

INDEX.

(Consult also the Table of Contents.)

ACCOUNT,

duty of partner to account for clandestine profits: *Latta vs. Kilbourn*, 260; *Jones vs. Dexter*, 873;

for all sums received for or on account of the firm; *Tebbetts vs. Dearborn*, 871; *Jones vs. Dexter*, 873; *Metcalf vs. Bradshaw*, 875.

ACCOUNTING,

between partners: See ACTIONS BETWEEN PARTNERS; CAPITAL; DISTRIBUTION OF ASSETS.

between partners and creditors; See APPLICATION OF ASSETS.

of illegal transactions; *Central Trust Co. vs. Respass*, 728; *Woodworth vs. Bennett*, 43; *Craft vs. McConoughy*, 48.

reopening after long time: *Valentine vs. Wysor*, 500.

ACCOUNTS,

duty of partner to keep: *Webb vs. Fordyce*, 276.

ACCOUNT STATED,

action between partners upon: *Wycoff vs. Purnell*, 286.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT,

See STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS.

ACTIONS,

nonjoinder of partner as party; *Cleveland vs. Woodward*, 388.

ACTIONS BETWEEN PARTNERS,

1. AT LAW, when can be maintained: *Wycoff vs. Purnell*, 286; *Bullard vs. Kinney*, 288; *Carpenter vs. Greenop*, 296; *Beede vs. Fraser*, 300; *Mangnells vs. Shaen*, 290; *Cole vs. Reynolds*, 292; *Fry vs. Potter*, 882; *Clarke vs. Mills*, 884; *Kipp vs. McChesney*, 887; *Willis vs. Barron*, 889; *Miller vs. Freeman*, 894; *Cook vs. Canny*, 904; *Bull vs. Coe*, 905; *Edwards vs. Remington*, 907.

upon note given by firm to partner: *Carpenter vs. Greenop*, 296; *Kipp vs. McChesney*, 887; *Willis vs. Barron*, 889.

firm cannot sue other firm having common partner: *Beede vs. Fraser*, 300.

for failure to launch firm as agreed: *Hill vs. Palmer*, 303.

for dissolving contrary to agreement: *Bagley vs. Smith*, 305.

to settle partnership in one transaction only: *Fry vs. Potter*, 882.

or where accounts are simple: *Clarke vs. Mills*, 884.

for not performing duty assumed: *Miller vs. Freeman*, 894.

for not furnishing capital as agreed: *Cook vs. Canny*, 904.

for loan by one partner to copartner: *Bull vs. Coe*, 905.

for not paying debt assumed: *Edwards vs. Remington*, 907.

ACTIONS BETWEEN PARTNERS.—Continued.

for wrongful acts causing loss to partner: *Boughner vs. Black*, 916.

2. IN EQUITY, for accounting and settlement: *Lord vs. Hull*, 920; *Spear vs. Newell*, 311; *Pirtle vs. Penn*, 313; *New vs. Wright*, 319; *Shannon vs. Wright*, 317.

for accounting without dissolution: *Lord vs. Hull*, 920; *Pirtle vs. Penn*, 313.

for excluding one partner from participation: *Shannon vs. Wright*, 317; *Katz vs. Brewington*, 929.

for dissolution on account of misconduct: *Gerard vs. Geteau*, 460; *New vs. Wright*, 319.

for specific performance: *Buck vs. Smith*, 322; *Somerby vs. Buntin*, 326; *Byrne vs. Reid*, 930; *Maddock vs. Astbury*, 936.

for injunction and receiver: *Shannon vs. Wright*, 317; *New vs. Wright*, 319; *Katz vs. Brewington*, 929.

ADMISSIONS,

of a partner, when bind the firm: *Sweet vs. Wood*, 332.

to bar operation of statute of limitation: See STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS.

ADVERTISEMENT,

See NOTICE OF DISSOLUTION.

AGENCY,

as test of partnership: *Cox vs. Hickman*, 102, et seq.

