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Labor unionism today is losing much of its original fervor, largely due to its failure to win for workers a larger share of the economic pie, according to one of our nationally prominent law professors.

Delivering the Pope John XXIII Lecture at Catholic University School of Law, Professor Theodore St. Antoine said that labor unions in the United States are facing declining membership as well as a lack of support from the general public.

"Today, polls show that there is no major institution in our society that is less trusted by the general public," said St. Antoine. "Organized labor has lost ground with its academic supporters, and, more important, with the workers themselves."

St. Antoine noted that, in a rapidly expanding labor force, union membership has fallen to only 21.8% of the total work force in the United States. "Unless the unions eventually crack the formidable bastions of white-collar workers in the office, clerical, technical, and retail trades, their numbers will continue to dwindle."

A major factor in declining unionism, said the ex-Dean, is that unions and collective bargaining have not achieved a major economic objective—they have not brought about a "redistribution of corporate income in favor of the wage-earning class."

Since 1900, employee compensation has usually fluctuated between 70 and 80 per cent of corporate income, said St. Antoine, "with, at most, only a moderate increase in labor's share over the entire century."

"A leading labor economist, Albert Reed, estimates that unions may have succeeded in raising the wage rates of their members an average of 10 to 15 per cent in recent years, but they have not succeeded similarly in increasing labor's share in the distribution of income at the expense of capital, even in their own industries."

Noting that "gains won by unionized workers are not secured at the expense of profits but

(Continued on Page 2)
Dear R.G.-

I'm ready to voice my pet gripe. I want to know about the eating rule in the library. One student said to me last week, as he guzzled his Coke and scattered peanuts on the floor, "If you've studied at all in this library, you know that rule is never enforced."

He's right. But it makes me wonder, and I don't mean to pick on him im particularly; are rules only rules if we are forced to follow them? I like to eat anywhere, anytime. I would love to really get some work done at lunch, in the quiet atmosphere of the library. I would love not to have to interrupt my work for my mandatory munchies.

But isn't there a reason why we don't want to eat in the library? It isn't the library's rule that either is imposed on me or not. It serves me to have the library free of pests and damaged books. It's my rule. Is there some secret about the rationale behind the no eating rule? Or are you just an "exception"?

Then there's the problem of enforcement and the atmosphere of the library. The library staff wants to maintain a professional and service-oriented environment, and I tell you it's demoralizing to confront people who ought to know better.

It is also demoralizing to see other people breaking a very sensible rule. What do you say? You say "What the hell? I can break the rule too", and you get very self-righteous if you are asked to observe a rule you see others breaking. You think your food "doesn't matter."

The library employees do not want to confront self-righteous, obstinate argumentative people, and I'm telling you, that's what you are when somebody asks you to take a look at what you're doing. It brings up every event in your past when you did something you knew violated your own ethics, and you get plugged right into guilt and anger. Do we have to have library employees removing violators from the library in order for you to feel its worth your while to keep the rule?

In short, damn it, does responsibility have to be forced upon you? You want to work in a professional settin and influence policy and assume higher responsibility for worldly affairs. And you can't observe a simple, obvious, rational rule. I say to you, good luck.

--Lee Katzman

LE CHATEAU DE BACCHANALIA PRESENTS:

MICHIGAN LAW
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in front of Room 100 Hutchins Hall

T-Shirts, Gym Shorts $4.75

Golf Shirts $7.50

"Harvard Law, Michigan $5.00 of the East" T-Shirts

Buy a shirt and support good parties!

SAINT LECTURES AT CATHOLIC U (continued)

at the expense of the employees or potential employees who are squeezed out of jobs that are eliminated in organized industries," he said that organized labor has probably made its greatest impact in the "furthermance of humane values"—such as giving employees a voice in determining working conditions and benefits, and creation of the grievance and arbitration process—rather than in "supposed economic triumphs."

