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WLSA Alumnae Hold Conference

A speech on women in the judiciary and an afternoon of workshops and discussions highlighted the Third Alumnae Conference sponsored by the Women's Law Students Association, Oct. 20 - 22.

The Hon. Cornelia Kennedy, Chief Judge for the Eastern District of Michigan, delivered the keynote address Saturday, focusing on the practice of law from the other side of the bench.

Later, over 60 law alumnae and students were invited to attend the panel discussions on types of practice, as well as on special issues addressed to the professional and personal challenges of a female attorney.

The weekend conference began with a cocktail party Friday night, populated heavily by students. As Prof. Christina Whitman remarked, it was an excellent opportunity to "plug into the Old Girl network."

Panelist Lt. Helen Forsyth, '73, of the Judge Advocate General Corps, remarked, "This is a very important event, although many first year students don't realize it yet. There is life after law school!"

She continued, "There are may alums who did not come out of bitterness about the school. Things here may not be great but they sure as hell aren't what they were in 1970."

Lt. Forsyth spoke on topics of criminal practice and increasing the admissions of women to law school.

Due to a scheduling problem, former U.S. Congresswoman Martha Griffiths was unable to attend the conference.

Pepe Says Clinic "OK"

"Clinic is not trying to teach law students 'cookbook formulas and turn out talented technicians; rather we are trying to encourage students to reach for theoretical models of effective lawyer performance, then to try them and test them critically so they can change both their theories of how they should behave as well as their actual performance. If they can be more self-conscious, thoughtful, and critical about their and other's performances, they will be better learners in the future--not mere technicians."

Steve Pepe is concerned about the future of the Clinical Law Program here at the Law School. In view of the recent administration movements regarding the re-evaluation of the program, Pepe's concern may well be justified.

The establishment of the Clinical Programs resulted from student pressures for more people-oriented study, as opposed to legal "theory." Clinics are presently undergoing a drop in enrollment, which is ironic in light of the ongoing improvement in the quality of the program and the high ratings that the programs get from their participants and the alumni.

In a recent interview, Pepe, who has organized the clinical programs over the past few years after teaching in the clinical program at Harvard, noted that the high cost of clinics is the main reason that it has come under suspicion so soon after its inception. Clinic has a different..."
Ask The Dr.

I was reading the Raw Review the other day and noticed the rumor that someone named Dick Vitale had been cloned from Prof. Kamisar. I finally found out who this Vitale is, and there certainly is a resemblance—they're both a little obnoxious but cute. Now I'm very curious. Could you check it out? --I AM CURIOUS (YALE)

DEAR CURIOUS,

You can rest your mind. It's not true. Both were victims of a Close Encounter of the Fourth Kind, in which the earthling is transported to another planet (where he may take on the characteristics of the aliens before returning).

I checked with my good friends at the Free Press and found that Vitale (of the re-VITALE-ized Pistons, an inexplicable phenomenon in themselves) is a product of New Jersey. This alone should be enough to refute any rumor of collaboration, since native New Yorkers, like our professor, loathe those from the suburbs of the Garden State.

In separate raids, each was taken by beings from without to a planet inhabited by foul-mouthed, ill-tempered, nearsighted, hyperkinetic users of greasy kid stuff.

They both used to be likeable guys! No kidding! But they were not cloned—they are merely the victims of an intergalactic foul-up. (It is true, however, that Professor Browder was cloned from Walter Brennan.)

Remember, if you have questions that you can't wait to get answered, drop a line to: DR. DEMURRER, BOX 294, LAWYERS CLUB

From The Editor:

Sorry 'bout that Yale.
The children of many professors and secretaries are often at the law school, but this year's two new arrivals occupy a special place, here. Nancy Krieger, Placement Office Director, had pioneered the combining of a career at the law school with a family. Her daughter is only eight weeks older than her job. Until this year, however, no female employee had gone through an entire pregnancy at the law school.

Assistant Dean Sue Eklund remembers being very nervous appearing before 300 orientees, obviously very pregnant. The orientation leaders, she says, were wondering whether she would make it through the picnic. Professor Chris Whitman's students were commenting last winter on how fat she was getting, before she decided to tell them she was pregnant.

Chris gave birth to Claire Brooks Whitman in June. In September the Assistant Dean brought David John Eklund into the world.

Sue says it was nice to share the whole experience with that many people who care. Both women found close feelings within the law school. Prof. & Mrs. Peter Westen are Claire's godparents. Chris, who is teaching Torts and Constitutional Law I this semester, says she found it easy to have a child here.