AGENT, (See POWERS OF PARTNERS: LIABILITY).

partner as agent of firm: *Chester vs. Dickerson*, 38; *Bond vs. Gibson*, 938, et seq.; *Sweet vs. Wood*, 332, et seq.; *Pitkin vs. Benfer*, 383, et seq.; *Van Keuren vs. Parmelee*, 533 et seq.

APPLICATION OF ASSETS,

to claims of firm creditors: *Case vs. Beauregard*, 596; *Arnold vs. Hagerman*, 602; *Hage vs. Campbell*, 609; *Goddard-Peck Grocery Co. vs. McCune*, 613; *Rodgers vs. Meranda*, 630; *Blair vs. Black*, 644; *Harris vs. Peabody*, 667; *Winslow vs. Wallace*, 658; *Menagh vs. Whitwell*, 567; *Jackson Bank vs. Durfey*, 619; *Northern Bank vs. Keizer*, 650; *Fayette Bank vs. Kenney*, 653; *In re Marwick*, 672; *Exparte Ruffin*, 1038; *Dimon vs. Hazard*, 1043; *Nordlinger vs. Anderson*, 1047; *Citizens' Bank vs. Williams*, 1050; *Franklin Sug. Ref. Co. vs. Henderson*, 1053; *Bartlet vs. Meyer-Schmidt Grocery Co.*, 1062; *Conrader vs. Cohen*, 1066; *French vs. Chase*, 1069.

ostensible but no real partnership, *Kelly vs. Scott*, 682; *Bank vs. Wood*, 688.

real but not ostensible partnership: *French vs. Chase*, 1069.

ARTICLES OF PARTNERSHIP.

duty of partner not to violate: *Murphy vs. Crafts*, 275; *McFadden vs. Leeka*, 280.

ASSETS,

See FIRM NAME; GOOD WILL; REAL ESTATE.

ASSIGNEE,

of partner cannot sue firm, when: *Bullard vs. Kinney*, 288.

of note, may sue firm, when: *Carpenter vs. Greenop*, 296; *Kipp vs. McChesney*, 887.

of bankrupt partner, suit by: *Halsey vs. Norton*, 447.

ASSIGNMENT,

- when valid as to firm creditors: *Arnold vs. Hagerman*, 602.
- of assets, by surviving partner: *Lindner vs. Adams County Bank*, 523

ASSIGNMENT FOR CREDITORS,

- power of partner to make: *Shattuck vs. Chandler*, 363.
- by surviving partner: *Durant vs. Pierson*, 525.

ASSOCIATIONS,

- if not for pecuniary gain, not partnership: *Queen vs. Robson*, 1; *Burt vs. Lathrop*, 4; *Ash vs. Guie*, 721. See *Bennett vs. Lathrop*, 723.

ASSUMPTION OF DEBT;

- of partner by firm: *Hage vs. Campbell*, 609; *Goddard-Peck Grocery Co. vs. McCune*, 613; *Jackson Bank vs. Durfey*, 619; *Nordlinger vs. Anderson*, 1047; *Citizens' Bank vs. Williams*, 1050; *Franklin Sug. Ref. Co. vs. Henderson*, 1053; *Bartlett vs. Grocery Co.*, 1062.
- of firm by continuing partners: *Cobb vs. Benedict*, 557; *Grotte vs. Weil*, 592; *Ex parte Ruffin*, 1038; *Dimon vs. Hazard*, 1043.

ATTACHMENT,

- of one partner's interest: *Newhall vs. Buckingham*, 788; *Hershfield vs. Claflin*, 792.

BANKRUPTCY,

- distribution of assets upon: See APPLICATION OF ASSETS.
- dissolves firm: *Halsey vs. Norton*, 447; *Eustis vs. Bolles*, 965. See NOTICE OF DISSOLUTION.

BETTING,

- money lost by partner in, no recovery for: *Central Trust Co. vs. Respass*, 728.

BILLS AND NOTES: See NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS.

