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The Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD) is a ground-breaking human rights treaty focused on the protection of the disabled. Like the Convention on the Rights of the Child and the Convention to End All Forms of Discrimination Against Women, the CRPD expressly extends many of the protections laid out in existing, general human rights treaties to persons with disabilities. As U.N. Secretary General Kofi Annan remarked, the CRPD was “the first human rights treaty to be adopted in the twenty-first century; the most rapidly negotiated human rights treaty in the history of international law; and the first to emerge from lobbying conducted extensively through the Internet.” Supporters hoped that a set of international minimum standards would galvanize individual nations to pass their own domestic legislation respecting similar rights.

As of October 2013, a number of predominantly Muslim nations have signed and ratified the CRPD, among them Algeria, Egypt, Iran, Lebanon, Syria, and the United Arab Emirates. Although only Iran and Egypt entered reservations to the Convention, the perceived conflicts between Islamic Law and the Convention’s human rights framework were discussed throughout negotiations and questions still remain as to how the Convention’s obligations will be shaped by Islamic law. At the very least, because many citizens of Muslim nations turn to religious leaders for questions relating to both political issues and Islamic law, implementation of the CRPD will necessarily be influenced by religious views.

This question of implementation will also be influenced by history. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) debates occurred in December 1948, when many Muslim majority nations were just gaining independence from their powerful colonizers. Although a number of the UDHR’s provisions were non-controversial, many led to genuine conflict.

5. See id.
6. See CRPD supra note 1.
7. As opposed to political leaders, who are often seen as corrupt and influenced by foreign states. See Irene Oh, THE RIGHTS OF GOD: ISLAM, HUMAN RIGHTS, AND COMPARATIVE ETHICS 6 (Sumner B. Twiss et al. eds., 2007).
8. See Majid Turmusani, DISABLED PEOPLE AND ECONOMIC NEEDS IN THE DEVELOPING WORLD: A POLITICAL PERSPECTIVE FROM JORDAN 48 (2003) (“In reality, however, Muslim society has often failed to live up to [Islam’s ideal of equality] as disabled people continue to be excluded from mainstream society on religious grounds as much as on other social and economic grounds.”).
and resistance, with fledgling Muslim nations ultimately losing many of these debates to more powerful nations and their allies.\textsuperscript{9} Accordingly, formal political acceptance of human rights treaties may nonetheless mask internal disagreement and debates as to implementation.\textsuperscript{10}

Yet these previous human rights treaties are important to the CRPD. The CRPD closely follows the format of the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), restating basic human rights principles and then applying them to its targeted group.\textsuperscript{11} Starting with introductory Articles of universal application,\textsuperscript{12} it progresses to specific substantive and procedural rights,\textsuperscript{13} and creates a monitoring system to oversee implementation.\textsuperscript{14} Finally, the Convention lays out the process by which it enters into force, and by which reservations and amendments might be made.\textsuperscript{15} This note will focus on Articles 1-30, as Shari’a does not concern itself with modern monitoring mechanisms of multilateral agreements.

This note will examine the CRPD’s aspirations in light of Islamic law, comparing whether the two are—or can be—consistent. Part I will provide background on the CRPD, including the intent of the treaty, the negotiations leading to the final wording, and the solid obligations it contains for state parties. Part II examines the background of Shari’a and its provisions regarding disability. Part III compares the treatment of the disabled under Islamic law with that required by the CRPD in order to gauge consistency. Where tensions exist, alternative interpretations of both Islamic law and the CPRD are proposed that might facilitate balance between Shari’a principles and those of the CRPD.

I. HISTORY OF THE CONVENTION

This Part explores the history of the Convention as well as its impetus, and then provides a brief definition of disability under the CRPD and other international instruments.

A. The Movement Behind the Convention

The CRPD is the end result of a movement beginning in the early 1970s that raised the profile of persons with disabilities and demanded in-
ternational action to protect this population. The United Nations responded to this movement by adopting the Declaration on the Rights of Mentally Retarded Persons in 1971, and in 1975 adopted the Declaration on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. In 1982, the General Assembly formulated the World Programme of Action Concerning Disabled Persons in an attempt to encourage state action to achieve equality for their citizens with disabilities. Finally, in 1993 the United Nations Standard Rules on the Equalization of Opportunities for Persons with Disabilities were adopted to encourage cooperation and the creation of policymaking guidelines.

Despite the tremendous progress made between the 1970s and 1990s, the existing framework was still inadequate for the protection of persons with disabilities. The initiatives of these two decades “lack[ed] legally binding character, and also set forth an outmoded, medical and charity-based understanding of disability.” For example, Article 1 of the 1971 Declaration on the Rights of the Mentally Handicapped affirms that “the mentally retarded person has, to the maximum degree of feasibility, the same rights as other human beings.” However, no other human rights treaty guarantees rights based on “feasibility,” and such language arguably runs contrary to Universal Declaration of Human Rights’ Article 1, which states that “all human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights.”

These previous attempts to combat discrimination against persons with disabilities were also incomplete in their protections and generally

16. ANDREAS DIMOPOULOS, ISSUES IN HUMAN RIGHTS PROTECTION OF INTELLECTUALLY DISABLED PERSONS 68 (Sheila McLean ed., 2010).
22. Id. at 22.
23. DIMOPOULOS, supra note 16, at 69 (referencing Declaration on the Rights of Mentally Retarded Persons, supra note 17, at 96).
24. Id. at 69.
obtained poor results. At the beginning of the 21st century, vast inequalities still existed for the disabled in society. For example, in Orissa, India, a study found that 25% of women with intellectual disabilities had been raped, 6% of women with disabilities had been forcibly sterilized, and virtually all sampled were the subject of domestic abuse. During the same era, global literacy rates for the disabled hovered around 3%, and unemployment among persons with disabilities was as high as 80% in some countries. A British study in 2004 found that disabled victims of rape and violence were less likely to obtain police intervention, legal protection, and preventative care.

These results also occurred despite the clear obligations declared in the two most important general human rights treaties. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) of 1948, for instance, expressly declares that all are equal before the law, that all have the right to education, and that all have an equal right to work. Similarly, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights secures the right to education, the right to an adequate standard of living, and the right to work. Thus, even though “theoretically there was no need for a new convention” to obtain actual protections for the disabled, the General Assembly in 2001 nevertheless created an ad-hoc committee to explore

27. Id. at 2.
28. Id. at 3.
29. UDHR, supra note 25, art. 7.
30. Id. art. 26.
31. Id. art. 23.
33. Id. art. 11.
34. Id. art. 6.

Theoretically there was no need for a new convention, because the existing human rights instruments apply to persons with disabilities, in just the same way that they do to everyone else. The reality, unfortunately, has not followed the theory. The existing human rights instruments have fallen far short in their protection of the human rights and fundamental freedoms guaranteed to persons with disabilities. This does not mean States have deliberately avoided their obligations. But many of the obligations under other instruments are set out in quite a broad and generic way, which can leave grey areas for their practical implementation in respect of particular groups.

Id.
“proposals for a comprehensive and integral international convention to promote and protect the rights and dignity of persons with disabilities.”

The ad-hoc committee held its first session in 2002, and its work would eventually culminate in the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. The purpose of the Convention was to “ensure the full and equal enjoyment of all human rights and fundamental freedoms by all persons with disabilities, and to promote respect for their inherent dignity.”

Its entry into force signaled a paradigm shift in the international treatment of those with disabilities. As the culmination of a decades long advocacy effort by NGOs, private individuals, and national governments to change the status quo, the Convention was negotiated and entered into force in less than five years, on May 3rd, 2008. It also had the highest number of signatories on opening day—82—of any treaty in the history of the UN. As described by the UN, the CRPD is “the first comprehensive human rights treaty of the 21st century.”

