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THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN LAW SCHOOL STUDENT WEEKLY

Just When You Thought It Was Safe To Trust The Administration...

“WaterQuad”

ANN ARBOR-- Reporters for the R.C., investigating rumors that the new underground library addition is either a communist plot or part of the Construction Workers Relief Bill, have uncovered a tale of sordid deception and rank corruption that goes back to 1975.

The story began at a post-dinner meeting between then Dean St. Antoine and several well-to-do alumni who were considering donating money for the proposed addition. The Dean’s sales pitch finally convinced the alumni to contribute several million dollars to the fund. It wasn’t until the next morning that it was discovered that the plans for the building (along with most of the furniture in the room) had been turned upside down sometime during the evening's festivities, and the alumni had bought an underground library.

The Dean was forced to come back and convince the architect and the school administrators that it was better to go down than to build the planned structure that was to match the aluminum walkway between Hutchins Hall and Legal Research. Considering the beauty of the original plan, this was a difficult task, but St. A.'s persuasive powers did the trick, helped by promises to build a parking structure over the new library for the faculty. (The plans now call for this area to be "park" space. The faculty are the only ones who know that park is used there as a verb rather than a noun.)

Architect Gunner Birkerts (commissioned for obvious reasons) redesigned the building to suit the new lower expectations. The bottom fell out of the local aluminum market when it was discovered that the law school was going underground rather than building its tribute to Alcoa. (148 F.2d 416)

The Law Review considered switching its soda machine over to aluminum cans to help out, but this was vetoed by faculty members who find anything canned -- outlines, briefs, Coke -- offensive.

The next set of scandals came with the ground-breaking for the new addition. That was all the subcontractor Rogers did -- for months. They broke ground and moved it to one side of the hole. Then they broke it and moved it back. For a change they moved it into the Quad. The Law School administration has been tied Continued on p.5

---
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Dear Editor:

The last issue of the RG contained a letter from the Law School Student Senate President, Al Knauf, chastising the Editor and one of the RG writers for illegally endorsing candidates for the recent Senate election. I applaud the RG for the editorial note explaining the true rules to Knauf and the rest of the student body.

I am quite upset that Knauf represented that he was acting in support of Senate policy. The truth is that at no time did the Senate authorize Knauf to refrain from printing endorsements. It has always been the policy of the Senate to NOT involve itself in the editorial decision-making process of the RG. The Senate Constitution specifically forbids Senate involvement. (Incidentally, Knauf quoted that exact section in his article of last week.)

It was quite obvious to me that Knauf used his position as Senate President to lend credence to his personal attack on Terry Calhoun. Were his motives pure, he would have attempted to use existing avenues to create an official Senate policy regarding endorsements. (Again, incidentally, the policy quoted in part by Knauf and in whole by the RG Editor, was specifically reviewed by the Senate after it took office last April.)

At the last and final meeting of the 1979-1980 Senate, I introduced a motion to censure Knauf for writing the aforementioned letter. An immediate call for the question resulted in a vote of 7 yes, 3 no, and 3 abstentions. Amazingly, Knauf ruled that the 7 votes in favor equaled 2/3 of the Senate membership voting (13) and ordered discussion closed. I objected to this outrageous interpretation of the rules, but unbelievably a majority of the Senate approved of Knauf's dictate and the main motion was then voted down.

I then moved to denounce Knauf for writing the letter. Before the motion could be seconded, a motion to adjourn was made, seconded and passed. The result being that the 1979-1980 Senate left as its only unfinished business the following motion: "That the Law School Student Senate officially denounces President Knauf for the following reasons, all relating to his recent letter to the Editor of the RG:

1. He did the equivalent of misquoting a Senate rule for the purpose of publicly embarrassing certain members of the RG staff.
2. He represented that the positions sanctions that he was espousing were the official policy of the Senate, when in fact the issues were never brought before the Senate.
3. He used his position as Senate President to personally attack Terry Calhoun.
4. He attempted prior restraint of the RG in direct conflict with past Senate policies.
5. His actions fall within the definition of harassment as outlined in the Law School RULES OF CONDUCT AND DISCIPLINARY PROCEDURE.

It is unfortunate that my fellow Senators allowed Knauf to railroad them into not deciding or even discussing the issue of his own wrongdoing. As for myself, I would like to offer my personal apology to the student body for the clearly unprofessional actions of my colleagues. I also would like to apologize personally to Terry Calhoun for the embarrassment that Knauf's allegations has caused him. Unfortunately, I do not speak for the Senate since they adjourned before I could introduce a resolution to that effect.

ALAN D. WALTON
Board of Governors
ADDITIONAL WRITING COMPETITION NOTES--All competitors will have 24 hours after picking up the three topics to select a single topic and report their selection to Bob Fulton in 412 Hutchins Hall. You have three weeks to complete your entry, beginning at the time you select your topic. It is therefore possible to complete your last exam (most first-year sections finish exams on Friday, May 16), relax over the weekend, pick up the topics on Monday, May 19, select a topic on Tuesday, and turn in your completed entry on Tuesday, June 10.

Finally, you will be required to sign the following honor code at the time you pick up the topics:

I pledge neither to give nor receive assistance of any kind from anyone on this paper. Also, until June 10, 1980, I promise neither to allow others to see the topics nor to mention their subject matter.

The exact number of members of the Law Review chosen on the basis of the Writing Competition will depend on the strength of the entries.

ANNOUNCEMENT TO ALL PERFORMERS AND CREW--The Law Revue was recorded on casset tape (for my personal enjoyment, and yours, so no copyright infringement). If you would like to hear your act, call me. Rob Isackson, D-42, 764-9079.

HAVE YOU GOT A BACKGROUND IN COMMUNICATIONS MEDIA? Do you know how to write a press release, or prepare a public service announcement? Student public interest projects need your help. Contact Sanford Lewis 662-3277, Environmental Law Society.