The male professors seem to feel a special bond with the new arrivals, since they have followed the whole pregnancy. Professors and students frequently asked the women how they were feeling.

Sue found that the male students had a nice interest in her pregnancy. She says some had children themselves, while others didn't know any women who had had babies. She was often asked if she could feel the baby kicking, and when it was due.

Chris and Sue are happy their children will be growing up together. They feel people are less likely to make comparisons since the children are of opposite sexes. Each hopes there will be less sexism in her child's upbringing because another child will be doing the same things at the same time.

Each woman expects to stay in Ann Arbor. It's a very nice place to have a family. Chris says.

Sue emphasized that they are both pretty darn glad they waited a while before starting their families. She has been married to Steve, who is a dentist working on his doctorate at U-M School of Public Health, for seven and one half years. Chris and her husband Jay, who is an attorney with the United Auto Workers, have been married for eleven years.

Steve had a great deal of confidence in Sue's ability to get things done. Chris says she worried about having a child and all her other obligations, but Jay was very supportive. Both women say they feel very lucky to have the husbands they have.

The men took part in the births. Each woman used the Lamaze method, which they say is very common in Ann Arbor hospitals. During the day, Kay, whom Sue and Chris met at the orientation picnic, cares for both Claire and David. Kay, a nurse, is the wife of a first year law student. She loves kids, but feels it is not the optimum time to start her own family, so taking care of Claire and David is an ideal job for her. She also works 20 hours a week at U-M hospital.

Chris and Sue feel very lucky to have found Kay. They never worry at all about their children during the day knowing that Kay is giving them stimulation and attention. Neither would
have quit her job if she hadn't found Kay, but now neither has any worries about child care.

Both women are confident that they can successfully combine their careers and families. Sue anticipates that students will have to get used to seeing her during office hours. She says it used to be they could drop in any time and she would be there. She enjoys her job, however, and has no intention of cutting corners. If she did, she says, the work wouldn't be any fun.

She feels it's not good to have only one or two persons at the law school with all the answers. Her absence has been beneficial to the school, she says.

Claire Whitman was born the week after classes ended in June. Chris spent the last four weeks of her pregnancy grading papers. During the summer she had an eight week research grant. She says its hard to leave Claire in the morning, and she looks forward to going home, but she enjoys being a working mother. She could always spend more time on both, she says.

Claire has already been on a business trip with Chris and Jay, and has visited the law school several times. Chris doesn't feel she has to leave Claire behind. In fact, she can't really imagine Claire not being here, she says.

David John has already attended (and slept through) his first law school class. However, Sue is not preparing him for law school. She says she wouldn't wish that on him.
"Free Speech and the Nazis at Skokie" a speech delivered two weeks ago at Hill Auditorium by Ariyeh Neier, the past director of the ACLU, focused on the same topic as a ruling last week by the Supreme Court: i.e. the attempt by the predominantly Jewish Village of Skokie, Illinois, to prevent a Nazi demonstration. The Nazis had threatened to march on the Skokie City Hall to protest a Chicago park ordinance which had recently been invoked to prevent the Nazis from marching in Chicago. This article examines that ruling in light of Mr. Neier’s comments dealing with some of the reasons why the ACLU defended the Nazis, a move that led many of its members to resign.

The Position of the ACLU

Neier spoke one week before the Court’s refusal to review the Skokie decisions. His three arguments in support of the Nazi’s right to march were:

1) Politically- the quashing of any opinion in our nation casts doubt on the consent of the governed, upon which the legitimacy of a democratic government rests;

2) Morally- the risk that an enemy of freedom, such as the Nazis, could come to power is preferable to the risk that a ruthless public official, such as a McCarthy, could suppress freedom of speech at will; and

3) In terms of policy- a ruling against the Nazis would be precisely the type of precedent that could later be stretched to suppress the free speech of other citizens espousing other minority viewpoints.

Turning to the legal arguments, Neier explained that the constitutionality of Skokie’s ordinances centered on a 1952 Supreme Court ruling on another Illinois controversy. At that time, the Supreme Court upheld the Illinois law making it a crime to exhibit in any public place a publication that portrayed "depravity, criminality, unchastity, or lack of virtue of a class of any race, color, creed or religion."