B. Provisions of the CRPD

The Convention is uniquely comprehensive in that it integrates civil, political, social, and economic rights for persons with disabilities within a single framework. The CRPD therefore rejects the notion that rights can be disentangled, and affirms that all rights are interrelated, unlike many previous United Nations human rights treaties. Perhaps even more importantly, the Preamble describes disabilities not as an individual’s condition, but as the flawed interaction between the impairment and society: “Recognizing that disability is an evolving concept and that disability results from the interaction between persons with impairments and attitudi-

---

43. Id.
45. See id. at 554.
nal and environmental barriers that hinders their full and effective participation in society on an equal basis with others . . . ” 46

Also recognizing the “indivisibility, interdependence and interrelatedness” of the rights it contains, 47 the CRPD echoes the principles of the Vienna Declaration. 48 The CRPD thereby “renders traditional distinctions between formal and substantive equality largely irrelevant by providing broad mandates and setting forth an equality framework that reflects and expands upon formal equality.” 49

The CRPD also contains important monitoring and implementation obligations for member states. 50 For instance, to “help assess the implementation of State Parties’ obligations,” and to ensure better data regarding the barriers faced by persons with disabilities, Article 31 requires member states to undertake robust data collection and statistical analysis. 51 State Parties are also required to designate a point of contact agency for implementation of the treaty, and must involve civil society in the monitoring process. 52

Additionally, states must submit a comprehensive report on measures taken to operationalize the CRPD and any progress made within two years after the entry into force of the Convention. 53 Thereafter, parties to the CRPD must submit subsequent reports at least every four years or whenever the Committee so requests. 54 A Guidelines document outlines the areas of interest about which these reports must provide information. 55 As an example, the first report submitted by Tunisia tracks the Articles and requirements of the CRPD, lists recent domestic reforms that have impacted persons with disabilities, and provides a categorized demographic and statistical overview of the domestic disabled population. 56

46. CRPD, supra note 1, pmbl, para. (e).
47. Id. para. (c).
49. Id. at 259.
50. See CRPD, supra note 1, arts. 31–40.
51. Id. art 31.
52. Id. art 33.
53. Id. art 35.
54. Id.
A State will occasionally request an impartial observer to offer recommendations on how it can best implement the CRPD and to chart its progress. Recently, Qatar invited Shuaib Chalklen, the U.N. Special Rapporteur on Disability to engage civil society and the government in dialogue on the implementation of the CRPD.\textsuperscript{57} After a brief inspection, Mr. Chalklen was able to recommend concrete policies that would further enable Qatar to meet the needs of its disabled citizens. Should voluntary implementation of the CRPD fail, an Optional Protocol addresses group complaints,\textsuperscript{58} enabling the Committee on Persons with Disabilities to decide whether a party has been wronged, but with only the power to recommend corrective actions.\textsuperscript{59}

Because the Convention has not been ratified by all signatories (for example, the United States and Libya), those signatories that have not ratified it are governed by the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, which requires mere signatories to “refrain from acts which could defeat [the Convention’s] object and purpose.”\textsuperscript{60} Those States that have ratified the Convention also have affirmative obligations with regard to the rights guaranteed by the Convention. Specifically, a “party may not invoke the provision of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform a treaty.”\textsuperscript{61}

Both the Islamic Republic of Iran and Malaysia have drawn ire from the international community for their reservations to the CRPD, which seemingly defeated the Convention’s central object and purpose.\textsuperscript{62} Iran, for instance, asserted that it did not consider itself bound by any provision


\textsuperscript{59}. Id. art. 6.


\textsuperscript{61}. Id. art. 27.

\textsuperscript{62}. See CRPD, supra note 1. For a sampling of the anger generated by Iran’s reservation, consider Mexico’s comment (one of the nine comments) addressing the international community’s concern:

\textit{With regard to the reservation made by the Islamic Republic of Iran upon accession:

[T]he United Mexican States has concluded that . . . the declaration is worded in such a way that it could hinder the realization of normative provisions of the Convention, including those of articles 4 and 1, and thus is in breach of article 46 of the Convention and article 19 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties. . . The claim that domestic laws take precedence over the provisions of treaties that are in force for the Parties is therefore inadmissible.}

\textit{Id.}
of the CRPD inapplicable with its own domestic rules. Although these reservations engendered an outcry from the international community, all formal condemnations importantly included language suggesting the CRPD was binding upon these two condemned countries.

C. Disability as used by the Convention

“Disability” is a contentious and complex term and thus has no uniform definition under international law. For instance, the World Health Organization (WHO) defines disability as “an umbrella term, covering impairments, activity limitations, and participation restrictions.” Under this definition, “impairment” refers to “a problem in body function or structure”; “activity limitation” refers to “a difficulty encountered by an individual in executing a task or action”; and “participation restriction” refers to “problem[s] experienced by an individual in involvement in life situations.”

This language is similar to that used by the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Disabled Persons, which defines the term “disabled person” to mean “any person unable to ensure by himself or herself, wholly or partly, the necessities of a normal individual and/or social life, as a result of a deficiency, either congenital or not, in his or her physical or mental capacities.”

Interestingly, the CRPD itself does not define disability, though the Committee did examine multiple potential definitions of disability, including the definition contained in the 1999 Inter-American Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Persons with Disabilities, as well as the term’s treatment under municipal law. Some Committee members feared that without a solid definition of disability, States would rely on inadequate domestic laws to exclude people from legal pro-

---

63. Id.
64. Id.
65. Id.
68. Id.
69. Declaration, supra note 18.
tection and thereby undermine the Convention. However, the Chairman of the Committee noted at the end of the 7th session of negotiations that "[v]iews are divided as to whether it is necessary to define 'Disability' and 'Persons with disabilities.' I tend to think that we don't, as this will be very difficult, and there is a risk that we will unintentionally exclude someone." 

The closest the CRPD comes to a definition of disability is in Article 1, where it states "[p]ersons with disabilities include those who have long-term physical, mental, intellectual or sensory impairments which in interaction with various barriers may hinder their full and effective participation in society on an equal basis with others." This monolithic description is true to the Chairman's wishes that it does not exclude, but also seems to do a poor job of defining just what protections. Nevertheless, the result of this description, in the words of the National Human Rights Institutions, was a Convention that "[views] persons with disabilities as subjects and not as objects," as people with rights, not a "problem" that society must somehow deal with. This view is present in Article I of the Convention, which asserts, "[t]he purpose of the Convention is to promote, protect and ensure the full and equal enjoyment of all human rights and fundamental freedoms by all persons with disabilities, and to promote respect for their inherent dignity." The CRPD thereby avoids defining "disability" so that its enshrined rights may be interpreted broadly across diverse human conditions and legal systems.

Furthermore, although the CRPD lacks a definition of "disability," it does speak of discrimination on the basis of a disability as "any distinction, exclusion or restriction on the basis of disability which has the purpose or effect of impairing or nullifying the recognition, enjoyment, or exercise, on an equal basis with others, of all human rights and fundamental freedoms in the political, economic, social, cultural, civil or any other field." Non-discrimination also appears as one of the General Principles in Article 3.

73. CRPD, supra note 1, at 7.
75. CRPD, supra note 1, at 7.
76. Id.
77. The Convention addresses non-discrimination as one of the principles of the instrument in Article 3:

The Principles of the present Convention shall be: A) Respect for inherent dignity, individual autonomy including the freedom to make one’s own choices, and independence of persons; B) Non-discrimination; C) Full and effective participation
Similarly, Article 4 requires states to take appropriate steps to eliminate discrimination on the basis of disabilities and consult with those living with disabilities, including children, through civil society organizations. And, affirming these measures, Article 5 requires equality before the law and equal benefit of the law, while Articles 6 and 7 specify non-discrimination for women and children.

II. HISTORY OF ISLAMIC LAW

This Part briefly examines the history, jurisprudence, and general principles underlying the application of Islamic law. It then defines the scope of disability in Islamic legal thinking.

A. Sources of Islamic Law

At its core, Islam entails a belief in the fact of a single God (Allah) and adherence to Allah’s commandments. As Islam rapidly expanded, so too did the interpretations that came to be applied to Allah’s commandments. Jaded by Umayyad application of Islamic Law (Shari’a), different schools of legal thought began to grow in the major cities of the Islamic empire. From these different schools, four sources of law (usuul al-fiqh) became authoritative bases for legal judgments. Because the potential range of human actions are infinite, Islamic law grounds judgment (dalil) primarily within two sources: the Qur’an and the Sunna. Secondarily, ijtihad, dalil based on the consensus of the Islamic community (ijma), and the practice of analogies based on reason and similarity (qiyas), can be used to arrive at truth, though all of these sources are themselves rooted in

...and inclusion in society; D) Respect for difference and acceptance of persons with disabilities as part of human diversity and humanity; E) Equality of opportunity; F) Accessibility; G) Equality between men and women; H) Respect for the evolving capacities of children with disabilities and respect for the right of children with disabilities to preserve their identities.

Id. at 3.

78. Id.
79. Id. art. 5.
80. Id.
81. “And your god is one God. There is no deity [worthy of worship] except Him, the Entirely Merciful, the Especially Merciful.” Qur’an 2:163.
82. See John L. Esposito, Women in Muslim Family Law 1 (1982) (identifying the basis of Islamic belief).
83. Id. at 2.
84. Id. Within each school, Shari’a was studied and distilled in an effort to undertake such judgments within a divine understanding (fiqh). See id. at 9.
85. See Muwatta Malik, 46:3, available at http://sunnah.com/urn/416890 (“I have left two matters with you. As long as you hold to them, you will not go the wrong way.”).
the Qur’an and the Sunna. Like all law, Shari’a represents a reasoned extension of core principles.

Shari’a is not monolithic. The primary sources of law address some topics in depth, while ignoring others. Insofar as ignored areas are not covered by a means of Islamic jurisprudence (fiqh), the default guidance of the Qur’an is permissibility.

Shari’a is overwhelmingly concerned with the practical aspects of life in the religious community (ummah), and does not distinguish between the public and private or state action and individual requirements. As such, it should be noted that “[i]n terms of subject matter the hold of Shariah was and is strongest in the area of personal status (marriage, divorce, maintenance, matters of minors such as custody and guardianship, and inheritance), and weakest or non-existent in areas such as penal law, taxation, and constitutional law.”