JEAN CASEY AND THE DINING HALL STAFF have adjusted the menus for the duration of Passover (dinner March 31 – lunch April 8) to accommodate those who wish to avoid the forbidden foods — there will always be at least one entree or offering that falls within the holiday proscriptions. And for those who wish to avoid meat on Good Friday, there will be a fish entree. Applause applause for their consideration and extra efforts.

WLSA NEWS--Elections of WLSA board members will be held on Wednesday, April 2. Candidates should drop their name in the envelope on the WLSA bulletin board by Monday, March 31 at 5 p.m. If you have an interest in, ideas for or even gripes about WLSA, this is the perfect opportunity to do something about it. Run for WLSA and vote on April 2.

SFF TOPS $3000! SFF again thanks all those who have pledged and contributed to this year's campaign. We have now raised over $3100, and need only $400 more to top our previous pledged high of $3500 in 1979. Please think about pledging, even if it's only an hour's pay. It will help. Remember, actual donations are not due until July 1, 1980, and for graduating seniors, not until October 1, 1980. But we need your pledges before April 21 so we will know how much we have to distribute to recipients.

For those applying for fellowships the deadline for applications is today, March 31. Those unable to meet this deadline should call Dave Kantor at 662-9378.

A REMINDER--Course info and preclassification materials are available all week long in Room 300 Hutchins Hall—these materials are for summer and fall terms 1980—deadline for preclassification is April 11, 1980.

CLINICAL LAW I AND TAX CLINIC--There are still spaces for the Summer and Fall Terms 1980. Interested students should see Cindy Rosasco in Room 300 Hutchins Hall for information.

SENIOR JUDGESHIPS, 1980-81--Applications now being accepted. Any student who will be a senior during 1980-81 is eligible. An application is not a commitment, but an expression of interest. Applications are available from the receptionist on the third floor of Hutchins Hall outside the Dean's office and must be submitted by WEDNESDAY, APRIL 2. Further details are posted on signs throughout the law school.
Dear Editor:

I would like to apologize for the glaring error I made in statutory interpretation of the LSSS rules. Although I thought there was an LSSS rule that no endorsements could be made in the Res Gestae, apparently there was no rule—only a tradition. To add to my embarrassment, I drafted the rules on "Fiscal Accountability and Budgetary Planning" which I apparently did not understand. I apologize to the Res Gestae and to Mr. Calhoun for accusing them of violating LSSS rules—they did not do so. Hopefully, I will never be on the other side of the court room from Ms. Latovick in my career as an attorney, or else I may be "blown away" again (and sued for malpractice).

As LSSS president, it has been my job to enforce the LSSS rules. Had my imaginary "rule" really existed, it would have been appropriate for me to tell the R.C. (a subcommittee of LSSS) not to publish Mr. Calhoun's endorsements, and to use my title in statements on the issue.

Throughout the year, there have been a number of controversial letters in the R.C. While many people in the past have been proven wrong, I am happy to become the first person to admit it in print.

As a personal note, I still believe it was poor judgment of the editor to print Mr. Calhoun's endorsements. I do think it was an attempt by Terry to "unduly" influence the election at the expense of 1,113 other students, especially Kirk Messmer, Judy Kaplan and Dave Schreir. I am also distressed that people lately have taken such "political" controversies so personally. I only speak out on such issues when I feel it is appropriate for the benefit of law students or the integrity of my official position. I certainly hold no personal grudges against people like Terry Calhoun or Marc Abrams. It's too bad that Mr. Calhoun's journalism has slid to such a low level.

Sincerely,

Al Knauf
Former LSSS President

Dear Editor,

Bryan Lesser's recent letter, in which he criticizes the Senate for its recommendation to the Law Review, scarcely merits a reply. Nevertheless, it is necessary to correct a few misimpressions that may have been caused by the letter.

1. Lacking an argument to fit the facts, Mr. Lesser has twisted the facts to fit his arguments. The resolution read, "The Senate recommends to the Law Review that the Law Review establish a fair written procedure for the settlement of internal disputes," (emphasis mine) no demanded as Mr. Lesser says. The Senate made not a demand, but a recommendation.

2. In a dubious effort to suggest that the Senate lacks the support of its constituency, Mr. Lesser cited Terry Calhoun's recent "poll." It is apparent to anyone with even the most rudimentary knowledge of statistical research techniques that the results of this survey lack even the smallest shred of scientific significance and are consequently entitled to no probative weight whatsoever.

3. By mentioning that he is not a "member of the Review," Mr. Lesser attempts to cast himself in the role of a disinterested observer. However, he fails to mention that he is a Journal staffer; presumably he is concerned by the prospect of a similar resolution directed at the Journal.

4. Mr. Lesser says that he feels "offended by [the Senate's] demand and by their failure to even investigate the incident which led to the demand." Two points are relevant here:
   a. It is inconsistent for Mr. Lesser to assert that it was the Senate's duty to investigate an internal dispute between members of the Law Review. Such an inquest would amount to just the sort of impermissible interference with the Review's internal affairs that the Senate is trying to avoid.
   b. It is not the Senate's duty to determine whether a given member of the Review has been treated fairly. Rather, it is the Review's responsibility to devise an appropriate mechanism for the resolution of such disputes. Since no such mechanism presently exists, the Senate decided to take action.

In conclusion, it is sadly ironic that for the staff of the Law Review of one of the finest law schools in the country, due process of law amounts to no process at all.

Noah Yanich
to Rogers' scheme to make Mt. Cook into a profitable ski resort by turning the Lawyers Club into condominiums. In any case, lack of snow that year finished off the idea. And, incidentally, Rogers.

For the last few months the Quad has been used as a Construction Truck Showroom with kickbacks going to highly placed administration officials each time a sale is made. The close confines, the jagged flagstones and muddy terrain make a perfect spot for demonstrating maneuverability and durability of heavy equipment. The Army, through J.J. White, has put in a bid to use the Quad for survival training this summer, noting that E Section's strategic location makes it ideal for machine gunners. When asked to comment, Prof. White refused to give any reason for the trench being dug into the mud by trucks where Mt. Cook once stood.

This sordid history of kickbacks accounts for the Administration's reticence to restrict traffic through the Quad—even to the point of refusing to put a Yield sign under the E entry way.