Addressing the question of affirmative action, St. Antoine said he believes that preferential treatment of minorities and women is necessary as a temporary measure to remedy past discrimination against groups of people. "Heroic measures are called for in the treatment [of discrimination]—specifically, a group remedy to cure this group wrong," he stated. But he warned that "we must not allow the drug of race-conscious and sex-conscious behavior to become habit-forming. Affirmative action must cease when its goals have been substantially accomplished."
National Lawyers Guild
1979 Mid-East Conference Report

The National Lawyers Guild held its fall convention for the Mid-East Region (Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and western New York) on November 2-4, 1979 in Cincinnati. Approximately 70 delegates attended, representing about eight chapters, including two new chapters forming in Dayton, Ohio, and Rochester, New York. Conferrees included attorneys, paralegals, and law students. The three-day conference encompassed a wide variety of topics, including organizational skills, reproductive rights, and police misconduct. Preparations for the meeting of the National Executive Board in Los Angeles, February 1980, were also discussed.

The entire first day of the conference was devoted to organizing skills. About 30 select delegates discussed the problems that some local chapters have been facing in maintaining Guild membership and pursuing political activity; various solutions were proposed and the more successful chapters shared their organizing techniques with the other conferrees.

Current problems, particularly the struggle against racism in the Guild and the recruitment of minority members, were given special attention in the opening session. Bill Goodman of the Detroit Chapter (who has addressed the Ann Arbor Chapter earlier this year) then stressed the heritage of the National Lawyers Guild in promoting progressive politics in America since the New Deal. His speech outlined the rise of the NLG and its revival after the persecution of the McCarthy era. Present membership, it was noted, has surpassed the former peak of 5000. Position papers on these and other topics of the organizer's conference are available in the Guild Office, 3rd Floor, Lawyers Club for inspection by Guild members and other interested persons.

Individual chapters also gave reports highlighting their particular successes and difficulties. Generally, the larger chapters, such as Buffalo and Detroit, made the most encouraging reports; however, several new chapters are in the process of formation, and the growth of a strong Guild is a consistent theme throughout our region. Anne Manley (Columbus Chapter) spoke on organizational philosophy, and several of her suggestions will be implemented in Ann Arbor in the near future. As part of this program, Judith Magid (Detroit Chapter) will address our chapter this Tuesday (see Docket), concerning her recent successful equal protection suit involving Huron Valley Women's Facility, a prison. Also, a video presentation from WAVAW (Women Against Violence Against Women) on the relationship of pornography to criminal sexual misconduct will be coming soon to our chapter, as a follow-up to our Misty Beethoven protest—which, incidentally, received warm support from our comrades at the regional conference.

This organizer's conference concluded with a detailed discussion of the "nuts and bolts" of chapter maintenance: organizational structure, meetings, newsletters, finances, project development, etc.

Two major skills seminars were featured during the rest of the convention. A Police Misconduct workshop, conducted by Candace McCoy (Cincinnati Chapter, Professor of Criminal Justice at U. of Cincinnati Law School), dealt with the practical aspects of civil rights litigation in police misconduct cases, especially discovery and trial practice techniques. A mock deposition of a hostile witness and a mock cross-examination of a police officer were studied in depth.

Reproductive Rights formed the focus of the other major seminar. A quartet of women Guild attorneys led the group in discussing the latest developments of pro-choice work, and planning future strategies. The workshop also examined the connection of the "right-to-life" movement to the new political right-wing in this country.

Other workshops at the convention included a discussion of Civil Disobedience and a presentation by the Farm Labor Organizing Committee. John Quigley (Columbus Chapter) also gave a slide show on Kampuchea, followed by a lively debate over current policies of the Ieng Sary government in Cambodia. Smaller interest groups caucused on legal services, international law, and men's anti-sexism. Janet Benschof delivered the dinner address on abortion rights, sharply criticizing the NLG for its failure to take women's issues more seriously. (Chapter spokespersons subsequently agreed women's issues were to be given greater attention at our spring regional convention to be held in Buffalo.) A cultural presentation featured Karen Jones and the Reel World String Band.

Delegates assembled before dinner for the Plenum, conducted under the joint supervision of Regional Vice-Presidents Barb Handschu (Buffalo Chapter) and Betsy Engel (Detroit Chapter). A number of administrative matters were resolved: plans for the next regional, financial reports, announcement of the election of new VP's, and chapter reports. Steve Saltl.

Report continued on p. 6
The one-1 llama,  
He's a priest.  
The two-1 llama,  
He's a beast.  
And I will bet  
A silk pajama  
There isn't any  
Three-1 llama.  

