. Military coups (often accomplished with U.S. aid, e.g. Chile) have frequently put into power repressive leaders who make no pretense of encouraging—or even allowing—basic human freedoms. The opposition is often dealt with by abduction, frequently followed by torture and/or death.

In Argentina, for example, it is estimated that 15,000 persons have "disappeared" (abduction with no acknowledgement by the authorities) since the military coup of March, 1976. There is considerable evidence that victims of these abductions were tortured, and many are now presumed dead. Many victims have been lawyers who have defended political prisoners, and some have been journalists who have publicized their plight.

Human rights violations have escalated as well, in Columbia, where more than 2000 were arrested in Bogota in early January; in El Salvador, where clergy, peasants, and opposition leaders are the most frequent victims; and Guatemala, where Amnesty estimates that 20,000 have died since 1966 at the hands of paramilitary groups which function with tacit government support. The governments of Chile, Nicaragua, and Uruguay are among the worst human rights violators.

Through letter writing campaigns to officials of these countries, Amnesty members work to gain the freedom of "prisoners of conscience" and to establish humane treatment for all prisoners.

While recognizing that letter writing does not attack the root of the evil, the group's focus is emergency aid, and its philosophy is that international public opinion can be decisive in winning freedom for the victims of undemocratic regimes. The letters are effective. Since Amnesty's formation in 1961 more than 13,000 prisoners have been released worldwide through its efforts.

The time commitment for members of Amnesty International is minimal—perhaps one hour per week. There are no meetings. Every member receives an information packet weekly, and then personally writes three or four letters.

Any faculty, staff, or students who are interested in becoming participating members should contact David White (994-9324) or Mary Hendriksen (995-4720).

(M. Hendriksen)

Journal Board Announced

The Michigan Journal of Law Reform has announced its Editorial Board for 1979-80. Taking over control of the publication will be Philip H. Hecht, editor-in-chief elect.

Jane E. Helppie was named to the managing editor's position, while Jonathan Golomb and Andrew Miller were named as administrative editors. Two Executive Articles Editors were announced--David Rabin in charge of the Student Notes and Katharine Rodriguez managing the Faculty Articles.

Harold Hickok, Bryan Lesser, Lenell Nussbaum, Alan Perry, and Philip Schradle were all named to Note Editor posts. Jon Brenner was appointed to be the Research and Development Editor.
WLSA NEWS

At the weekly lunch this Tuesday, Jean King will speak on the recent Athletics Discrimination case involving Michigan State University. Everyone is welcome--please come. Bring your lunch or buy one at the Lawyers' Club. It's this Tuesday, March 20, in the Faculty Dining Room at Noon.

The WLSA recruitment conference is this Saturday, March 24, from 10 a.m. until 1 p.m. in Room 100 Hutchins Hall. WLSA expects a big turnout, thanks to the super planning and publicity job of Deb Fochtman and several other women. If anyone is interested in helping, call Deb Fochtman, or just show up Saturday morning to either help or give your support to the Conference. Also, please be sure to tell any undergraduates you know who may be interested in attending law school to be sure to come.

The National Women and the Law Conference is being held in San Antonio, Texas, March 29 through April 1. It is possible that WLSA will be able to fund some women who would be interested in attending this conference. For more information, please see the WLSA board in the basement of Hutchins Hall. Also, if you interested in attending, call Jan Hartwell immediately (994-5532).

Finally, since WLSA has voted to continue, and has elected an enthusiastic group of officers, we now need your ideas for next year's activities and projects. Therefore, we are holding a wine and cheese "Brainstorming" party this Wednesday afternoon, March 21, at 3:30 p.m. We would really like your ideas so we can begin compiling a calendar and proposing a budget for next year. Spread the word and drag a friend to the meeting--everyone is welcome.

(V. Lafer)

Don't miss the opportunity of the year! The CHATEAU (a furnished 5 bedroom palace) is now accepting applications from potential summer residents. (whole house or single rooms) Call 662-7149 TODAY.

JURY JOBS

Want to be a juror--you'll never be one once you become a lawyer!

The Clinical Law program is looking for law students, law spouses, normals, and others (significant or otherwise), to sit as jurors in simulated criminal trials. Steve Pepe, who heads up the clinical program, urgently stressed the problems of making the clinic a real-life simulation when students must argue their cases to an empty jury box. Noting that it is much easier to be a juror than it is to be prepared for the next day's classes, Pepe asked for law students to "please volunteer to judge your colleagues--come to the Moot Court Room on Thursday, March 22, at 3:30 p.m. and/or on Thursday, March 29, at 3:30 p.m."

The jurors are needed until about 6 p.m. for each session.

ERRATA

Before Spring Break the R.G. ran a story entitled "Admissions Policy Reviewed" which contained some data inadvertently transposed during its compiling.

The table showing the averages for the entering students in 1978 should have read as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1978 AVERAGES</th>
<th>LSAT</th>
<th>G.P.A.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Hard Data&quot; Acceptees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--Michigan resident</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>3.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--Non-resident</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>3.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Pool&quot; Acceptees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--Michigan resident</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>3.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--Non-resident</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>3.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOR SALE: Two Marantz Imperial 6 G (8" - 2 way) speakers, $55 each (orig. list: $120 each). Call 764-8940 or leave note at R.G. office.