In an off-the-record interview with Construction representatives at Dominick's it was also learned that there are plans to flood half the Quad and barge the concrete and equipment across, thus saving the time and expense of repairing the interior of the Quad. The Lawyers Club is planning to raise the rates on rooms with a "lake view", as well as renting canoes to those under-grads who find this a restful, enchanting place to visit. Former LSSS President Knauf has been commissioned to sell hot dogs and Coppertone to the canoeists, in addition to his ever popular, "Coral Gables, Fla., Michigan of the South" tee shirts.

The R.G. has turned documentation of the above incidents and plans over to the Washington Post. We predict that "Water-quad" will become a household word before this mess is finally over.

---

LETTERS, cont. from page 4

Dear Editor,

The regular readers of Terry Calhoun's column once again have been offered a menu of irrelevancies and vindictive insults. He began last week's piece with a complaint concerning a satirical leaflet which appeared on the day of LSSS elections. Produced by a few people under a pseudonym, the leaflet provoked Mr. Calhoun to quote Lionel Tiger on the existence and behavior of male groups. Rather than being a relevant comment, this quote was simply a jealous personal insult directed at the leaflet authors and their friends. Perhaps it would be of interest to Mr. Calhoun to learn that Tiger goes on to suggest that "bondless aggressionless males are in a real sense equivalent to childless females." Men in Groups, p. 191.

And speaking of insults, I was truly shocked to see Mr. Calhoun accusing the mild-mannered LSSS President, Al Knauf, of "vicious, lying attacks on me personally." Al Knauf, who in his letter to the editor last week referred to Terry as "someone who typically argues for fairness..." If Mr. Calhoun cannot learn to gracefully accept the reality that he lost to Al, at least he could avoid venting his "sour grapes" attitude in his weekly feature.

Sincerely,

Daniel J. McCarthy

QUERY: Why is the "ultimate" means of insulting a man comparing him to a woman?

* * *

FROM SOME APRIL FOOLS . . .

Dear Editor:

We would like to express our outrage at the lack of sensitivity expressed by the administration of this law school in the selection of the date for the Campbell Competition. Of all the possible times for the competition, the one day which holds deep significance for an uncountable number of students was selected, thereby forcing us to choose between attending the moot court competition and the Hash Bash. While the degree of observation of this festival varies greatly among law students, we strongly object to the school's ignoring our right to observe this High Holiday and to take advantage of the presence of a member of the Highest Court in the land.

Jim Burns
Jon Golomb
Jon Rivin
Kevin Smith
Larry Wiethorn
AFTERMYTH OF WAR
Excerpts from the memoirs of Speer...the Spandau Diaries
...I remember now that day late in '44; we all knew the end was near. The Fuhrer was pacing furiously; I recall thinking that we would have to weave a new swastika into the carpet before long. The Generals were all pointing to their charts, and I remember the cool, collected look of our top-secret vegetable specialist, Werner von Braun.

I was on the fringes of power then; I realized that as things grew grimmer, a propagandist was about as useful as Howard the Duck (Howard, I knew, had been shipped to Dachau the month before). Now, it was this small clique of men who held the answer to Germany's salvation, or ruination...

Their's had been a bright beginning. We had almost won, almost. With God on our side, we had stormed through Poland, Czechoslovakia, France, Belgium. We stretched from the Atlantic to the Red sea of Russia. Before us lay the 22 miles of the Channel, and England...

England! The bright cradle of modern Civilization. And there it lay, weak, helpless. We started the barrage in London, raining carrots night after night. They were strong, but we were stronger. Von Braun was a genius. For every area of the Isles, he instinctively knew the vegetable they hated most, and he gave them more, more, more...and no desert for a one of them.

Brussel Sprouts poured down upon Devon and Cornwall, while Cauliflower inundated the Yorkshire region. Scots got Radishes, and Liverpool was buried in Wax Beans. And always the carrots on London, night after night.

Churchill got on the radio and told them to keep high their chins and stiff their upper lips...to protect themselves from swallowing any unwanted after-dinner snacks. It was then we knew we had them...

...And it was then that disaster, in the form of our beloved Fuhrer's mental disorder, struck. A two front war, he insisted, though the generals screamed he was mad, that it couldn't be done. Von Braun! he called, and the little man came running, cradling like a child his newest and most powerful invention, the one that would bring the Stalinists to their knees...pickled beets...

Of course, the world knows full well the result of that ill-fated plan. But in '44 there were some who thought it could still be turned around, that victory could be snatched from the jaws of defeat. Of course we did not know then what we know now. America had beaten us to developing the Eplant...

* * * *

For those of you who -- somehow -- remain unaware, there was a Presidential campaign through here last week. No, not Reagan's or Kennedy's, but the L.S.S.S. candidates. The F.E.C. has assured us that Al President successor, Ell Presedente, is not the reincarnation of Dick Daley, although his camp certainly seemed to indicate it. Neither is he a stooge for the pulp and paper interests, although enough trees died for the cause. But the question remains: who IS E Pluribus "Doug" Ellman, and what does the E stand for?
NEWS AND NOTICES, cont. from page 3

AMERICAN INDIAN LAW DAY--In preparation for the American Indian Law Day Conference to be held here at the Law School April 18, the opinion of Judge Noel Fox in U.S. v. Michigan has been placed on reserve at the Library Desk. This is the 1979 Michigan Supreme Court Case granting Ottawa and Chippewa Indians fishing rights free from state regulation based on treaties signed long ago. The opinion is more historical than legal, and very interesting.

** * * * * *

PLACEMENT

The State Bar of Michigan, Young Lawyers Section, will host a Law Office Visitation Day on April 18. It will take place in Detroit. Students will visit a law firm then hear James K. Robinson, United States District Attorney speak at a luncheon held at the Detroit Plaza Hotel Cartier Room. There is no expense to the students. Interested students should pick up an application in the Placement Office. The application should be returned to the Placement Office by April 9.