1. The Qur’an

The Qur’an is an amalgamation of revelations of Allah to Muhammad between (approximately) 610 A.D. and 632 A.D., and ending with Muhammad’s death. The Qur’an is the revelation of Allah to mankind detailing the divine path to be followed: “Here is a plain statement to men, a guidance and instruction to those who fear God.” Textually, the Qur’an is divided into 144 suras (chapters), containing 6,236 ayat (verses).

86. Esposito, supra note 82, at 7-8.
87. Abdullahi Ahmed An-Na’im, Toward an Islamic Reformation 11 (1st ed. 1990) (“The sources and development of Shari’a will show that Shari’a, as known to Muslims today, is not divine in the sense of being direct revelation. Rather, it is the product of a process of interpretation of, and logical derivation from, the text of the Qur’an and Sunna and other traditions.”).
89. See Keith Hodkinson, Muslim Family Law: A Sourcebook 1 (1984) (noting that Shari’a makes “little distinction between moral, ethical and (in a Western sense) legal questions”).
and is considered the authoritative word of Allah. Out of these 6,236 ayat, only 80 provide legal requirements. Therefore, the Qur’an is not a “law book, i.e., not a collection of prescriptions providing a legal system.” Instead, the Qur’an is seen by Sunni scholars as reforming pre-Islamic tribal practices via a gradually evolving legal and moral system.

Consider for example, as Esposito does, the evolution of the law regarding alcohol:

In the early years the use of alcohol and gambling had not been prohibited and hence, the old custom continued to be followed. The first prescriptions against the old custom is given in the form of advice: “They ask thee concerning wine and gambling. Say: In them is great sin and some profit, for man; but the sin is greater than the profit” (II:219). Later, Muslims were prohibited from offering prayers in drunkenness: “O ye who believe! Approach not prayers with a mind befogged, until ye can understand all that ye say” (IV:43). Later still, liquor and gambling were fully prohibited with the explanation: “Satan’s plan is [but] to excite enmity and hatred between you with intoxicants and gambling, and hinder you from the remembrance of God and from prayer: Will ye not then abstain?” (V:94).

These and other such prohibitions were enacted as to a range of behavior, from inheritance rights to the status of women in marriage.

2. The Sunnah

As Esposito notes, the Sunnah, or the behavior of the Prophet Muhammad, is divided into three categories: 1) the statements and sayings of Muhammad (al-sunnah al-qawliyah), 2) Muhammad’s actions (al-sunnah al-filiyah), and 3) Muhammad’s approval of or silence toward practices he has knowledge of (al-sunnah al-taqririyah). Combined, the Sunnah is considered an authoritative source of God’s will: “O ye who believe, obey God and obey the Apostle . . . if ye differ in anything, refer it to God and His Apostle.” Consider also the pronouncement of sura 33, ayat 21: “Ye have indeed in the Apostle of God a beautiful pattern of conduct for any one whose hope is in God and the Final Day.” The Sunnah of the Prophet was recorded in the hadiths, a compendia of Muhammad’s actions and sayings.

---

93. Esposito, supra note 82, at 3.  
94. Id. at 2.  
95. Hodkinson, supra note 89, at 8.  
96. Esposito, supra note 82, at 4.  
98. Esposito, supra note 82, at 4.  
99. Id. at 5-6.  
100. Qur’an 4:59.  
The hadiths are diverse sets of writings, and early on there were concerns about fabricated hadiths. The Umayyad caliph Umar II (d. 720) supposedly compiled the first hadith collections in an official manner.\(^\text{102}\) Compilation was so long in coming because the Prophet, and the first four Caliphs, were concerned that any written material other than the Qur’an might be confused as the authoritative word of Allah.\(^\text{103}\) Because they were not authoritatively assembled until the 9th century, Muslims feared that the hadith may have been corrupted.\(^\text{104}\)

“Recognition that the hadith literature included many fabrications led to a concerted effort to distinguish more clearly authentic traditions. These hadiths were evaluated through a painstaking attempt which produced the new Muslim science of hadith criticism (mustalah al-hadith).”\(^\text{105}\) Painstaking effort was taken to sort hadiths into trustworthy and untrustworthy categories, based on the genealogy of narration,\(^\text{106}\) as well as the analysis of its matn (matter).\(^\text{107}\) Thus, Muslims view with scepticism weak hadiths, but generally believe the stronger hadiths to be authentic. Most Muslim scholars consider the traditional canonical hadith collections as authentic,\(^\text{108}\) but there is still disagreement over trustworthiness even within these collections.


\(^{103}\) Id.

\(^{104}\) See S. G. Vesey-Fitzgerald, Nature and Sources of the Shari’a, in 1 Law in the Middle East 85 (Majid Khadduri & Herbert J. Liebesny eds., 1995).

That there has been wholesale fabrication of traditions is universally admitted by Muslim and Western scholars alike. Indeed, the existence and danger of such fabrication was well known from almost the earliest period of Islam. At first it may have been innocent enough. Many of the Companions were people who had known the Prophet intimately; and the psychological step from feeling confident of what the Prophet’s view would probably have been to persuading oneself that he had in fact so decided is one which an honest mind might easily take without being conscious that it has crossed the border between opinion and fact. As time went on, many of the traditions were obviously attempts to read back the controversies, or the conditions of later ages, into that earlier period from which guidance was sought.

\(^{105}\) E Sposito, supra note 82, at 6.

\(^{106}\) These categories are: mutawatir (continuous), mashhur (well-known), and ahad (isolated), with ahad considered the weakest chain of narrative. Id.

\(^{107}\) This method was used by “asking if this matter contradicted the Qur’an, a verified tradition, reason, or the consensus of the community.” Id.

\(^{108}\) Berg outlines the compilations of hadith with classical canonical status as: al-Jami’al-sahih of Abu Abd Allah Muhammad ibn Isma’il al-Bukhari (d. 870), and al-Jami’ al-sahih of Abu al-Husayn Muslim ibn al-Hajjaj (d. 875), and the following to a lesser extent: Kitab al-sunan of Sulayman ibn al-Ash-ath Abu Da’ud al-Sijistani (d. 889), al-Jami’ al-sahih of Abu Isa Muhammad al-Tirmidhi (d. 892-3), the Kitab al-sunan of Ahmad ibn Shu-ayb al-Nasa’i (d. 915), and Kitab al-sunan of Abu Abd Allah Muhammad ibn Yazid al-Rabi’al-Qawzini ibn Majah (d. 887). Berg, supra note 102, at 6.
3. Ijma

*Ijma* (consensus) is a form of Islamic legal reasoning stemming from the Prophet's *hadith* that his people would "never agree upon an error." Essentially, when the community agrees on a course of action, it is sanctioned. *Ijma* has been touted as consistent with democracy, and in early Islam such was the case. However, eventually Islamic jurists restricted the term to mean only the consensus of the *Ullamah* (religious scholars). Still, varying definitions of *Ijma* exist, suggesting scholars have not come to concrete agreement on the use of the term.

*Ijma* is understood to need the broad approval of the *Ullamah* after consultation (*Shu'ra*) and juristic reasoning (*Ijtihad*) before coming to a binding agreement with precedent value (*Ijma*). Still, refusal to follow *Ijma* is treated differently than refusal to follow the Qur’an, or the *Sunnah*.

4. Qiyas

*Qiyas* is a form of deductive analogy whereby prohibitions in the Qur’an and *Sunnah* are extended to other subjects. Central to this form of reasoning is application of the *Illa* (reason, or cause) behind a rule in

---


Similarly, the idea of ijma, the central notion of communal life in Islam, has been reduced to the consensus of a select few. Ijma literally means consensus of the people. The concept dates back to the practice of Prophet Muhammad himself as leader of the original polity of Muslims. When the Prophet Muhammad wanted to reach a decision, he would call the whole Muslim community – then, admittedly not very large – to the mosque. A discussion would ensue; arguments for and against would be presented. Finally, the entire gathering would reach a consensus. Thus, a democratic spirit was central to communal and political life in early Islam. But over time the clerics and religious scholars have removed the people from the equation – and reduced ijma to ‘the consensus of the religious scholars’.

Ibid. at 50.

111. See Mohammad Omar Farooq, *The Doctrine of Ijma: Is There a Consensus?* 7 (June 2006) (unpublished manuscript) (on file with the Michigan Journal of International Law). For example, *Ijma* has been defined variously as: “[a]greement of all the people of binding and loosing who belong to the community of Muhammad, in a certain period of time, on a rule about a certain incurrence,” “[a]greement of the community of Muhammad on a religious point,” and “[a]greement of a group (*jama’ah*) on a certain matter of by action or abandonment.” Id.


114. See Khaliq, supra note 112, at 11–12.
the Qur’an or Sunnah to a novel or unaddressed circumstance. Though early Muslim communities relied heavily on the Qur’an, Sunnah, and Ijma (as well as Ijtihad, discussed below) as sources of law, Qiyas, although developed later, ultimately grew into a comprehensive source of legal obligations, “overreached the Qur’an and the Sunnah.”

