1979

February 26, 1979

University of Michigan Law School

Follow this and additional works at: http://repository.law.umich.edu/res_gestae

Part of the Legal Education Commons

Recommended Citation

http://repository.law.umich.edu/res_gestae/506

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Law School History and Publications at University of Michigan Law School Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Res Gestae by an authorized administrator of University of Michigan Law School Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact mlaw.repository@umich.edu.
ELS Helps Win Landmark Case

On February 20th, the Michigan State Supreme Court handed down its decision in West Michigan Environmental Action Council v. Natural Resources Commission (Docket No. 60800), a lawsuit over oil drilling in the Pigeon River Country State Forest. In a 4-3 vote, the court ruled in favor of the plaintiff's claim that the State, by permitting exploratory drilling in the Forest, violated the Michigan Environmental Protection Act (MEPA). This is one of the first cases in which the court has recognized that the environment can, at least at times, get the better of the balance with the economically influential oil interests.

The Court held that the plaintiff had met the act's requirement of a prima facie showing that the State's action was likely to impair or destroy natural resources in the Forest, in particular the unique elk herd which inhabits the area. The majority opinion also stated that the trial judge had erred in deferring to the state agency's determination that impairment of resources was not likely, and that de novo judicial review of agency conclusions is required by the statute.

The dissenting justices disagreed with the majority primarily regarding a procedural matter. The majority permanently enjoined the exploratory drilling on the basis of the record before the court; the dissenters would have remanded the case to the trial court for further proceedings due to a procedural irregularity below, but would have retained jurisdiction.

Admissions Policy Reviewed

The Bakke decision is unlikely to cause any significant changes in the Law School's admissions approach, says Professor John Reed, chairman of the faculty-student admissions policy committee. The committee, composed of Professors Payton, Regan and Westen and students Tom Connop, Charles Polzin and Gwen Rowden, expects to issue its recommendations to the faculty sometime after spring break.

Last September, in response to the Bakke decision, Dean Sandalow presented guidelines to the Admissions Office regarding minority admissions. These stated, in part, "(T)he Admissions Officer will not interpret the 10-12% (minority enrollment) goal as requiring admission of a fixed minimum number of minority applicants, but (rather, he shall interpret them) as an approximation of the number of minority applicants that should be admitted if the objectives of the program are to be met. * * * (T)he Admissions Officer will respect the judgment of the faculty that the presence of a substantial number of minorities in the student body is important to the educational environment of the School and to increase minority representation at the bar."

The School's affirmative action program was developed in the late 1960's and was last acted on in May of 1975, when the faculty reaffirmed the School's goal of 10-12% minority admissions. At the same time, the faculty rejected a proposal to
TO THE EDITOR:

In the continuing debate over whether the R.G. should edit the remarks of a sexist nature, we received several letters to the editor:

Now come off it guys! Haven't you ever heard the one about protesting too much? Or did you think it only applied to "ladies?"

That article kind of reminded me of the pigs we keep down home on the farm. They open their mouths and they make a lot of noise--but it only adds up to "oink, oink, oink...."

DIANE BOWER

I think your (article) is full of incredible hostility to women. I find your thoughts very tortured on the subject. And I find very revealing your comments about Aristophane's Lysistrata. ... Women "won" in Lysistrata because they knew how to manipulate a man's sexuality. (I fully intend the double entendre.) You know, that's a terribly classical, traditional view of men and women in all societies. It's most recently been expressed in the book, Total Woman. Why don't you read it, I'm sure you'll like it.

All in all, I object to your (article) as a verbose legalese restatement of sexism cloaked in the garb of free speech.

JOAN WHITMORE
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All students are invited to join WLSA for lunch Tuesdays at noon in the Faculty Dining Room. The lunches are a pleasant way to meet new people, raise issues important to women and law students, and to give each other support.

WLSA would like to thank Nancy Krieger for making our first lunch a success. We appreciate her helpful suggestions and interesting stories. We anticipate other exciting lunches in the future with such guests as Betty Elkins and Jean King. Our guest this week is Prof. Chris Whitman. Among other things, she will answer questions about clerkships.

If anyone wants WLSA to invite a particular person, please contact Meg Lentz, room 409 Hutchins Hall, or leave a message in her mailbox behind the library desk. See you at the lunch!

(Meg Lentz)
Coming Attractions

Judge A. Leon Higginbotham, of the U.S. Court of Appeals (Third Circuit), will address the Law School community Wednesday, February 28, at 3:45 p.m. in Room 250. Judge Higginbotham will present his current research on race and the law in America. His study of race and colonial law (In the Matter of Color) has attracted considerable scholarly interest.

The Speakers Committee will be presenting Detroit judge Justin Ravitz later in the semester. Ravitz is noted primarily for his socialist critique of the judicial system. Other possible speakers include California Governor Jerry Brown, Georgia State Senator Julian Bond, and Donald Sussman, general counsel Senator Kennedy's Judiciary Committee. Sussman is preparing legislation which would strictly limit conglomerate mergers. The proposal has attracted comment from the Wall Street Journal, which reports sudden activity among investment brokers and their conglomerate clients, seeking marriages of convenience with eligible young corporations before the legislation is enacted.