1st and 2nd year students--Shafer, Butt, Thanen and Bacher from Albuquerque will interview first and second year students on April 11. They are interested in interviewing only students who plan to make their home in the southwest upon graduation. Please submit a request card by Wednesday, April 2 at noon.

3rd year students--Foster, Swift, Collins & Coey, Lansing, will interview third year students on April 11. Please submit a request card by Wednesday April 2 at noon.

If you have not reported your job to the Placement Office, please stop by and fill out a blue job report form.

1st and 2nd year students--If you would like to receive a copy of next fall’s tentative interview schedule in the mail this summer, or if you would like to be included in the student directory mailed to employers, please stop by the Placement Office and fill out the forms, if you have not already done so.

---

Law School Life
by Stephanie M. Smith

Not surprisingly, not much of interest has been happening on other law school campuses (campi?). For what its worth, here's the news:

The photocopying machines at the Walter F. George Schol of Law library are reported to yield a 233% profit on each copy made. Copies cost 10¢ each.

Our own Stan Shapiro is exporting his unique form of humor to other law schools. Stan is now a syndicated cartoonists with cartoons appearing in the Hofstra school newspaper in addition to the R.G.

Many cars parked in the parking lot at Georgetown University Law Center have had their batteries stolen. One night in December, eight students emerged from exam studying to discover the batteries taken from their cars.

Student government elections at Georgetown University Law school have had to be rescheduled due to charges of "injustice, unfairness, and prejudice. Charges involved electioneering, conflict of interest and failure to require proof of indentity of voters.

Harvard Law School tuition is scheduled to rise by 14.3% to $5,000.

Tuition at the University of San Francisco law school will increase 15% to $4,550.

---

Registration Notes

Preclassification Deadline
Friday, April 11

Results of Preclassification
April 28-May 2

Registration & Classification for
Summer Term 1980
Thursday, May 29
Yes, I'm sorry, this column is filled with a lot of bad words and nastiness again. Please forgive me readers, this is the last time. For awhile it was responding to attacks and it surely gave me a lot to write about during an otherwise dry period. But now there is just tons of stuff waiting to be written about lots of nice things to say so this is the last column in which I will respond to attacks. By now you undoubtedly know that the attackers are full of shit any way you take a look at it.

TO THE READER: The following nastiness relates to letters in this week's RG written by Dan McCarthy and Al Knauf. Please read them first in order to really know what's going on.

BACKGROUND (STATEMENT OF FACTS): Three issues of the RG ago, I endorsed some candidates for LSSS positions. It was a clearly stated personal endorsement and I knew every candidate and read all the official statements in the RG before endorsing. That was a Monday. Thursday, LSSS election day, a slander sheet was published by a "group" calling itself the Tom's Christian Association. As far as I now know, it was actually the brain(?)child of Steve Adams. In that sheet the presumed TCA, self-named, among other things implied that a lot of men whom the TCA, self-named, apparently doesn't like, were gay. It implied that having sexual relationships with Tom Bourque had changed the personalities (for the good) of a number of law school women—and in a variety of other ways impuned the good name of a number of good law school people. In the last issue of the RG, Al Knauf personally attacked me for endorsing candidates in the RG. In order to attack me, Al lied. He quoted only half of a sentence some LSSS rules and that half sentence appeared to say that no one was allowed to endorse candidates in the RG. Al then asked that I pay the student body back cost of printing my column that week since I had broken LSSS rules to do so.

only did Al reveal his unwarranted attempt to censor the RG (at the LSSS' next meeting it emphasized that the RG is not to be censored) but the half-sentence quoted created a wrong impression about the LSSS rules. In fact the LSSS rules specifically allowed endorsements such as I made. Had Al finished quoting the half of a sentence he partially quoted that would have been clear. At the last LSSS meeting Al represented that he "made a mistake" because he was hung over in the morning from the Barrister's initiation party the previous night. Well, in the issue of the RG which followed the slander sheet and included Al's letter, I criticize the Tom's Christian Association for being so childishly vicious. I also quoted from Lionel Tiger concerning men in groups--basically a quote pointing out that such nastiness, although it originates from an all male group, is expressed in a manner appropriate for the subculture within which the group finds itself, e.g. law school. I also criticized Al for unfairly, and lyingly attacking me personally. (I must point out here that although the part of the slander attacking me did not bother me personally in the least, I was mentioned in it therefore have standing to criticize it.) In the same issue of the RG that Al's big lie was published, Polly Latovick clearly pointed out that he was wrong. Tammy Stewart cogently pointed out that the contents of the TCA slander sheet illustrate a very basic hatred or women on the part of the writers. Am I up to date? I can hardly tell—there is so much going on.

Now, in this issue of the RG Al Knauf has a psuedo-apology and Dan McCarthy attacks me. In the course of his psuedo-apology, Al also gets in a few more cuts. Really guys, I am flattered by all the attention. (True!) But still i t necessary to set the score straight. That is what follows:

The attacking letter of Dan McCarthy (I really didn't know that he belongs to the Tom's Christian Association.)

- Dan says that missed the mark by attacking "male groups" instead of the few people who put out the slander sheet. Funny, Dan, I can't find that I said anything critical of male groups in general or of any group besides the self-identified Tom's Christian Association.

- Dan says that "Mr. Calhoun" quotation from Lionel Tiger was not relevant. Well, Dan, the last time people went around calling me Mr. Calhoun was when I taught Anthropology at Oakland University and at Wayne County
Community College. I think I can judge whether or not the quotation was pertinent or not. It was. It is also interesting, from an anthropological point of view, how both you and Al attempt to socially "distance" yourself from the people you attack by calling them "Mr." this or "Ms." that.

- Dan, you also seem to have the same trouble as Mr. Knauf, oops, I mean Al-incomplete quotations. In your case the incompletion hides the irrelevance. I complete the quotation:

"In view of the importance of hunting and quasi-hunting behaviour among human males, perhaps it can be suggested that bondless, aggressionless males are in a real sense equivalent to childless females." (p. 191)

This quote is irrelevant in so many ways it's really incredible. First, did you really think you were insulting me by calling me a "childless female?" Boy, are you way off the mark on that one! Attempting that does illustrate your own relationship to that woman-hating Tom's Christian Association, however. The quote is irrelevant in that I am far from "bondless" and your own assertion is that I have been aggressive.