FOR SALE: Light green plush shag rug, 6' x 9', $30. Call 764-8940 or leave note at R.G. office.
The Inter-American Bar Association is sponsoring a writing competition for law students for the Edwin D. Ford Memorial Award. The award includes a cash prize of $300 for the best paper submitted by a student on one of the following topics:

1. Ownership, use and protection of the continental shelf;
2. Human rights and their protection in the American countries;
3. Legal Aspects and responsibilities of nations in supporting environmental protection.

The requirements for the papers are:
A. It must be not longer than 4500 words in length;
B. It must be written in English, Spanish, Portuguese or French;
C. The deadline is July 15, 1979.

Anyone interested in further information or details should contact Dean Sandalow's office.

---

CONSTRUCTION QUICKIES

As you might have guessed, not all the noisy demolition work that was to have been done in the library over spring break was finished while we were out of town. The sounds you can hear now are the jackhammers cutting a stairway from the existing reading room into the new library. (Things could get drafty if they don't get a roof over the hole before they knock out the wall to the reading room.)

- The Copy Center was closed last week because of the dust created by the removal of the basement ceiling. There was a concern that the machines would clog, but now that the work is done, the air has cleared, and operations are back to normal.
- They are pouring cement in the pit again now that the weather has warmed up.
- They've decided to patch that bumpy stretch in the sidewalk in front of the library with cement too. (Seems they're getting a little carried away with cement pouring now that the weather lets them do it again.) But the cement patch is only temporary. There are plans to replace all the flagstones when the construction traffic through the Quad is finished. (1990?)
- The subcontractor is having a few financial problems, and in any case the weight limits are now on the Ann Arbor streets, so it looks like we're stuck with our mini-Mount Cook for a while longer.
- In recognition of the heavy use the pay phones in the basement of the library get, Bell Telephone plans to install two new charge-a-call only phones next to the R.G. office. Evidently there are enough people contacting the outside world from the library that Bell thinks this will be a good way to relieve the congestion.

---

Polly Latovick
STILLWAGON IS NEW HEAD OF ADMISSIONS

The Law School has announced that Allan Stillwagon will be joining the Law School as the head of admissions program on April 1, 1979. Stillwagon was appointed by Dean Sandalow to the post this past week filling a vacancy left by Roger Martindale, who recently left the School for corporate practice in Denver.

Stillwagon is currently serving as Assistant to the Director, Honors Council of the LS&A here at the "U". He received his undergraduate degree in English literature from Michigan, where he also attended the Law School and Rackham Graduate School (receiving a PhD in comparative literature). Stillwagon also received a M.A. from Columbia University.

CRYPTIC QUIZ

J = V

ROF SOLGS'F LOFXDWTRX DHL ROF NOLF DVY
ZDZZUMYFF; RY LVGJY MONY RY XRGWTRX RY
SDF DX OHLOHDZZUMYFF.

--Epitaph for a speeder.

LAST WEEK'S SOLUTION:

"You can lead a horse to water, but if you can get him to float on his back, you've got something."

-- Hartley's First Law.

Phi Delta Phi is sponsoring a drive to bolster their membership. They also intend to become co-ed.

All interested law students, male and female, are invited to attend a cocktail party at the Phid House (next to South Quad) this Tuesday, March 20 from 3 p.m. until 5 p.m.

M.S.A.

Michigan Student Assembly will hold elections for representatives and executive officers April 2, 3 and 4. As it did last year, the Law School will elect one representative. Candidate filing forms are available now in the MSA office (3rd Floor, Michigan Union) and potential candidates must file before March 22 at 4:30 p.m.

The Law School has been very fortunate this past year in obtaining MSA funding for Law School student organizations. The Law School Speakers Committee got $965, which helped it greatly in giving a stimulating lecture series. Black Law Students Alliance received $1100 to enable it to host the successful BALSA Regional Convention. Student Funded Fellowship got $265 for its start-up costs, La Raza Law Students $200 for speaker Corky Gonzales, and Feminist Legal Services $1000 to keep the organization alive after being denied funds by the Dean.

Continuation of Law School organization funding, which was a disproportionately high amount of the MSA budget, will depend upon vigorous representation.

Despite the impression I may seem to convey, MSA is more than just a source of funding. The Assembly has dealt responsibly and appropriately with the issues of the Michigan Union reorganization, the selection of a new University president, and the U Cellar labor dispute. Some issues beginning to be developed are the larger ones of the University budget, tenure procedures, and planning.

Campaign funding of $30 is available. Anyone considering the position is urged to contact me for more information. Overall, I've found my MSA tenure most rewarding.

Jeff Supowit
995-0570
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>LAW SCHOOL STUDENT SENATE BALLOT</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>President (Vote for One)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>____ Bill Smelko</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>____ Terry Calhoun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>____ Al Knauf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Write In)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Secretary (Vote for One)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>____ Brooke Schumm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Write In)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Write In)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Board of Governors</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Class of 1980 (Vote for One)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>____ Alan Walton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>____ Stanley Shapiro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Write In)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Write In)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Representative At Large</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Class of 1980 (Vote for Two)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>____ Carl Comery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>____ Jeff Strauss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>____ Jim Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>____ Kevin Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Write In)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The following are some advisory questions. Please circle your answer to each in order to guide next year's Senate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Presently any law student can be a member of the Board of Governors of the Lawyers Club. Should the student members of the Board of Governors be limited to room and board-paying Lawyers Club residents?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Presently winter term of 1980 is scheduled to begin on January 14, 1980 (after a 23 day Christmas break) and end on May 17, 1980 (one week before Memorial Day weekend). Would you prefer that it be changed so that it begins on January 7, 1980 and end on May 10, 1980?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Should the Senate amend the By-Law Constitution to allow for recall elections? (presently there is no such provision)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Should the Law School Administration consult the Lawyers Club director for authorization before using the Law Quad for construction purposes?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
STATEMENT OF JACK BUTLER
(Candidate for LSSS Treasurer)

The position of LSSS Treasurer requires competence and time. As an officer, the treasurer must represent a diverse student body, initiate programs which address students' interests and the needs of the legal profession, and act as a facilitator for peers' requests and problems. The role demands considerable commitment.