Ogden Nash - "The Lama"

Readers of this newspaper have recently been witness to a furious debate over a certain pornographic film which I have resolved never to mention in this column. On one side are those who believe that porn is dehumanizing; on the other, those who believe that the first amendment entitles them to be as porny as they like. I must confess that my sympathies are with the anti-porns. The attempts of the proponents to pull the first amendment wool over our eyes consist of arguments made of whole cloth (and polyester, at that). In fact, all of the protestations by the Gargoyle Film group leave me cold. Then under the chilling effect doctrine, they clearly cannot survive first amendment scrutiny. But the greatest defect in the debate is that despite all the talk of first amendment rights, it should be evident by now that the real issue was not the right to freedom of expression, but "the right to be let alone," Olmstead v. U.S., 277 U.S. 438 (1928) (dissenting opinion by Brandeis, J.), which was violated be weekly effusions of self-righteous prose. I, for one, will tolerate no further interference with that right. I intend to ignore any further references to the issue, and I call on all right-minded individuals to do the same.

But all this is merely by way of introduction. I refer to pornography because it is one example of how people are being dehumanized by forces at work in our society. Pornography is a stark instance of this; but there are other, more subtle attacks on our humanity. In fact, one particularly insidious for of dehumanization appears to be strengthening its grip on our law school community even as I speak. I refer, of course, to the increasing frequency with which members of our community are referred to, or what is worse, refer to themselves, as 1-L's, 2-L's, and 3-L's.

This trend has reached alarming proportions only within the last year. Most probably, this is due to the influence of certain books and television shows about law school in which these terms are given great prominence. Whatever the reason, however, this trend must be resisted. We must not acquiesce in being called something that looks like a typographical error.

It is bad enough that banks, phone companies, university administrators, and others of that ilk force me to identify myself as an eight or ten digit number. But in those situations I have no choice. Here on my home turf I intend to battle it out—I will not let my existential essence be summed up in a tepid alphabet soup.

It is my understanding that the terms in question originated at Harvard University. This in itself should be sufficient reason to eschew them. Any product of the institution that gave us Henry Kissinger, the casebook method of teaching and "Buttnose" must be regarded with suspicion.

Now it is true that 1-L, 2-L and 3-L do have some linguistic value. For example, it is proper to say, "Am I hung over! I had 1-L of a time last night." Or, "Upon completing the Law School Mini-Marathon, he remarked, 'The 3-L of victory; the agony of de feet.'" And on occasion, the use of those terms may even reveal the touch of a genius, as in the Nash poem prefacing these remarks. But in ordinary conversation, one should always seek to avoid using the terms.

Finally, in response to those economists and stenographers who would argue that 1-L, 2-L, and 3-L are far more efficient than first-year law student, second-year law student, and third-year law student (which terms I prefer), I would make two responses. First, if it's so efficient, then why don't we use it? Secondly, although the longer terms are less verbally efficient, they are far more eloquent. If someone asks me what I do and I reply, "I'm a 3-L," what have I communicated? Nothing. But if I say, "I'm a third-year law student," even though the words are equally meaningless, the seven-syllable phrase allows me a better opportunity to express my inner-being—through vocal inflections, facial expressions, etc.

Oreste Lord Olivier could declaim the mere characters "1-L" and yet convey the full anxiety felt by first-year students. But who among us, in stating, "I'm a first-year student" has been unable to express the truly abysmal nature of that existence? Similarly, it is much easier for second-year students law students to express their cynicism, third-year students their ennui, when using the longer descriptive

(Continued on Page 9)
Professional Theatre Program's Best of Broadway participates and speaks with the other characters of the performance of the Narrator. Compounding the ambiguity is the fact that in some scenes the Narrator actively participates and speaks with the other characters, while at other moments he is but a silent observer.

The play is set within Charlie's memory, and for that reason it is a difficult play to stage. The action takes place at various times and locations within Charlie's memory. In some scenes, the middle-aged Charlie/Narrator appears, as well as "Young Charlie," as remembered by the Narrator. Compounding the ambiguity is the fact that in some scenes the Narrator actively participates and speaks with the other characters, while at other moments he is but a silent observer.