Contacts with Governor Brown and Senator Bond are preliminary. The Committee's major problems at this point are finding enough money to fund such events before the end of the semester. However, it is hoped that financial support may be forthcoming from M.S.A. (which already is responsible for $1000 of the Committee's $3500 budget) or other sources.

As always, comments and criticisms from the Law School community are welcome. Please contact John Coogan at 764-9028 with suggestions.

(New Professorship Endowed)

The University of Michigan Law School has established the Henry King Ransom Professorship of Law, supported by an endowment created by a U-M professor emeritus of surgery.

Establishment of the new professorship was approved Feb. 16 by U-M Regents. Dean Terry Sandalow noted that the professorship, which includes a $2,500 annual stipend, will be filled at a later date.

Prof. Henry Ransom, whose gift supports the professorship, is an Ann Arbor resident who has been associated with the U-M for 52 years prior to his retirement from the Medical School faculty in 1968.

A native of Jackson, Michigan, he received the A.B. degree in 1920, the M.D. in 1923 and a master's degree in anatomy in 1934, all from Michigan. He became assistant professor at the Medical School in 1929, rising through the ranks to full professor in 1950. For an 18 month period from 1957-59 he was acting chairman of the department of surgery.

Prof. Ransom has been a member of the board of governors of the American College of Surgeons, a founding member of the American Board of Surgery, an editor of the Archives of Surgery and a contributor of many articles to surgical literature. He is also surgeon emeritus at St. Joseph's Mercy Hospital in Ann Arbor.

(U.M.I.S.)

CLASSIFIED

Wanted: Housemate for woman lawyer in Detroit. She is presently clerking in Detroit. Owns a dog. Please contact Meg Lentz, 409 Hutchins Hall or call 995-4194.
In & Around Ann Arbor

I spent a great night of entertainment and companionship on Saturday. I was privileged to hear the Midwest Premiere of an important new composition, some excellent work by very talented student musicians and then enjoyed an after-concert drink—all for 89c.

The concert was one by the Contemporary Directions Ensemble, a University group specializing in unusual modern compositions. As with concerts of other University groups, admission was free and the crowds were small. This is unfortunate as the presentations are uniformly excellent; I spent every Friday night last semester in Hill or Rackham Auditoriums listening and was always pleased.

Saturday's performance included a work by Arnold Schoenberg of a recitation of Lord Byron's "Ode to Napoleon Buonaparte" accompanied by string quartet and piano. Also a very complex piece by Mario Davidovsky, "Chacona". This is from his Synchronism series and demands absolute precision of performance of the trio. The students rose to the challenge.

The high point of the concert was the Midwest Premiere of "Cree Songs to the Newborn", a composition by UM doctoral candidate Laura Clayton. Based on traditional poems of the Cree Nation, the piece won the National Composers Competition and a place in the ISCM World Music Days to be held in Athens. After its performance, the hall was as if electrically charged. There were people standing and shouts of 'Bravo' were heard. Even a musical simpleton like me knew the work was an important new piece. The audience finally forced Ms Clayton on stage to take a well-deserved bow. As my companion pointed out, in comparison to such creativity, everything we do in law school pales.

After the concert we ducked into Drake's Sandwich Shop on North U. for a nitecap. A leftover from the 40's, the shop stocks every sort of tea you can imagine, and some you can't. They also have a perfectly wicked selection of candies from around the world and baked delights. I was resolve in my diet, though--damn it. A treat of sarsparilla tea for my friend and a limeaid for me ran a grand total of 89c. Even with the threatened board increases, it is possible to have a good time on Saturday night and not feel guilty in the morning.

--Tammy Stewart

ENVIRONMENTAL LAW SOCIETY ACHIEVES VICTORY

(Continued from Page 1)

West Michigan Environmental Action Council is now the leading interpretation of the MEPA, a law originally drafted by Law School professor Joseph Sax, and enacted by the State Legislature in 1970. The attorney for the plaintiff (W.M.E.A.C.) is Roger Conner, a graduate the Law School. Several members of the Environmental Law Society contributed significantly to the lawsuit by performing research and drafting brief and pleadings submitted throughout the litigation. The Pigeon River decision is the second major lawsuit won this year by environmentalists in which Environmental Law Society members have played a major role. The group also assisted the plaintiff in Tennessee Valley Authority v. Hill (S.Ct. Docket No. 76-1701, June 15, 1978)--the snail darter case.

A copy of the Pigeon River decision is available for reading in the E.L.S. office, 112 Legal Research. Copies are also on reserve in the Law Library.