- "Birds of a feather, stick together" Well, Dan, thank you for pointing out, as did Al, my essential innate fairness and consideration for others. As you well know my attack on Al was in self defense and I, at least, stated the truth.

You leave a lot of room for criticism Dan, but in the interests of space I must move on to Al. (The faces and names change, the essential attitudes do not. The "pseudo-apology" of Al Knauf. Actually, it is just another attack but cleverly done.

- Al, you apologize for your "glaring error in statutory interpretation." What a laugh. You didn't misinterpret the statute, you misquoted it. In a manner which should shame someone who hasn't even taken the LSAT yet--not to speak of a third year student who was at the time president of the organization whose rules he misquoted--who had created those very rules.

- And, Al, you continue to lie. You were not the first to admit you were wrong. (We all know you were wrong.) If you reread my column you'll find my admission that I shouldn't have endorsed those candidates. Not for the reasons you claim, but because I regret having hurt some people's feelings and because of the hostilities it generated.

- It was not, Al, your place to criticize me personally even if you had sincerely thought I had broken LSSS rules. In the interval between your attack and my endorsements, you had at least one meeting of the LSSS at which you could have raised your sincere concerns--you didn't--instead you spoke out personally.

- Al, I particularly like your criticism of my "low level of journalism," Coming from you it is particularly understandable--I know that you think my level is low--because I tell the truth. Al, people who live in glass houses shouldn't throw stones. Here's one back: Al, I think you are venting at me--the person you defeated for the LSSS presidency--your anger and frustration at your own personal knowledge that you have spent the last year demonstrating the lowest possible level of political guidance to the LSSS. Under your guidance LSSS meetings often became a farce (no insult to those who tried to be effective but were overrun by your roughewn non-parliamentary tactics). You guided the LSSS which was rated an achievement score of 1.02 on a scale of 1-10. And remember Al, I didn't attack you until after you attacked me.

Well, welcome back the real Terry Calhoun. Please, dear readers, ignore the future sticks and stones, because I surely will. I hope I have defended my credibility enough for you. Other than a defense of credibility I agree that this kind of emotionalism has no place in my column. It surely would never have been here had I not been so viciously attacked. I apologize that this all took place. I think it's amazing that such attacks can happen at such a prestigious base of ethical behavior as this law school.

Terry P. Calhoun
Law & Death

It's good to see some signs of impending spring, such as slush, mud and outbursts of irritation and upset among the law students—signs that cabin fever soon shall break as the golden, honeyed days of April approach. (Well, I can hope about this miserable weather, can't I?) The timing of the elections is almost perfect for the law students to get their dander up; the hockey season is pretty well gone, baseball and other sports are in limbo, finals are too far away to seriously worry about, and we've all just had a marvelous spring "break" which only emphasizes our misery upon returning to the bleak confines of Hutchins Hall. Why not attempt some amateur wall-papering?

Unfortunately, few perceive it quite this way. Ruckus and rumor abound, with rantings other than Yale Kamisar's (of whom we heard enough on Saturday night) being the most commonly echoing sound; the first is the boots we need to get through the bog. I find it hard to believe that people are taking these elections seriously. Not that I object to people being concerned, or caring about what goes on (let's have some more activism on all our parts and this place might actually become tolerable), but the end-of-the-world treatment ought to be saved for the imminent apocalypse, which is coming in a Cockpola months.

Admittedly, some of the people around here have been trying to show the utility of humor and the futility of self-centeredness; a few R.G. columns which regularly adorn these pages, two wit Mallard Fillmore's Ghost and Machle's Misery. We can usually scum up a Cheap laugh, somewhere. This is something that ought to be spread around. Taking oneself too seriously seems to be the root cause of most of the election related hassles, either cause or reaction thereunto.

As usual, a scouting through the Bard produces:

"it is a tale/told by an idiot, full of sound and fury,/signifying nothing."

(Macbeth, V,v,26-28)

Postscriptum: Kudos to Tammy Stewart for organizing and running the Law Revue. From my vantage point, everyone seemed to be enjoying themselves and the acts were all marvelous. I admit I got sucker into working...some things I suppose I am defenseless against.

MYILS Staff Applic.

Applications are available this week for admission to the staff of the Michigan Yearbook of International Legal Studies for the 1980-81 academic year. The topic for Volume III of the Yearbook, and for the colloquium to be held during the academic year, is "Transnational Legal Problems of Refugees." Academics, government and international officials, practitioners, and students will be exploring the legal problems faced by refugees in their transition and entry into countries of refuge, in their resettlement, and in their efforts to win compensation and legal redress for injuries suffered during their ordeal. In addition to articles and student notes, the Yearbook will include an extensive reference appendix.

First year students and 1979 "summer starters" are eligible to apply for staff positions. Second year students may also apply, but the number of second year students selected will be quite limited.

The editors will choose a staff of 15 to 25 on the basis of the application process, which involves submission of legal and nonlegal writing samples, grades, and possible an interview. Students will also be asked to complete an application form designed to permit them to demonstrate their interest in international law or in the 1980-81 topic. In selecting the staff, the editors will concentrate on writing ability, experience in the field, and capacity for assuming the responsibilities and demands that accompany Yearbook membership.

Applications are available from the office of the Michigan Yearbook of International Legal Studies, Room 82 Legal Research. They may be obtained at any time, and must be returned, with all requested materials appended, by Friday, April 11. Selections will be made within two weeks of that date.

The Michigan Yearbook of International Legal Studies does not participate in the Joint Writing Competitions sponsored by the Journal of Law Reform and the Law Review. Please feel free to call Debbie Levy, editor-in-chief, any questions about the Yearbook or the application procedure at 994-0814, 763-4597.
Recently I had the opportunity to speak with the world's foremost authority on boredom, Dr. Furman Blandish, in town to promote his latest work, *The Pocket Encyclopedia of Boredom*. As I was ushered into his hotel suite high above downtown Ann Arbor, Dr. Blandish, a slight man with a graying mustache and a pince-nez, was on the phone to Hollywood, trying to arrange an appearance on the Merv Griffin show.