I believe that I can bring experience and innovation to the Treasurer's office. As an Orientation Program leader, a member of the Faculty-Student Curriculum Committee and through the LSSS Sports Committee, I have learned how the Law School operates, and have a sense of the need to deal with student problems consistently and promptly.

I propose several refinements in the audit and accounting functions of the LSSS Treasury, including regular updates on the status of the Treasury. Information on receipts, disbursements, monies available for projects and disposition of appropriated funds should be conspicuously posted for public perusal. The Student Funded Fellowships (SFF) should be complemented by Alumni Funded Fellowships (AFF), so that more public interest summer jobs would be feasible. Finally, the ongoing evaluation of the First Year curriculum should be continued with special emphasis on changes in the curriculum before the Class of 1983 matriculates.

People who know me realize that my approach to legal education and my perspective on Michigan Law School are somewhat untraditional. I believe that they also sense my tremendous respect for the Faculty and my classmates. Yet we must continue to review and improve, individually and as an institution. I understand governance and the importance of proposing a series of practical alternatives when suggesting changes in order to receive serious consideration by Faculty and students.

I would like to use my experience in accounting, small business administration and capital fund development to benefit the LSSS and the School. I ask for your support in the Treasurer's election, and encourage you to contact me if you have any questions or concerns about LSSS affairs that you would like to discuss.

LSSS ELECTION

The Law School Student Senate will hold elections for President, Vice President, Secretary, Treasurer, two members of the Board of Governors, and four Representatives at Large (two each from the Class of 1980 and Class of 1981), this Wednesday, March 21 from 7:30 a.m. until 6:30 p.m.

All law students, including third-year students, are eligible to vote, and all voters may vote for all posts on the ballot.

This year's ballot also contains four advisory questions which will indicate to the next Senate student opinion on several topical matters.

The polls will be open in front of Room 100 Hutchins Hall from 7:30 a.m. or thereabouts, until 4:15 p.m. After 4:30 p.m. the polls will be open in the Lawyers Club entryway until about 6:30 p.m.

Law students should bring their I.D. cards with them to the polls to enable the poll workers to check the voting lists more readily. Due to the unique "check off" system of controls, no ballot box stuffing will be allowed--nor is any anticipated.

This year the election will be under the direct supervision of L.S.S.S. President Mike Quinley. He has asked that anyone interested in helping out with the election by acting as a poll watcher/worker contact him at the LSSS Office before Tuesday night.

The R.G. has dedicated several of the following pages to campaign statements from most of the candidates. They are arranged in no particular order--so if you have a hard time finding the one written by your favorite candidate, keep looking.
DERYCK PALMER
WRITE-IN CANDIDATE
1981 BOARD OF GOVERNORS

I am a present and future resident of the law quad. As a result, I am particularly interested in capital improvement and food services. I can't see why we must wait so long at meals and why our meal cards can't be honored at the other university dining halls. I know that the answer must be money--for food services or any other proposed improvement. My service on the Housing Committee has given me some insight into where the money comes from and how much can be spent without imposing unreasonably high rents on club residents.

The vast social and recreational resource that is 'the club' should be an ever-increasing factor in the lives of all members, residents or not. I am also committed to the compilation of a law school directory and the development of a system of teacher evaluation. My name is not on the ballot now, but I urge you to WRITE IN DERYCK PALMER for the 1981 seat on the BOARD OF GOVERNORS.

TO THE STUDENT BODY:

Unfortunately, the name of the best qualified candidate for the Class of 1981 seat on the Board of Governors is not printed on Wednesday's election ballot. Were Deryck Palmer's name listed along with those of the other candidates, there would be little doubt as to the outcome. To those who know him as a fellow student and a friend, Deryck is the type of persistent and concerned person who will make an excellent representative. Others, who have had the opportunity to work with Deryck on administrative matters are certain that responsibility is safe with him.

But, as has been mentioned, the name of Deryck Palmer will not be printed on your ballot. It can and will be there when the ballots are counted if you will WRITE IT IN. Rather than bemoan the limited choice elections give

BOARD OF GOVERNORS

Charles Ryan

As one who plans to live in the Lawyer's Club for the next two years, I naturally have a stake in looking for ways in which room and board rates can be kept as low as possible for all residents. This is one of the reasons I have for running for a seat on the Board of Governors. But, I would also push for consideration suggestions for providing more student services within the Lawyer's Club itself, such as the possibility of adding copying machines and dollar-bills changers in the game room. I would also look into the possibility of turning the lounge room next to the laundry room in the Club into a kitchenette area (including an oven, a sink, cabinets for storage, etc.) so that Club members may cook and have an option to the usual dining room fare when the mood hits them.

