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A Prisoner's Plea

The National Lawyers Guild answers letters sent to the law school by Michigan prisoners. Sometimes the inmates ask simple questions and other times extensive research is involved. For example, we are currently helping a Marquette inmate file a complaint against the Department of Corrections under the Michigan Administrative Procedures Act.

One inmate has presented us with an interesting issue. Lawrence Stein was convicted of robbery. During voir dire (questioning of potential jurors), the court used the struck jury method which has been banned by Michigan law. Ordinarily, when a juror is peremptorily challenged, another juror from a reserve group is seated and questioned, until a complete jury is impanelled. In Mr. Stein's case, the prosecutor and defense attorney merely whittled down the size of the jury until all defense peremptories were exhausted. (It's a difficult issue to explain briefly.)

The Court of Appeals upheld Mr. Stein's conviction despite this admitted error because, in the opinion of the court, it was harmless error. 90 Mich. App. 159 (1979). This use of the struck jury method has been appealed before. Each time the Court of Appeals has found it harmless error. We would like to challenge this practice of jury selection by helping Mr. Stein appeal his case to the Michigan Supreme Court.

The issue is a difficult one, because the struck jury method is not inherently unconstitutional. See Swain v. Alabama, 380 U.S. 202 (1965). The problem here is that the Michigan legislature has determined that this method is inappropriate for its criminal justice system. We must develop strong policy arguments about the harm caused when the courts do not follow their own procedure. This is essentially a due process claim.

Kim Fawcett of the State Appellate Defenders Office is willing to provide guidance; however, his caseload is too heavy to do the research and writing. If we do not help Mr. Stein, no one will. We urge his last resort. Those who would like to participate should leave a message in the NLG box in Room 300 or call Sherri, 663-1310.
Dear Editor:

For more than a decade I have been a strong proponent of affirmative action programs for minority group students, both at this school and elsewhere. As such I support the stance that Dean Sandalow took before the House of Delegates of the ABA, for I believe that in the long run (and perhaps the short run as well) adoption of the proposed ABA accreditation standard will do more harm than good to the movement to increase minority representation in the legal profession.

In part, this is because I don't believe the proposed standard will do much good. As has been pointed out by those writing in support of it, it is relatively innocuous. Although the data are not always complete, it appears that as of 1977 there were at most two ABA accredited law schools in the country, North Dakota and Hawaii, which did not have at least some students who were Black, Chicano, or Native American. Hawaii, as might be expected, had numerous Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders. Although some of these schools did not have large numbers of minority group students, given the nature of the proposed ABA standard it is likely that almost all of these schools could qualify as having a minimally acceptable affirmative action program. It is also the case, as Dean Sandalow has argued, that the effects of voluntarism by the nation's law schools has been substantial. Total minority group enrollment has increased from 2,933 students in 1969-70 to 9,597 in 1977-78 and the enrollment of Black and Chicano students has gone from 2540 to 6716 over the same period. It is unlikely that the proposed ABA standard, which does not require any special preference in the distribution of scholarship and loan money, would increase these figures substantially.

Despite my belief that the Proposed ABA standard will not contribute substantially to increased minority group enrollment, I might support it as a helathy symbol of the bar's moral commitment in this area if I did not believe it contained great potential for harm. First, it might well give rise to a law suit, thus again thrusting affirmative action programs into the courts and inescapably into the political arena at a time which is not propitious for those who wish to maintain or extend such programs. It is possible that the Court will decide against the ABA standard on grounds that relate solely to the ABA's competency to act in such areas. However, if this occurs the public perception is likely to be that the Court disapproves of affirmative action programs and this perception could be

See Lempert Letter, page 8

Dear Editor:

Reading the February 11, 1980 issue of the Res Gestae, I was disturbed by some of the comments pertaining to Dean Sandalow's speech before the ABA in opposition of a proposal to have that organization oversee a "mandatory" affirmative action program for law schools. There were two currents of thought which particularly bothered me.

First, some of those who registered their opinions in the aforementioned issue of R.G. seem to have considered one, and only one, aspect of the subject under consideration: affirmative action for law schools. Other pertinent issues seem to have been trampled in the rush to support affirmative action.

Didn't anyone pause to consider that it was the American Bar Association which would have had responsibility for the program? The ABA is not exactly the country's most progressive organization. Anyone familiar with the ABA's Code of Professional Responsibility would question the propriety of the ABA as an overseer of affirmative action. For example, the ABA consistently and systematically opposed affirmative action programs and this perception could be
FINANCIAL AID -- Second and Third Year applicants for Law School financial aid for Summer 1980 through Winter 1981 MUST.


2. Submit both the student and parents' questionnaire portions of the GAPSFAS form to the GAPSFAS office in Princeton, NJ. This should be done by mid-March.

3. Request official copies of tax returns from the IRS for yourself and your spouse (each time you apply for aid); and your parents (only the first time you apply for law school aid).

4. Apply for a Guaranteed Student Loan (unless this condition has been waived by the Financial Aid Office or Dean Eklund).

Materials may be obtained from the Financial Aid Office, 308 Hutchins Hall.

HOUSING INFORMATION should be given to Diane, Dean Eklund's secretary. We are attempting to coordinate housing transfers from law students vacating their homes and apartments to those students looking for housing. If you would like to help on this project, contact Diane or Doug Ellmann (764-8939).

BOOKS WANTED: A prisoner requests donations of books on criminal and civil rights, including any old or damaged books. Packages must be certified and "Legal Mail" must be printed on front and back. A separate letter advising that the books have been mailed is advised. Address: Randy Ziegler, #95842, Box 97, State Prison, McAlester, OK 74501

ORIENTATION LEADERS -- The training meeting for this week is scheduled for Friday, February 22nd at 1:30 p.m. in Rm. 218. We will discuss the dynamics of leading a small group.

I also need all Facts Sheets and profiles by TUESDAY at the very latest. Thanks.

--- Crispin

Due to a scheduling conflict, the discussion "Reflections on the First-Year Experience" will begin at 3 p.m., a half-hour early. Similarly, the discussion of proposed ABA Standard 212 with Terrance Sandalow and Dennis Archer will begin 15-20 minutes late. This should allow for people to attend both.

* * * *

SAMPLES of chairs for the library addition will be available for inspection in 200 Hutchins Hall the week of Feb. 25-29. This includes study chairs carrel chairs and seminar room chairs. Comments, questions, etc. should be sent to Margaret Leary.

* * * *

The Jane Mixer Memorial Award is given annually at the spring Honors Convocation. A special feature of the award provides that nominations are to be made by students in the Law School. No other award given by the Law School calls for this amount of student input.

The Jane Mixer Memorial Award is made to those law students who have made the greatest contribution to activities designed to advance the cause of social justice during the preceding year.

The award may be given to as many as three recipients. Students may make more than one nomination, and are encouraged to make nominations soon. All nominations must be accompanied by a brief statement describing the activities and the contributions of the nominee.