The director, J. Ranelli, presented these scenes coherently, so that the audience was not confused as to chronology or as to which characters were "alive" at different moments. Effective use was made of lighting as a device to distinguish passive and active characters.

Ranelli was, moreover, sensitive to the tone of the play, achieving a balance between earthy humor and intense emotional struggle. All aspects of the production—set design in particular, and lighting—were well integrated. Movement and tempo were excellent.

The nature of the play demands a set with many distinct areas, because the action spans many years and places. The set design met this challenge, and more: the scale was deliberately kept small, which added greatly to the intimacy of the performance. One room of Charlie's childhood home occupied most of the stage. Furnishings and decorations evoked the poverty, but solidity, of his family. The tones were dark, earthy; not suggesting baseness but rather a stark existence which Da earns by working with the earth, literally digging in and wrenching a livelihood from the Irish soil. The confines of the room were limited by but a single door, which was slammed shut and pounded on at various occasions, but which proved as durable as the family. A staircase climbed above and around the room, representing a path away from the home, which Charlie travels, and toward new vistas. The staircase broadened the spatial dimensions of the set.

The acting was, without exception, outstanding. A powerful performance by Jack Aronson as Da was complemented by equally fine efforts by all of the actors, including Detroit-born Curtis Armstrong as "Young Charlie." The interplay between these characters was riveting and relationships were well established, in particular the tension between stolid Da and bright, curious "Young Charlie." This conflict served to clarify the conflict in middle-aged Charlie's mind as to his need to exercise his memories of Da, and the impossibility of that attempt. All characters were believable and accents were effective, with but a bit of affectation.

---R.A.P.

Counter Culture

At the bottom of the page, right underneath the place in the program where the cast of "Broadway" is listed, there is a small acknowledgment. It reads: "This production of 'Broadway' has been made possible in part by the generosity of S & H Greenstamps." I should have taken it as a warning—any play backed by S & H Greenstamps can't be expected to be a masterpiece. But I did expect a lot more from "Broadway" than the highly touted Acting Company delivered.

The Acting Company is an outgrowth of John (Professor Kingsfield) Houseman's acting school The they've been on the road for eight years now, and they've gotten good reviews --the N.Y.Times called them "the finest repertory company in New York City." They should know better than to try to commit professional suicide by trotting out a dead horse like "Broadway".

"Broadway" is a schmaltzy, 1926 gangsters-and-guns morality play. Its not funny enough to be musical, and its not serious enough to be theater. Its not musical enough to be fun, and its so old it can't be relevant. And I'm sure of one other thing, too: entertaining its not.

This revival - no, it isn't a revival, because that implies that they brought it back to life - this exhumation of the play was doomed no matter what acting were brought to bear on it. The characters were cardboard cutouts; by comparison, Mork and Mindy plumbs the depths of the human soul. There was a chorus girl, whose virtue was exceeded only by her naivete, a cop who made Dudley Doright look corrupt, and a bootlegger whose henchmen were named (really) Dolph and Porky. And lets not forget Mr. Personality, the good-golly-gee-whiz-shucks-aw-you're-sweet hoofer who wants to make it big in Vaudeville.

Continued on p. 6
You'll notice that while our reviewer wasn't crazy about "Broadway", he had no complaints about the costuming or sets!

COUNTER CULTURE CONTINUED from p. 5.

If the characters were bad, the dialogue was worse. Such as "Mr. Crandall, tell your hands to behave themselves," and "Whada ya say, let's get hitched?". Given the characters and dialogue, it's no wonder the Acting Company delivered a performance that bordered on grim.

With the exception of Lil and Porky - two comedic character roles played with surprising spark and enthusiasm - the cast showed only a grit-your-teeth-and-do-it professionalism that couldn't begin to warm up the very small but wildly unenthusiastic crowd at Power Center. The only part I liked was the end, where the cast tapped their way through the curtain call with a modicum of emotion and verve; but maybe the emotion was just relief. That sequence was good, and looked fantastic in comparison to the rest of the play.