Dr. Blandish and I engaged in a wide-ranging discussion on all aspects of boredom in contemporary life. Inevitably the conversation turned to law school. To my surprise, Dr. Blandish told me that he too had attended law school in his formative years. In fact, he confessed, he always thought that his interest in boredom dated back to a particularly brutal week of his first year of law school.

Dr. Blandish's seminal contribution to the study of boredom is his conceptualization of the duality of boredom. It is a theory so simple that only a genius could have thought of it. As a starting point, he postulates the concepts of "active" and "passive" boredom. He then goes on to classify personality types, activities, ideas, etc., as either "boredom-emitters" or "boredom-receptors." While it is hard to do justice to the extensive ramifications of this theory, perhaps in laymen's terms it can best be explained thusly: some people are boring, others are bored.

He has written: "Law School is unique among all boredom-related institutions. It is the only one that nurtures both active and passive boredom." Over a lunch of watercress sandwiches and warm milk, I asked him to explain what he meant by that statement. "The life of a law student," he began thoughtfully, "is very unusual, boredom-wise. At the outset, active boredom is the rule: first-year law students are insufferable bores to all normal people. So are second-year law students -- for a while. Then they begin to change -- they wear down a bit, soften up, begin to withdraw. By third-year, the change to passive boredom is complete. That madcap who once forcibly engaged everyone he met in tedious arguments about the differences between reciprocal easements and covenants running with the land, who formerly would spot the issues in letters his girlfriend wrote him, now sits alone in a corner of Dominick's, drinking coffee and doing yesterday's crossword puzzle, killing the hours until it's time to watch Cronkite again."

I asked him about the potential long-term effects of law school on social behavior. If one leads a clean life upon graduation, is it possible to make a significant reduction in one's personal boredom level? The data was inconclusive, he said. "I once tried an experiment in which I placed rats in conditions simulating law school for a period of three years. I intended to release them and monitor their physical and mental health, and their ability to adapt to society. Unfortunately, the experiment was never completed. Half the rats developed cancer, and the other half were crushed when my lab assistant accidently knocked over a shelf of Corpus Juris Secundum."

Dr. Blandish talking about his famous twin studies: "I discovered a pair of identical twins, born to parents who were CPA's. The twins were separated at birth: one was raised by a probate judge, the other by the owner of a travelling circus. I've followed their development since infancy. Today, the one raised by the judge is the owner of a chain of drive-in mortuaries; the other one is the major speechwriter for Jimmy Carter. This shows that while environment does play a weird role in defining character, boredom is genetically determined. Or, as we say in the trade, dullards are borin', not made."

Despite his long years in the boredom field, Dr. Blandish has maintained his sense of humor.

I told him about a friend of mine who had been chronically bored and depressed, until he happened to go to a Dental School party. He found himself to be the most interesting person there, and was cheered immensely. As my friend said: "If you think law students are boring, you ought to try
Mrs. Slote announced that funding has been procured from the University for three long-awaited projects:
1. Conversion of part of the men's room in Hutchins Hall to a women's room.
2. Replacement of the compressor so there would be cold water in Hutchins Hall drinking fountains.
3. Fans to vent the heat now above the reading room and 9th floor offices.

Also, a new system is being worked out so organization office keys would be available at the Law Club desk and LSSS Office.

Many organizations have not filed "convention reports" and their future funding for such events is in jeopardy. Course evaluations should be available in Room 300, the LSSS Office and the library desk by Tuesday. Appointment applications are due tomorrow (April 1) at 5 p.m. in the LSSS office. Two positions were omitted in last week's listing: Residential Committee Chairperson and Stereo Chairperson.

At its last meeting, the Senate voted not to interfere with what the R.C. prints or what films Gargoyle films shows. The election was certified, the Old Senate adjourned forever.

The mass media are one of the most powerful lobbies in Lansing, especially on complex matters like the environment, according to Joe Stroud, Editor of the Detroit Free Press. Stroud spoke at the law school last Wednesday.

Reporters are not particularly well-educated on the environment, he said, but legislators tend to look to the papers, particularly the editorials, to help them form opinions on complicated issues. Because legislators have so much to think about, editorials provide well reasoned opinions to fill the gaps in their information.

How do newspaper editors form their opinions? They talk to several sources, sounding out all the sides. By being included in this discussion, environmentalists can influence the content of editorials, he said.

The Free Press ran a year long editorial feature in 1979, focusing on the Michigan environment. The paper subscribes to several environmental newsletters, and uses them to get an early warning on upcoming issues. In addition, Stroud said that citizens who call him on the phone, and carefully frame the issues, can interest him in new topics or get him to see new sides.

He said the economic argument for environmental protection is often the most forceful, yet most ignored by the media. For example, the economics of the Tellico Dam balanced on the side of the environment, but the media chose to focus instead on the myth of a small fish against a big dam. Once the media found a myth like that, they tend to hang onto it. Environmentalists may prevent this by framing economic issues in a way that the reporter and his audience can understand.

--Sanford Lewis
**Law Revue III: Best Show Ever**

They said it couldn't be done. Try to put together a Law Revue without Barb Watkins and Bev Pooley? Impossible!

But, as usual, they were wrong. When it was all over, many seasoned Law Revue watchers, this observer among them, felt that producer-director Tammy Stewart and her dedicated crew had presented the best show ever to grace the Lawyers Club lounge. It was a night that none of use, especially Yale Kamisar, will ever forget.

The tone was set early in the show, when the first act, Last Clear Chance, brought down the house with its rendition of "Kamisar Rock." And rounding out the first part of the show, Revue veteran Mike Ostroff followed his beloved fruit impressions with his classic "Fuck you, Frank" joke, which sent the Kamisar-crazed crowd repeating, "I don't get it. I don't get it." The first half of the show also featured the crowd-pleasing acts of Susie Mitchell and Kristen Siegesmund and their mellifluous folk singing, Rich Cauley and Marc Abrams with wacky British humor, and Jack Fortner, who sang and shared his L. Hart Wright fantasy with us. No wonder the audience was abuzz at intermission.