The statement should be addressed to the Awards Committee, which is the group that will make the final award decisions. Please submit all nominations and any questions to Crispin Birnbaum, 307 Hutchins Hall by March 1, 1980.

* * * *

A NIGHT IN THE TROPICS -- This Saturday night, Feb. 23, is "A Night in the Tropics" in the Lawyers Club Lounge. Tickets for this Social Comm.

Continued on p. 5
legal advertising, attorney solicitation, and group legal services until forced to yield by the U.S. Supreme Court; it should be noted that the benefits of these activities accrue principally to those who have low income and have traditionally been unable to procure adequate legal services. Now, one of the arguments advanced in support of affirmative action by law schools is that it would make "the legal system more representative of and responsive to all sectors of society.... One cannot have a high quality legal profession that serves primarily the advantaged segments of society."

Letter from NLG, LRLSA, BLSA, FLS, WLSA. Why is everyone so sure that the ABA should be entrusted with this responsibility, in light of its dismal record? Is there no danger that the fox would be guarding the henhouse?

Moreover, what is meant by "a commitment to expand opportunities?" What is meant by "current action?" What criteria would the ABA actually use? How do we know those criteria would be sufficiently stringent? Is there no danger that the standard would be set low, thereby enabling some schools to claim affirmative action without really engaging in it? Is it desirable to have a program forced on us, or is it better for all concerned to know that any actions which are taken are purely voluntary? What about administrative difficulties of the ABA program? It is one thing to prove that each faculty member has a private office; it is still another to ascertain compliance, by dozens of schools, with certain admissions standards. It is surprising that law students, well-versed in the problems attendant to vague laws, would so eagerly support a proposition about which so little is known. I sincerely hope that supporters of affirmative action will not fall prey to the mistaken idea that opposition to Proposition 212 ipso facto connotes opposition to affirmative action.

A second disturbing element in two of the letters was the view that the Dean should not have spoken because he is the dean of our law school and did not have its approval. Of course, the writers all admitted that the dean disclaimed any intention of representing the school. Yet, because of the potential that his disclaimer would be ignored, the writers believed that he should have kept quiet.

What were the dean's options? First, he could have disclaimed authority to be representing the school—which he did. Second, he could have remained silent. Why should someone undergo self-imposed silence merely because some inattentive individuals will misconstrue his remarks? Presumably, those who favor affirmative action are political liberals. It is strange indeed to see liberals erecting barriers to the marketplace of ideas. If indeed, as Mr. Hooks stated, the published reports are capable of damaging inferences, why don't we rise up against the purveyors of such inaccurate reportage? Instead, barrages of criticism are directed at one who made a concededly good faith effort to disclaim representation of the school. Is Dean Sandalow expected to censor himself as a condition of employment?

Moreover, I cannot help but wonder what the reactions of those writers would have been had the Dean supported the proposal without the school's blessing. If I am wrong, I will gladly admit it, but I tend to think that in the hypothesized situation, those writers would have applauded the Dean's speech. If that is the case, then it is not the fact of his speech, but his ideas, which caused the writers to condemn his speaking out. It is a sad day when supporters of human rights advocate restraints on speech because they disagree with it.

In conclusion, I would like to add that I thought each of the letters contained some good, thoughtful analysis—although the analyses were, at times, directed at what I view as the wrong issues. In general, the response has been commendably rational, especially in view of the charged atmosphere of this topic. I hope the response will continue to be as thoughtful and rational. The primary concern of any movement which seeks to change the status quo should be credibility. Let us remember that credibility is directly proportional to rationality and inversely proportional to emotionalism and militancy.

David A. Rabin ('80)

THE NATIONAL LAWYERS GUILD will hold a potluck dinner Tuesday, Feb. 26 around 6:30. It will probably be at Jody LeWitter's house. The specific time and place will be announced in next week's R.G. Jody and Julie Hurwitz will report on the National Executive Board meeting in Los Angeles which they attended last weekend. Please sign up on the Guild bulletin board in Legal Research and specify what you will bring. Non-members welcome.
News and Notices (cont. from p.3)

event will be on sale this week between 11:00 and 1:30 in front of Rm. 100 as long as they last. Tickets must be purchased in advance and the rate of sales indicates that they will most probably be gone before Friday -- so act now! The drawing for the Trip for Two to the Bahamas will be held around 11:30 and you MUST be present to win.

* * * *

EVERYTHING YOU'D LIKE TO KNOW ABOUT YALE KAMISAR*

* * * but were afraid to ask;

The long awaited Yale Kamisar story is in the works, but we need to know just what it is you "What the Hell" fans want to hear about. Just call 764-4237 and leave that question that keeps you up nights on the tape or with the person who answers.

* * * *

THE STUDENT PERSONNEL COMMITTEE

presented its proposal to the faculty that two students be appointed to the Faculty Personnel Committe on Friday, Feb. 8th. Although some professors expressed sympathy with our desire to participate more fully in the faculty hiring process, most felt that students would not contribute that much when weighed against the problems the students' presence would cause. For example, some members of the faculty feared that the students would violate the confidentiality involved in the hiring process. Some thought that the faculty would be inhibited in its discussions if students were present. Others asserted that students could only be of assistance in evaluating visiting faculty -- which could be done by interviews with those taking the courses rather than through the student members of the Personnel Committee. One professor noted that students would be of no assistance in evaluating an applicant's research abilities.

Rather than vote on the student proposal, the faculty voted to withdraw the motion to consider it and to continue working with the two committee (faculty and student) format.

SFF APPLICATIONS -- Application forms for Student Funded Fellowships for the summer of 1980 are now available in the LSSS Office (HH 217). There are four basic eligibility requirements:

1. Applicants must be Michigan law students who will not graduate before August, 1980.
2. Applicants must be willing to work at least 10 weeks for a public interest or public service organization in the summer.
3. The job must utilize the applicant's legal skills.
4. Income from all work-related sources other than SFF must not exceed $175/week.

There will be a meeting on Thurs., Feb. 21 at 7:30 p.m. in Rm. 212 Hutchins Hall to explain the selection process and answer any questions applicants may have. Anyone interested in working on the SFF campaign is also invited to this meeting. If you are interested but cannot attend, please leave a note in the SFF mailbox outside the LSSS Office.

* * * *

PRECLASSIFICATION AND PREREGISTRATION CALENDAR -- Fall and Summer 1980

1st Year Meetings

§1 2:30 p.m., March 17, Rm. 120
§2 10:00 a.m., March 17, Rm. 150
§3 10:00 a.m., March 18, Rm. 250

Seminar & Clinic Materials Available

Monday, March 17, Rm. 300

Clinical Law Information Panel

Professors Pepe, Burnham, Goldman, Duquett, Wilson & Palmer -- to be arranged

Course Selection Panel
to be arranged

Seminar & Clinic Sign-Up Deadline

Monday, March 24

Course Info. & Preclassification

Materials Available

Friday, March 28

Results of Seminar & Clinic Sign-up

Friday, March 28

Preclassification Deadline

Friday, April 11

Results of Preclassification & Preregistration

April 28 - May 2
Before I start into the meat of this week's column, I'd like to reaffirm once more that this column is NOT AN OFFICIAL SOURCE of any kind of information. It is a personal, signed column. That means that I sign at the end of each week's column, and the RG staff doesn't edit me. The views and attitudes expressed by me in this column are mine, and mine alone. Sometimes they may coincide with the views of one or more other people -- but that is usually by chance. I do not speak for myself as Night Manager, I do not speak for the Lawyers Club or Lawyers Club staff, nor do I speak in any official way for the Law School of the University. Anyone who feels that by writing this column I am unfairly given more of a voice in Law School community affairs than other persons is free to write their own column -- it's very easy to do.