- power of partner to make: *Pease vs. Cole*, 344; *Phillips vs. Stanzell*, 944; *Dowling vs. Bank*, 356.

BOND,

- power of one partner to execute: *Fox vs. Norton*, 362.

BOOK-MAKING,

- partnership in, illegal: *Central Trust Co. vs. Respass*, 728.

BORROW,

- power of surviving partner: *Durant vs. Pierson*, 525.

BUYING GOODS,

- powers of partner as to: *Bond vs. Gibson*, 938; *Alley vs. Bowen-Merrill Co.*, 939; *Boardman vs. Adams*, 339; *Porter vs. Curry*, 343; *Johnston vs. Dutton*, 371.

CAPITAL,

- contributions to, what may be: *Griffith vs. Buffum*, 385; *Whitcomb vs. Converse*, 692; *Shea vs. Donahue*, 695.
- rights of partners in: *Whitcomb vs. Converse*, 692; *Shea vs. Donahue*, 695; *Leserman vs. Bernheimer*, 1072; *Warren vs. Taylor*, 1081; *Pendleton vs. Beyer*, 1086; *Moore vs. Rawson*, 1089.
- how divided on dissolution: *Id.*
- losses of, how made good: *Id.*

CARE,

degree of, required between partners: *Insley vs. Shire*, 270; *Lyons vs. Lyons*, 880.

CHRISTIAN ASSOCIATION,

not a partnership: *Queen vs. Robson*, 1.

CLANDESTINE PROFITS,

made by partner inure to firm, when: *Latta vs. Kilbourn*, 260; *Jones vs. Dexter*, 873; *Hodge vs. Twitchell*, 862.

CLUB,

social, etc., not partnership: *Ash vs. Guie*, 721.

organized for gain is partnership: *Bennett vs. Lathrop*, 723.

COLLECTION,

power of partner as to means of: *Clarke vs. Wallace*, 368.

COMMERCIAL PARTNERSHIP: See TRADING FIRM.

powers of partners in: *Pease vs. Cole*, 344; *Phillips vs. Stanzell*, 944.

COMMUNITY OF INTEREST,

as test of partnership: *Beecher vs. Bush*, 118; *Dutcher vs. Buck*, 749; *Spaulding vs. Stubbings*, 149; *Magovern vs. Robertson*, 154.

COMPENSATION,

for extra services, partner no implied right to: *Lindsey vs. Stranahan*, 279.

COMPETITION,

when partner not to trade in competition with his firm: *Latta vs. Kilbourn*, 260.

not secretly to compete in acquiring leases, etc.: *Mitchell vs. Reed* 864.

CONFESSION OF JUDGMENT,

power of partner to make: *Morgan vs. Richardson*, 361.

CONSULT,

duty of partner to consult with copartner: *Yorks vs. Tozer*, 278.

CONTEMPLATED PARTNERSHIP,

does not constitute one: *Atkins vs. Hunt*, 79; *Sailors vs. Nixon-Jones Printing Co.*, 85; *Kerrick vs. Stevens*, 87; *Martin vs. Baird*, 744; *Duryea vs. Whitcomb*, 89; *Griffin vs. Buffum*, 385.

CONTINUING BUSINESS,

by surviving partner under will: *Valentine vs. Wysor*, 500; *Jones vs. Walker*, 509.

CONTRIBUTION,

right of partners to: *Hess vs. Lowrey*, 400; *McFadden vs. Leeka*, 280.

CONVERSION,

of firm into individual property: *Arnold vs. Hagerman*, 602; *Case vs. Beauregard*, 506, *et seq.*

of individual into firm liability: *Hage vs. Campbell*, 609; *Goddard-Peck Grocery Co. vs. McCune*, 613; *Jackson Bank vs. Durfey*, 619, *et seq.*

of firm real estate in personalty: See REAL ESTATE.

CORPORATION,

may not enter into partnership: *Whittenton Mills vs. Upton*, 68; *Catskill Bank vs. Gray*, 73.