If Skokie’s ordinances had been upheld on this ground, Neier asked, could a public official suppress the free speech of a group preaching Black power? What about the free speech of those who support Israel, considering that the U.N. has voted that "Zionism is racism"? Neier also noted that many of the world’s greatest works of religion, philosophy and literature would fit neatly into the Skokie ordinance.

Continued on next page
Nazis Continued.

Comment

The Supreme Court, with Justices Blackmun and White dissenting, apparently came to conclusions similar to those of Neier. Furthermore, Blackmun's dissent seems to be precisely the type of broad standard that Neier had predicted would be unavoidable in ruling against the Nazis. Blackmun writes that a Nazi march in Skokie "just might fall into the same category as one's right to cry 'fire' in a crowded theatre..." Blackmun continues that there may be no limit on the First Amendment in cases such as Skokie's, but "when citizens assert, not casually but with deep conviction, that the proposed demonstration is scheduled at a place and in a manner that is taunting and overwhelmingly offensive to the citizens of that place, that assertion deserves to be examined."

Under the standard suggested in Blackmun's dissent, would Mayor Daley have been able to prevent the anti-war demonstrators from protesting at the 1968 Democratic Convention? Would Governor Wallace have been able to prevent the civil rights marchers from demonstrating in Selma and Montgomery? In both cases, citizens had "deep convictions" that the place and manner of the protests was "taunting and overwhelmingly offensive."

In light of these problems with Blackmun's suggested standard, and given that there are judges who would manipulate such a standard to the benefit of a Daley or a Wallace, one could assume that to set any limit on the "political" exercise of free speech is inherently dangerous. However, such a leap is not necessary.

A different factual situation should allow for a more limited ruling that does not pose the "slippery-slope" danger. At Skokie the Nazis wanted to march in front of the city hall against a Chicago park ordinance. What if the Nazis should want to march in full uniform on the streets in front of the houses of concentration camp survivors, and pass out literature which asserts that the holocaust was a hoax cooked up by the "International Jewish Conspiracy"? Or what if the Nazis should want to do the same in front of a synagogue in which camp survivors are holding a memorial service on a Friday night? Rights and interests different from those at Skokie would be involved. The manner and location of the protest would be far more restricted and worthy of censure.

Neier said that he would defend the Nazi's right to express themselves at any place. He fights for principle: "Not defending freedom the tenth time it is threatened, but the first," as he stated. His integrity is inspiring and his arguments are sound. Yet he suffers from what Camus saw as the fatal flaw in all "rebels of principle - the inability to recognize limits. There are occasions on which the Nazis should be stopped.

-- Peter Silverman

Antidumping Symposium

The International Law Society will be sponsoring an International Trade Symposium on the subject of "Anti-dumping" law. The symposium, consisting of three panel discussions, will begin at 10:00 A.M. on Friday, November 3 and will continue through Saturday, November 4. There will be a banquet Saturday following the conclusion of the symposium at 7:00 P.M. in the Michigan League.

Michigan Law students and faculty may attend the panel discussions at no charge. Students wishing to attend the banquet may sign up at the ILS office, Room 102B, Legal Research. The cost is $6.00. Tickets will be distributed during the week of October 23-27.

For further information about the symposium or the banquet, contact Stuart Freedman, 764-9019.
White Denies Rumored Construction Botching

Faulty initial construction is not responsible for delays in the library construction, said recently appointed Associate Dean J.J. White. But sandy soil conditions have caused pile-driving difficulties, and completion is now estimated for Fall 1980, two to three months later than expected.

White keeps tabs on progress in the pit as part of his job as Associate Dean. His tenure will expire as of the date of completion, or August 1980, whichever is later.

White envisions the Legal Research annex as an elegant student study space, although he concedes that Library Director Beverley Pooley may view the addition’s functions otherwise. The new wing will provide office space for the library staff, the Law Review, and the Journal of Law Reform, as well as 300 study carrels and a student lounge with skylight.

Construction costs will exhaust the law school’s presently available construction funds. Contracting bids exceeded expected costs by more than $1 million. Consequently, White does not expect renovations of Legal Research and classrooms or other building improvements to be planned in the near future.

As Associate Dean, White polices the faculty on such mundane matters as class scheduling, exam date changes, course grading, and student attendance in class.

White is serving on the committee to re-evaluate the law school’s clinic program. He considers student interest to be the program’s biggest problem. Only sixteen students are enrolled this semester in a program designed to handle thirty.