QUOTES: Since this is a signed column, I can do all sorts of nasty things. An example is what I'm about to do this week. We all know that occasionally someone in a class situation will blurt out a phrase or sentence which is amusing in some way which really doesn't relate to the subject matter of the course. Sometimes these remarks are humorous only when taken out of context, so that is what I'm going to do: take some remarks out of context. I have the compulsive habit of always trying to get such remarks down on paper, along with identifying information like the blurtee's name. Someday when we're all the President of the United States, maybe I can get rich selling embarrassing memories to old friends. Anyway, here are a few:

Prof. Rosberg, in Conflicts, 14FEB80: "I think some courts see these laws as being handed down from on high on stone tablets. By God knows who. (Actually, this particular bad pun may have been intentional, my friends have differing views.)"

Prof. Watson, in Psych & Law, 21JAN80: "One thing that inspires me about these good trial lawyers is their incredible ability to play around..."

Brooke Schumm, in Conflicts, 24JAN80: "Hold hands together and put it in there."

Yes, I know that I could do better, but this was on short notice. Wait until I get a chance to go back through my notes and dig some good ones out. Also, since I don't make a habit of attending classes I'm not taking, I may miss some good ones. If you hear any, please let me know. Include complete verifying information, please.

RATE COMMITTEE: It is very heartwarming to be able to sit with the Rate Committee each week and hear concerned law students trying to save us all money on next year's room and board at the Lawyers Club. It really is a strange feeling to listen to law students, not being affluent, but trying to figure out ways to keep slightly chipped dinnerware from being thrown away and wasted. Lawyers Club residents owe a debt of gratitude to the Rate Committee.

PINBALL SCORES - AS OF 15FEB80

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Machine</th>
<th>Wizard(s)</th>
<th>High Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surf Champ</td>
<td>Mark Ortlieb</td>
<td>170,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Hand</td>
<td>Jack Brandwein</td>
<td>115,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragon</td>
<td>Tom Kauper</td>
<td>1,285,820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centigrade 37</td>
<td>Cass Singer</td>
<td>239,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evel Knievel</td>
<td>Niles S.</td>
<td>525,010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joker Poker</td>
<td>Gary Simon</td>
<td>638,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aladdin's Castle</td>
<td>Bob Lanier</td>
<td>250,370</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued on p.7
members, who are spending hours each week working with Lawyers Club budgets and procedures -- and for no material reward whatsoever.

LAST WEEK'S POLL RESULTS - CHEATING

Have you ever 1) seen a law student cheat on an examination, or 2) known for a fact (through an admission of guilt, etc.) that a fellow law student had cheated on an examination?

YES: 15  NO: 24

rest of us have had to spend more than $40.00 of our money to repair the damage. It's in the best interests of all 'O' residents to stop this person from destroying our property and to regain some measure of security at night.

In the very near future all of the locks on the doors to Sections 'K' through 'P' will be changed to locks which can be unlocked during the day and locked back up again at night. (Similar to, but not identical with, the locks on the doors to Sections 'A' through 'J' currently.) This long awaited change will be possible due to our maintenance man's (Maynard Crothers) responsiveness. He has been salvaging various locks of the appropriate kind for some time now. (The Lawyers Club is not so rich that it can just buy whatever is needed. In fact, Maynard, who has been here for some fifteen years, is able to save us a small fortune each year by salvaging and repairing things most maintenance people would discard. This is another problem faced by the Rate Committee, as Maynard's upcoming retirement will cause maintenance costs to increase next year.

PASSING UP: No, I haven't given up. I have every hope of helping to end, once and for all, the practice of passing up women at U-M football games. Some law students may not be familiar with the practice; thus a brief description.

Seating at the U-M football field is rather crowded. Most student seats are at the North End Zone, which leads to rather rowdy, drunken situations. Any woman who is perceived as reasonably attractive, who attempts to watch a game from a North End Zone section, has a decent statistical chance of being physically assaulted. (I estimated last season that the average game had as many as 80-120 victims.) What happens to the women is that a group of guys, often acquaintances, will simply grab her and swing her up over their heads. Supported on the hands of dozens of males--most of whom she does not know, the woman is then "passed up" from the lower to the upper rows of the stadium. I personally spoke to more than twenty women who were victims last year, and had returned to me some questionnaires from several others. Each woman felt: 1) physical pain, 2) shaken, and 3) that the assault was, in addition to being violent, sexually intended. (Not only were male hands all over the women's bodies, but most women reported that the hands were generally not gentle, but often fists.) Most of the women were dropped at least once during the ordeal, often from as high as five or more feet in the air--onto concrete. As I spoke

RESIDENTS OF 'O' SECTION

Some resident of 'O' Section has been systematically destroying the lock mechanism to the entryway door since early last Fall. This vandal seemingly can't remember to take his keys with him when he goes in and out and apparently feels it is within his rights to destroy the property of the Lawyers Club (not to mention the security of 'O' Section) in order to advance his own laziness. So far this year, the rest of us have had to spend more than $40.00 of our money to repair the damage. It's in the best interests of all 'O' residents to stop this person from destroying our property and to regain some measure of security at night.

In the very near future all of the locks on the doors to Sections 'K' through 'P' will be changed to locks which can be unlocked during the day and locked back up again at night. (Similar to, but not identical with, the locks on the doors to Sections 'A' through 'J' currently.) This long awaited change will be possible due to our maintenance man's (Maynard Crothers) responsiveness. He has been salvaging various locks of the appropriate kind for some time now. (The Lawyers Club is not so rich that it can just buy whatever is needed. In fact, Maynard, who has been here for some fifteen years, is able to save us a small fortune each year by salvaging and repairing things most maintenance people would discard. This is another problem faced by the Rate Committee, as Maynard's upcoming retirement will cause maintenance costs to increase next year.

THIS WEEK'S POLL: LAW SCHOOL STUDENT SENATE

Do you feel that the 1979-1980 Law School Student Senate has done a good job? (Rate it on a scale of 1 through 10, with 10 being excellent, 5 being barely adequate, and 1 being poor.)

To respond to this week's poll, call 4-4237 and leave your judgment: 1 through 10. 764-4237 may sometimes be answered by a person--but will mostly be answered by a tape machine.
with them, only moments after they'd been passed up, most were visibly shaken—and all had a difficult time speaking at all. (Two out of twenty-one told me that the wanted to be passed up, and, indeed one of them was passed up twice at that game.)