As much as I support funding of cocktail hours and parties, as a member of the Senate, I would also like to see more LSSS funding of projects like the Alternative Practice Conference last fall, as well as for organizations such as the WISA and BALSA. In short, I would make a conscientious effort to try to represent the progressive interests of all law students as a member of the Board of Governors. I ask for your support in Wednesday's election.

you, take advantage of the opportunity this candidacy gives you to do something about it. WRITE IN DERYCK PALMER FOR THE CLASS OF 1981 SEAT ON THE BOARD OF GOVERNORS IN WEDNESDAY'S ELECTION.

Steve Zaris
Paul Leder
Gary Simon
Tom E. Clinton
Scott Smith
Carmen Lawrence
David LeMay
Joe Wackerman
Alex Dye

Don Rintelman
Michael Olmsted
Maria Perez
Edwin Meysmans
Alan Pomberton
Massimo Nicolazzi
Bruce Sanders
The Residential Committee of the Lawyers' Club
Board of Governors --1980

ALAN WALTON

As a student senator last year, and as the incumbent Board of Governors member, I have served on the Senate longer than any candidate running in this election. This experience, coupled with my dedication to responsible government are major reasons why I wish to continue as the 1980 Board of Governors member.

Although it is not presently required I have been a resident of the Lawyers Club for the past two years and intend to be next year. Because of the important insights and knowledge to be gained from living in the Club, I have authored an advisory question and will introduce measures in the Senate to require student Board members to be Club residents.

Experience indicates that non-resident board members are neither as dedicated nor as effective as resident members. Last year, both student Board members were non-residents and the rate increase was over 10.5%. As the only resident member of the Board this year, I attended more meetings and made fewer concessions than my non-resident counterpart, and I was instrumental in cutting this year's proposed 10% increase down to 7.5%, without loss of service. In fact next year's budget provides for some much needed capital improvements.

Prior to my appointment to the Board, the Senate was ignoring its rules and improperly allocating student funds. My first action upon appointment was to demand that the Senate abide by its by-law Constitution and cease this practice.

I have developed a working relationship with the resident's committee and the Lawyers Club staff. I know most of the candidates presently running, and have great hopes for the productivity of our next Senate.

Please read the green newsletter for more information about candidates and issues.

Board of Governors --1980

STAN SHAPIRO

Bored of governors' campaigning statements? Wait until you read mine.

I am a candidate for the Board of Governors class of 1980 seat. My experience includes serving for two years as chairman of a University Auxiliary Services Corp. executive board committee at SUNY Albany (the U.A.S. is a six million dollar corporation responsible for, among other things, food service on the Albany campus); and I worked as an intern for a management consulting firm in New York for two summers.

I have a solid background in economics and accounting (I admit it). I am easy to approach with problems, complaints, or suggestions, and if elected I will provide highly reasonable and effective representation.

By the way, have you heard that the N.Y. Post is being sued for publishing the headline "ROCKEFELLER DIES AT 69"? (My campaign manager advised me to try to inject some humor into this statement. I was going to mention Renee Richards' book, Tennis Without Balls, but I didn't want to lose the transsexual voter.)

VICE-PRESIDENT

MARK NORBY

Despite appearances to the contrary, I do have serious reasons for running for LSSS V-P. I could follow tradition and use this space to tell everyone how great I am, or everything I've done since second grade, but truthfully, anybody in this Law School could do the job. The crucial question is who wants the job merely for their resume', and who is willing to put in the time necessary to accomplish something. I intend to do the latter. My sole promise is that I will make every attempt to make Senate into an organization that is responsive to the law students as a whole, and not merely the tool of a few individuals who wish to further their own special interests.
GARY C. ROBB
for
Board of Governors '81

We need a board member who'll keep up pressure to keep down rates. Many of us opt to forego the advantage of our own apartment in favor of the convenience of the Lawyers Club. I believe that the law student representative on the Lawyers Club Board of Governors should be a resident of the Club. Although non-residents contribute to the Club via the pinball machines and the LSSS fee, only residents feel the crunch of the ever-increasing room and board rates (last year 10.5%). As I plan to live in the Law Quad for the next two years I admit to having a selfish interest in keeping these rates as low as possible.

I ask for your vote this Wednesday in order that I may have an opportunity to prevent highway ROBBery.

CARL CORMANY
for
Member of Senate
(Class of 1980)

If there's one thing I usually hate to read, it's campaign statements. But I always feel that I should vote, and I figure it's best to know something about the people I'm voting for. So for those of you like me, I'll be brief:

I believe the operations of the Student Senate should be kept simple. The allocation of money to student organizations is perhaps the Senate's most important job--yet one I'm sure can be done conscientiously without a lot of haggling. And once that task is accomplished, I think the Senate should treat its more routine business as such and channel most of its energies into making law school life as comfortable and enjoyable as possible.

As a Member of the Senate, I will keep a faithful attendance record and work to meet these goals. If you agree with me, I would very much appreciate your vote Wednesday.

President

BILL SMELKO

The LSSS will never be a meaningful organization if those who direct it refuse to look beyond Hutchins Hall or the Law Club. I want to use the office as a forum to assist law students in many areas.

First, we are faced with an 8-9% tuition increase. Too often, like lemmings led to the slaughter, we blindly accept our fate. We need an articulate spokesperson who can work with Regents, not in confrontation, but in an atmosphere of mutual respect.

The U of M should apply a greater % of Alumni gifts to the operating budget. Also, we should lower the LSSS fee. The LSSS has $37,000 to play with. Too often, playing is exactly what has been done.