5. Ijtihad

When a matter cannot be solved by appeal to the Qur’an or Sunnah, and there is no consensus on the topic—either via ijma or qiyas—scholars turn to their reasoning abilities (ijtihad). Specifically, ijtihad refers to the “personal effort undertaken by the jurist in order to understand the source and deduce the rules or, in the absence of a clear textual guidance, formulate independent judgments.” Such reasoning is supposed to reflect how the Prophet himself would adjudicate cases before him.

Beginning in the eighth and ninth centuries, schools of law (madhabbs) incorporating a specific type of ijtihad began to emerge. These madhabbs were designed to insulate Islamic scholars from politics and thereby enable them to pursue independent enquiry. The madhabbs began to develop a body of precedent, with the Maliki school of law developing such a system two centuries before the tradition emerged in England.

115. See Esposito, supra note 82, at 6–7. The jurist Shihab al-Din al-Qarafi described Qiyas as “establishing the relevance of a ruling in one case to another case because of a similarity in the attribute (reason or cause) upon which the ruling was based.” Id. at 6.


119. In the following exchange, the Prophet asked one of his judges, Mu’adh Ibn Jabal, how he would adjudicate cases before him:

According to what shalt thou judge? He replied: According to the Book of God. And if thou findest nought therein? According to the Sunnah of the Prophet of God. And if thou findest nought therein? Then I will exert myself to form my own judgment. Praise be to God who has guided the messenger of His Prophet to that which pleases His Prophet.

120. See Adham A. Hashish, Ijtihad Institutions: The Key to Islamic Democracy Bridging and Balancing Political and Intellectual Islam, 9 RICH. J. GLOBAL L. & BUS. 61, 70-71 (2010).

121. Id.

Between the ninth and thirteenth centuries, however, the madhabbs slowly stopped independent interpretation\(^{123}\) due to their slow erosion and co-option by the state. Eventually, this process destroyed the political institutions that had sustained the madhabbs.\(^{124}\) “This cessation of independent interpretation, or ijtihad as it is called, and the concomitant institutionalization of taqlid, or blind following, as it is most commonly referred to, has led modern observers, Muslim and non-Muslim alike, to the conclusion that Shari’ah is doggedly impervious to change.”\(^{125}\) Although many scholars agree that these developments “closed the gate of ijtihad,” others nevertheless question whether “ijtihad could, or ever did, come to a halt.”\(^{126}\)

**B. Disability as Defined in Islamic Law**

In classical Arabic, there is no overarching term for disability. In contemporary literature, terms such as *ashab al-’ahat, dhawu al-’ahat* (bearer or possessor of defects) or *ajaza* (pl. *ajiz*, meaning weak person, but can also include the elderly) are used.\(^{127}\) “Only with regard to marriage do medieval *fiqh* scholars speak in a generalized manner of the ‘*uyub* (pl. of ‘*ayb*, translated as impairments, defects, deformities) which interfere with the proper flow of marital life.”\(^{128}\) These *uyub* can be general, including mental, sexual, or other impairment that impedes “contracting, consummation, contracting, or maintaining of a healthy marriage.”\(^{129}\)

Meanwhile, Shari’a itself defines diseases based not on the organ affected, but rather on the social interference it causes.\(^{130}\) When dealing with an obligation as important as marriage,\(^{131}\) it makes sense to have a well-developed jurisprudence.

---

129. See *id.*
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Islamic law does not perceive disabilities as an expression of divine anger, but rather as a grand test: “We created man from a drop of quickening fluid to test him.”132 But the test extends beyond the disabled to the whole community.133 Even the atonement and redemption of sins may be attained through disability and disease: “Whoever dies in any illness is a martyr.”134 In one hadith, Muhammad stated “No fatigue, no disease, nor sorrow, nor sadness, nor hurt, nor distress befalls a Muslim, even if it were a prick he receives from a thorn, but Allah expiates some of his sins for that.”135 This dual thinking—the classification of disability in relation to the social functions it impacts, and the acceptance of disease as a condition of life— informs Shari’a and the rights of the disabled.

III. Comparing the Two Legal Frameworks

Broadly speaking, the CRPD prohibits discrimination based on disability and demands that disabled persons are able to exercise rights in the “political, economic, social, cultural, civil, or any other field.”136 This Part will address each of these fields in turn, contrasting Islamic prescriptions with those contained in the CRPD.

A. Political Rights

This section addresses freedom of expression and the right of citizens under Islamic law to participate in government. Because Islamic law has no real translation of the modern concept of “political rights,” this Note uses the term to mean those rights necessary to permit direct participation in public affairs. These ideas will be compared to the two CRPD Articles addressing political rights: Article 21 (“Freedom of expression and opinion, and access to information”),137 and Article 29 (“Participation in political and public life.”)138

1. Political Rights under the Convention

Article 21 of the CRPD enshrines the right to “freedom of expression and opinion,” and “the freedom to seek, receive and impart information..."
and ideas on an equal basis with others.”\textsuperscript{139} This freedom of communication extends to “all forms of communication of [the disabled person’s] choice.”\textsuperscript{140}

These rights mirror those guaranteed to all people by Article 19 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, reaffirmed in Article 5(d) of the CERD, the central provision of Article 19 of the International Convention on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), and enshrined in Articles 12 and 13 of the CRC.

The “seek, receive and impart” language, in particular, mirrors that of Article 19 of the ICCPR, but the CRPD goes further by adding language protecting expression of “all kinds” and guaranteeing the form “regardless of frontiers.” These guarantees, if considered in light of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), could arguably apply to the private market and not only public documents.\textsuperscript{141}

The language in Article 21 surrounding availability of information was animated by a concern that public documents needed to be accessible.\textsuperscript{142} There were also worries that private entities need not make their materials available.\textsuperscript{143} Ultimately, the final text of the CRPD requires only that material be made available by public, not private entities.\textsuperscript{144} Despite this, by integrating disabled people’s opinions and political thought into the realm of protected expression, the CRPD both advances previously protected principles of non-discrimination and creates the potential for disabled persons themselves to address the state’s handling of disabilities and any analogous regulations governing private bodies.

Article 29 of the CRPD similarly concerns itself with the political life of persons with disabilities. It enshrines the right to vote, including with assistance. Although the degree of assistance was fiercely debated, the

\textsuperscript{139.} Id. art. 21.
\textsuperscript{140.} Id.
\textsuperscript{141.} Given the increasing commitment of Governments around the world to market-based policies, it is appropriate in that context to emphasize certain aspects of States parties’ obligations. One is the need to ensure that not only the public sphere, but also the private sphere, are within appropriate limits, subject to regulation to ensure the equitable treatment of persons with disabilities. In a context in which arrangements for the provision of public services are increasingly being privatized and in which the free market is being relied on to an ever greater extent, it is essential that private employers, private suppliers of goods and services, and other non-public entities be subject to both non-discrimination and equality norms in relation to persons with disabilities.


\textsuperscript{143.} Id.
\textsuperscript{144.} See id.
principle that persons with disabilities be able to participate “ful[y] and
effective[ly]” was accepted in the final text. Article 29 also protects the
right to “participate[e] in non-governmental organizations and associations
concerned with the public and political life of the country,” for exam-
ple, unions and other associations. As the Committee on Economic, Social
and Cultural Rights (CESCR) explained, “[t]rade union-related rights. . .
apply equally to workers with disabilities and regardless of whether they
work in special work facilities or in the open labour market.” Because
freedom of expression, assembly and association are so vital for the exer-
cise of political rights, they must be protected by positive measures to
overcome ignorance, comprehension barriers, and disabilities and ensure
access by all.

In order to effectuate such positive measures, Article 29 obliges States
to promote the participation of disabled people in public and political life
and emphasizes the role played by NGOs in securing such participation. Unlike the Human Rights Committee’s interpretation of political life,
there is no exception based on “established mental incapacity” under the
CRPD.

2. Political Rights in Islamic Law

Consultation of the community (shura) by leaders is an important as-
pect of political legitimacy, and has been interpreted as requiring consent
before political action is taken. The 42nd sura, 38th ayat reads: “Those
who hearken to their Lord, and establish regular prayer; who (conduct)
their affairs by mutual consultation; who spend out of what We bestow on
them for sustenance [are praised].” A number of parliaments in the
Muslim world have “shura” in their names, including: the Majlis-i-Shura of
Pakistan, the Shura Council of Egypt, and the Shura Council of Oman.

Although the verses addressing shura in the Qur’an do not delineate
who is entitled to be consulted, they have been interpreted to mean that
every individual is entitled to assume public office and people have the
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150. See, e.g., HRC, supra note 148, ¶3–4 (“The exercise of these rights by citizens may
not be suspended or excluded except on grounds which are established by law and which are
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denying a person the right to vote or to hold office.”).
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right to remove their rulers. For example, in Abu-Bakr’s address when he succeeded Muhammad as the head of the Islamic state, he stated:

The weak among you shall be strong with me until their rights are vindicated; and the strong among you shall be weak with me until, if the Lord wills, I have taken what is due from them... Obey me as long as I obey Allah and His messenger. When I disobey Him and His Prophet, then obey me not.