CORPORATION—DEFECTIVE,

See DEFECTIVELY ORGANIZED CORPORATIONS—DE FACTO CORPORATIONS.

CREDITORS,

carrying on business of debtor, whether partners: *Cox vs. Hickman*, 102

CREDITORS OF FIRM, (See APPLICATION OF ASSETS.)

rights of, in partnership assets: *Durant vs. Pierson*, 525 *et seq.*

DAMAGES, (See ACTIONS BETWEEN PARTNERS.)

from partner for violating articles: *Murphy vs. Crafts*, 275.

for dissolving contrary to agreement: *Bagley vs. Smith*, 305.

DEALER,

who is former, so as to be entitled to notice of dissolution: *Askew vs. Silman*, 474; *Austin vs. Holland*, 464; *Haynes vs. Carter*, 966; *Grinnan vs. Mills Co.*, 968.

DEATH OF PARTNER,

dissolves partnership: *Hoard vs. Clum*, 444; *Insley vs. Shire*, 270.

effect upon actions: *Hess vs. Lowrey*, 400.

DECEASED PARTNER,

liability of his estate for partnership debts: *Doggett vs. Dill*, 513; *Pope vs. Cole*, 519.

DECLARATIONS,

of partner when bind firm: *Sweet vs. Wood*, 332.

DEED,

whether may be taken in firm name: *Hendren vs. Wing*, 797; *Woodward vs. McAdam*, 799; *Cole vs. Mette*, 801.

DE FACTO CORPORATION,

what necessary to constitute: *Eaton vs. Walker*, 8; *Davis vs. Stevens*, 724; *Finnegan vs. Noerenberg*, 13; *Owensboro Wagon Co. vs. Bliss*, 22.

DEFECTIVELY ORGANIZED CORPORATION,

when members of liable as partners: *Eaton vs. Walker*, 8; *Davis vs. Stevens*, 724; *Finnegan vs. Noerenberg*, 13; *Kaiser vs. Lawrence Savings Bank*, 16; *Guckert vs. Hacke*, 20; *Owensboro Wagon Co. vs. Bliss*, 22.

DILIGENCE,

required between partners: *Insley vs. Shire*, 270.

DISPUTES,

between partners. dissolution for, when: *Howell vs. Harvey*, 448; *Gerard vs. Gateau*, 460.

DISSOLUTION,

by death: *Hoard vs. Clum*, 440.

by war: *Bank vs. Matthews*, 962.

by bankruptcy: *Halsey vs. Norton* 447; *Eustis vs. Bolles*, 965.

power of one partner as to: *Johnson vs. Dutton*, 371; *Solomon vs. Kirkwood*, 455.

what will justify in equity: *Howell vs. Harvey*, 448; *New vs. Wright*, 318; *Gerard vs. Gateau*, 460; *Shannon vs. Wright*, 317.

reasonable notice of: *Id.*

DISSOLUTION—Continued.

action in equity for: *New vs. Wright*, 319; *Katz vs. Brewington*, 929.
 notice of, how given: *Solomon vs. Kirkwood*, 455; *Austin vs. Holland*, 464; *Dickinson vs. Dickinson*, 477; *Rose vs. Coffield*, 469; *Askew vs. Silman*, 474; *Scarf vs. Jardine*, 484; *Thayer vs. Goss*, 492; *Haynes vs. Carter*, 968; *Grinnan vs. Baton Rouge Mills Co.*, 968; *Swigert vs. Aspden*, 971; *Haines vs. Starkey*, 974; *Elmira Iron Co. vs. Harris*, 987.

effect upon liabilities of all partners: *Barnes vs. Boyers*, 587; *Scarf vs. Jardine*, 484.

effect on powers of partners: *Ogden vs. Arnot*, 996; *Holbrook vs. Lackey*, 999; *Durant vs. Pierson*, 525; *Humphreys vs. Chastain*, 532; *Van Keuren vs. Parmelee*, 533; *Pennoyer vs. David*, 543; *Feigley vs. Whitaker*, 550; *Scarf vs. Jardine*, 484; *Wilson vs. Waugh*, 546.

action for wrongfully causing: *Bagley vs. Smith*, 305; *Howell vs. Harvey*, 448.

division of assets between partners: See DISTRIBUTION OF ASSETS.