The Acting Company uses an exercise to teach acting called "mask work", where the students don blank masks in order to force themselves to express their emotions through their bodies and postures, without the use of their faces. I wonder if they're doing "Broadway" for roughly the same reason - to force themselves to communicate with the audience without using a play. If so, it failed. If anyone asks you to see "Broadway", make sure they're talking about a street in New York before you say yes. If they're talking about the play, offer to go see a high school production instead - you'll be more entertained. --Mark Erzen

GUILD REPORT Continued from p. 3

The third day of the Conference concluded with a "contacts meeting" where each chapter contributed suggestions for the next regional. The consensus was that the NEB theme, anti-fascism and women's issues were the most pressing items of business.

Our chapter will have the opportunity to send delegates to both the Spring Regional and the NEB. Ann Arbor is also committed to implementing the theme of "Build the Guild." Members and those interested in becoming Guild members should check the Guild Bulletin Board (level one, Legal Research) or the Guild Office for coming attractions.

Report respectfully submitted by Convention Delegate, Fred Perillo, 8 November 1979

Law School Life

by Stephanie Smith

The Dialogue of the McGeorge School of Law in Sacramento, California, reports that "many new lawyers either starve to death, or get sued for malpractice, or lose a disproportionate share of their cases."

The University of Miami School of Law's newsletter, the Slip Sheet, carries a recipe column last week's featured recipe was "Liver en gar lic croutons."

Harvard Law School is rumored to have free coffee available to students outside the classrooms.

The Georgetown University Law Library has announced that it will be periodically holding "book shelving drills." Library patrons will be expected to join staff members in reshelving books, presumably until everyone has put away all of their toys.
Most people are frustrated physicians. Everyone has an Aunt Ethel with a sure-fire cure for something. Recently I have been the object of a large number of lay diagnoses—most have determined me to be the victim of the common cold. (One, however, accused me of kissing diseased men.) A number of prescriptions resulted.

One friend advised me to take a long hot bath. The steam was to relieve my troubled sinus cavities; the heat was to relax me. Returning to the spacious walk-in closet I call home, I reviewed all possible means of carrying out this cure. I couldn't figure out how to plug the drain in my shower stall. My plastic dishpan was too small, and my roommate forbade me to sit in the kitchen sink. My cold was to go uncured until the next prescription.

Someone then advised me to stay in bed, watch tv and drink lots of tea. Watching soap operas all afternoon made me feel, if anything, sicker.

A hearty soul recommended a few shots of whiskey and a good laugh. After three generous shots I began to giggle uncontrollably—but my cold didn't go away. "Feed a cold and starve a fever" quoted another. But what good is feeding a cold when your stopped-up nose prevents you from tasting anything? (Although I suppose then is as good a time as any to eat spinach, squash and sweetbreads).

Someone else thought that an evening in the Reading Room could cure me. When I asked her to repeat that, she apologized. She thought I had hiccups and wanted to scare them away. I did take her advice in one way, however, I checked out a nice fat hornbook—it helped me to get plenty of sleep. Of course, I could probably have accomplished that merely by going to class...
Campbell Competition Quarter Final
Dockets will be held all this week:

Mon., 3 p.m., Moot Court Rm. & Rm. 132
Mon., 7 p.m., Room 132
Tues., 3 p.m., Moot Court Rm. & Rm. 132
Tues., 7 p.m., Room 132
Wed., 3 p.m., Moot Court Room
Wed., 7 p.m., Moot Court Room
Thurs., 3 p.m., Moot Court Room
Thurs., 7 p.m., Moot Court Room
Friday, 7 p.m., Moot Court Room

The public and the student body are welcome and invited to attend. The participants in each round are posted on the Campbell Competition bulletin board on the second floor of Hutchins Hall across from the elevator. A brief description of the problem will be available for the audience at each round.

The National Lawyers Guild presents Judith Magid, a Wayne County Neighborhood Legal Services attorney, who recently won an equal protection suit against the Department of Corrections on behalf of inmates at Huron Valley Women's Prison. She will discuss prison litigation. 4 p.m. Tuesday, Nov. 13th in Room 120.

HELP NEEDED ONLY SIXTY MILES AWAY - The Ohio based Farm Labor Organizing Committee (FLOC) is currently embroiled in a legal battle involving various criminal and civil charges arising out of the tomato strike this summer in Putnam County Ohio. Farmworkers in their quest to organize and secure better working and living conditions have met with the same fierce resistance that their brethren in California and Texas have encountered in their organizing struggles.