White opined that curriculum revisions introduced to accommodate student demands typically end up being rejected later by the students themselves. The administration introduced the clinic program in response to student pressures. Now, White noted students tend to choose traditional courses over clinic to satisfy prospective employers’ desires.

Nevertheless, the re-evaluation committee intends to revise the clinic program to suit the needs of the current student body. White suggested lowering the class hour requirement as a means of inducing greater participation.

White, who conducted his first jury trial in conjunction with a student as a clinic instructor, endorses the program as an opportunity for the student to learn while having some fun.

Although instruction by tenured faculty might raise the "status" of the program in the law school, White feels that student interest should not be affected.

Students offer much input but have little influence in tenure decisions, White said. Students tend to weigh scholarship less than teaching ability in appraising the caliber of a tenure candidate’s performance. However, students recently have become more aware that the law school’s status in the country is dependent more on faculty scholarship than of teaching quality.

Continued on next page
LSSS Creates
New Committee

The Law School Student Senate is creating a new Curriculum Study Group to work with the comparable faculty group in developing a prospectus for curriculum reform at the Law School. While they will not act as members of the faculty committee, students on the CSG will have access to the documents being considered, and will have opportunities to discuss with the members of the faculty committee all aspects of the committee's work.

The Senate will create a committee of three to five persons. A significant commitment is involved. Committee members are expected to do research into curricular reform, obtain extensive student input, and draft a report to be submitted by the the Senate to the Faculty by the end of the school year.

This will be one of the most important activities occurring at the Law School over the next several years. The sooner students get involved and the more knowledgeable they become, the more effective student input will be in determining the future of legal education at Michigan.

Applications are available at the Senate office. For further information, contact Steve Harris.

CONSTRUCTION, Continued

White finds that quality teaching and superior scholarship are to a degree mutually exclusive. Faculty members are well aware of deficiencies in some of their colleagues' teaching methods. White attributes poor teaching to the instructor's lack of preparedness for class, dislike for students, or disdain for student's legal ambitions. He implied that faculty members' decisions to pursue academic study of the law rather than private practice reflect their opinions of which is the more worthwhile endeavor.

Committee of Visitors Invades

This week the Committee of Visitors will be prowling the halls of Hutchins talking with faculty and students and getting a general feel for the workings of the Law School. The Committee consists of a rather broad cross-section of Law School Alumnae and Alumni who are invited each fall to visit the School in a consultant-type role. The members sit in on classes, meet with faculty, administrators and students, and generally attempt to learn as much as they can about the current Law School operations in a short time.

From Thursday, Oct. 26, through Saturday, Oct. 28, these distinguished men and women may be seen conspicuously strolling in and out of lectures, wandering aimlessly in the hallways, or grabbing a bite to eat in the Law Club Cafeteria. Make them welcome by sharing your complaints and suggestions about the Law School (or just a few insights, if you have any). An articulate expression of changes you would like to see just might be the most effective chance you may have as an individual to do something to improve our collective lot.

Dean White has requested that all students who wish to meet members of the Committee informally come to a Continental Breakfast (rolls, coffee, tea, etc.) between 8:30 and 9:30 on Friday, Oct. 27, in the Law Club Cafeteria. Further, any student who wishes to attend the Committee luncheon on Friday is asked to submit her/his name to the L.S.S.S. Office early in the week, before 5 pm on Tuesday.
A number of items were discussed at the LSSS meeting last Tuesday. First, the Committee of Visitors, comprised largely of law school alumni, will visit the law school on October 26-27. A number of discussion groups, seminars, and luncheons will occur, in which student participation is invited. As the number of slots allotted for students for these meetings is limited, the LSSS will select the students for participation should the number of students desiring to participate exceed the slots available. Students desiring to attend the conference should submit their name at the LSSS Office by noon on Tuesday, October 24.

Secondly, the LSSS has been investigating the Copy Center, the results of which investigation will be published in a subsequent Res Gestae. More importantly, though, Professor White has formulated a plan which would completely revamp the cost system used by the Copy Center. This plan, of which the LSSS approves, would essentially charge students only for those copies they in fact receive. The $20 charge limitation per course would be removed, so that students will pay the full cost of the materials they receive. The $20 limitation will be retained, however, if the copied materials represent a professor's attempt to formulate a new casebook. This plan, of course, is contingent upon the development of an administrative system no more costly than the current system. If one can be developed, we hope that this plan might be implemented as early as possible, for under the current system, some students are subsidizing others. Anyone interested in helping on this project should stop by the LSSS office.