Second, the teaching we receive, while generally superb, should be evaluated by students. Quality teaching should be the main factor in awarding tenure and merit pay increases. The LSSS has relied on nonexistent evaluation policies. We should contact professional groups to secure respectably evaluation. In this was, quality teaching can be rewarded and poor teaching can be improved.

Third, the Hutchins basement needs to be cleaned up and repaired.

Fourth, because parking is so risky, the president should present ideas to the City or school officials designed to minimize the cost and risk of a car.

Finally, admissions materials should inform candidates they will face the sounds of construction while they are here. Such ethical conduct would be a telling example of professional responsibility.

I am a comparative newcomer to the LSSS. However, I can offer ideas and direction so that meaningful improvements can be made by the LSSS.

Thank you,

BILL SMELKO
TERRY CALHOUN

The current LSSS has been unable to accomplish many of its duties in 1978-79. I wouldn't exactly call it a do-nothing Senate, but the potential was there to accomplish a lot more than actually got done. We all hope to see a change next year.

The first job of the new LSSS will be to develop next year's $30,000 budget. We will scrutinize every item. Before the budget is proposed we will make a complete inventory of all LSSS spaces, supplies and resources. We will require organizations which receive LSSS funds to report regularly on their accomplishments, in the RG. We will require individuals who get LSSS funds for travel to report on their trips in the RG. The LSSS can do this without taking support from organizations, and probably still be able to increase the variety and quantity of social activities.

Besides controlling the budget, the LSSS should, but has not in the past, acted in advocacy for law students. Did LSSS try to do anything about the dirtpile in the quad? No! I found out that we can get parking tickets given to construction workers who abuse the quad. Has LSSS done anything effective about crowding in the library? No! But I have arranged to get the Lawyers Club dining hall open for studying (28 tables, 12' long) during finals week, daily until 2:00 AM. Has LSSS done anything about the shortage of women's restrooms in Hutchins Hall? No! But I have proposed a very inexpensive plan which could more than double the women's restroom space before the end of this semester. I will be able to do a lot more as president.

Next year I will have more time for LSSS and my classes. For the last year I have been Night Manager, a law student, and worked as a law clerk for a Detroit law firm and an appellate attorney in Southfield. I have quit the job in Southfield, reduced my hours in Detroit, and will not have to interview in the fall--giving me more time for LSSS than most law students.

I have more to say than I have space to say it. Near where you got this RG you will see a stack of green newsletters. Please read one of them.

AL KNAUF

In order to be effective, LSSS needs responsible leadership. The Senate needs someone who will make sure things get done, who will find out what committees are doing, who will run an efficient organization, and who will let people know what's going on. I think my experience as Sports Czar, Senate representative, and Social Committee member shows that I can do the job as LSSS President.

If elected, I will resign as Sports Czar and RG Sports Editor, so I will have no major extracurricular activities to interfere with my major time commitment as LSSS President. I would plan to continue my perfect attendance record at LSSS meetings. I have always been easy to contact, but would also keep a daily hour in the Senate office for people to talk to me.

SOCIAL COMMITTEE should expand its successful schedule. SPEAKERS COMMITTEE should try to bring in more speakers of wide appeal (like Nader) including some 1980 Presidential candidates. LSSS must make a better commitment to serving Lawyers Club residents, particularly via the RESIDENTIAL COMMITTEE. More of the $9000 pinball revenues should go back to benefit residents. That's why I proposed the $1000 LSSS funding toward pinball room renovation, and would favor giving Residential Committee a budget to spend on the Law Club. I propose a FACILITIES COMMITTEE to deal with problems such as library overcrowding, construction, allocation of student office space 'especially after the new library is done), more pay phones, women's restrooms, and my proposed renovation of the Hutchins student lounge. ORGANIZATIONS should continue to receive adequate funding. But, I would require them to use "super saver" airfares for conventions, and to report on these journeys in the RG. As representative to the FACULTY, I would urge them to stop cutbacks on internships and clinicals, and fight for more student input on curriculum reform. I would press the Dean to get the construction company to comply with their contract and remove the dirt, and take other action if he doesn't. Finally, I promise - there will be a student directory and a course evaluation.

Please vote for AL KNAUF. I promise to work hard as your Senate President.
TREASURER

MARC ABRAMS

The LSSS controls over 20,000 dollars of our money, money that can do a lot of good, or be wasted. I'm running for Treasurer to ensure that there's an accountability for funds distributed by the LSSS to student organizations.

Striking a balance between party money and organizations is important, so that neither suffers. But we should also ensure that each dollar is well spent. Each dollar should aid the cultural and/or social atmosphere of the school. I've been Treasurer of several groups before, and I've never yet seen South America. I won't--and neither will anyone else--on your money.

Also, as a member of the Senate, I will be a gadfly for the students, trying to make this coming year the one in which our quad gets lighting--lighting that will prevent nighttime winter accidents from ice and cracked flagstone--lighting that will be placed overhead without ugly poles destroying the beauty of the Quad.

I also support the continued improvement of the public facilities of the Lawyers Club. The modernizing of the game room, and other facilities will be a boon to all students, residents and non-residents alike. In the coming year, I would like to reactivate some facilities that existed in the dark and forgotten past, such as the darkroom and the exercise room.

A lot of people think student elections are more or less Mickey Mouse stuff, but with problems like Mt. Cook taking up ½ of our playground, and neglect of the physical plant, the LSSS can be an important factor in how livable this law school will be. Please remember to vote. Thank you.