DISTRIBUTION OF ASSETS,

between partners on dissolution: *Whitcomb vs. Converse*, 692; *Shea vs. Donahue*, 695; *Leserman vs. Bernheimer*, 1072; *Warren vs. Taylor*, 1081; *Pendleton vs. Beyer*, 1086; *Moore vs. Rawson*, 1089.

DORMANT PARTNER. (See UNDISCLOSED PARTNER.)

liability of: *Pitkin vs. Benfer*, 383; *Griffith vs. Buffum*, 385; *Chester vs. Dickerson*, 38.

notice of retirement of: *Bank vs. Bergstrom*, 481; *Elmira Iron Co. vs. Harris*, 987.

DOWER,

in partnership realty: *Robinson Bank vs. Miller*, 195; *Paige vs. Paige*, 217.

DUTY OF PARTNERS,

to act in good faith: *Latta vs. Kilbourn*, 260; *Hodge vs. Twitchell*, 862; *Mitchell vs. Reed*, 864.

to be diligent: *Insley vs. Shire*, 270; *Lyons vs. Lyons*, 880.

not to violate articles: *Murphy vs. Crafts*, 275.

not to compete with firm: *Mitchell vs. Reed*, 864.

not to acquire adverse interests: *Hodge vs. Twitchell*, 862; *Jones vs. Dexter*, 873.

to account for profits really belonging to the firm: *Tebbett vs. Dearborn*, 871.

to keep accounts: *Webb vs. Fordyce*, 276.

to consult with copartners: *Yorks vs. Tozer*, 278.

ELECTION,

of debtors: *Scarf vs. Jardine*, 484.

ENTITY,

firm as: *Arnold vs. Hagerman*, 602; *Page vs. Citizens' Banking Co.*, 954.

EQUITY,

actions in: See ACTIONS.

EQUITY—Continued.

dissolution in: See DISSOLUTION.

distribution of assets in: See APPLICATION OF ASSETS.

ESTOPPEL, (See HOLDING OUT.)

to deny partnership: *Thompson vs. First Nat. Bank*, 771; *Fletcher vs. Pullen*, 166; *Morgan vs. Farrell*, 171; *Hahlo vs. Meyer*, 179; *In re Kreuger*, 183; *In re Fraser*, 781; *Evans, etc., Co. vs. Hadfield*, 783.

EVIDENCE,

what admissible to prove partnership: *Jacobs vs. Shorey*, 164; *Fletcher vs. Pullen*, 166.

EXECUTION,

seizure of one partner's interest upon: *Newhall vs. Buckingham*, 788; *Hershfield vs. Clafin*, 792.

EXECUTOR, (See SURVIVING PARTNER.)

of deceased partner, continuing business creates new firm: *Insley vs. Shire*, 270.

rights against surviving partner: *Valentine vs. Wysor*, 500.

EXPRESS PROMISE,

when necessary to sustain action between partners: *Wyckoff vs. Purnell*, 286 and note.

EXTRA COMPENSATION,

for extra services, partner cannot claim: *Lindsey vs. Stranahan*, 279.

FALSE IMPRISONMENT,

by one partner, when binds firm: *Rosenkrans vs. Barker*, 405; *Page vs. Citizens' Banking Co.*, 954.

FIDELITY,

duty of partner to his firm: *Hodge vs. Twitchell*, 862; *Latta vs. Kilbourn*, 260; *Mitchell vs. Reed*, 864; *Jones vs. Dexter*, 873; *Tibbetts vs. Dearborn*, 871.

FIDUCIARY RELATION,

between partners: *Latta vs. Kilbourn*, 260; *Hodge vs. Twitchell*, 862; *Mitchell vs. Reed*, 864, et seq.