(Bob Maynard)
ADMISSIONS POLICIES REVIEWED
(Continued from Page 1)
increase the goal to 15% on the ground that the extra 3-5% of students who would be admitted would be likely "to encounter serious academic difficulties." The faculty also decided that the groups that were then benefiting from the program--Blacks, Chicanos, American Indians, and Puerto Ricans--should not be enlarged to include other ethnic or cultural groups.

The mechanics of the affirmative action process are largely informal. After half of the entering class is selected on the basis of LSAT scores and grades alone, the rest of the class is selected from a pool of students whose "hard data" indicate their ability to successfully complete the law program. Students from this "pool" are accepted on the basis of subjective factors, such as work experience and special talents. In making the decisions on these "pool"-status applicants, Dean of Admissions Roger Martindale takes about fifty applications per day and separates them into three groups--definite acceptances, definite rejections, and in-betweens. Regular tabulations of minority student acceptance levels are made. These, in turn, affect the weight given to various subjective factors.

Following are some admission statistics compiled from data kept in the Registrar's Office. Though the tables, largely--and dramatically--speak for themselves, one point merits explanation. The number of minority students admitted during the past three years has dropped from 50 (1976) to 40 (1978). Dean Sandalow maintains that this is principally a result of a major drop in minority applications. The Dean speculates that the drop is due to a more systematic application strategy by minority students, and an active minority recruitment program by big business.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>TOTAL # OF WOMEN</th>
<th>TOTAL # OF STUDENTS</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1114</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1073</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1201</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>1102</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1153</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>1151</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1978 AVERAGES</th>
<th>LSAT</th>
<th>G.P.A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Hard Data&quot; Acceptees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--Michigan resident</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>3.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--Non-resident</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>3.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Pool&quot; Acceptees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--Michigan resident</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>3.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--Non-resident</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>3.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Acceptance Figures for 1976 - 1978

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>BLACKS</th>
<th>CHICANO</th>
<th>CUBAN</th>
<th>P. RICAN</th>
<th>ASIAN-AMER. INDIAN</th>
<th>MINORITY</th>
<th>TOTAL CLASS</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50/369</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>42/370</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4/0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40/377</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOTAL WOMEN</th>
<th>TOTAL CLASS</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MACHELE'S MUSINGS

Last week, I failed to answer one question which I think needs some clarification: "Do you get crazier every week?" My answer is, "of course not," and to prove it I propose to write a serious column this week. "Of course, some people might regard it as the most insane move I've made yet!"

So, here is my opinion on a couple of topics.

I find a great deal of interest in the recent altercation over a certain Cryptic Quiz. A quotation from a man raised in a chauvinistic era drew furor from a number of people, but the Barristers' porn film drew not a peep. I know which I found more dehumanizing. Furthermore, methinks that last week's "case" protests too much--by its very absurdity, it suggested that women were ridiculous creatures to be constantly pacified by being given their own way.

To add to the whole situation, we've been hearing and talking about the possible demise of WLSA and FLS. Where is all the feminist fervor when it comes to putting a little time and effort beyond opening one's mouth?

I, too, would like to offer a welcome to the new Professor Rosenzweig. However, I'm not sure it will be a great comfort to have another corporation and securities prof next year when I'm closed out of Family Law (again), or Women and the Law is cancelled (again), or there is no offering remotely resembling Poverty or Welfare Law (again). Sure we need more professors--but not only in corporations and securities.

Well, enough of that.

Next week Machele's Musings will return to Amusings.
FLS - Still Facing Problems

Feminist Legal Services is still alive, although they haven't been too visible this year. Two major problems have confronted the organization—funding and a question of potential malpractice. Michigan Student Assembly came through with money to solve the first, but the second remains unsolved. According to Kathy Machle, co-chairperson, the group should be getting a University-approved supervisor and then be able to start representing clients again, hopefully before the end of this semester.

Previously the group was handling five or six cases at a time. Currently they are attempting to have educational meetings every two or three weeks, although they are not taking cases. So far this semester, they have dealt with employment discrimination and Michigan divorce law. "Name Changes in Michigan" is next on the schedule. FLS still has their telephone information and referral service going, giving information on legal processes and lending a sympathetic ear.

In addition to the telephone service, Machle suggests that the FLS serves several other functions. FLS provides role models for female law students in all areas of the law; sponsors educational programs of interest to women; and the FLS represents indigent clients which brings students into direct client contact situations, as well as providing a community service. Client problems range from divorce and custody to credit and employment cases. Although these clients are predominantly female, Machle stresses FLS's interest in attracting male clients as well as male members.

FLS also is seeking interested first-year students. The membership roster is distinctly unbalanced, heavy with third-year students, a light sprinkling of second-year women, and a dearth of first-year people. Anyone who would care to help out with the telephone service or the educational programs would be most welcome. FLS shares an office with WLSA, and can be reached by phone at 763-4158, or by leaving a note on their door (3rd Floor of the Lawyers’ Club).