Modern interpretations of Islamic law consider the disabled to be capable of exercising their political rights on an equal basis with others, and of “participating in as many aspects of the community’s life as possible.” A number of scholars have suggested the only way for a state to fulfill the obligation of *shura* is to set up an elected assembly. None of these teachings separate out the disabled, suggesting that they are considered a part of the community with these rights to offer *shura*. Islamic law therefore exhibits a commitment to the same principles that underlie the freedom of political participation enshrined in Article 21 of the CRPD.

Freedom of expression is similarly guaranteed by the CRPD, “including the freedom to seek, receive and impart information and ideas on an equal basis with others.” However, this language merely requires non-discrimination, so compliance with Article 21 is possible even if content of expression is curtailed, as long as any such restrictions are universal and not limited to the disabled alone. Broadly speaking, Islam permits freedom of expression (Hurriyat al-ra’y), but subordinates such expression to the needs of the broader community.

---


155. With the possible exception of holding the position of Caliph. Princeton Encyclopedia of Islamic Political Thought 476 (Gerhard Bowering et al eds., 2013).


158. Some scholars have gone so far as to suggest that Shura encompasses non-Muslim voices and attitudes, with the potential to even be part of the consulted counsel. See Nehalud-din Ahmad, *The Modern Concept of Secularism and Islamic Jurisprudence: A Comparative Analysis*, 15 ANN. SURV. INT’L & COMP. L. 75, 100 (2009) (“However, in regard to a Parliament or a Legislature of the modern conception, which is considerably different from the Shura in its traditional sense, this rule could be relaxed to allow non-Muslims to become its members provided that it has been fully ensured in the constitution.”).
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160. Qur’an 6:108 (“Believers, do not say bad words against the idols lest they (pagans) in their hostility and ignorance say such words against God. We have made every nation’s
This idea—that societal order comes before any absolute freedom of expression—is expressed in a number of ayats.\textsuperscript{161} Other limits on expression in the Islamic legal tradition include a prohibition on depictions of the prophet Muhammad—a tradition anchored in the hadiths, although there is no specific sura to this effect. Although these prohibitions may appear to contradict the CRPD, they do not uniquely burden the disabled. Instead, under Islamic law, the disabled possess rights of expression commensurate with the rest of society.\textsuperscript{162} Under this reading of Islamic law, such restrictions would thus not interfere with state obligations under Article 21 of the CRPD. The weak, blind, and impotent possess rights commensurate with the rest of society, to the extent public order and sacred images are involved.

B. Economic Rights

This section analyses economic rights, focusing on Article 27 of the CRPD, which exhorts states to “recognize the right of persons with disabilities to work.”\textsuperscript{163}

1. Economic Rights under the Convention

Rights to work and employment are addressed in Article 27 of the CRPD, which upholds “the right of persons with disabilities to work, on an equal basis with others; this includes the right to the opportunity to gain a living by work freely chosen or accepted in a labour market and work environment that is open, inclusive and accessible to persons with disabilities.”\textsuperscript{164} Article 27 also extends to the disabled a right to “reasonable accommodation,” while prohibiting discrimination “on the basis of disability with regard to all matters concerning all forms of employment.”\textsuperscript{165}

Thus, although Article 27 may be intended to guarantee full employment, it does not require that work be guaranteed the disabled—only that the disabled have the same access to employment opportunities as others. However, Article 27 does require States to take proactive steps to “[p]romote the employment of persons with disabilities in the private sector” and “[p]romote vocational and professional rehabilitation, job retention and return-to-work programmes for persons with disabilities.”\textsuperscript{166} The wording of Article 27 also recognizes the right of persons with disabilities to gain a living by work “freely chosen,” or “accepted in a labour market
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\textsuperscript{166.} Id.
or work environment”. However, this right is only guaranteed on an “equal basis with others”; municipal employment restrictions will only run afoul of the CRPD to the extent they are not uniform and targeted at the disabled specifically.

Despite the broad protections provided under the final text of Article 27, an early version of the Article drew criticism for its qualification that the right to employment would be limited “in accordance with national laws of general applications” relating to the exercise of rights of persons with disabilities. The International Disability Caucus (IDC) opposed the language, arguing that such language could be used as an excuse by States to undercut the rights of the Convention. The final text thus adopted the IDC’s proposed “on an equal basis with others” language. This language emphasizes the desire for equal opportunity rather than equal outcome in employment, mirroring the emphasis of the ICESCR.

To accomplish these goals, the CRPD requires legislation to “prohibit discrimination on the basis of disability with regard to all matters concerning all forms of employment, including conditions of recruitment, hiring and employment, continuance of employment, career advancement and safe and health working conditions.”

2. Economic Rights in Islamic Law

Islam regards hard work and earning a livelihood as virtuous. Proper payment for value received from work is similarly important, and the work may not be contrary to moral teachings. The ayat An-Nisa makes this clear. Even Muhammad worked for a time as a shepherd.

167. Id.
168. Id. Analogies may be drawn between the language of Article 27 of the CRPD and the language of the International Convention on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, where scholars have recognized that the freedom of choice of employment does extend to work legally prohibited by the State. See, e.g., Mashood A. Baderin, International Human Rights and Islamic Law 176-77 (2003) (arguing that in contrast to ICESCR Article 6, Articles 2(2) and 3 bar legislation inhibiting the choice of employment).
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and Muslims are permitted to do work during the Hajj. Ideally, positions are entrusted to the capable, with no regard to other qualities. Islam is rife with such examples, including the oft-recited story of Abdullah Umm Maktum, a blind man who achieved fame as an avid student, eventual governor of Medina, leader of prayer, and commander of military expeditions—appointed for all these tasks by Muhammad himself.

Contemporary jurists have drawn on these historical examples, issuing fatwas (religious rulings) that encourage the right of the disabled to work. Many of these rulings are also informed by two key verses of the Qur’an: “No one shall be charged beyond his capacity,” and “Allah asketh naught of any soul save that which He hath given it.” For example, those who are sick should not perform the prayer movements if such performance could cause a deterioration in health.

Insight into Islamic law’s treatment of the disabled with respect to employment can also be drawn from the debate surrounding whether a disabled man may lead prayers. There is currently a split of opinion on this issue. Some scholars think the disabled may lead, as long as they are able to perform the movements associated with leading the prayers. This finds its support primarily in the hadith of Muhammad, who said “[the] Imam has been appointed to follow: so if he makes takbir follow him in takbir, if he performs bows, follow him; if he prostrates, prostrate after him; likewise, if he prays sitting, you also pray sitting.” Others rely for support on A’ishah, Muhammad’s wife, and her hadith, according to which, when the Prophet was ill, his followers stood behind him praying while he remained seated. It is important to distinguish that within this controversy the disabled are not prohibited from leading because they
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have some “imperfection,” but rather because the nature of the job requires certain movements. Still, even within this paradigm views differ. The Shi'as consider a healthy man preferable to a blind man, and the Hanafis consider the blind incapable of leading prayer because they may not be aware of impurities.188

One contemporary example of the extent to which Islamic law might be commensurate with efforts to secure economic rights for the disabled can be found in Syria. After passage of the CRPD, Syria passed Law No 17/2010, requiring that certain employers with more than 50 employees must “recruit 2% of their total staff from among . . . vocationally rehabilitated [disabled] workers designated by public employment agencies.”189 This quota is judicially enforced through the provision of both a civil fine between 5,000-10,000 Syrian Pounds.190 Additionally, employers who violate Article 136 will be forced to deposit the equivalent of their minimum wage in the Fund of Disabled Workers to finance small, medium and micro enterprises.191

Not only does Syria attempt to encourage participation in the work force through quotas, but it also takes steps to ensure that employers adjust workplace condition to enable workers with disabilities to perform their jobs without exposure to occupational hazards.192 Finally and importantly, Law No 17/2010 reaffirms that persons with disabilities are entitled to any and all rights prescribed to other workers,193 and guarantees them government protection to perform their jobs.194

C. Social Rights

This section focuses on the social security guarantees, employment considerations, and educational aspirations within the CRPD and Islamic law. The right to health and social security will be considered together, because the two are often linked in Islamic literature.

1. The Right to Social Security and Health
   a. Social Security and Health under the Convention

   As with the provision discussed above, Article 25 does not guarantee disability-specific health services, but instead mandates that persons with disabilities have access to “the same range, quality and standard of free or affordable health care programs as provided to other persons.”195 Thea-
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etically, this right is protected by a number of treaties and international organizations, but as implied in the CRPD’s Preamble, such protections were insufficiently enforced for persons with disabilities.

The cornerstone of Article 25 of the CRPD is its provision to the disabled of the right to the enjoyment “of the highest attainable standard of health,” although this standard itself is never defined within the CRPD. Instead, this provision has been interpreted via the CESCR such that the right to health is not to be understood as a right to be healthy. Instead, the right includes both freedoms and entitlements. The freedoms include the right to control one’s health and body, including sexual and reproductive freedom, and the right to be free from interference, such as the right to be free from torture, non-consensual medical treatment and experimentation. The entitlements include a wide range of socio-economic factors that promote conditions in which people can live a healthy life, and which provide equality of opportunity for people to enjoy the highest attainable level of health. These CESCR concepts are understood to be incorporated within the CRPD.