FIRM CREDITORS, (See APPLICATION OF ASSETS.)

rights of, in firm property: *Case vs. Beaugard*, 596; *Ex parte Rufin*, 1038; *Dimon vs. Hazard*, 1043; *Arnold vs. Hagerman*, 602; *Godard Peck Grocery Co. vs. McCune*, 613; *Franklin Sugar Ref. Co. vs. Henderson*, 1053; *Bartlett vs. Meyer-Schmidt Grocer Co.*, 1063; *Rodgers vs. Meranda*, 630; *Blair vs. Black*, 644; *Harris vs. Peabody*, 667; *Meech vs. Allen*, 677; *Winslow vs. Wallace*, 658; *Jackson Bank vs. Durfey*, 619; *Bank vs. Keizer*, 650; *Bank vs. Kenn y*, 653; *In re Marwick*, 672.

FIRM NAME, (See GOOD WILL.)

rights respecting: *Williams vs. Farrand*, 222; *Snyder Mfg. Co. vs. Snyder*, 240; *Ranft vs. Reimers*, 852; *Slater vs. Slater*, 857.

FRAUD, (See TORT.)

of one partner binds firm, when: *Chester vs. Dickerson*, 38; *Jacobs vs. Shorey*, 164.

liability of one partner to co-partner, for: *Boughner vs. Black*, 916.

FRAUD—Continued.

as justifying an injunction and receiver: *Shannon vs. Wright*, 317; *New vs. Wright*, 519; *Katz vs. Brewington*, 929; *Gerard vs. Gateau*, 460.

in acquiring for oneself what ought to be acquired for firm: *Hodge vs. Twitchell*, 862, *et seq.*

for one partner to buy at his own sale of firm assets: *Jones vs. Dexter*, 872.

FUTURE PARTNERSHIP,

when becomes operative: *Atkins vs. Hunt*, 79; *Sailors vs. Nixon-Jones Printing Co.*, 85; *Kerrick vs. Stevens*, 87; *Martin vs. Baird*, 744.

GARNISHMENT,

of individual claims to satisfy firm obligation: *Stevens vs. Perry*, 961.

GOOD FAITH,

duty of partners to each other: *Latta vs. Kilbourn*, 260; *Insley vs. Shire*, 270; *Hodge vs. Twitchell*, 862; *Mitchell vs. Reed*, 864; *Jones vs. Dexter*, 873.

GOOD WILL,

what constitutes and how protected: *Williams vs. Farrand*, 222; *Snyder Mfg. Co. vs. Snyder*, 240; *Trego vs. Hunt*, 247; *Hutchinson vs. Nay*, 838, 842; *Newark Coal Co. vs. Spangler*, 846; *Ranft vs. Reimers*, 852; *Slater vs. Slater*, 857.

GROSS PROCEEDS,

sharing of, as test of partnership: *Beecher vs. Bush*, 118; *Harvey vs. Childs*, 129; *Morgan vs. Farrell*, 171.

GROSS RETURNS OR EARNINGS,

sharing in, as test of partnership: *French vs. Styring*, 765; *Goell vs. Morse*, 767; *Quackenbush vs. Sawyer*, 768.

HEIRS,

rights against surviving partner: *Valentine vs. Wysor*, 500.

HIRING OF PROPERTY,

by one partner: *Sweet vs. Wood*, 332.

HOLDING OUT, (See ESTOPPEL.)

liability as partner by: *Thompson vs. First Nat. Bank*, 771; *Burnett vs. Snyder*, 157; *Fletcher vs. Pullen*, 166; *Morgan vs. Farrel*, 171; *Hahlo vs. Mayer*, 179; *In re Kreuger*, 183; *In re Fruser*, 781; *Evens etc., Co. vs. Hadfield*, 783.

HOMESTEAD,

none in partnership real estate: *Brady vs. Kreuger*, 827.