Machle feels that the Feminist Legal Services can offer affirmation and information that female law students can't get other places. Kathy shares the co-chairpersonship with Janice McAlpine. Pam Pritzel and Linda Motz serve as secretary and treasurer of the organization.

(Alisa Sparkia)

L.S.S.S. Notes

L.S.S.S. will consider the following Constitutional amendment at its regularly scheduled meeting during the week of February 26:

§ 9.8 Elections for Senate executive officers and junior and senior representatives shall be held during the last fifteen school days of March each year with newly elected officers and members taking office during the first fifteen school days of April. Elections of first-year representatives shall be held during the last ten school days of September or the first five school days of October each year. Insofar as is practicable, presiding officers of the standing committees and boards shall be appointed by May 1 to serve during the summer and the following year. Specific dates for elections, appointments and assumption of duties may be prescribed in the Code for Elections and Appointments or set by the Senate on an ad hoc basis.

Delete the bracketed words and substitute the following:

"within fifteen school days thereafter."
Law Admissions—BAKKE Challenge

In 1964 Professor Harry Edwards was one of two Black students to receive a law degree from Michigan; in 1965 no minority students were enrolled in the School. Of the 1114 students in the Law School in 1965, 38 were women.

Radical changes in the social order as well as sympathetic responses from the Law School's administration have dramatically changed this situation over the past 15 years. But now there is speculation that Bajke may require a change in affirmative action. The Law School's Admissions Policy Committee is studying the matter and expects to issue its recommendations soon after the spring break.

The general understanding of Justice Powell's "majority" opinion in Bakke is that it proscribes quotas, but allows the use of race and other ethnic factors as admissions criteria if: 1) their use is based on a 'compelling goal,' and 2) race is never used as the sole criterion in making any admissions decisions. To comply with this standard, the Law School should clearly explain exactly how its affirmative action policy is implemented and on what compelling goals it is based.

First, the easy problem—nowhere does the School spell out exactly how its affirmative action program works. Somehow, though, the School regularly fulfills its goal of 10-12% minority admissions. Fulfilling the goal is not inherently suspect, but the secrecy about how this is done is suspect. The mechanics of the program should be spelled out.

Now, the hard problem—what goals are sufficiently compelling to justify using race as a criterion for admissions? Powell's opinion lionized Harvard's admissions program which is based on a goal of diversity. Also, the program pays attention to "numbers" (again, the Ouija board mentality of not explaining how that attention is paid) to ensure adequate representation of various groups.

Half of Michigan Law admissions are based on a similar concept of diversity. Additionally, the School has an affirmative action program for Blacks, Chicanos, Puerto Ricans, and American Indians. The goals of the program are never clearly explained, but they seem to be concerned with remedying past discrimination and the lack of minority representation in the bar.

These goals need to be rethought. The emphasis on bar statistics reflects a social engineering mentality that is ill-equipped to respond to a major drop in minority applications, such as has happened here in the past three years. The goals breed stigmatization by being so rigidly fixed on certain racial or ethnic groups, and they contribute to the growing and bitter factionalization in the country. Rather than concentrating on discrimination today, they focus on discrimination in the past.

My suggestion for a 'sufficiently compelling' goal is that of compensating persons for inequality of opportunity. In addition to identifying racial or other ethnic factors, the Law School application could ask: "Have you had to overcome any economic, physical or linguistic disadvantage?"

The effect of the goal would be to still include many Blacks, Hispanics and Indians. But it would also be to broaden the program to include other urban and ethnic poor, the handicapped and immigrants. Conversely, it would begin to limit the program to not benefit those students who grown up in the best neighborhoods and attended the finest public or prep schools.

Much has changed since that not-so-distant year of 1965 when the future professor Harry Edwards' graduation left the School with no minority students. The time has come to look afresh at today's haves and have-nots, and to re-orient the affirmative action program to serve the needs of the 1980's.

---Peter Silverman
Hill Auditorium was imbued with a sense of tradition for the Founders Day Concert last Saturday night as the University Musical Society continued celebrating its centennial. Emotionally, the performance was satisfying, with the UMS (deservedly) proud of its past and the audience sharing in this enthusiasm. Technically, the evening left quite a bit to be desired.

The program was varied, reflecting the growth of the U of M's musical heritage to encompass not only vocal, but also instrumental, music as well as dance. The Festival Chorus performed throughout, accompanied at different points by the Choral Union, by a Children's Chorus and by a guest artist: Christine Dakin, of the Martha Graham Dance Co.

The early pieces were uninspiring, because the Chorus was not working as an ensemble. Repeatedly, voices drifted in early or dropped off late, perhaps due to the group's lack of familiarity with Donald Bryant's style of conducting. Most disappointing was Gabrieli's "In Ecclesiis," in which (apparently) the organist had the wrong time, thus forcing the piece to be started over.

I most enjoyed "By the waters of Babylon," in which the group demonstrated a range of intensity: from quiet moments, during which voices blended smoothly and precisely, to thundering, dynamic passages. The two selections performed with the children's groups were charming, even though it was clearly difficult to direct the myriad singers on the packed stage.