Dean Sandalow has two appointed committees to study the nature of the curriculum at Michigan and to develop proposals for alteration if such change would be desirable. Professor Sax heads up one group, which will review the general scope of legal education here, while Professor Cooper will direct a review of the clinical programs here. As yet, neither professor is willing to permit students to sit on either committee, although Professor Cooper indicated that he would actively solicit student comments. We find it appalling that the administration would consider major changes in the law school curriculum without student participation in the deliberations. We hope that Dean Sandalow and the two professors will rectify this situation.

With regard to the TV in the Lawyers Club. It would cost about $120 per year to install cable television at this time. This expenditure appears wasteful for two reasons: first, the Lawyers Club television would be denied the use of any of the movie channels (PG or X-rated), since the television does not sit in an individual's private room. Secondly, any reception problems that currently exist can easily be corrected if students will only adjust the directional antenna control behind the set.

Also, we wish that the Res Gestae would have talked to the LSSS and/or the Phid House before printing its unsigned editorial castigating the whereabouts of a shirt sale. Had they talked to either group, they would have found that a sale was planned, and did in fact occur, this past week. We support the RC's efforts, but we hope that it will investigate its stories a little more thoroughly in the future. Furthermore,
Robert Tisch appeared at the Lawyers Club lounge on October 12 to discuss his tax reduction proposal, Proposition J, which will appear on the Michigan ballot on November 7.

This proposal, also known as the "Tisch Amendment", would reduce assessed property values by one-half, restrict the annual increase in assessed valuation to one-fourth the rate of the past ten years, and place a 5.6 percent ceiling on Michigan's personal income tax rate (the rate is now 4.6 percent). The plan is modeled after Howard Jarvis's Proposition 13 which was enacted in California this past June. Its success or failure in Michigan (which is generally thought to have a more stable electorate than California) will be seen by some as tending to confirm or deny the theory that the nation is experiencing a "tax revolt".

The forum, sponsored by Phi Alpha Delta, quickly became a farce as Tisch rambled about various unrelated topics, including his experiences as Drain Commissioner and as an unsuccessful Soap Box Derby driver. He managed to evade nearly every question by continuing with stories about his past.

Mr. Tisch apparently has a very poor self image. He continually referred to himself as a "jerk", and took pride in the fact that he is one of the few people to have been recalled from a local school board. He has no style and he seemed to have adopted the sharpness of Paul Rosenbaum, an unsuccessful conservative who ran in the Democratic Senate primary.

Tisch seemed to enjoy annoying the forty or so law students who attended the forum. His pleasure at causing uproar throughout the state is probably similar to the reaction of an attention seeking child who wields a dangerous weapon in the company of a group of justifiably concerned adults.

It is the opinion of this author that Mr. Tisch will not accept the fact that the enactment of his proposal would wreak havoc in all areas of state and local government, especially in the area of education. By cutting local income in half, primary and secondary schools would suffer severe funding problems. In response the state would likely attempt to ease the burden by diverting higher education funds to the local level and raising tuition costs to cover the diversion.

Confusing matters even more are the two other "tax revolt" measures, Proposition E (the "Headlee Amendment") and Proposition J (the "Voucher Plan"). If all three proposals should pass (or even if only two of the three should pass), the result might take years to sort out and apply. This would provide, as Tisch states, "jobs for all of you law students for years to come."

---Alan Walton

L.S.S.S. Continued

Phi Delta Phi does not have any exclusive franchise on the sale of shirts. We do not have the power to limit the use of law school facilities and activities in this manner.

Finally, the Michigan Bottle Bill, effective December 4, will require some action with respect to the Coke machine in the basement of the Lawyers Club. Terminating the service, raising the price and/or providing recycling bins are all being considered. If you have any suggestions, stop by the Senate office and air them or write a note to us.

The next scheduled Senate meeting will be on Tuesday, October 31 at 6:30 p.m. If you have an item which you would like to have discussed, please post the item on the agenda list posted in the Senate office.

JLM
meet seriously hurt the Bar's fortunes. Elaine Mittleman and Andrea Beggs were forced to run three events.

Law Purple tied Law Bronze 0-0 in football, but lost on account of having less first downs. Quarterback Mike Romero drove Purple down to the two-yard lines, were they were held on fourth down. Blitzing linebacker "Mean" Dean Rocheleau sacked the Bronze quarterback in the endzone, but the play was called back because of a Bronze penalty. The two teams play again later this season. A biased account of this game can also be found on this page.