Personally, I think the situation is intolerable. Every football weekend nearly one hundred women are assaulted, battered, and in most instances subjected to what Michigan law calls Second Degree Criminal Sexual Conduct, MCLA 750.520(c). No one helps. Their peers watch with delight, the University athletic department and the Ann Arbor police department generally refuse to accept that there is a problem. No one has ever been cited or even kicked out of the stadium for these assaults.

We at the law school could help end this practice. I'm sure that it would be possible, on several legal fronts, to make the University and the athletic department aware that it is responsible and liable for such behavior—and that the fact that women rarely complain about such behavior is not a valid reason for the U-M to pretend that the behavior doesn't exist. I am involved in some research pursuant to writing a few letters, and perhaps a brief of two about this topic. I welcome any level of help from any interested person. If you see a case you think might be pertinent, or have a bright idea which might help in some way, drop me a note about it in campus mail—or leave a message about it on my tape telephone answering machine. Surely stopping the hundreds of assaults on women is as important to some of us as is, e.g. Misty Beethoven and similar issues?

THANKS. My personal thanks so far this semester to Rick Halvorsen, George Cole, Liz Palmer, Charles Ryans, and Dave Heller for frequently sorting the Law Club mail for me. If they weren't helping out, my class schedule would not allow me to do it until very late each weekday afternoon.

Terry P. Oliphant
Night Manager

LEMPERT LETTER Continued from p.2

quite damaging to those who are on the other side politically. Second, what the ABA gives the ABA can take away. The ABA is not the most liberal group in society and historically has not been particularly hospitable to members of minorities. Around World War I the ABA inadvertently admitted three black attorneys to membership. A move to expel these new members was thwarted a compromise which required that in the future all applicants for membership identify themselves by race. For more than two decades after this requirement was imposed no black attorney was admitted to the ABA. While these days are quite clearly over, it is not at all unlikely that in a few years, as political winds shift, the narrow majority that might approve the proposed accreditation requirement will be replaced by a majority that votes to rescind it. Nor is it implausible that there will be denied to any school that on the basis of race admits students whose "hard" credentials are not as good as those of students whom the school rejects. It is because I believe that such an ABA position is, in the long run, a real possibility that I think it essential to maintain the principle that the ABA's accreditation standards should have nothing to say about admissions on the basis of affirmative action.

As one who taught at a time when it was difficult for an administrator to take an unpopular position on a sensitive issue without being personally vilified, I am gratified by the quality of the discussion that has thus far taken place on this issue. It is good that we can recognize that people can honestly reject means that we think are important to valued ends, yet genuinely and effectively support the attainment of those ends by other means. Dean Sandalow's position in this matter is instructive. He has been a leader both in this faculty and across the nation in instituting and supporting programs of affirmative action. His most obvious contribution has been his participation with the small group of legal scholars who wrote the Association of American Law School's amicus brief in the Bakke case. His most important contribution has probably been his effort, in speeches and articles, to create an intellectual climate that accepts affirmative action as both constitutional and desirable. Ironically, his credibility in working to the latter end will be enhanced by the position he has taken in the ABA.

Sincerely,
Richard Lempert, Professor of Law.
Millard Fillmore's Ghost
by Marc Abrams

Spring Break is nearing. You know, that wonderful week off when the temperature soars to 12° and the winds to 30 miles per hour because they've decided the first week of March is a sensible time for the administrators in this university, who can afford it, to fly to Florida or St. Croix.

Well, for those of you who have little money to spend on the impending week of freedom, Millard Fillmore's Travel Service offers you a few ideas for your leisure time.

For those of you with next to nothing, there is a winter wonderland in your own back yard: your own back yard. Consider wonderful Ann Arbor. C'mon, really think about it. It's a great tourist spot unparalleled in the annals of vacation history. You can stay 10 nights, nine days in the beautiful W.W. Cook Law Hilton, long known for its exquisite cuisine, perfect comfort, and remarkable primitive mam–made cavern. Ann Arbor provides a wonderful array of things to do at night during the Break, ranging from drinking at famous Dooley's Tavern, known throughout the world as the watering hole of Wolverines, to drinking in Village Bell, long known as the watering hole for various other types of animals. None of the regular campus events will be taking place during Break, but there will undoubtedly be an exciting City Council meeting Monday night, and, I believe, the Sewer Commission meets on Thursdays.

Further, for your exclusive pleasure during Break, the colorful (red-faced) natives have agreed to move up from July the Feast of St. Herman. Now, you can watch this stirring three day ceremony in which the whole town participates. You can see the dancing and music at the Church of the Second Chance, and watch the tourists dodge death running through the streets only inches ahead of the hoards of bullfinches in the festival Ernest Hemingway blessedly forgot to immortalize.

So don't delay! Sing up Today. Just place the tab saying 'Yes' in the slot and mail it in to M.F.'s G. Travel. And if you cross out the gunner printed in the lower corner, we'll send along with you, at no extra charge on your vacation, a volume of the famous Pooley guide to the Library, so you can explore this famous monument—which you've no doubt never been in before—on your own.

If you have just a little money to spend, and want to get out of town, but don't know where to go, or how to afford it when you get there, Millard Fillmore has the perfect spot for you. The winter-sport resort town of Abscam, New Jersey has become famous in a short time for providing the kind of sordid spectacles that had previously never been seen outside of the city of Washington. But now, you, too, can actually participate without having to go to the nation's capital, which is a pretty bad place to be when Congress isn't in recess. In Abscam, you don't need any money other than what it takes to get there. The beautifully disguised natives will make you feel right at home from the first, taking your picture, and handing you a sachel of money to spend while you're in Abscam. The proprietors of this carefully planned resort will cater to your every whim as you sign in at the desk on the card that pledges your help to the worthy cause of getting Abscam moved to another state in return for your paid vacation. Then they'll escort you to your sparsely furnished, but comfortable room to await pre–trial hearings, a favorite occupation of the residents. Of course, you may not want to come back to law school at the end of your stay. Then again, they may not let you.

And if you have a little bit of money at your disposal, you might be considering a real vacation. There's no real reason to go to New York, now that Steve Rubell has gone to jail, and you might want a different experience anyway. If the phoney mid-Easterners of Abscam don't appeal to you, try the world's latest hot spot: Teheran. You'll never be bored in Teheran. Life is one big party, with everyone getting into costumes and running around the streets yelling whatever they want (Most frequently, "I am the power! I run Iran!"). The floor show at the Embassy has proved so successful that it may be held over permanently, and even though it may cost an arm and a leg to get to, once there, you'll find that in Teheran, the cost of life-er-living is cheap.

Then there's one last way of getting into the sun for this vacation. Get someone else to pay for it. Each year, lots of students at the law school are travelling all over America on your dollars to do things that have little relation to life at the law school, and which
The recent coincidence of Valentine's Day and my expose on the lack of romance in Hutchins Hall sparked a great deal of reaction. One student began a feud with the employees of the food service in an attempt to get more students out on Friday night dates. Another felt moved to write an incomprehensible response in the R.G. Still another distributed a flyer saying "Valentines Day was less than successful, so I've decided to open new lines of communication. Attractive Female Wanted." Others have written letters...