BROOKE SCHUMM

I am running for LSSS Secretary unopposed. While the position of Secretary doesn't sound too imposing, the Secretary has an important responsibility to facilitate communication between LSSS and the law student body. To achieve this end, I would be in charge of the LSSS notes published in the Res Gestae each week.

Partiers of the World Unite!
The Barristers Society Presents

THE 1979 CREASE BALL
Saturday, April 7, 1979
9 p.m. - 2:30 a.m.

It's the social event of the year!
It's the annual Law School semi-formal!

** Great Band **

*** Much Dancing ***

**** Much Drinking! ****

Tickets

Couples: $15--includes dancing, one bottle of champagne, and 8 mixed drinks!

Singles: $10--includes dancing, one bottle of champagne, and 4 mixed drinks!

On sale in front of Room 100 HH.
Mon-Thur., 10:30-1:30, (or any Barrister)

and would post minutes and agendas. I would also like to initiate the reporting of some committee meetings. I will consider my presence at LSSS meetings a must. The Secretary also has one vote in the budgetary process and I will be pleased to hear suggestions and needs of various organizations as we students balance our priorities.

I would also urge you to support Al Knauf for President. His commitment to the LSSS and to us students is extremely impressive to me. He has demonstrated the necessary initiative and ability as Sports Czar, LSSS rep, and participant in almost all of the LSSS projects. Al will dedicate himself to the Presidency, and to all of us. Your vote for Al will be a vote for yourself.

I hope everyone will vote in the elections on Wednesday. The LSSS has a profound impact on our environment and activities. I ask you for your vote so that I can have the opportunity to work for and with you. Vote Brooke Schumm for Secretary.
To: Law School Student Body  
From: Noah Yanich  
Re: More Campaign Rhetoric

Law students, in general, seem apathetic. The problem, perhaps, isn't that law students don't care; rather, they don't have time to care.

This apparent lack of interest is perhaps best evidenced by the fact that a few LSSS candidates are running unopposed. Furthermore, many law students don't vote, and even more don't have the time to read each campaign statement. As a matter of fact, most of you probably aren't reading this.

What can be done about this apathy? Frankly, I don't care. I don't have time. Seriously, however, I would like to investigate the possibility of designing some sort of instant-input device that would allow law students to contribute to the legislative process without giving up more than a few minutes of their time.

Here is where I stand on the issues:
1) I am against pollution.  
2) I am in favor of law and order.  
3) I am against widespread nuclear warfare.  
4) I am against the violent overthrow of the U.S. government.  
5) I am against crime in the streets.  

I hope you can see now that I am not afraid to stand up for the things I believe in, no matter how many votes it costs me.

In case you still aren't convinced, here are a few more good reasons for taking the time to vote for me:
1) I am a "reasonable man".  
2) I have accepted no campaign contributions over $500.  
3) I do not beat my wife. (I should mention, though, that I'm not married.)

In conclusion, I would just like to assure you that I will do my best to make everyone's "law school experience" as pleasant as possible.

Richard Cauley

The LSSS's substantial budget gives it a certain amount of clout around the Law School, enabling it to respond to both the social needs and the extracurricular interests of the student community. Neither of these two concerns should be slighted at the expense of the other; a balance must be struck between the two.

However, outside of the collection and disbursement of funds, the LSSS has another function: that of being a voice for the Law School community, to strongly express its concerns to the Administration.

I believe that these issues are important enough to warrant a lobbying effort on the part of the LSSS:
1) The potentially hazardous condition of the walkways in the Law Quad, in particular, the treacherous flagstones and the inadequate lighting.
2) The crowding in the Library, especially during exam periods.
3) The inability or unwillingness of the Placement Office to provide effective access to information about alternatives to corporate law firms. If in-person interviews cannot be provided, a clearinghouse of names and addresses should be established. If necessary, the LSSS should be prepared to take action on its own.

I will refer any complaints or suggestions made by any member of the class to the LSSS as a whole.
Al's Sports Corner

Law Red (a.k.a. the Canyon) defeated Law Yellow, 59-43, to take the Graduate Division "B" Basketball Championship; Jeff Liebster gunned for 21 points to lead Red, while Bryann McCann added 14. Red led 27-20 at halftime, and run-and-gunned throughout the second half to stretch its lead. Yellow, representing §1, was led by John Glowney's 14 points and Kerry Kircher's 10.

Jordan Miller had an incredible round of 25 out of 25 foul shots to lead Law Gold in the free throw shooting competition. Meet results results have not been compiled yet, but Miller's 94 out of 100 overall puts him in the running for all campus champion.

Law Gold met DSD"A" head to head in the indoor relay meet on Wednesday. In the 880 relay, Doug Ellis (:26), Todd Halbert (:26) and Dave Lohman (:26) put anchorman Pet Kupelian 6 yards behind the dental students. Pete came on strong, but his :25.5 220 yard sprint was a half step short of victory. In the medley relay, Ed Timmins led off with a .54.5 to give Gold a ten-yard lead. Kupelian (:25.5) and Dave Arnold (:26) lengthened the margin with their 220s, and Pete Maassen breezed home in 58.5 for an easy victory.

The meet came down to the mile relay. Maassen was unable to double, so distance man Mark Eby was substituted in the relay. "Fast Eddie" Timmins led off with a :56, to give Gold an 8-yard lead. Brooke Schumm went out like a shot, and came in :59 with DSD"A" at his heels. Eby yielded the lead to DSD"A" with a :61.5 leg. Anchorman Al Knauf got the baton one yard behind dental student John Cross, former All-American captain of the Michigan track team. Al was at Cross's heels when he went through the 220 in :27.5, but was left in the dust with 100 yards to go. Knauf came through in :58.5, to give the team a 3:55 clocking, 2 seconds behind the dentists. The final score: DSD"A" 44, Law Gold 40.