However, the reference to “reproductive health” in Article 25 of the CRPD caused a heated debate because of its potential application to abortions. Neither Egypt nor Iran made reservations to the treaty, but both nations made similar statements as to the term’s applicability: “Iran accepts the phrase ‘sexual and reproductive health’ with the understanding that the phrase does not include abortion, and that its use in article 25 (a) does not create any abortion rights and cannot be interpreted as constituting promotion of abortion.” (Similarly, despite agreeing with the broad outlines of Article 25, it was this language that caused the Holy See to forego signing the CRPD.)

---
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One other area of contention was the right to rehabilitation. Yemen and Israel both called for a “right to health and rehabilitation”, while Australia was reluctant to create such a broad right, and Algeria called for “rehabilitation” to be better defined. In the end, the right to health-related rehabilitation was included as part of Article 25, but these debates are nonetheless informative in that they show not only where the parties differed, but where they agreed. For example, there was no major opposition to the right to health language, or the anti-discrimination language in this Article, only disagreement over the form implementation would take.

Another key Article as to the health of the disabled is Article 28 of the CRPD, which ensures two distinct human rights to persons with disabilities: an “adequate standard of living for themselves and their families, including adequate food, clothing and housing . . .” and “social protection and to the enjoyment of that right without discrimination on the basis of disability . . .”. Despite a debate over whether to divide these two rights into different Articles, they were kept together and the use of the word “social protection” followed that of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (CESCR). Indeed, the CESCR was seen as a template from which the CRPD could be constructed.

Though Article 28 provides for the basic needs of persons with disabilities, its scope is limited by socio-economic status with the Convention text requiring only that states provide training and financial assistance for persons with disabilities living in situations of poverty. Paragraph 2(c) could therefore be seen as excluding persons with disability from social programs that are not considered poor. This interpretation would keep the burden of disability-related costs on all but the poorest disabled persons themselves, thereby perpetuating, at least potentially, the economic discrimination against those living with disabilities. Still, this language was an improvement over earlier iterations, one of which stated that State assistance “should not become a disincentive [for disabled persons] to develop themselves,” and was seen as allowing States a way out from their social security obligations. It seems that in the end, the Committee de-

---
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cided that a middle route, addressing the most disadvantaged of persons with disabilities, would be the best.

Additionally, Article 28 recognizes “the right of persons with disabilities to an adequate standard of living for themselves and their families.”217 It is the “State Parties” which are obliged to perform this task, and in so doing may not discriminate on the basis of disability.218 These obligations extend to providing access to “poverty reduction programmes,” “public housing programmes,” and “retirement benefits.”219

Similarly, Article 25 of the CRPD recognizes that “persons with disabilities have the right to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health without discrimination on the basis of disability.”220 Not only must states must protect disabled persons by preventing discriminatory denial of health care or health services on the basis of disability,221 but they must also affirmatively provide health services needed by persons with disabilities specifically because of their disabilities222 and provide persons with disabilities the same range, quality and standard of free or affordable health care as provided to other persons.223

b. Social Security and Health in Islamic Law

Disabled persons, like all who have need, have certain entitlements under Islamic law which must be met by the community. One hadith states: “Allah will remove his protection from the people of an area who allow one hungry person to wander amongst them.”224 Scholars have interpreted this verse to require state intervention when the needs of its disabled people are not met.225 Similarly, the Qur’an reads “No blame is there upon the blind nor any blame upon the lame nor any blame upon the sick,”226 and scholars have interpreted this verse broadly.227
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227. The twelfth century scholar Al-Qurtubi interpreted the verse thus:

Allah lifted the blame from upon the blind person with regard to duties whose performance requires seeing; similarly for the lame, with regard to duties that involve walking, and all activities that cannot be performed with lameness; and for the sick, for whatever influences the sick, by canceling the activity at that stage and
Additionally, the Prophet is reported to have said “The government is the guardian of anyone who has no other guardian.”228 Such guidance led to the view, especially in the classical age, that Islamic states which do not provide for the disabled and needy would not be owed allegiance.229 Even today, the right to social security for the disabled is upheld in the Universal Declaration of Islamic Rights.230

One of the fundamental duties of Muslims is payment of the zakat,231 a tax of 2.5% of one’s savings each year to be used to help the needy. Historically, this payment was so important that Caliph Abu Bakr, one of the “Rightly Guided Caliphs,” waged war against those who refused to pay it,232 and Caliph Omar bin al-Khattab developed the first social welfare system in Arabia.233 Consistent with the importance of zakat, one hadith of the Prophet reads “The son of Adam has basic rights for three things: a house to live in, a piece of cloth to cover his body, a loaf of bread and water.”234 Modern scholars still consider zakat important as one of the five pillars of Islam, and its existence shows the importance of caring for the needy in Islamic jurisprudence.

These aspirations are often carried out in the Middle East through social security systems of varying capacity. Although laws may exist to guarantee access to services, results do not always follow. For example, Morocco has a robust legislative framework for addressing work-related injuries, but in 2002 “only 22 percent of the labor force was covered by postponing it to another date, or finding an alternative; another option is to waive some of the prerequisites and principles of the worship, as is evident in the prayer of the sick. There is no blame on them in all the acts as long as the impediment so dictates.
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social security provisions.”235 Despite a fundamental right to health-
care,236 “[p]hysical rehabilitation services by government-sponsored pro-
grams vary significantly among countries in the region and tend to be
concentrated in urban areas. Some countries provide medical services only
for certain type of disabilities, leaving other disability groups without ac-
to care.”237 In Bangladesh for instance, despite promulgating a Na-
tional Policy for Disability in 2005 and ratifying the CRPD, Bangladeshis
with disabilities lack access to “adequate education, health services, and
safety nets.”238 This “poor implementation of existing policies” is due to
under-funding, under-staffing, insufficient monitoring, and weak institu-
tional service delivery structures.239 Strong legal frameworks, as in the
case of Bangladesh, do not in themselves guarantee empowerment of per-
sons with disabilities.

2. The Right to Education

a. Education under the Convention

Educational development is considered in Article 24, which provides
that “States Parties shall ensure an inclusive education system at all levels
and lifelong learning . . .”240 This learning covers not only “talents and
creativity,” but also “mental and physical” abilities.241 States must also
take measures to ensure the means and format of training will be accessible
to the targeted community.242 States are required to ensure such an
education system in order to realize “the full development of human po-
tential and sense of dignity and self-worth.”243 Full and equal participation
in the general education system is required by the CRPD—as is a system
that addresses the special needs of disabled persons.244

b. Education under Islamic Law

Education sufficient for an individual to distinguish between right and
wrong is required under Islamic law. For example, in the Qur’an, surah Ta-Ha
stresses the value of knowledge and its acquisition: “High above all
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(2005).
236. See Hassan Chamsi-Pasha & Mohammed Ali Albar, Western and Islamic Bioethics:
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is Allah, the King, the Truth! Be not in haste with the Qur’an before its revelation to thee is completed, but say, “O my Lord! Advance me in knowledge.”

Even the Prophet himself stressed his role as a teacher. Good education, as one hadith maintains, is one of the greatest gifts that can be given. A Muslim is required to seek understanding of the Truth of Allah and Islam, and those who have been granted great knowledge will be rewarded: “Allah will raise up, to (suitable) ranks (and degrees), those of you who believe and have been granted knowledge. And Allah is well-acquainted with all you do.” Another hadith reports the Prophet having said: “The best among you (Muslims) are those who learn the Qur’an and teach it.” Indeed, even a number of prayers ask Allah for knowledge and understanding.

Because Islamic law requires disabled persons to participate in the obligations incumbent upon all Muslims (to the extent of their ability), knowledge of obligations and Islamic law must somehow be taught. Despite objections that altering sermons could distract healthy believers, Sheikh al-Azhar Dr. Muhammad Sayyid Tantawi issued a fatwa which encouraged the use of sign language interpreters so that the deaf could understand the Friday sermon. Indeed, such calls for education to fit the student are arguably a requirement of Islam.

Tunisia implemented these requirements by making education compulsory for children and granting children with disabilities the right to edu-

245. Qur’an 20:114. Consider also the Qur’anic requirements for commencing a war: “And it is not for the believers to go forth [to battle] all at once. For there should separate from every division of them a group [remaining] to obtain understanding in the religion and warn their people when they return to them that they might be cautious.” Qur’an 9:122.

246. For example, “God did not send me to be harsh, or cause harm, but He has sent me to teach and make things easy.” Sahih Muslim 18:9:3506 available at http://sunnah.com/muslim/18.

247. Sahl bin Mu’adh bin Anas narrated that Allah’s Messenger said, “Whoever teaches some knowledge will have the reward of the one who acts upon it, without that detracting from his reward in the slightest.” Hadith, 1:1:240, available at http://sunnah.com/urn/1252390.

248. Qur’an 58:11.


250. For example, “Oh Lord, increase me in knowledge.” Qur’an 20: 114. It was narrated from Jabir that: “the Messenger of Allah said: ‘Ask Allah for beneficial knowledge and seek refuge with Allah from knowledge that is of no benefit.’” Hadith, Sunan Ibn Majah, 5:34:3843, available at http://sunnah.com/ibnmajah/34/17.