Dear Ms. Musings,

The reason there isn't more romance in the law school is that law students are all boors (not to mention bores). I'm a student in the School of Public Health and I often study in the Reading Room. Of course, I wear my nicest clothes -- I find it aids my concentration, as does the library's atmosphere. But, honestly, every time I go there some jerk takes a look at my books and asks "Wanna know what it's really like to be a big law student? I have a room across the quad. Why not step over and look at my Hornbooks?" And when I get there he remembers that he loaned all his books to his Golden Retriever. It's enough to make you sick!

Signed,
Disgusted

Dear Disgusted,

If you put covers on your textbooks marked "Torts", "Property" and "Contracts" no one will ever bother you again.

* * * * *

Machle,

Who are YOU to say there's no romance in this dump? I have it on good authority that your life is full of romance -- that you are in big demand for dates and any number of other activities.

Who Do You Think You're Fooling?

Dear Fooling,

Please don't rely on your "good authority" in anything you want a good grade in.

* * * * *

Dear Ms. Musings,

Is it true that you're going to accept Harry Reems' offer to have you as his Valentine?

Wondering

---

Dear Won,

There is absolutely no truth to that rumor. I happen to think that Mr. Reems is very two-dimensional.

I did, however, accept a similar offer. A young man called me all the way from Des Moines, Iowa... collect.

* * * * *

Dear Ms. Musings,

There is no romance in law school simply because no one knows the proper etiquette for interlawyer relationships. I mean, has anyone ever asked Emily Post for tips on how to ask someone to Dominicks? And what IS the proper attire for an evening in the Reading Room? And how do you subtly change the topic of conversation from the Statute of Frauds to June Weddings?

Sign me,
Don't Know

Dear Don't,

You sound as if you could use a copy of my new book, "Hutchins Hotline". Just send $29.95 to me care of this paper. And while we're at it, I've got some great land in Arizona...

---

FILLMORE'S GHOST Continued from p. 9

you'll in most cases never hear about anyway. The latest and most clever is the junket you're paying for that's going to send our Student Senate President to a totally needless convention--over break--in Florida--to talk about student governments with other junketeers. Disregarding the fact that the meeting is taking place in a state which has yet to ratify E.R.A. (Would the LSSS have knowingly disregarded this, or would anyone have pushed to go if the convention was being held at a more inconvenient time in a colder non-E.R.A. state?), it seems unlikely that this trip is going to benefit anyone in the school other than the person who is taking it. Is he only going to stay in Florida as long as the convention lasts, then leave? Is he going to vote in the Senate to send all of us somewhere nice for Break?

You're right, I don't think this is funny either.
ELS Projects

ELS members are working on at least ten different projects this semester. Below are descriptions of three new ones. Other descriptions and ELS news items are posted on the bulletin board (basement of Legal Research).

Auto Inspection
The Michigan Lung Assn. has filed an intent to sue the Federal Government for failure to enforce vehicle maintenance and inspection provisions of the Clean Air Act in Southeast Michigan. Under the Act, the Federal Government can withhold highway construction funds and other permits until the State passes legislation to meet the Act.

ELS will assist the Lung Assn. in developing the suit, if they decide to sue. In addition, the auto inspection project will lobby to shape the legislation as it is passed through the State Legislature. Contact: Dave Kleinkopf, 996-5922.

Sand Dune Protection
Michigan's Sand Dunes are one of the state's most beautiful and treasured resources. Yet, they have been under continued encroachment by the glass industry, which has slowly been chipping away at them for use in manufacturing.

Legislation has been proposed which would gut Michigan's Sand Dune Protection Act. At the same time, an Environmental Impact Statement was recently published in anticipation of further mining.

ELS members will comment on the environmental impact statement, lobby for the protective legislation, and review upcoming proposals for further mining operations. Contact: Mark VanPutten, 996-0656.

Toxics
Adrian, Michigan is one of the ever-increasing number of communities to be assailed by invisible but dangerous toxic chemicals. In the case of Adrian, the chemical is Curene, a highly suspected Carcinogen, and the source is the Anderson Development Col, a local manufacturer. The State government has recently begun a "soap and water" cleanup of 250 homes in the Sunnyside subdivision, a lower income Mexican-American community close to the factory which received the highest levels of contamination.

The ELS will assist the local residents' lawyer in developing a class action suit against the company and the State. Among the claims to be included in this suit are violation of civil rights, trespass to property, and failure of the state to protect the public health.

In addition, ELS will be working with a newly formed State committee on burial of hazardous wastes.

Contact: Cindy Suarez, 995-4889.

At its last meeting, the Senate unanimously voted to have Jeff Strauss take over the vacant 3rd-year representative position. The Senate passed a resolution thanking Mrs. Betts for her years of service in the law school, and a resolution asking that 60% of the library stacks be designated No Smokin' areas. It endorsed ABA resolution 212 by a vote of 7-3-2. The faculty voted down the LSSS-endorsed proposal to put non-voting students on the Faculty Personnel Committee. Attorney General Benjamin Civiletti will be the speaker for Senior Day in May. LSSS voted $83 to the Yearbook to prepare the 1980 Codicil and $10 so we can get knobs on the Lawyers Club T.V.

Gargoyle Films paid the Senate $570 as its share of last term's profits.

LSSS elections are coming up. The following positions will be contested:
- President
- Vice-President
- Secretary
- Treasurer
- 2 Third-Year Representatives
- 2 Second-Year Representatives
- 2nd Year Board of Governors member

Petitions will be available Monday, Feb. 25 at 9 a.m. in the LSSS Office, and are due back Thursday, March 13 at 5 p.m. The election is on Thursday, March 20.

First-year rep Becky Troth is investigating the costs of LSSS supplying blue books. It might cost about $1,200/year.

The next LSSS meeting is Thursday at 6:15 in room 212.

-Al Presidente
**Eubie!**

**FAMOUS WRITER'S CORRESPONDENCE SCHOOL**

**LESSON #4: How to Write a "Good Review" of a Good Show.**

Let's face it. Before you finish off that big novel (see Lesson #97: The Novel -- How to Write One), sell it for a bundle and but that house on Easy Street, you've gotta keep eating. And that means work, once your benefits run out. (See Lesson #2: Welfare -- How to Get It).

Some of you won't be able to get a good job, like at G.M. or something, and so you might wind up writing reviews of plays and musicals and stuff for a little spare change. In this lesson, us writing pros at F.W.C.S. are gonna teach you budding millionaires (See Lesson #1: Words+Paper+F.W.C.S. = Money) how to write what's known in the trade as a "good review".

Good reviews aren't easy to write. Us successful authors at F.W.C.S. have seen some good talent get fouled up in the effort. You think Gen Shalit always looked like a clown? No sir. Not until he started actually liking the shows about which he was trying to write good reviews. Remember, it's always easier to spit venom than blow kisses. Just try blowing kisses on the street some day if you don't believe us.