The program continued with excerpts from Orff's "Carmina Burana," for which Ms. Dakin, in a luminescent costume, danced. The pacing to this point was acceptable, but thereafter it was agony. Too many Schubert's, followed by Thompson's endless "The Peaceable Kingdom," made the evening much too long, particularly since the program did not begin until 8:30.

Thompson's piece exemplified some of
Nights Managed

Correction: Last week I loosely used the phrase "disco party" to mean any loud party at which people did little more than just drink, dance fast, and listen to the same loud music. Some law students who are discoverers have set me straight—and they are right. The law school has never had a real, true disco party. Since there seem to be a lot of true disco fans here, maybe the social committee should think about having one next year. For those of you who wish to be brought up to date, the social committee/PhD house party is not on the weekend of St. Patrick’s day. It is during the weekend of March 30th. The LSSS/social committee/Gaelic Protection League St. Patrick’s Day Party is still set for 17th. LSSS/social committee is buying ten kegs of beer for the real St. Patrick’s Day Party, which will be held in the Main Lounge at the Lawyers Club, March 17th.

PINNACLE SCORES -- February 25, 1979

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Machine</th>
<th>Wizard(s)</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buccaneer</td>
<td>Debi Kirsch</td>
<td>234,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacks Open</td>
<td>Debi Kirsch</td>
<td>305,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$6,000,000 Man</td>
<td>The Sleeper</td>
<td>870,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Dorado</td>
<td>P G M</td>
<td>113,460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evel Knievel</td>
<td>Anthony F. Pantonii</td>
<td>517,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space Mission</td>
<td>The Sleeper</td>
<td>386,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulcan*</td>
<td>Dale Nichols, Jim Kaylas, Kent Syverud, John Croll, Vito Peraino, Larry Abel, Andy Beaman and Jan Van Alsten (Captain)</td>
<td>1,411,860</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*New machine, but our bright young first-year students can handle anything now!

The Bahamas Party was a huge success. The Social Committee had sold the last of 1,000 tickets before people had even really begun to party down. As anyone who went is aware, there were a lot of people there. It never ceases to cause me surprise to discover that after such a party, the floors will be covered with trash—but the wastebaskets are invariably only half-filled. In any event: myself, my friend Sheila, her brother John and the Social Committee did an incredible job of cleaning up after everyone early Saturday morning. Unless you’ve seen all those heavy-duty party-ers who are on the Social Committee struggling to pick up partially-filled cups of beer at 9:00 AM on the morning after, you’ve never really seen devotion to duty.

Al Knauf and myself presented a more refined version of the request for LSSS to add $1,000 to the Law Club’s $3,000 for improvements to the pinball/rec room. The LSSS voted unanimously to approve the $1,000. Hopefully they can all be made during this semester. They include adding a pool table, another foosball table, tables and chairs to sit on, painting, vinyl wall covers, dart board room dividers, etc. The last time I asked for people to help with this only Al and myself showed up. It sure would be nice if someone else felt like helping carry this through. If it can be arranged it would be nice to add a coin-operated xerox machine also.

All law students who are having difficulty finding a place to live next year should check out the Michigan Union. They are converting about 130 guest rooms into student rooms—mostly single. These have mostly private bathrooms and are less than a half a block from the qua. They are set aside for graduate (including law) student occupation.

RESIDENTS:
- Starting this week the menu for the Lawyers Club Dining Hall will be posted in the glass announcement box where I keep you posted on the status of mail delivery.
- The University is not closing down over Spring Break, although the Lawyers Club will not serve meals. This means that staying here for that week is technically "legal."
- If noisy neighbors bother you late at night, give me a call: 764-2018.
- Mail will not be regularly sorted throughout the Spring Break.

TERRY P. CALHOUN
NIGHT MANAGER
L.S.S.S. NOTES (Continued from P. 7)

Senate Candidates: a Reminder

Prospective candidates, remember that completed petitions are due on this Wednesday, February 28. Turn petitions in at the Senate office (Rm 217 Hutchins) or at the Lawyers' Club desk before 6PM. Qualifying candidates will be identified at the Senate meeting, Wednesday night at 6:30 PM in Rm 212.

(Continued on next column)

Al's Sports Corner

It took two overtime victories and a comeback from a 17-point deficit to give Law Gold the Canyon Law School Basketball Championship. Gold led most of the way against tournament favorite Trash, but fell behind in the final minutes. They tied the score at 42 all, but fouled Trash's Steve Adams with no time left in the game. He missed both foul shots, and the game went into overtime, where Gold outscored Trash by one point. Trash had previously overcome a 4-point halftime deficit to beat Law Green, 50-39.