Law Passers (2-0-2) beat Chicago 2-0 to advance to the all-campus "A" soccer quarterfinals. Passers dominated the game, but could not put the ball in the goal until centerhalfback Phil Schradle tipped in John Horan's corner kick in the second half. A few minutes later, left wing Jim Hillbolt dribbled out of the corner and lofted a cross with his right foot. Horan headed the ball in the goal for his third tally of the year. Passers' outstanding defensive corps, led by centerfullback John Schubitowski and goalie Steve Moser, have only allowed one goal in four games.

Last week's independent division softball semifinal "A" game was replayed after the Good Times' protest was allowed. The Canyon won, 2-1, led by Bill Smelko's game-winning RBI. Canyon and Pizza Bob/Yellow Cab had agreed not to replay their final game (which Canyon won) in case the protest was allowed and Canyon beat Good Times again. The Canyon's football team won its first game 48-0 last week.

Sports Editor Al's Michigan Bar Association defeated RG Editor Chuck's Law Strawberry, 4-2, in coree volleyball. MBA has taken two out of three from Strawberry this year, while the all-time series is tied at 2 apiece. The Bar Association took 5th out of 7 teams in the coree track meet. They were seriously hampered by several team members' interviews in LA, Cleveland and Detroit. The team of Brooke Schumm, Pete Massen, Andrea Beggs, and Cindy Ficke won the 70 meter shuttle hurdle relay in 45.9 seconds. Due to a mixup timing other teams in their heat, MBA was forced to win the event twice. Star runner Cindy Ficke sprained her ankle (but still finished) in the second heat. Her absence for the rest of the

BRONZE SCOTCHES PURPLE

Playing with typical early season reckless abandon, Law Bronze chalked up its first win of the intramural football season, defeating Law Purple in a surprisingly close game Thursday night. The Bronze, mainly of Section 2, lived up to pre-season declarations of "juggernaut" at times, but had enough lapses of concentration to make the game exciting nonetheless.

Captain Dave "Too Tall" Mendez attributed the victory to the dominant Abuse Inc. defense, noting, "They were tougher than nuclear waste". He also complimented the Amazing Grace offense on not fumbling.

Mendez had special praise for imported punter Massimo Nicolazzi of Italy. "'Satchmo' really put a couple into orbit", he enthused, "which is really something considering that he had never even seen a football until tonight".

The lack of a truly outstanding team effort was most likely due to the unexplained absence of the Bronzed Belles. It is assumed that their presence this week will promote a higher level of desire on the part of some team members.

Meanwhile, Law Bronze rolls along, never forgetting the motto that has brought them this far, "I'd rather have a bottle in front of me than a frontal lobotomy".
The winner of the sports poll for the weekend of October 14 was Dave Lipkin with a season high mark of 29-11. Mike Halpin was a distant second at 26-13. There was a tie for the bottom spot for the week as both Pete Koenig and Dean Arvidson posted scores of 13-27. The average score dropped to 20-20. Dave should see me to claim his prize.

The point spreads have been eliminated for this week in an effort to improve the scores. Just circle the winners, cross out the losers, and put your entry in the box outside Room 100 by 4:00 on Friday. The visiting team wins all ties.

COLLEGE

Minnesota at Michigan
Illinois at Indiana
Purdue at Iowa
Wisconsin at Michigan State
Northwestern at Ohio State
Central Michigan at Bowling Green
Ball State at Eastern Michigan
Ohio University at Western Michigan
Iowa State at Kansas
Colorado at Missouri
Oklahoma State at Nebraska
Miami, Florida at Notre Dame
Wake Forest at Auburn
Florida at Georgia Tech
North Carolina at South Carolina
Penn State at West Virginia
California at USC
Arizona State at Washington
Arkansas at Houston
Baylor at Texas Christian
Georgia at Kentucky
Kent State at Air Force
Kansas State at Oklahoma
Washington State at Oregon
Southern Methodist at Texas
Rice at Texas A&M
Miami, Ohio at Toledo

PRU

Baltimore at Miami
Buffalo at Cleveland
Denver at Seattle
Detroit at Chicago
Houston at Cincinnati
Kansas City at Pittsburgh
N.Y. Giants at New Orleans
N.Y. Jets at New England
St. Louis at Philadelphia
San Diego at Oakland
San Francisco at Washington
Tampa Bay at Green Bay
Los Angeles at Atlanta

TIEBREAKER: How many field goals will be kicked in the 13 pro games listed on this poll?