But the best was yet to come. Daniel Ramthum opened the second half of the Revue with three fine vocals, ably assisted by Jeff McHugh at the piano. He was followed by Warren ("I play my head") Goldenberg, who played to the audience's blood lust with his scathing version of "Don't Think Twice It's All Right," and finished with a unique—to say the least—performance of the William Tell Overture. David Browne, folksinger extraordinaire and another Revue veteran, highlighted his performance with a beautiful "Over the Rainbow" and, by request, a repeat of his justly-rehowned "The Cockroach that Ate Cincinnati." Then Stan Shapiro exhibited the rapier-like wit and sublime self-confidence that has made him the second most feared man in Brooklyn (behind only David Berkowitz); Stan's comic genius is all the more remarkable when you remember that not once did he mention the words "Yale" or "Kamisar." For a triumphant final act, we had our own Headnotes, those Revue originals. Somehow, the Headnotes always seem to surpass their excellence of the preceding year; my only question is when they're going to cut their first album.

Special mention must go to Paul Criswell and Dave Franks. After three years, they finally got to act out their Law School Fantasies--to the delight of us all. Paul's interpretation of Y.K. was a classic in the genre. If you're listening (ed. note: reading), Yale, I ought to warn you: law students are naturally imitative, and after watching Dave's response—well, I hope you like lemon meringue.

What would a Revue be like without Steve Selbst as M.C.? We found out—soon enough. But before absorbing a TKO in the 2d round, Steve demonstrated an ability to take as much punishment as Joe Frazier. For that, he earned our respect—and gave new meaning to the word "masochism."

The supporting cast was, in large part, responsible for the show's success. The band, with Eric (Duke) Asmundsen on the ivories, George Kirsch on accordion, and the inimitable Brooke Schumm III on saxophone, regaled us throughout the evening with Greatest Hits from the Golden Age of Television. The lights crew, Steve Stojic, Steve Lockhart, and Dave Kantor, were always illuminating. Dan (Feedback) Conway, the audio coordinator, did a roaring stage management job. Stage managers George Cole and Charles Ryans managed to keep the stage from collapsing, and kept traffic flowing smoothly.

In short, (who's he kidding?—ed.), Tammy and all her helpers should be congratulated for a great job.

My one complaint: with Bev Pooley bowing to popular pressure not to sing "Bad, Bad, L. Hart Wright" for the third year in a row, the faculty was not represented by any acts. This is a shameful state of affairs. Where was Dean Sandalow? Why didn't Profs. Israel, Regan, Kahn, Cooper, and the other more amusing faculty members give it the old college try? It's not that the faculty's lack of talent comes as any surprise; it's their lack of spirit that I find appalling. C'mon you guys—how do you ever expect to beat Harvard with that kind of attitude?

---Rich Levine
Dean and Mrs. Terrance Sandalow
and
The Law Partners Association
cordially invite you to join them
in a
Progressive Dinner
on Saturday, April 19, 1980

R.S.V.P.
on or before April 7, 1980
Donna Renbarger – 995-4307
Kay Beauchamp – 971-2333

In a progressive dinner, each course is served at a different faculty member or student's home.
Of late, a number of people have asked me where I ever got my sense of humor. I have been unable to ascertain whether that question was meant to imply that I had no such sense or if it was merely the result of honest curiosity. However, I think it is important that I answer it once and for all. The sense of humor (and I use that term advisedly) is original equipment -- it was there when they found me under a cabbage leaf.

I have a couple of suggestions for those of you out there who are not sure that being an attorney is the chief end of law school. Alternative career ideas are often boring -- like being business executives, TV personalities, Congressmen. I, however, have a couple of more intriguing possibilities....

First, have you ever wondered what we'll all do with our evenings, weekends and other spare moments of the day when we know longer have casebooks which simply MUST be read? Lest we, and our fellow graduates experience withdrawal from West et al., I believe that someone should begin a "Brief of the Month Club". Just think -- we could all order the best-selling legal papers of the day. Cases such as Gilberts v. St. Outline and Hanes v. Underoos could be made available at low prices to even the least successful lawyers.

Another new career for the legal grad would be a "Plaintiff Supply Service". You, too, could lead an exciting life traveling the globe in search of the perfect plaintiff for the law suit of someone's dreams. A number of positions would be available in such an agency -- investigator, stunt person, bureaucrat (and for those of you with a more traditional turn of mind... defense counsel for all the charges of solicitation brought by your friendly local bar association).

Like they told us at first-year orientation, a J.D. is NOT limiting. There are endless possibilities for stimulating and fulfilling careers if we allow creativity and imagination to enter our vocational agendas. As for me, I plan to start a new branch of Classic Comics called "the world's greatest suits". In this way, I hope to introduce the tots of the world to the fascinating world of the law. I can almost hear the little darlings threatening to "remove their baseballs and bats to their own jurisdiction if the other side continues to violate their statutes and regulations".

---

**Final Election Tally:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRESIDENT</strong></td>
<td>Fortner</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ellmann</td>
<td>419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TREASURER</strong></td>
<td>Stankevich</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SENIOR - '81</strong></td>
<td>Sparkia</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crawley</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BOARD OF GOVERNORS</strong></td>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Halvorsen</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VICE-PRESIDENT</strong></td>
<td>Bourque</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Boyle</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beggs</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TREASURER</strong></td>
<td>Messmer</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heller</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SENIOR - '82</strong></td>
<td>Hurwitz</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Schubert</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Schreier</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meller</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kaplan</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chafin</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BALLOT QUESTIONS**

1. Re: Purchasing Blue Books with Senate funds. YES 216
2. Re: Exclusion of non-law students from the library. YES 335
3. Re: Installing equipment to limit library entry. YES 209
4. Re: Reducing convention allocations. YES 322
5. Re: Implementing an honor code. YES 249
E.J.F.
WHAT THE EQUAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION CAN DO FOR YOU

Our legal systems pursue substantive justice. But they resolve only a few of the legal disputes that arise every year. The rest of those disputes are never decided on the merits; instead, they are resolved in favor of the status quo. Why? The simple answer is that most Americans cannot overcome our cumbersome system's barriers of cost and procedural nicety — barriers such as high attorneys' fees, narrow rules of standing, and administrative insulation from judicial review. But if substantive justice is available principally through our legal system, and if procedural and economic barriers prevent broad classes of people from getting into the system in the first place, then justice is distributed unequally.