Anyhow, let's get back to the lesson. We'll use "Eubie!" as an example of a good show (see Lesson #12: Examples -- For example, how to use them). Let's say you decided to bag T.V. last Friday and go see "Eubie!", a musical review of the songs of Eubie Blake at the Power Center. Already you could write a nasty piece on the show -- stuff like "The biggest excitement in 'Eubie!' is the exclamatory point in the title." They start out with a song called "In honeysuckle time -- sweet Emeline -- said she'd be mine." I mean we've seen students do better work. (See Lesson #37: Classic vs Poetry -- the Rod McKuen Story). You could kill this show.

But then you notice you've got a problem. The audience likes this stuff, tin pan alley and all. And from the muscle cramps you're getting in your cheeks you realize that you've been smiling. You honestly like the show, buddy and you're gonna have to write a good review.

What can you say about the show, then? People don't care if you like it. After all, you got free tickets, so they know where you're coming from. You gotta tell them about stuff that they'll wanna see like: the dancing, and how that was really good; the songs, and how much fun everybody had when the lady sang "My Handyman Ain't Handy No More". It didn't take

---

**Simon Says...**

Chapter Two is a typical Neil Simon movie. Which is to say, if you like Neil Simon, you will like Chapter Two, and if you don't, you won't. I happen to like Neil Simon and I liked the movie. It is a happy/sad/funny movie about ordinary and believable people.

The main characters are a widower-novelist (James Caan) and a recently divorced actress (Marsha Mason) whose friends (Joe Bologna and Valerie Harper) try to fix them up for a date. Rather than the usual "blind date", however, Caan comes up with the original, and successful idea of a five-minute first meeting for a "look". Well, the look leads to a real first date, and then they (inevitably) fall in love and get married. It is worth the price of admission to see how the characters cope with the mixture of anticipation, dread and awkwardness accompanying that first "look".

All is not unmitigated happiness in New York, however. (Oh, you'd heard?) The two friends are carrying on an unsuccessful affair, our widower is still deeply in love with his first wife and feels guilty at finding happiness without her, and the heroine is unbelievably faithful, patient and self-effacing for this new husband of hers.

I'm not going to give you the end of the story, but if you know anything about Simon's other works, you may have figured out that they get their problems resolved neatly in the two hours allotted. Admittedly, the resolution is melodramatic, but so is real life at times, which is what makes this movie worth watching.

---Stephanie Smith

---

to figure out that the lady was talking about... well she was talking about the fact that her husband doesn't exactly scorch the sheets no more.

You should tell your readers about how the cast had the audience all wrapped up in the palm of its hand, so that they could switch from comedy to blues and then to a dance number and pull the crowd along with 'em. Then maybe you want to mention cast members you really liked, like Tony Franklin, with his dazzling dancing and singing.

Some of the famous authors here at F.W.C.S. like to use analogies to help describe... Continued on p.13
a good show. These are just fancy comparisons, but they help to spark up a review. We'll use a few, to show you how it's done. "Eubie!" was like a train -- once the audience got on board, we went exactly where we were supposed to; we laughed, we enjoyed the dancing, and we felt the blues, just when and where we were supposed to. The cast projected its enjoyment and energy out into the crowd, and, like a magnet, drew everyone in. "Eubie!" is a darn good song and dance show, and it comes off without a hitch, like Rod Carew's swing. I'd give up bowling night to see it, if I were you.

There. That's how you write a review of a good show. (See Lesson #815: Reviewing a Flop). But don't forget to find something to complain about, like the lousy sound system; if you don't find something wrong, people think you've sold out. If you want to get the next lesson, Lesson #5: The Fine Art of Publishing a Law School Newspaper, Send $5.00 plus 15c for postage to F.W.C.S., c/o The Res Gestae.

-- Mark Erzen

ATTENTION:

3rd year students taking the July '80 Michigan Bar Exam:

**BRC offers $80 worth of supplemental programs PFREE**
to students who enrol before March 15**

**Go with the #1 choice of Michigan bar applicants**

For more information call:
Doug Mo at 665-7490
Cliff Scharman at 994-5291
Lynn Richardson at 996-3835
or visit the table in front of Room 100
Monday 11-12, Tuesday 11-12:30, Wednesday 12:30-1:30

BRC Michigan Office
15565 Northland Drive, #501
Southfield, Michigan 48075
(313) 559-7000
According to reports, the bargain we've struck with the law school is that, in exchange for borrowed money, we will be trained to "think like lawyers." We could be settling for a pretty dubious return; remember that Nixon and Agnew were both lawyers, and so were most of their friends. God forbid that, in exchange for Uncle Sam's money, we should all wind up thinking like them. Personally, I'd prefer thinking like Oliver Wendall Holmes, deigning momentarily to pause in my discourse with the Muses to arrange the petty wranglings of mere mortals. However, such an outcome hardly seems likely. I can't imagine the mush my mind has become of much interest to your typical Muse.

But even assuming we've bargained to be trained to think not like any particular lawyer, but the typical lawyer who's received an education as highly touted as that offered by Michigan, at least our lawyerlike thoughts are ours, with which we may amused ourselves. Ours, until we speak. Which brings me to the purpose of this essay: an unbargained-for consequence of our venture in mind-alteration is that, God save us, we're starting to talk like lawyers.

I first noticed this trend in class, but the tendency is creeping into conversations over our meagre gruel at the Lawyers Club, and even over cold frosties at Frazers. I've studied the symptoms carefully, and have discerned the following signs of Lawyerspeak:

- first, it is manifested by a reluctance to say anything that might be mistaken for a statement one would be willing to defend. Speech becomes an exercise in ambiguity: verbs of equivocation abound (seem, appear), softened by adverbs similarly spined with jello (presumably, evidently); use of the passive voice is compulsive. (I don't mean to imply that law students aren't outspoken – indeed, the acquisition of lawyerspeak begets an increase of words. What I'm addressing is not so much what law students say as how they say it).
- second, questionable opinions are attributed to others, preferably others from whom one might dissociate oneself("There are those who assert...", "Some might say...").
- third, unrhymed, unmetered couplets are as compulsive in Lawyerspeak as were the rhymed, metered variety in Restoration poetry ("There are two schools of thought on that...", "There are two essential points...") The Rule of Two is so ironclad, that if four points are to be made, they are made in two groups of two. For a good illustration, consult any lecture by Professor Rosenberg.
- fourth, when an opinion is finally to be made, it emerges not as a statement, but as a question ("Is it not true...?", "Am I right in thinking...?")