NAME: ____________________________

IM Scoreboard

GRADUATE FOOTBALL
Dental Derelicts 24, Law Black'n'Blue 6
Abra Cadaver 14, Law Orange 0
Goldinlays 7, Law Phids 0
Law Silver W, MBA F
Law Bronze 0, Law Purple 0
COREC VOLLEYBALL
Michigan Bar Association 4, Law Strawberry 2
V-ballers 4, Law Maroon 2
Midnight Sulkers 4, Law Peppermint 3
ALL-CAMPUS SOCCER
Law Passers 2, Chicago 0
COREC TRACK
Co-rection 58, Breakers 44, Bursley West Side 44, U Towers 38, Michigan Bar Association 28, Los Burros 24, Wreck Staph 24
The Student Senate (LSSS) released the 1978-9 allocation information. 11 organizations were allocated a total of $7614. Following is a list of some of those allocations from a slightly different perspective:

- Orientations...$460
- Phones........$505
- Subscriptions...$460
- Postage........$230
- Conventions...$1698
- Supplies.....$505
- Newsletters...$140
- Special Proj...$952
- Nat. Dues....$395

Let's get some men involved in the WLSA and whites in the BLSA.

NATIONAL LAWYERS GUILD

Twice each year the regions of the National Lawyers Guild hold Regional Conferences. The purpose of a Regional is to share skills, ideas, and problems with other lawyers and law students doing similar kinds of law, and to have fun. This weekend, October 27-29, the Mideast Region is holding its Fall Regional, and workshops will cover the following topics, among others:

- Environmental Law
- Busing and Desegregation
- Affirmative Action
- Right-Wing Activities
- Tax Revolt

There will be a meeting at 8:00 Tuesday night in the Cook Room to disseminate more information about the weekend, make plans for transportation, and exhort undecided people to come. Cost is nominal, and well worth it for a chance to inject your legal schooling with a dose of reality.

The weekend past has reminded this Night Manager of the problems which arise when law students have parties in close proximity to other law students' study/sleep locations. There are always two parties to an argument about late-night noise; I sometimes get called upon to make a "standard of noise" decision which will be opposed vehemently by at least one party.

- nearly all parties are loud
- some parties are loud at the wrong time
- some parties are too loud for any time

Last year the Cook Room was rented to a couple of rugby teams for a party the night before one of the first-year section's first law school exams. The first couple of residents who called me complained of the noise at about 7:00 PM. After a trip across the quad, I determined that it was unfortunate that the party was loud, but that it was no louder than most parties and could continue. About 11:00 PM I was called several more times, and went over to check it out. The party was by that point entirely too loud. Not only was it loud but the partiers were roaming the halls of "N" section harassing people. [I heard substantiated rumors, the next day, of nude rugby players throughout the quad.] It took an insane sense of responsibility to get me into the midst of all those drunken rugby players, telling them to calm down and shut up. But they did, eventually. Still later, after 1:00 AM I was called several more times by "N" section residents complaining of the noise. That time I had to go over and oversee the dismantling of the party. No party in the Cook Room is allowed to go past 1:00 AM with...
liability: if you are playing music at the party and it is after 1:00 AM, then everything shuts down the moment there is a complaint by a resident. The rugby team is an extreme example, but then there was the time the fraternity rented the Main Lounge and then proceeded to blockade the entire main building. Their door checker pulled a sword cane on me when I tried to enter the building! I live in that building!

PINBALL
Debi Kirsch Buccaneer 343,330
R. C. Littlefield Spin Out 186,350
Lesser & Wall Evel Knievel 519,540
Dawn Star Super Soccer 186,190

The machines not listed this week were either broken in such a manner as to give scores astronomically high, or machines on which new record cards were placed but no new records recorded.

Could anyone who has been helped by the travel board in the mail room area please let me know about it somehow? I'd like to know if it is useful or should be changed or discontinued.

I really hope that no one else plans to attend the Halloween party this coming Saturday as the pope. I know that it's just too good an opportunity to pass up, but I had the idea before all the new potentialities opened up.