The FLOC staff attorney is recovering from a skull fracture injury inflicted by law enforcement officials in the summer strike. Because of his present condition he has been unable to devote full attention to FLOC's legal matters. There is, therefore, a desperate need for anyone willing to help by researching, taking depositions, writing briefs, and by preparing cases. Those who can help will also be able to handle cases from FLOC's farmworker legal assistance program.

Those not able to help can do their part in the farmworkers struggle by boycotting all Libby and Campbell products and non-UFW California Head lettuce. (Law School vending machines stock Campbells products).

The FLOC office, located in Toledo, willing to accommodate any kind of volunteer assistance. Your schedule is their schedule. For more information contact the National Lawyers Guild (Law Club 30 or La Raza (H.H. 102A).

SOCIAL COMMITTEE NOTES - The L.S.S.S. Social Committee will be sponsoring a party on Saturday morning, November 17th, between 10:00 a.m. and 11:30 a.m. in the Lawyers Club Lounge. The party, entitled "Woody's Wake," will precede the Wolverine-Buckeye football game. A special "Woody's Punch" and beer will be provided. All law students, faculty and friends welcome.

The Social Committee will also be having their November meeting this Wednesday night at 8:30 in the Cook Room.

In response to the recent controversy over the showing of Misty Beethoven, W Feminist Legal Services, and the National Lawyers Guild are sponsoring a Law School Forum entitled "Can Political Protest HELP NEEDED" Wednesday, November 14, 4:00 p.m., Lawyer's Club Lounge. Dean Sandalow and Milton Heumann of the Political Science Department will lead the discussion. All students and faculty are urged to attend.

FIRST YEAR LAW STUDENTS - The Library is now accepting applications for Desk Assistants to begin working now or winter term 1980. The positions are 10-15 hours per week.

Please see Betty Curley in 365 L.R. for applications.

CLASSIFIED AD: LOST: one sweater vest. If found please call John Harmon, 668-0353.
A few reminders as the season draws to a close....

No-Shows- An increasing number of students are signing-up for an interview time, but not showing up for the interview. This practice is very offensive to the interviewers who travel to campus to visit with our students, and is also unfair to other students. (An example--on Thursday of last week, one interviewer decided that although only four students had signed-up to interview, he would make the trip to Michigan. Only two of the four students showed up for their interviews! In another case the same day, five students signed-up and only three showed.)

If you sign-up - please show-up!

* * * * * * * * * * *

Offers - In fairness to other students, as well as employers, please try to make decisions regarding offers as promptly as possible.

* * * * * * * * * * *

Questionnaires - The National Association for Law Placement is attempting to poll all third year students in the country about Career Alternatives. All of our third year people are asked to complete the questionnaire (available on the table outside Room 100 and in the Placement Office) and return it before the Thanksgiving break. While we will be sending these along to the national office, we will also be using the information in our office. We ask that all of our students complete the questionnaire (including first and second year people) Please indicate your class at the top of the questionnaire.

* * * * * * * * * * *

Interviewing schedule - a large number of employers have scheduled late visits to the campus, and were not reflected on the original schedule. Please check the flip cards outside the office for the current list.

* * * * * * * * * * *

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD - an attorney from the Detroit Regional office will be here on Nov. 15 at 2PM for a group informational meeting in Room 250.
TRASH DUMPS ON MBA's

Supported by a wildly enthusiastic following of fiercely loyal fan, Trash abused a hapless MBA Red team, 14-0. Trash got off to a great start in the opening moments when middle linebacker Steve Adams intercepted an errant pass on the first play of the game. A rash of dropped passes by the receiver corps of Joe Innamorati and Brent Graber kept Trash from scoring a quick knockout blow. Then quarterback Y.T. Bourque took over and provided all the defense the MBA's needed to keep Trash off the scoreboard in the first half. Picking out enemy defenders from the masses of Trash receivers covering the field, Czar Y.T. tossed enough interceptions to keep a really poor MBA squad in the game. Trash finally got untracked in the second half thanks to a vicious rush by J.T. Tompert and Bruce "You're Dead, M.F." Arnold that kept MBA at bay. The valiant 'O' came through with a TD early in the 3rd quarter, and then sewed the game up on Brent "Poetry" Graber's contortionist circus catch in the end zone. Trash's athletic program has now won four of its last five contests despite a rash of injuries—Ed Mendoza (ankle), Dan McCarthy (shoulder), Burt Falk (ribs), Rudy Chavez (foot), and Jack Fortner (stomach ache).