Thus, we, people of otherwise incontestable intelligence, who only months ago were conversant in English, are now actually capable of uttering such phrases as "Presumably, it would seem that there are those who evidently feel that Justice Dooblatz said...". Can the Queen forgive us for committing such unnatural acts with the mother tongue? Friends! Such tedious equivocation is not communication, it is verbal diarrhea! Have a care for the social hygiene of those around you! Remember the four warning signs of lawyerspeak listed above, and take these prophylactic measures to protect your intercourse from infection:

- Palpitate your expressions daily, and note any unidentifiable lumps.
- If you are suddenly seized by an inflammatory opinion, take a mouthful of audacity and articulate vigorously.
- If you feint frequently in conversation, consult a non-lawyer for a definite opinion.
- Avoid over-exposure to sources of equivocation, such as public statements by Jimmy Carter or Professor Cooperrider.

Remember, once contracted, lawyerspeak is a lifelong affliction. Perhaps only a few of us will emerge unscathed, but we must protect what dim sparks of civilization remain, even if the forces of obfuscation are camped on our very foreheads.

Pass/Fail Time

PASS/FAIL (Ungraded Course Option deadline for the Winter 1980 term is Friday, February 29, 1980 BEFORE 5 p. Pass/Fail slips are available in 304 Hutchins Hall.)

* * *
Law and Death

WHY NOT TO LOVE IN LAW SCHOOL

A number of people have commented on last week's "letter", which goes to show that somebody reads the R.G. as an antidote to classes. The nature of the Valentines published was more revealing of our "public" attitude towards romance and love. Since any manifestation of either is likely to be met with scorn and derision, few law students will engage in activities involving romance and love. We get enough public humiliation in class for a battalion of masochists.

There are a number of other factors that militate against romance in law school. Overshadowing all other is our concern with our careers—whether expressed as anxiety about grades, nervousness in class, or worry about job options (or lack thereof!). At least, "career" is our admitted purpose in going through with law school. Who would dare to confess to his/her classmates that what he/she is spending thousands of dollars and myriads of hours on is just a sideline affair? (Usually it is the other way around.) Everyone knows the joke that love is the study of dental hygienists.

It is true, however, that law school is the last of the great, open marriage marts most of us will experience. The high concentration of potential mates, matching us in both age and social standing that has continued on from college will be subject to dilution when we enter "the real world". Societal pressures to match up to partners now become accentuated, which can pressure us into poorer judgments than might otherwise result. This is particularly true of the women in law school, who are apt to fear pricing themselves out of the "market" both in terms of career/family choice problems, and in the problem of finding men whose egos can survive the possible strains of either a smarter or more successful wife. These potential problems argue effectively towards caution in the areas of romance and love, as well as marriage.

The close confines, intellectually, physically, and societally, breed an incestuous atmosphere. One and all, we begin to feel a bit as though we were living in a goldfish bowl, watched by all our fellows and by the buyers on the outside, who periodically scoop us out (in schools, of course). Knowing that our feelings might well be the subject of next lunch's (and dinner's, too) conversation for many people has a certain inhibitory effect.

Not that the situation is hopeless. Romance, scarred and scared as it may be, is not entirely dead (yet?) here. With some judicious—sorry, sensible—suggestions, perhaps it can be allowed, like The Weed, to flourish even in the Quad. Any source of ease, comfort to the soul, and means for happiness should be welcomed in our stony confines. Concern for another's progress, worries, and feelings, based on the common banes which we all must face, might pierce through the deafening egocentricity. Awareness of the subtle irony of the same people studying hearsay and gossiping might prevent the rumor mills from grinding so fine. The light that could be let into our grey and dismal endurance vile ought to be encouraged for:

"Who shall give a lover any law? Love is a greater law, aye by my pan, Than man has ever given to earthly man." Chaucer, The Knights Tale.

REFLECTIONS ON THE FIRST YEAR

EXPERIENCE is the first meeting of this winter's Lawyers Club Discussion Series. Professors Whitman and Rosberg will lead a discussion with interested 1st-year students on what law school has been like so far. The aim of this session is to stimulate discussion among 1st-year students. Excerpts from One-L by Scott Turow will be handed out in each 1st-year section and will be available in Rm. 300. The handout will serve as a basis for the discussion. Students may also wish to suggest topics or questions for discussion to Dean Eklund or Crispin Birnbaum.

The discussion will be held on Tuesday, Feb. 19 at 3:00 p.m. in the Lawyers Club Lounge. Coffee will be served so come and bring a few friends along.
PROPOSED TENTATIVE POSSIBLY SUBJECT TO APPROVAL CODE OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY

(Editor's Note: The following provisions were discovered scrawled on a piece of waxed paper outside ABA headquarters in New York City. The finder, Murray Goniff (Goniff, Swindler, and Lootum, of counsel), asked some ABA members who were passing by to verify the accuracy of the document. Reported comments were "I don't know, ask Kareem", and "Go bother Larry O'Brien". As a public service, the editors of Res Gestae provide the new portions for your perusal.)

* * *

CANON 1: A lawyer shall chase his secretary zealously around the office until she hyperventilates and consents to a lower salary.

Ethical Consideration 1-1: If the secretary is over 40, the lawyer will give her a five second head start. (Note: this EC does not apply if EC 1-2 is in effect—i.e. the lawyer has just eaten the luncheon special at Ptomaine King.)

CANON 370: The answer to the question, "Name an obese TV detective and the weight of his left leg."

Ethical Consideration 370-87: A lawyer shall gain as much weight as possible in order to look imposing, and thereby cause a total solar eclipse while examining a witness.

CANON 9: A lawyer should avoid even the appearance of professional failure.

Disciplinary Rule 9-999: A lawyer will be removed from the bar, with or without the waitress' consent, if he fails to purchase a new Cadillac Seville, lifetime subscription to Decadence Monthly, and a wardrobe of spring fashions (with the little alligators) for his schnauzer.

CANON 4: A lawyer should preserve the confidences and secrets of a client, unless they are juicy enough to make it a weekly TV series.

Ethical Consideration 4-00: Judge Lear, in Decorum v. Ratings, held that "Although a lawyer who defends his own oil company has a fuel for a client, on TV nothing is sacred."

CANON 2: A lawyer should assist the legal profession in fulfilling its duty to make legal counsel available to anyone with an American Express card or traveler's check, who has not left the scene of the crime without it.

CANON 1823: The only weapon of the Polish military, and the year in which it was built.

CANON 6: A lawyer should represent a client personally.

Disciplinary Rule 6-78: Brothers-in-law, cousins, and neighbors may not be substituted to defend a client by the attorney, unless they have watched at least four episodes of Perry Mason.

CANON 36-24-36: A lawyer may not "lecture" a client in order to influence his testimony, but he may leave the client several copies of Emanuel's Criminal Law and Richard Nixon's book Veracity and Me.

CANON 12.99: A lawyer shall not name his business "Torts R Us", "Law Mart" or "Warren Burger King", unless he promises to give green stamps.

--by Lawrence Savell ('82)
BOTH PASSERS IN A PLAYOFFS

Law Passers "B" defeated Kappa Sigma, 6-1, in mini-soccer last weekend. Randy Rowe and John Schubitowski scored 3 goals each. Passers "B" are 3-1, having only lost to Leafhoppers, the only team to beat the Passers outdoor squad.