If you are at all disturbed by the idea that your fellow citizens cannot vindicate their legal rights, you might be interested in the Equal Justice Foundation.

EJF is a young, national organization of lawyers and law students dedicated to removing barriers to justice. Through a program of lobbying, litigating, legal research, and community activity, EJF is working to broaden access to our legal system. We hope to assist all citizens who are presently denied access to justice, whether taxpayers, indigents, environmentalists, civil rights litigants, criminal defendants, or consumers.

To find out more about what EJF can do for you, and what you can do through EJF, please fill out the form below and drop it in the EJF box outside of Room 100. Or talk to Jane Helppie, Jeff Lehman, Jim Schacht, David Browne, Bob Hagelshaw, Jonathan Klein, or Mike Meier.

I would like to find out more about EJF.

__________________________
name

__________________________
phone

__________________________
grad. date

Please drop this form in the EJF box on the table in front of Room 100, H.H.

Thank you.

---

FOR SALE THIS WEEK OUTSIDE ROOM 100!!!
Noon - 1:30 p.m.
$3.75 in Maize & Blue
Four mugs — $14.00

"MICHIGAN LAW SCHOOL" + Emblem on front
"M Go Blue" Emblem on reverse

Also available
"MICHIGAN LAW SCHOOL"
Rolling Point Pens
Better Than Flairs

50¢ each

ON SALE THIS WEEK!

Law Dunk took another step toward the 5'9" world Basketball championship with a facial reconstruction effort against Strauss Swishers, 92-26 last Tuesday. The Dunkers were again led by "J.D." Denniston who scored almost 40 points while shooting over 90%. (Hopefully, J.D. will be drafted by the admissions committee so that he may continue to lead the Dunkers). The Louisville Lip was awe-inspiring in leading the fast break with "Downtown" Wyzgoski and "Sir Slam" Sellers being frequent beneficiaries. "Iceman" Beyer and super-sub "all-world sperling" continued to provide the leadership and tough defense that has yet to give up more than 70 points in any game.

The Dunkers enter the playoffs this weekend and must be considered favorite unless the Iceman feels the Vanilla thunder and breaks a backboard. Look for them on the cover of next week's Sports Illustrated.
Pollyanna Brown

HOME: Manhattan, Kansas
AGE: Sweet Sixteen
PROFESSION: Camp Counselor
HOBBIES: Girl Scouts, Chocolate Chip Cookie Baking, Cheerleading
MOST MEMORABLE BOOK: Anne of Green Gables
FAVORITE FILM: The Wizard of Oz
LATEST ACCOMPLISHMENT: Runner-Up in the Little Miss Kansas Competition, 1957
QUOTE: "When life gives you lemons, make lemonade."
PROFILE: A bubbly, wholesome, friendly young lady. Into T.A. and creative listening. A friend to all and a sister to every other girl scout.
HER DRINK: Shirley Temples . . . "and can I have TWO cherries?"
Docket

MONDAY, March 31
-WLSA nominations due by 5:00 at the WLSA office. All board positions are open -- President, Vice-President, Secretary, and Treasurer.

-The International Law Society is holding elections for next year's officers in front of Room 100 from nine to five. Only members who have paid their dues may vote.

-SFF applications due. Applicants unable to meet this deadline should contact Dave Kantor (662-9378) ASAP.

-Invitations available for the Crease Ball outside Room 100 from 12 noon until 3 p.m.

TUESDAY, April 1
-WLSA elections.

-Campbell Competition Final Arguments, Room 100, Hutchins Hall, 2:30 p.m.

-Campbell Awards Banquet, Michigan League, 6:30 p.m.

WEDNESDAY, April 2

-Christian Law Students, 4 p.m., Cook Room.

THURSDAY, April 3

-Professor Jacobson, Department of Political Science, will speak on "International Organizations" at a dinner sponsored by the International Law Society. 5:15 p.m., Faculty Dining Room. All are welcome.

-Feminist Legal Services meeting at noon, Room 110 Legal Research. We will discuss FLS' structure and projects for next year. It is important that EVERY-ONE join us.

-PAD Luncheon - Ms. Virginia Nordby will speak on "An Update of Federal Affirmative Action Regulations." She is the U of M Affirmative Action Director. Thursday, Noon in the Faculty Dining Room.

FRIDAY, April 4

-ELS Brown Bag Meeting - Summer Projects For those who will be in Ann Arbor this summer, and others looking for summer projects to take home with them, 12:30, Lawyers Club Lounge.

Classification

LOST AND FOUND

A book bag/knapsack was left in row 3 of Room 120, probably on Wednesday morning, March 19th. If you wish to claim it, contact Joe Genereux, at 662-7266, or leave a note in Carrell 905.

ONLY YOU CAN PRESERVE MACHLE'S MUSINGS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS OF LAW STUDENTS! The Res Gestae/Machle's Musings writing competition will allow some lucky winner to be next year's "Machle" Win friends and influence people! Have your name put up on the men's room wall Be the first kid on your block to submit an entry in this once-in-a-lifetime competition. Remember, all entries must be submitted to Machle c/o R.G. by midnight, April 10. This is your chance to be famous!

*THE AFRICAN QUEEN*
Humphrey Bogart and Katharine Hepburn at their finest.
Friday, April 4; 7:07 and 9:09 pm Hutchins Hall, Room 100 $1.50 (law students 1/2 price)