NATTY DREAD

Natty Dread made the semi-finals of the grad league in touch football by its 6-0 victory over MBA Gold last Wednesday. The Dread led the entire game on first downs, which are used to determine the winner in the event of a tie at the end of regulation time, but did not score the determining TD until the final two minutes of the game.

The game began with the Dread receiving the kickoff. QB Gene Ludwig came out throwing and made one first down within seconds, but had a bomb intercepted by the Gold far downfield. A Dread interception got the ball back soon thereafter.

A tight defensive struggle ensued, with the bulk of the offense passes to Val Strehlow and Tom Tate and bootlegs and quarterback draws by Ludwig. The offensive line of Tom Richardson and Dave Uitvlugt gave Ludwig plenty of time and he was able to also pick out center Skip Rose and tight end John Plotkin when needed.

The second half opened with the same defensive battle and with about ten minutes left the Dread was scared by a good Gold drive, but held them on the Dread's one-yard line. Well ahead in first downs by this point, the Dread offense pushed past midfield once again before Gold got the ball back. A turning point seemed to come with defensive captain Rick Meller's intimidating hit of a Gold running back. Meller skewered the unfortunate MBA on a perfectly timed blitz as Meller was going full speed and hit the line the instant the ball was smapped.

The Gold was apparently mindful of that play and the Dread took the opportunity to run down the field and cap the game with Ludwig's winning pass to Strehlow.

The semi-final game is at the Tartan Field next Wednesday at 7:50 p.m. There is a possibility that the Dread will be at full strength with Ernest Newborn healthy enough to play.

I.M. SCORES

Men's Competitive Football Playoffs
- Natty Dreads 6 MBA Gold 0
- Trash 14 MBA Red 0
- DSD'B' Win Law Purple Forfeit
- Recreative Football
  - Outlaws 12 Cutthroats 8
  - Mutants 6 Law Bronze 0
- Co-Rec Football
  - Law Dirt 0 Mashers 0 (First Downs)
- Racquetball Playoffs
  - Trash Win MBA Green Forfeit
  - Law Dogs 2 MBA Blue 1
- Bowling
  - Dental Derelicts 2274 Law Dogs 2018
- Women's Volleyball
  - Wenley House 4 Law Spikers 0

Senior Day!

It's time once again for all Juris Doctors, Masters of Comparative Laws and Masters of Laws candidates to begin preparing for the December Senior Day ceremony. The Law School Senior Day honors the graduating class in a ceremony more personal than the University-wide commencement, though it is not intended to take its place.

It is important that the Law School know which graduating students are planning to attend Senior Day in order to plan seating arrangements, etc. Those intending to attend should stop by Room 320 Hutchins Hall and fill out a registration form. Invitations will be available for you to send to guests.

This term Professor Harry Edwards will be the speaker for the program, which will be held at 2:00 p.m. in Hale Auditorium (at the Business School). There will be a reception immediately following in the Lawyer's Club Lounge.
SPORTS POLL