Michigan Bar Association placed 8th with 8 points in the corec swim relay 6th in the 200 yard medley relay. The team of Lynn Zander, Dave Lesser, Jeff Helder, and Jane Irwin was timed in 2:12.44. The 100 yard freestyle relay team (Rich Dorado, Sharon Carr, Dave Lesser and Lori Keenan) was 6th in :56.07. Jane Irwin, Sharon Carr, Jeff Helder and Dave Lesser were 5th in the 100 yard butterfly in 1:04.82.

In 5'9" basketball, hot-shooting Mike Weinbaum's 14 points led the Ambulance Chasers to a 22-17 victory over the United States Coast Guard. The Chasers had 3 men foul out, playing the last 8 minutes with no more than 4 men, the last 2 with 3, and the last 17 seconds with the bare minimum- 2 men on the court. The Pistols were victorious, 52-44. A balanced attack was led by Liebster with 15, John Schubitowski with 13, Joe Tilsor with 10 and "Pistol" Pete Kupelian with 8.

Czar Jeff Liebster has selected an All-Law School basketball squad. A challenge has been issued to the dental school to come up with a similar team to play All-Law in the near future.

The team selected is:
- Paul Berghoff Legalese
- Jack Fortner Law Trash
- Joe Innamorati Law Trash
- Randy Kaplan Yo Adrian
- Paul Keller Pistols
- Jeff Liebster The Canyon
- Rick Mentzinger Law Gold
- Kevin Russell Law Stin Space
- Ken Salazar Law Devo
- Bruce Sanders BLSA
- Bill Seabaugh Law Gold
- Ralph Simpson Law Green
- Joe Tilson Pistols

The third semi-annual LAW SCHOOL MINI-MARATHON will be held on Thursday, April 19th at 4:30 (note date change). The 3.0 mile race through the Arboretum had 55 runners last fall. Note that two-time champion Chris Berka is in Washington, D.C. this semester, so someone else will have the opportunity to win. There will be separate women's and faculty/staff divisions along with the open competition. Contact Czar Al (662-7149) if you have any questions.

The Law Review Senior Staff beat the Junior Staff, 59-50. Bruce Engler scored 23 of his 29 points in the second half to lead the Seniors. Kevin Russell had 15 points while Rich Layman added 14 for the Juniors.
LAWYERS CLUB BOARD OF GOVERNORS MEETS

At its annual meeting last Thursday the Lawyers Club Board of Governors approved the 1979-1980 Lawyers Club Budget. The new budget totals $788,220, of which $676,519.80 is estimated revenue from student payments for room and board. Room and board payments are being raised by an average of 7.5%—the lowest increase in the last four years, and is within federal guidelines for such increases. The increase results in room and board costs next year as shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Room Type</th>
<th>Recommended 1979-80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>$2,322.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economy Single</td>
<td>2,129.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economy Double</td>
<td>2,006.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double Suite</td>
<td>2,624.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economy Double Suite</td>
<td>2,129.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple Suite</td>
<td>2,056.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The cost of living in the Lawyers Club, calculated on a daily basis, is then:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Room Type</th>
<th>Room</th>
<th>Board</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>$5.11</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>10.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economy Single</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>9.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economy Double</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>8.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double Suite</td>
<td>6.44</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>11.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economy Double Suite</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>9.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple Suite</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>9.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the members of the LSSS Residential Committee worked very hard for the last several months to arrive at these figures. The original project ed increase was 9.9% and only very intensive scrutiny, line-item by line-item allowed the increase to be brought down to 7.5%.

Some of the budget items which may be of particular interest to students are:

- an increase in pay to student workers in the dining hall from $2.90 hourly to $3.20 hourly; with a 10¢ per hour increase in pay for each consecutive semester during which a student works in the Lawyers Club dining hall.
- a pay rate of $4.00 per hour for student dining hall workers during the first three weeks of school in the fall. This is necessitated by the severe shortage of kitchen help experienced each year during that period.
- an increase in the rate of the washing machines in the Lawyers Club basement to 50¢ per load.
- $140,000 to bring all of the roof drainage system in living sections A-H indoors. Currently the system freezes over each winter causing leaks into student spaces as well as serious deterioration of the building itself (weakened mortar, etc.). The committee, the assistance of Margot Morrow, Building Director and Maynard Crothers, Maintenance Head investigated the need for this huge budget item thoroughly through several meetings and on-site tours with U-M Plant and Engineering Departments, the private contractor who performed the original estimation of the costs, and with our own expert from the School of Architecture and Engineering. The solution adopted by the Board of Governors appears to be the only viable option.
- $10,000 for refurbishing some student room furniture.
- $3,500 for renovation of the Lawyers Club recreation/pinball room.
- $7,000 to provide furniture for the Cook Room in N-section.