These aspirations have been reinforced by recent legislative action requiring state intervention to realize these rights. Special needs children are provided education through both government and NGO centers, with the state providing material and technical support to associations managing these centers. Although Tunisia’s legislation specifically prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex, social class, race and religion, it does not yet expressly prohibit discrimination in education based on disabilities.

Perhaps the mismatch between Tunisia, and many other Islamic countries’ actions and the CRPD, could be seen as one of scope. While Islamic law requires education and participation for persons with disabilities, Islamic nations should understand that the intent behind education must extend outside of the mosque and into public education systems.

D. Cultural Rights

This section focuses on the potential conflict of freedom of religion and the status of non-Muslims under Islamic law.

1. Cultural Rights under the CRPD

Cultural rights are not broadly defined in the CRPD, but for purposes of this Note, they include ensuring that people with disabilities have access to culture and can participate in the culture of their choice. This includes rights related to language, religion, cultural and artistic production, and participation in cultural heritage. The preamble of the CRPD expresses concern over discrimination on the basis of “language, religion, political or other opinion, national[ity], [and] ethnic[ity].” Access to sites of cultural importance and the ability to participate in cultural life, along with support for their specific cultural and linguistic identity, is guaranteed under Article 40 of the CRPD.

2. Under Islamic Law

Although the Qur’an states “Let there be no compulsion in religion: truth stands out clear from error: whoever rejects evil and believes in Allah hath grasped the most trust-worthy hand-hold that never breaks. And
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260. See id. art. 30.
Allah heareth and knoweth all things,”261 there are different categories of people in an Islamic society based off the religion they profess.

Islam views certain rights through the lens of individual religious orientation, as well as sex. As such, non-Muslims may either be dhimmis (certain monotheistic religions, and occasionally Hindus and Zoroastrians under the protection of the Islamic state),262 or idolaters, who were often historically given the option of conversion, death or forced exile.263 Dhimmis were required to pay a special tax (jizya),264 and could continue in their beliefs without state interference.265 Although an in-depth look at this status difference is beyond the scope of this note, it does appear to infringe on the rights guaranteed in the CRPD.

Dhimmi status involves discrimination based not on disability, but on religion. But because this discrimination has the potential to affect disabled persons, it must be seen through the eyes of the CRPD. For example, the CRPD requires that “persons with disabilities can effectively and fully participate in political and public life on an equal basis with others.”266 This is inconsistent with the principle underlying dhimmi, in which non-Muslims were allowed to coexist “as long as they accepted a politically subordinate, tributary status.”267 Today, only a handful of Islamic states relegate their religious minorities to dhimmi status, among them Saudi Arabia, the Sudan, Iran, and Oman.268

Aspects of this second-class status historically included dhimmis being barred from political and judicial office, unable to testify against Muslims in litigation, forbidden to marry Muslim women, or to stand in the presence of Muslims.269 A number of these prohibitions violate the CRPD, including the ban on marrying Muslim women270, the inability to participate in political and judicial office271, and the refusal of Islamic courts to 261. Qur’an 2:256.
262. See David D. Grafton, The Christians of Lebanon: Political Rights in Islamic Law 21-22 (2003) (noting that ahl al-kitab was a theological term, and dhimma is a legal term, such that dhimmi is not exclusive to ahl al-kitab).
263. See id. at 17.
264. Id. at 22.
265. See id. at 17, 22; see also, Qur’an 2:256.
266. CRPD, supra note 1, art. 29(a).
269. See id. at 27.
270. The CRPD affirms “The right of all persons with disabilities who are of marriageable age to marry and found a family on the basis of free and full consent of the intending spouses is recognized.” CRPD, supra note 1, art. 23(1)(a).
271. States must ensure that “persons with disabilities can effectively and fully participate in political and public life on an equal basis with others, directly or through freely chosen representatives, including the right and opportunity for persons with disabilities to vote and be elected….” Id. art. 29(a). Note that full participation in the CRPD is not modified by a requirement to believe in Islam.
accept the testimony of dhimmis. Yet Islam understands dhimmis to be under the protection of the state, as the Sunnah relates, “Whoever wrongs a [dhimmi] or lays on him a burden beyond his strength, I shall be his accuser on the Day of Judgment.”

In a position worse than the dhimmis were the apostates. Apostasy, or ridda, means “to turn back,” and was traditionally understood to mean denouncing Islam to embrace kufr (unbelief).

Although ridda jurisprudence is not often practiced as a political matter, the doctrine represents an affront to the principles of the CRPD. Any Islamic state that enforces this doctrine will fail a number of its obligations. Although most states, “in the normal course of attempting to coexist in the international order” finesse these disagreements between international norms and such interpretations of Islamic law so that they need not be carried out, “there is always the implicit potential for extremist interpretations leading to confrontation and conflict.”

A number of human rights norms are contained within the four corners of the CRPD, beyond those protecting disabled persons from discrimination. For example, Article 10 requires that “State[ ] Parties reaffirm that every human being has the inherent right to life,” and “State Parties undertake to ensure and promote the full realization of all human rights and fundamental freedoms for all persons with disabilities.”

---

272. These refusals were based on reference to hadiths “which maintained that the infidels were of a perverse and mendacious character because they deliberately persisted in denying the superiority of Islam.” BAT YE’OR, THE DHIMMI: JEWS AND CHRISTIANS UNDER ISLAM 57 (1985). Such restrictions violate Article 12’s equal recognition before the law that “State Parties shall recognize that persons with disabilities enjoy legal capacity on an equal basis with others in all aspects of life.” CRPD, supra note 1, art. 12(2).


275. Ridda can occur in a number of ways:

According to Shari’a scholars, the ways in which ridda can occur include denying the existence or attributes of God; denying a particular messenger of God or that a messenger is truly a messenger of God; denying a principle that is established as a matter of religion, such as the obligation to pray five times a day or fast during the month of Ramadan; and declaring prohibited what is manifestly permitted (halal) or declaring permitted what is manifestly prohibited (haram).
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277. “The principles of the present Convention shall be: (a) Respect for inherent dignity, individual autonomy including the freedom to make one’s own choices, and independence of persons; (b) Non-discrimination; (c) Full and effective participation and inclusion in society.” CRPD, supra note 1, art. 3.
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These difficulties with apostasy have come up against some resistance by modern interpreters. For example, some hold that killing apostates is contrary to the principles and purposes of the Qur’an, as well as surah 4 ayat 89.\textsuperscript{281}

\section*{E. Civil Rights}

Although civil rights are not defined in the CRPD, they are nonetheless interwoven into the rights protected by the Convention. This section considers civil rights in the context of equal treatment, focusing on the rights of women and the conceptions of legal capacity for mentally disabled persons.

\subsection*{1. Women}

\textbf{a. Women under the Convention}

Not only does the CRPD contain broad protections and references to other human rights treaties, it also contains explicit provisions for gender rights. Article 6 protects women with disabilities specifically ( “State Parties shall take all appropriate measures to ensure the full development, advancement and empowerment of women”),\textsuperscript{282} while Article 8 requires, as part of “Awareness-raising,” appropriate measures “[t]o combat stereotypes, prejudices and harmful practices relating to persons with disabilities, including those based on sex.”\textsuperscript{283} Additionally, the preamble stresses that: “[W]omen and girls with disabilities are often at greater risk, both within and outside the home, of violence, injury or abuse, neglect or negligent treatment, maltreatment or exploitation.”\textsuperscript{284} As such, the CRPD requires certain protections for women so that “persons with disabilities enjoy legal capacity on an equal basis with others in all aspects of life.”\textsuperscript{285}

\textbf{b. Women in Islamic Law}

Islamic law distinguishes between women and men in a number of ways. For example, consider sura 2, ayat 238 on the testimony of women:

Oh! Ye who believe! When ye deal with each other, in transactions involving future obligation in a fixed period of time reduce them to writing and get two witnesses out of your own men and if there are not two men, then a man and two women, such as ye
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choose, for witnesses so that if one of them errs the other can remind her.286

Such verses have been explained as a preference for men as witnesses because, as the traditional bread-winners, males were expected to be more familiar with transactions and thus better able to bear adequate witness.287 Other explanations focus on the “emotional condition” of women.288

Traditional interpretation of the Shari’a has led to restrictions on women in more ways than just their testimony. Verse 4:34 of the Qur’an has been interpreted as establishing the guardianship of men over women, forbidding women to hold any public office “involving the exercise of authority over men.”289 Although women are granted similar rights to opinion as men, their ability to effectuate their beliefs is prohibited by verses against associating with men and other deeply ingrained cultural norms.

Women also face a variety of restrictions in family law. Although the Qur’an states “And women have rights equal to what is incumbent upon them according to what is just,”291 Esposito notes that, “no Quranic verse supports the license of divorce presently awarded to males.”292 In Shari’a, repudiation of marriage can be effectuated by the husband, unilaterally, without consent of his wife through talaq.293 Talaq requires only the pronouncement of the word three times to annul the marriage, along with abstention from sexual contact for a designated period.294 Women have no equivalent privileges under Shari’a.