Brent Graber had 19 for Trash while Bruce Engler scored 13 for Green. Gold's next victim was Law Stin Space in the Winners' Bracket final. Space held a 17-point lead with six minutes to go, but fell apart at the hands of Hal Hammersmith, Rick Mentzinger & Co. Kevin Russell and John Schrashun, who scored 25 and 10 points, respectively, both fouled out for Space. Gold took the lead for the first time in the game with 28 seconds left, and won by three. Hammersmith scored 15, while Mentzinger pumped in 29 for Gold.

The tournament championship was an exciting game. About 50 spectators watched Law Gold nip Losers' Bracket Champion Pistols, 74-72, in overtime. Gold led 35-30 at halftime, but never stretched its lead beyond 6 points. Pistols tied the score at

L.S.S.S. NOTES Continued

Senate Votes Cool Grand for Rec Room

The basement recreation room in the Lawyers' Club is about to get an over­haul, including chairs and tables, carpeting, wall covering, a pool table, dart boards, and other recreation essentials. In case you're wondering what was in the rec room if not the above, as any pinball junkie...they're the only ones who have dared to enter thus far. The Senate voted $1000 for the renovation project, and the Lawyers' Club will be putting up approximately $3000. Next step: the Hutchins Lounge, that is, unless it's condemned before the University design staff gets a proposal together.

Settlement with Barristers Reached

A long-standing controversy with the Barristers was recently resolved when the Senate okayed a $100 settlement on an outstanding debt. The issue centered on transactions between last year's Barristers and last year's Social Committee, a situation complicated by the graduation of all key parties. The settlement was based on an explanation provided at a Senate meeting by Barrister Jon Lonsberg; details are in the Minutes of February 1.

Senate Passes Profit Resolution; Election Chairman Resigns; No Connection Between Two Events

A clarifying resolution was passed at the last meeting concerning procedures when a Senate-funded organization makes money. In short: the Senate general fund gets it. An organization needing funds for additional activities can get it via supplemental appropriations and groups making money will obviously have a strong argument in support of any request... Elections Chairman Bruce Celebrezze resigned, but will be available to help train anyone interested in taking over the job. Senate Chief Executive Michael "Boss" Quinley was appointed interim Election Chairman by acclamation. If you'd like to help run the election or serve as chairman, leave a note at the Senate office.

--Stu Gasner
52 all with about eight minutes left. With two minutes left, Pistols held a four point lead. Hamersmith fouled out, but Gold managed to tie the score at 64 with about 30 seconds left. Pistols stalled for the last shot. They called time with 4 seconds left. Their last shot failed, and Pistol Joe Tilson fouled Paul Wigton at the buzzer. Wigton missed the first end of the 1 and 1, sending the game into overtime.

In the closing minutes of the overtime, Gold twice held the lead and the ball. Both times, they went for layups and missed. Pistols knotted the score at 72 each with about 20 seconds left. Gold's Mentzinger, looking for the winning basket, was fouled by Pistol Gordon Nichols with three seconds left as he drove the baseline to put up a jumper. Rick hit both of the free throws to give Law Gold a 74-72 victory and the tournament championship.

LAW GOLD (74)
Brehm 8
Wigton 6
Brown 2
Mentzinger 22
Falk 0
Seabaugh 26
Hamersmith 10

PISTOLS (72)
Tilson 23
Keller 15
Nichols 12
Kupelian 4
Arnold 3
Wilson 9
Shubitowski 4
Cook 2

Pistols had beaten Law Orange, Law Detergent and Law Devo to make the finals. Against Orange, Nichols scored 15 and Tilson 14, in a 63-37 rout. They beat Detergent 58-40, with Paul Keller putting in 18. Pistols won the losers' bracket by a 72-61 victory over Devo (Are we not basketball players? No, we are Devo.). Salazar netted 34 points for Devo, while Keller gunned for the same amount for Pistols. Earlier, Devo crushed the Canyon, 60-40. John Sloss scored 24 for Devo, while Czar Jeff had 20 for the losers.

Law Stin Space beat Yo Adrian, 58-31, to advance to the winners' bracket finals. Kevin Russell's awesome outside shooting netted him 18 points, while Stefan Stein put in 13 for Yo.

Law Female avenged last week's 16-9 loss to beat Law Gunners, 38-14 and win the Women's Division Championship. Gunners were hampered by the absence of injured Jean Blackwell and the daydreaming of Missy Pollick, who had the Bahamas on her mind. Gunners challenged Female to a third game later this year. Terry Decker scored an impressive 25 points to lead her team to victory.

Earlier, Gunners had walloped Legal Eagles, 36-6. Jean Blackwell scored 14 and Missy Pollick sank 10. Female also beat the Eagles to advance to the finals, 54-10. Decker scored 28 in that contest, while Carmen Brummet had 12 and Kate Beck 10.

The tournament involved 23 teams and about 200 law students. Czars Al and Jeff thank LSSS for the funding it gave the tournament, and Commissioner Jack Butler for the great job he did organizing and running the tournament this year.