Last week's sports poll winner was Jim Kurek with a 27 - 8 mark (.771). The loser of the week was Ron Mock with a 16 - 19 mark (.428). The average score of the week was an improved 21 - 14 (.600). Jim's prize is one free pitcher of beer to be provided by Rick's American Cafe, located at 611 Church St. Jim can pick up his voucher any day at the LSSS office. The rules remain the same, circle the winners and cross out the losers. Entries are due by 5:00 PM Friday.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COLLEGE</th>
<th>PRO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin (20½) at Minnesota</td>
<td>Green Bay (9½) at Buffalo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois at Northwestern (9½)</td>
<td>St. Louis (6½) at Philadelphia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purdue (2½) at Indiana</td>
<td>N.Y. Jets (7½) at Chicago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan St. (10½) at Iowa</td>
<td>Detroit (7½) at Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio St. at Michigan (1½)</td>
<td>Denver at San Francisco (6½)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miami, Fla. (25½) at Alabama</td>
<td>Dallas at Washington (4½)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas at Texas A&amp;M (3½)</td>
<td>New Orleans (2½) at Seattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah (3½) at Brigham Young</td>
<td>N.Y. Giants (8½) at Tampa Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Michigan at N.W. Louisiana (15½)</td>
<td>Baltimore (13½) at New England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memphis St. (19½) at Florida St.</td>
<td>Cincinnati (7½) at Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa St. (26½) at Nebraska</td>
<td>Kansas City (8½) at Oakland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clemson (6½) at Notre Dame</td>
<td>Pittsburgh at San Diego (1½)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma at Missouri (14½)</td>
<td>Miami (7½) at Cleveland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temple (6½) at Penn St.</td>
<td>Atlanta (6½) at Los Angeles (MOR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCU (17½) at Texas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wake Forest (8½) at S. Carolina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington St. (21½) at Washington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard (17½) at Yale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auburn at Georgia (1½)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California (3½) at Stanford</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh at Army (22½)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tie Breaker: How many yards passing will Michigan have Saturday? ______

NAME __________________________

---

GAG ORDERS

WE HIRED THAT FAMOUS MOONIE DEPROGRAMMER TO HELP OUR SON JOHN

WE KIDNAPPED HIM WHILE HE WAS GOING BETWEEN TORTS AND CONTRACTS

NOT MUCH. THEY USED ALL SORTS OF TERRIBLE BRAINWASHING TECHNIQUES TO WEAKEN HIS SPIRIT. THEY SHOVED THEIR DOCTRINES DOWN HIS THROAT CONTINUOUSLY - HE DIDN'T GET ANY SLEEP. THEY DISTORTED ALL THE VALUES HE PREVIOUSLY HAD. HOW DID YOU GET HIM OUT?

IS THERE HOPE OF RESTORING HIM TO NORMAL?
MONDAY, November 12
- Lawyers Club Discussion Series - Law School Exams - "What to Expect and How to Prepare for Them" Professors Browder, Martin, Whitman, at 3:30 p.m. in Lawyers Club Lounge.

TUESDAY, November 13
- Ambassador Yehuda Blum of Israel will speak on "Israel and the Palestinian Arabs" at 1:30 p.m. in Room 100 H.H.
- Lawyers Club Discussion Series - Coping with the Stress of Becoming a Lawyer - topics include exams, family considerations, workload, the realities of practice, emotional strains, the causes and relief of anxiety, and the quality of life. Dr. Andrew Watson will speak at 3:30 p.m. in the Lawyers Club Lounge.
- Judith Magid, a Detroit lawyer, will speak on prison litigation. Sponsored by the National Lawyers Guild, in Room 120 at 4 p.m.
- Cesar Chavez, famed founder of the United Farmworkers of America will be speaking at Rackham Auditorium at 7:30 p.m.

WEDNESDAY, November 14
- BLSA General body meeting at 3:45 p.m. in Room 220. Agenda: Edwards Roast, 3rd Year Representative, Orientation Expenses
- Law School Forum: Can Political Protest be Censorship? Dean Sandalow and Professor Milton Herimann, political science, at 4:00 p.m. in the Lawyers Club Lounge.

THURSDAY, November 15
- P.A.D. Luncheon - Professor Peter Westen - U/M Law Professor, and author of this year's Campbell Competition Problem, will speak on "Thoughts on Appellate Advocacy and the Campbell Competition Topic". All are welcome. Noon in the Faculty Dining Room, Lawyers Club.

Friday, November 16
- David Beckwith, Editor of Legal Times of Washington, former Supreme Court reporter for Time Magazine, will speak on "Journalism and the Supreme Court" in Room 138 at noon.

SATURDAY, November 17
- Woody's Wake party - sponsored by Social Committee and held from 10 a.m. to 11:30 in Lawyers Club Lounge.

Another Alfred Hitchcock THRILLER !!!!!!!
Winner of Seven Academy Awards.
With Lawrence Olivier, Judith Anderson and Joan Fontain.
7 & 9 P.M.--Hale Auditorium
Still only $1.50