Sex in many ways determines roles, with men charged as providers.295 For example, in the Middle East and North Africa, the difference between male and female employment rates are among the highest in the world.296 In Afghanistan, 53% of males and 97% of females with disabilities are
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O wives of the Prophet, you are not the same as any other women, if you observe righteousness. Therefore, you shall not speak too softly, lest those with disease in their hearts may get the wrong ideas; you shall speak only righteousness. You shall settle down in your homes, and do not mingle with the people excessively, like you used to do in the old days of ignorance. You shall observe the Contact Prayers, and give the obligatory charity, and obey God and His Messenger.
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unemployed, while the rate for those without disabilities are 25% and 94% respectively. Similarly, in Jordan, women with disabilities have significant unemployment figures, do not progress as far educationally as their male peers, and have reduced marriage prospects. Jordan has acknowledged both these barriers to equality and its responsibility for changing the status quo. Since the inception of the CRPD, Jordan has worked to integrate the substantive provisions of the CRPD into its domestic law to affirm the equality of men and women, both with and without disabilities.

Islamic law and the CRPD appear to conflict as to treatment of women. The “different natures and roles” of women, as envisioned by Islamic law, violate the CRPD insofar as they allow disparate treatment. Even the concept of different roles may violate the CRPD’s principles. Untangling cultural norms and stifling religious interpretations, along with reasoned *ijtihad*, however, could bring many Islamic countries into conformity with the principles espoused in the CRPD.

### 2. Legal Capacity of the Mentally Disabled

#### a. Legal Capacity under the Convention

Legal capacity for persons with disabilities is dealt with in Article 12. In the Eighth Session of the Ad-Hoc Committee, “legal capacity” was a term of considerable contention, and would remain so throughout the negotiations. The draft of the Eighth Session contained a footnote, deleted before the final report, that read: “In Arabic, Chinese and Russian, the expression ‘legal capacity refers to legal capacity for rights’ and not the ‘capacity to act’.” On December 5th, the Ad Hoc Committee deleted the footnote, and decided that for translation purposes, the language on legal capacity should be taken from the Convention on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women.

---
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300. For example, the CRPD’s General Principles in Article 3 state that “[e]quality between men and women” is one of the principles running through the Convention. CRPD, supra note 1, art. 3(g).


In its final form, Article 12 “challenges parentalistic policies relating to people who lack “capacity.””\(^{303}\) It requires that “persons with disabilities have the right to recognition everywhere as persons before the law,”\(^{304}\) and “enjoy legal capacity on an equal basis with others in all aspects of life.”\(^{305}\) States must guarantee the protection of these rights by taking “appropriate measures to provide access by persons with disabilities to the support they may require in exercising their legal capacity.”\(^{306}\) Indeed, one of the motivations behind Article 12 was “to encourage people who are considered incapacitated to seek assistance, which they often don’t do for fear of being subjected to guardianship or worse—neglect, physical abuse or institutionalization.”\(^{307}\)

Under the CRPD, conventional guardianship would be eliminated as currently practiced by many countries.\(^{308}\) Article 17 requires respect for the “physical and mental integrity of the disabled on an equal basis with others.”\(^{309}\) At the Ad Hoc Committee meeting, there was agreement that the disabled should be free from “forced interventions or forced institutionalization aimed at correcting, improving or alleviating any actual or perceived impairment.”\(^{310}\) However, the Committee faced difficulties in defining “institutionalization” and “perceived,” such that the current wording of Article 17 was substituted instead.\(^{311}\) The legal capacity guaranteed by the CRPD would limit the ability of a guardian to override the choice of a disabled person in regard to voting rights, marriage rights, and all other rights possessed by society at large. As Professor Kanter points out:

Article 12 of the Convention, therefore, seeks to address the needs of people who are considered “lacking capacity.” Instead of paternalistic guardianship laws which substitute a guardian’s decision for the decision of the individual, the CRPD’s supported-decision making model recognizes first, that all people have the right to make decisions and choices about their own lives.\(^{312}\)
b. Legal Capacity under Islamic Law

When Egypt signed the CRPD, it entered an interpretative declaration in the form of a reservation, distinguishing “legal responsibility” (ahliyyat al-wujub) and “capacity to perform” (ahliyyat al-'ada').

Ahliyyat al-wujub in Islamic law is the “legal capacity for the acquisition of rights and obligations." This form of legal capacity is receptive and considered to fall into one of two categories: deficient (naqis) or complete (kamil). Deficient receptive legal capacity is analogized to a person in a coma, or as Kamali does, to a fetus, who has rights to life and inheritance, but has no duties or accountability. Complete receptive legal capacity is that enjoyed by a young child, capable of discharging, albeit through his guardian, certain obligations in respect, for example, maintenance, liability for loss (daman), and payment for services rendered to him. All people maintain receptive legal capacity, even those in irreversible vegetative states. The principle is derived from the following hadith: “The pen is lifted from three persons: the one who is asleep until he wakes, the child until he attains puberty, and the insane person until he regains his sanity.”

The capacity to perform, known as Ahliyyat al-'adda,' or active legal personality, is the legal capacity for execution and the legal requisite for

313. The Arab Republic of Egypt declares that its interpretation of article 12 of the International Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, which deals with the recognition of persons with disabilities on an equal basis with others before the law, with regard to the concept of legal capacity dealt with in paragraph 2 of the said article, is that persons with disabilities enjoy the capacity to acquire rights and assume legal responsibility (ahliyyat al-wujub) but not the capacity to perform (ahliyyat al-'ada'), under Egyptian law.

Declarations and Reservations, supra note 62, at 4.


315. Munir Ahmed Mughal, Islamic Jurisprudence 88 (2007). Mughal gives the following example of how deficient and complete receptive legal capacity work:

A deficient receptive legal capacity is such where the responsible has the rights on others but no others on him, e.g., an embryo while in the womb of his mother has the entitlement to inheritance and will but no obligation in respect of the right of any one falls upon him. A complete receptive legal capacity is such where the responsible has [sic] rights and obligations from birth to death. He inherits and is inherited.

Id.


317. Id.

318. Id. at 305.

319. Kamali, supra note 316 (quoting Tabrizi, Mishkat, II, 980, Hadith no. 3287).

320. Glossary, supra note 314.
the exercise of rights and obligations. Three forms of active legal personality are possible: totally lacking, deficient, and complete.

A person lacking active legal personality such as an insane person, cannot be punished for actions committed while insane because he is unable to take responsibility. His actions therefore have no legal consequences attached to them, except those existing under receptive legal personality. “When a child or madman kills someone or destroys the property of another person, they can only be held liable with reference to their property, but not to their persons.”

A person partially lacking in active legal personality, such as an older child (al-sabi al-mumayyiz) or someone who is mentally retarded (ma’thuh) who is not totally insane, but whose intellect is not fully formed or weak, possesses deficient active legal capacity. Someone possessing deficient active legal capacity must obtain the permission of others to engage in certain financial transactions and other acts. Such persons are able only to conduct transactions that are “totally to their benefit, such as accepting a gift or charity,” without the acquiescence of their guardian. All other transactions require the approval of a guardian, otherwise they are void.

Active legal capacity is characterized by intellectual maturity without factors that could seriously impact judgment. Therefore, ordinary illnesses “and other conditions which do not impair the intellectual capacity of a person have no bearing on his active legal capacity.” All adults are presumed to possess this form of capacity, with only a few exceptions.

The current text of the CRPD requires that “persons with disabilities enjoy legal capacity on an equal basis with others in all aspects of life.” Despite the letter from the Chair of the Arab Group, and an attempt to insert a footnote differentiating a different legal capacity, the final text dropped such language after insistence from a number of groups that that
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legal capacity should have a universal interpretation, and not one limited to national laws. When a person is denied the opportunity to engage in financial transactions, vote, procreate, or exercise his dignity as a person as fully as others because of his status as disabled, that individual is subject to discrimination by being denied his legal capacity to act. While all people inherently have deficient receptive legal capacity, to the extent that Islamic law denies persons with disabilities complete active legal capacity it violates the CRPD’s requirement that “persons with disabilities enjoy legal capacity on an equal basis with others in all aspects of life.”

CONCLUSION

Islamic law, though rooted in history and religious texts, has the potential to be a dynamic force in the interpretation and application of human rights. Islamic law contains many of the same principles that animate the CRPD: non-discrimination, the right to life, assisting the needy, and empowering the weak, for instance. Compliance with the CRPD is largely based on non-discrimination provisions that require Islamic states to treat persons with disabilities as they do anyone else. Thus, insofar as these Islamic states are more broadly restrictive than Western liberal democracies, these restrictions do not necessarily signal incompatibility with the CRPD.

In the long term, the overlap between Islamic law and the CRPD suggests broad acceptance and continuing political action. However, the fragile state of many Islamic nations’ economies will almost certainly impede the Convention’s implementation. Still, much movement has been made towards creating domestic legal regimes compatible with the CRPD even under difficult economic conditions, and these steps are likely to continue.
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