SECOND ANNUAL CANYON MEMORIAL OPEN
LAW SCHOOL BASKETBALL TOURNAMENT RESULTS

Winners' Bracket Third Round
Law Trash 50, Law Green 39
Law Gold (bye)
Law Stin Space 58, Yo Adrian 31
Winners' Bracket Semifinal
Law Gold 45, Law Trash 44 (overtime)
Law Stin Space (bye)
Winners' Bracket Final
Law Gold 71, Law Stin Space 68
Losers' Bracket Second Round
Law Devo 60, Canyon 40
Pistols 63, Law Orange 37
Law Detergent (bye)
Losers' Bracket Semifinals
Law Devo (bye)
Pistols 58, Law Detergent 40
Losers' Bracket Final
Pistols 72, Law Devo 61
Tournament Final
Law Gold 74, Pistols 72
Women's Game B
Law Gunners 36, Legal Eagles 6
Women's Game C
Law Female 54 Legal Eagles 10
Women's Final
Law Female 38, Law Gunners 14
Law Black'n'Blue, the Cinderella team of the graduate basketball playoffs, finally went down to defeat in the finals. Dental Derelicts blasted Black'n'Blue, 55-38. "Bad" Jack Fortner finally went cold, only hitting 2 of 11 from the field. Here are my final basketball rankings for the graduate division (including the Canyon tournament):
1. Dental Derelicts (6-1)
2. Epidemics (5-4)
3. MBA Green (4-2)
4. Law Gold (8-1)
5. Law Stin Space (Booters) (5-3)
6. Law Black'n'Blue (Trash) (8-4)
7. DSD "A" (5-1)
8. Abar Cadavor (4-2)
9. Law Green (5-2)
10. MBA Blue (4-1)

Law Yellow plays Law Red for the graduate "B" basketball championship on Wednesday night at 9:30.

Law Gold narrowly missed winning the graduate swim meet. The final score was Pebble Creek Country Club 69, Law Gold 66, DSD "A" 64, MBA 9. The following swimmers scored for Gold:
200 yd. medley relay-2nd Broshar, Helder, Croll and Lesser (1:52.95)
200 yd. freestyle-3rd Rich Dorado (2:13.99)
50 yd. freestyle-3rd Dave Lesser (23.5)
6th Dave Lohman (27.56)
50 yd. butterfly-2nd Phil Boggs (26.15)
3rd John Croll (26.42), 4th Scott Broshar (26.44)
100 yd. individual medley-2nd Scott Broshar (1:04.26), 4th Jeff Helder (1:07.08), 6th Greg Cochran (1:10.43)
100 yd. freestyle-2nd Dave Lesser (53.86), 4th Rich Dorado (57.67)
50 yd. backstroke-4th Brooke Schumm
50 yd. breaststroke-3rd Greg Cochran (33.39), 6th Jeff Helder (24.88)
200 yd. freestyle relay-2nd Lohman, Cochran, Dorado, Schumm (1:56.90)

Tonight is the IM Corec Swim Relay Meet, at 8 pm in Matt Mann Pool (next to the IM Building on Hoover Street). If you would like to swim for Michigan Bar Association (women especially needed) talk to Czar Al or just show up. Most of the races are four 25-yard legs, while two are four 50-yard legs. Entries for volleyball and 5'9" basketball must be turned in to the Sports Committee mailbox by the Lawyers' Club desk by 3:35 pm THURSDAY. I am leaving for Aspen Friday morning, so I am turning in the entries a day early. Both the Indoor Relay meet and the team foul shooting championship will be held Wednesday night, March 13. For foul shooting, there is no limit to the number of people on a team. Results are based on the best performances. So, I would like to get at least 20 people signed up for our Law Gold entry. You can not hurt us by competing, but you can help us. So, please put your name on the signup on the Sports bulletin board.

Congratulations to Jon Penn who finished the American Birkebeiner Cross Country Ski Race last Saturday. About 6,000 skiers started the 55 kilometre (about 34 miles) race from Cable to Wayward Wisconsin. There was no accurate estimate available as to how many finish the race.
The Raw Review announces a writing competition!

Each year, The Barristers Society publishes The Raw Review, a humor magazine that pulls no punches in going one-on-one against the University of Michigan Law School administration. It is a tribute to the magazine's reputation that after it comes out each April, there are invariably two groups of offended professors—those that were included and those that were ignored. This year should be no different, and you are in a position to help us by contributing a humorous piece. At the same time, you are helping yourself by increasing your chances of being asked to become a member of the esteemed Society. Do not confuse this writing competition with shoddy imitations such as the one sponsored jointly by The Michigan Law Review and the Journal of Law Reform. Compare us to them and decide for yourself:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BARRISTERS</th>
<th>REVIEW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eligibility</td>
<td>All law students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odds for the Writing Competition</td>
<td>Last year—3 papers asked to join (33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topics</td>
<td>Unlimited—any humorous piece (Pref. 1-3 pages)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Format of Entries</td>
<td>Papers should be styled in the form of a humorous feature, not in the form of a joke.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footnotes</td>
<td>Footnotes? You gotta be kidding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questions</td>
<td>Representatives of the Barristers are available for consultation at Fraser's every Thursday night.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SEE THE DIFFERENCE! Start writing today, as the deadline for submission is Monday, March 19 at 4:52 pm. All materials should be turned into the Barristers' mailbox. If there are any questions of importance, contact Buckwheat or Killer (A1) at 662-7149.