Present at the Board of Governors meeting, in addition to the non-student Board of Governors members were:

STUDENTS
Ridley Politiski, Chairman of the Residential Policy Committee
Michael Quinley, LSSS President
Alan Walton, Class of 1980 Board of Governors Student Member
Geoff Silverman, Class of 1979 Board of Governors Student Member
Jeff Eisen, Member of the Committee
Deryck Palmer, Member of the Committee
Terry Calhoun, Member of the Committee

U-M HOUSING REPRESENTATIVES
Bob Hughes, Director of U-M Housing
Sherri Clifton, Head of Lawyers Club Food Service
Margot Morrow, Ph.D., Director of the Lawyers Club

LAW SCHOOL FACULTY/STAFF
Professor Roy Proffitt
Bob Jones, Development Fund
Margaret Leary, Law Library

Ms. Leary reported to the Board of Governors on the status of the construction. After approval of the budget the Board elected Mr. Frank Jackson as its next head and adjourned.

In the interest of continuity and in recognition of their hard work and experience gained thereby, the LSSS Residential Policy Committee would like to endorse ALAN WALTON and DERYCK PALMER in their respective races for the student Board of Governors positions in the elections coming up Wednesday. Both WALTON and PALMER will live in the Club next year and are certain to continue their responsible representation of student Lawyers Club members, resident and non-resident alike.

Reported for the LSSS Residential and Rate Policy Committee by Terry Calhoun

NIGHTS
MANAGED, CHEAP!

Has everyone recovered from the effects of the St. Patrick's Day Party? Mike Haipin hasn't, for sure, as he fell and broke both bones in his leg (tibia, fibula) while participating in the Gaelic League's assault on the Law Library Friday night. Despite the pain he was able to make a guest appearance at the party as St. Patrick himself. The Night Manager has not ever before seen so many really drunk people at a Lawyers Club party. Many parties have larger attendance--none more drunk. I got pretty close to that myself, for only the third time ever.

Now for the portion of my column which fills a most important student need:

PINBALL SCORES
March 18, 1979

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Machine</th>
<th>Wizard(s)</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vulcan</td>
<td>anon.</td>
<td>391,910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buccaneer</td>
<td>no record this week</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacks Open</td>
<td>Debi Klirsch</td>
<td>305,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$6,000,000 Man</td>
<td>PGM</td>
<td>1,059,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Dorado</td>
<td>Alan Walton</td>
<td>118,570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evel Knievel</td>
<td>Scott Lange</td>
<td>449,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space Mission</td>
<td>Dennis Caplan</td>
<td>404,100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Probably very few law students are aware that after Wednesday's LSSS elections--within three weeks the new LSSS officers will be working frantically on the LSSS 1979-1980 budget--disbursing a total of around $30,000! Although deciding how that money gets spent is far from the total of LSSS' duties; it is by far the single most important activity LSSS performs each year.

I keep hearing constantly from third year law students statements like: "I'm not going to vote, I won't even be here next year...why should I care? To be very blunt, the act of not voting is indeed a statement of non-caring. Do third year students care about the students they leave behind? Does graduation mean that you leave no friends behind? What about the functions the LSSS performs concerning Law Quad appearance, etc.? Wouldn't you like to see an LSSS which cares about more than its budget? Won't any of the LSSS activities reflect on you in some way next year? Student interests don't change that much from year to year. Perhaps you didn't care much for the LSSS government left to you when you were a first year student. Shouldn't you care enough about next year's incoming class to leave them a responsible Senate? Whatever! The Night Manager urges all students to VOTE IN THE LSSS ELECTION WEDNESDAY!

---

Terry P. Calhoun
NIGHT MANAGER
TUESDAY, MARCH 20

Phi Delta Phi--membership drive cocktail party, 3-5pm/Phid House.

BLSA meeting--state of BLSA address and new officers to be discussed, 3:30pm/Room 220

Francis A. Allen lecture--"The Law as a Path to the World," 4:00pm/Rackham Amphitheatre

Clinical Law information meeting--for all clinics, 4pm/Room 132 HH

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 21

Placement Committee meeting--plans for alternatives placement conference; open to all, Noon/Placement Office

WLSA "Brainstorming" wine and cheese party--to get ideas for next year, 3:30pm/Lawyers' Club Lounge

Jane L. Mixer Memorial Award nominations deadline--4:00pm/307 HH

NLG meeting--planning of Mideast Regional Conference, 8pm/see NLG board for location

THURSDAY, MARCH 22

National Wildlife Federation interviews, sign up in Placement Office

P.A.D. luncheon--Elmer White, J.D. will speak, "You Can Tell the Turkeys by Their Brown Suits (Or Things They Never Taught You in Law School--Starting and Keeping a Solo Law Practice)," Noon/Faculty Dining Room

Clinical Law trial simulations--we need you to be a juror, 3:30pm/Moot Court

MSA candidate filing deadline--for persons running for MSA representative to the Law School, 4:30pm/3909 Union

Environmental Law Society film--"Song of the Canary," 1 hr. documentary on industrial occupational diseases, 7pm/Room 100 HH--FREE

SPRING MIXER

Rackham Student government is holding a Spring Mixer in the U Club in the Union on Saturday, March 24 from 9 to 1. Donation is $1.00

FRIDAY, MARCH 23

Gargoyle Films--"Horsefeathers", 7:30 & 9pm/100 HH

Michigan Law Revue--the annual Law School talent show--refreshments 7:30pm, show begins 8pm/Lawyers' Club Lounge (Bring your pillow to sit on)

SATURDAY, MARCH 24

WLSA--Women and Legal Careers conference, 10am-1pm/100 HH

Casino Night--sponsored by Law Spouses, 8:30pm/Lawyers' Club Lounge

SUNDAY, MARCH 25

Women's Crisis Center--Donna Caswell on "Women & Alcoholism," 2pm/211½ N.4th