I hear that reference was made, in a first year class, last week, to the gorilla with the I.Q. of 95. From what I hear, the reference was humorous. I personally wonder if this is not more serious than it seems at first note. What do you do with a creature which can score 95 on an I.Q. test (one which was culturally biased for sure) but a creature which does not happen to be a member of Homo sapiens? The same animal expresses a full range of human emotions, can communicate bilaterally or unilaterally in three separate modes of communication. All of our laws refer to "persons" or to "people" or, in those not yet revised, "men." But what does "person" mean? Does it mean Homo sapiens? "Female" does not always legally mean a human with an XY pair of sex chromosomes. I don't mean to apply that Koko might be ready to assume the duties as well as the rights of a legal entity. But it's getting pretty close.

This week I am going to try to use this column in a manner intended to get us all some information about which we are all curious, I'm sure. Please fill out the form on the right side of this page and turn it into the RG office as soon as you can.
CALENDAR

The following tentative dates have been set for preclassification and early registration for Winter Term 1979, and also for various meetings:

Seminar and Clinic Materials are now available in Room 300

Seminar & Clinic Sign-up Deadline
Friday, October 27--3p.m.

Preclassification materials available
Wednesday, Nov. 1--Room 300

Election sheets & Course sign-up
Nov. 6-15, in front of Room 100

Preclassification deadline
Wednesday, Nov. 15

Early registration--results of
Preclassification (Room 236)
December 11-20

First-year meetings with Dean Eklund to discuss seminar sign-up and classification procedures:
§1 11:30 Mon. Nov. 6 Room 218
§2 10:00 Mon. Nov. 6 Room 220
§3 2:30 Mon. Nov. 6 Room 120

Summer-starter Course Selection Panel with Professors Kahn, Reed & Rosberg:
Room 150 4:30 Nov. 7

First-year Course elective panel with Professors Chambers, Gray, Payton, Pierce, Regan & Stein:
Room 100 3:30 Nov. 8

Halloween Party

SPONSORED BY THE L.S.S.S. SOCIAL COMMITTEE

Sat. Oct. 28 9:00 - ?

Lawyers Club Lounge

COSTUMES OPTIONAL (but requested and recommended)

BEER ----- WINE ----- MUSIC
All on tap

Co-sponsored by the Business and Public Health Schools!!!
TUESDAY, October 24

BLSA Bake Sale, 8am-noon/outside 100HH
We would appreciate any support we get, either through contribution of "goodies" or patronage of the sale.
Christian Law Students Bible Study on James I, 3:35pm/Cook room
National Lawyers Guild meeting with information on Regional Conferences, 8pm/Cook room

WEDNESDAY, October 25

Last Day to claim WLSA coffee mugs, 11am-1pm/outside 100HH

THURSDAY, October 26

La Raza Executive Board meeting, noon/ La Raza office
PAD Luncheon, noon/Faculty Dining Room
Everyone welcome; brown-bag it or buy lunch; speaker begins at 12:30pm
Speaker: Maxine Virtue, candidate for Washtenaw County Probate Judge, who graduated from Yale Law School in 1935, and whose career includes a stint as research associate at U-M Law School ('47-50), and as an assistant attorney general in Michigan ('52-73).
Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation (CPR) course today and next Tues., 7-10pm/Cook room. It's worth the time, you can save a life. If interested, call Jon, 764-9036.

FRIDAY, October 27

Clinic sign-up deadline (incorrectly announced as Oct. 17).
Luncheon gathering for French speakers, noon-1pm/Lawyers Club cafeteria
La Raza slide show on the eleuth world festival of students and youth in Havana, Cuba, noon/Cook room. Bring your sack lunch.
BLSA office open house, 6-9pm/new office location (in Lawyers Club) All welcome; members urged to get re-acquainted with facilities.
La Raza & BLSA open house, 6:30-9pm "La Raza Special: "Menudo de la Sierra" con "Chile del Monte"
BLSA party, 10pm-?/tentative location: Trotter house, check BLSA bulletin board.

SATURDAY, October 28

HALLOWEEN party, 9pm-?/Lawyers Club Lounge. Beer, wine, & music on tap. Costumes optional, but recommended.

CLINICAL LAW I & CHILD ADVOCACY CLINICS

Note: Sign-up deadline is Oct. 27, not Oct. 17.
Questions: Clinical Law I--665-2777or 763-4319
Child Advocacy--763-5000

GARGOYLE FILMS

This Friday:

BULLITT

*** Starring ***
Steve McQueen

7 & 9:15 p.m. Room 100 Hutchins

Admission:
Law Students - 50¢
Others - $1