ALSO----BARRISTERS!! This deadline applies to you too!

---A paid advertisement
LE CHATEAU DE BACCHANALIA BEER TASTE
TEST CONFIRMS:
BUDWEISER IS THE KING OF BEERS

On Saturday night, Le Chateau de Bacchanalia held a blind beer taste test. Referees Sue Funk, Cathy Rigg, and Sue Wroblewski poured the beers into 18 6 oz. glasses labeled by letter. The four judges (experienced beer drinkers) then tasted the beers and ranked them on a bell-curve scale from 1 (worst) to 5 (best). Here are the results of the first round:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brand</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Buck</th>
<th>Dean</th>
<th>Jay</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budweiser</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Label</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colt 45</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pabst</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michelob</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroh's</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molson</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamms</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Kings</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinci</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Style</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red, White</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and Blue</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blatz</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schlitz</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weidelman</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labatt's</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Al's favorite beer, Genesee Cream Ale from Rochester, N.Y., was not available for the test. It won the Phi House taste test last year. Al's next three favorites, Labatt's, Miller and Molson, he scored 5, 5, and 3 respectively. Dean gave his favorite, Miller, a 5. Jay's favorite, Stroh's, earned a 3 from him. Although Buckwheat claimed he couldn't tell beers apart enough to have a favorite, he did have a brand he drank regularly at college- Schlitz Malt Liquor. "The Bull" earned a 1 from him and dead last overall.

The judges then retested the top 10 beers which were relabeled. The 5-way tie for 8th through 12th was broken by eliminating the two beers which received 1's and did not get any 5's. The judges then ranked the beers in order, and the results were totaled (lowest wins):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brand</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Buck</th>
<th>Dean</th>
<th>Jay</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.Budweiser</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.Miller</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.Black Label</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.Molson</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pabst</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.Little Kings</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroh's</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.Michelob</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.Colt 45</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.Hamm's</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Al and Jay both agreed with the survey's top 2. Al picked his two favorites left in contention 2nd (Miller) and 5th (Molson). But, he dropped Stroh's from a "5" ranking in the first round to a 7th place vote. Ironically, Dean's 7th place vote for his "favorite," Miller, cost "The Champagne of Beers" first-place. Jay ranked his "favorite," Stroh's, 3rd. Buckwheat ranked Molson 1st in the second round, after giving it a 2 in the first round, while Al did the same thing with Budweiser. Jay gave Bud a 5 in the first round, and gave it first place in the second.

Although there were some inconsistencies, the survey suggests several things. First, Budweiser and Miller are clearly the best-tasting beer according to these judges. Secondly, brands can be distinguished by taste. Thirdly, some beers have a reputation for a bad taste, but only taste that way because other people told you (i.e. Black Label, Pabst). Some people may laugh at the selection of Budweiser as number one, but there must be some reason why it is the best-selling beer in America.

Samples are being requested for next week's dope test.

Congratulations to Missy Pollick for winning the trip to the Bahamas at the Night in the Tropics Party. Thanks to the efforts of the Social Committee it was another great one.
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 26

Cardio Pulmonary Resuscitation demonstration by the American Red Cross, 8 pm/Lawyers Club Lounge (NOTE the time is changed) Sponsored by the Law Spouses Association.

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 27

WLSA LUNCH--noon/Faculty Dining Room
Professor Whitman will be the guest.

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28

CENTER FOR LAW AND SOCIAL POLICY--Informational meeting/Room 132 HH
Only present second-year students are eligible for the Fall '79 externship, but everyone is welcome to attend the meeting. Bring your lunch if you like. Randy Bellows, director of the student program and an attorney with the Center's media access project, will preside.


JUDGE A. LEON HIGGINBOTHAM, JR.--"From Thomas Jefferson to Bakke: Race and the American Legal Process" 3:45 pm/Room 250
Sponsored by LSSS Speakers Committee and MSA in honor of Black History Month.

EQUAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION--meeting and dinner, 5:30/Faculty Dining Room.
Come--buy or bring dinner, and talk with us about what the E.J.F. is, what we want it to be, plans for activities for our chapter, upcoming elections... All interested students are encouraged to attend.

MICHIGAN YEARBOOK OF INTERNATIONAL LAW
The Yearbook, Vol. 2 will be making its selection of staff members for 1979-80 soon after spring break.
Interested first and second year students may obtain information from: John Horan-994-5551, Bob Olender-973-9305, and Ed Krauland-994-4425.
Details for applications will be made available by mid-March.