Meanwhile, Passers "A" are 4-0, thanks to the hot shooting of Cristian Luhr and Doug Ellis and goalie Rick Littlefield. Both teams will be int the "A" playoffs starting next weekend.

LAW PURPLE POLISHES OFF THE BRONZE

The Law Purple Sports Dynasty basketball team kept its Recreational League record perfect Wednesday evening as they turned back upset-minded Law Bronze by a 35-22 tally. The game was marked by tenacious defense and strong rebounding on both sides, but the Purple Gang's steady play and patient offense eventually prevailed.

Rookie sensation Marc Mills once again displayed his long range shooting tactics which, in combination with the bombs and bricks of Bob Callahan and Greg Sumner and the savage rebounding of Dave Love, kept the Purplemen comfortably in the lead throughout the contest.

Walt (Don't Call Me Boom Boom) Ranney unveiled his deadly inside shooting game for the first time this season, while the colorful play of Carl (Magic) Cormany in the waning minutes of the game added a touch of entertainment and excitement to the victory.

Saturday's opening round of the Law School Tourney was a different story for the Purple Gang, however. Hampered by a spate of untimely injuries, the Grape Crush dropped a tough one to a rugged Law Dogs squad by a 64-45 tally. Ranney continued his torrid offensive efforts, but they were just not enough to keep the Purple within reach of their formidable opponents.

After Saturday's loss, team Founder and player-coach Mark (Stone Hands) Eby commented: "We're just not in the same league as those guys.", pointing out that Dogs are a Grad Competitive team, while the Purple Gang prefers to flex its callipygian muscles in the Recreational division.

The Grape Crush returns to action Wednesday night for a second round matchup against the Absolute Zeroes.

LAW SCHOOL BASKETBALL TOURNY

1st Round Games

Tres-Passers 55 Apocalypse Now 46
Law Dunk 26 Law Bronze 13
Legal Ease 37 Outlaws 33
Harlem River Whitefish 55 TCA 17
Purple Cows 53 Canyon 45
Pistols 55 Law Bruisers 48
Law Dogs 64 Law Purple 48
Trash 44 Mexican Connection 26
Psycho Killers 40 Law Fuchsia 38
Yo-Adrian 50 Quantum Meruit 28
Legal Soul 57 Law Nose 20

2nd Round Games

Law Dunk 52 Tres-Passers 34
Purple Cows 74 Harlem River 48
Yo Adrian 88 Psycho Killers 50
Apocalypse Now 30 Law Bronze 24
Canyon 66 TCA 21
Law Fuchsia 54 Quantum Meruit 24

Y.T's Predictions

Legal Ease by 5 over Law Dunk
Purple Cows by 10 over Pistols
Trash by 4 over Law Dogs
Yo Adrian by 6 over Legal Soul
Outlaws by 8 over Apocalypse Now
Canyon by 3 over Law Bruisers
Mexican Connection by 7 over Law Purple

Law Fuchsia by 15 over Law Nose
Purple Cows by 1 over Legal Ease
Trash by 1 over Yo Adrian
Canyon by 7 over Outlaws
Law Fuchsia by 5 over Mexican Connection

Trash v. Purple Cows even

Law Fuchsia by 3 over Canyon

*Official schedules will be posted on sports bulletin board. Some teams failed to provide refs Saturday. Please make sure someone is there to referee when your team is scheduled! Remember, 1st round winners must provide an extra ref. Also, please be prompt.
Last week's sports poll winner, Valentina Sgro, posted the lowest percentage among winners this year with a 21 - 14 mark (.600). Ron Mock posted an identical record but was edged out on the tie breaker. Ron Ruma repeated as the loser of the week with a dismal 12 - 23 mark (.343). The average score of the week was a disappointing 16 - 19 mark (.457). Val's prize is one free pitcher of beer to be provided by Rick's American Cafe, located at 611 Church Street. Val can pick up her/his voucher any day at the LSSS office.

The rules remain the same, circle the winners and cross out the losers. Entries are due in the box outside room 100 by 12 NOON Friday. This week's poll includes predictions of National Basketball Association playoff results. Consensus picks will be published in two weeks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team 1</th>
<th>Team 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama at Vanderbilt</td>
<td>Missouri (3½) at Kansas St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona (15½) at Arizona St.</td>
<td>Kentucky at LSU (2½)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Tech (7½) at Arkansas</td>
<td>Marquette (5½) at Notre Dame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowling Green (4½) at Toledo</td>
<td>Virginia (3½) at Maryland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BYU at Wyoming (3½)</td>
<td>Northwestern (9½) at Michigan St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cent. Michigan at East. Michigan (1½)</td>
<td>Minnesota at Wisconsin (3½)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNC Asheville (13½) at Clemson</td>
<td>Nebraska (6½) at Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut at Maine (4½)</td>
<td>N. C. State (1½) at Wake Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dayton (5½) at Duquesne</td>
<td>Stanford (16½) at Oregon St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loyola (Ill) (11½) at Depaul</td>
<td>Providence (9½) at St. Johns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island (7½) at Detroit</td>
<td>Washington St. at USC (3½)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke (3½) at N. Carolina</td>
<td>Boston College (10½) at Syracuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisville at Florida St. (5½)</td>
<td>Washington (6½) at UCLA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holy Cross (6½) at Georgetown</td>
<td>St. Josephs (8½) at Villanova</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Washington at Massachusetts (8½)</td>
<td>San Francisco at Gonzaga (4½)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard (4½) at Princeton</td>
<td>Indiana (2½) at Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho St. (12½) at Weber St.</td>
<td>Iowa (4½) at Ohio St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purdue (1½) at Illinois</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TUESDAY, February 19
-WLSA Recruiting Committee Meeting, 1:00-1:30 WLSA office. We will make plans for the March 29 Recruiting Conference. Call Mary at 995-4720 if you can't attend, but would like to participate in this project.
-Forum: Affirmative Action and ABA Standard 212. Dean Sandalow and Dennis Archer, President of the Wolverine Bar Association, 4:00 pm in Room 100 H.H.

WEDNESDAY, February 20
-Christian Law Students, 4:00 pm, Cook Room.

THURSDAY, February 21
-P.A.D. Luncheon--Prof. Joseph Sax will speak on "Our Threatened National Parks: The Legal Dilemmas", noon in the Faculty Dining Room of the Lawyer's Club.
-Law School Democrats: Philip Power, 1978 Democratic Candidate for U.S. Senate will speak. 5:30 pm, Faculty Dining Room, Lawyers Club.
-SFF meeting for all those interested in applying for summer 1980 fellowships and/or working on this year's fund raising. 7:30 pm, Room 212 H.H.

FRIDAY, February 22
-Jose Angel Gutierrez, the president of the La Raza Unida Party, will be speaking on "Racism in the Legal System" at 8:00 pm in the Lawyers Club Lounge.

SATURDAY, February 23
-A Night in the Tropics sponsored by LSSS Social Committee; tickets on sale Wednesday in front of Room 100 from 11:00 am to 1:30 pm.