HOTEL,

lessor of hotel, whether partner with proprietor: *Beecher vs. Bush*, 118.

HUSBAND AND WIFE,

as partners: *Vail vs. Winterstein*, 739; *Artman vs. Ferguson*, 61; *Suan vs. Caffé*, 64.

ILLEGALITY, (See PURPOSES OF PARTNERSHIP).

of purpose of partnership: *Woodworth vs. Bennett*, 43; *Craft vs. McConoughy*, 48; *Central Trust Co. vs. Respass*, 728.

INFANT,

rights and liabilities as partner: *Adams vs. Beall*, 51; *Bush vs. Linthicum*, 55; *Sparman vs. Keim*, 737.

INDEMNITY,

duty to partner to indemnify copartner for losses caused by former's violation of articles: *Murphy vs. Crafts*, 275.

partner cannot claim, for unauthorized acts: *McFadden vs. Leeka*, 280.

nor for illegal act: *Central Trust Co. vs. Respass*, 728.

INDISSOLUBLE PARTNERSHIP,

cannot exist: *Soloman vs. Kirkwood*, 455.

INDIVIDUAL ASSETS, (See APPLICATION OF ASSETS.)

prior claim of individual creditors in: *Rodgers vs. Meranda*, 630; *Blair vs. Black*, 644; *Winslow vs. Wallace*, 658; *Bank vs. Keizer*, 650; *Bank vs. Kenney*, 653; *In re Marwick*, 672.

INDIVIDUAL LIABILITY,

of each partner for whole debt: *Haralson vs. Campbell*, 430; *Mason vs. Eldred*, 433; *Judd Oil Co. vs. Hubbell*, 431.

INDIVIDUAL PROPERTY,

of partner may be taken for firm debt: *Haralson vs. Campbell*, 430; *Stevens vs. Perry*, 961.

INDORSEMENT,

implied power of partner to indorse: *Clarke vs. Wallace*, 368.

after dissolution: *Humphries vs. Chastain*, 532.

INJUNCTION,

to restrain the use of firm name: (See FIRM NAME.)

to protect good will: (See GOOD WILL.)

to prevent injuries by one partner to another: (See ACTIONS IN EQUITY.)

INSOLVENCY,

distribution of assets upon: (See APPLICATION OF ASSETS.)

INTENTION,

to become partners, how far effective: *Atkins vs. Hunt*, 79; *Sailors vs. Nixon Printing Co.*, 85; *Kerrick vs. Stevens*, 87; *Duryea vs. Whitcomb*, 89.

JOINDER,

of partners as parties: *Hess vs. Lowrey*, 400.

JOINT CREDITORS,

rights of to firm assets: (See APPLICATION OF ASSETS.)

JOINT OBLIGATION,

of individual partners when a partnership one: *Berkshire Woolen Co. vs. Juillard*, 389.

JOINT PURCHASERS,

for re-sale, whether partners: *Coope vs. Eyre*, 96; *French vs. Styring*, 765; *Goell vs. Morse*, 767; *Quackenbush vs. Sawyer*, 768; *Harvey vs. Childs*, 129; *Spaulding vs. Stubbings*, 149.

JOINT AND SEVERAL,

partnership debts are in equity: *Doggett vs. Dill*, 513.

not at law: *Mason vs. Eldred*, 433. But see *Willis vs. Barron*, 889.

JOINT STOCK COMPANIES,

Laney vs. Fickle, 83.

JUDGMENT,

against partners, in what form: *Oil Co. vs. Hubbell*, 431.

merges obligations, when: *Mason vs. Eldred*, 433.

confession of, by one partner: *Morgan vs. Richardson*, 361.

LAND, (See REAL ESTATE; DOWER; CONVERSION; HOMESTEAD; DEED.)

partnerships to deal in: *Chester vs. Dickerson*, 38.

may be created by parol: *Id.*

title to, how taken: *Hendren vs. Wing*, 797; *Woodward vs. McAdam*, 799; *Cole vs. Mette*, 801.

power of one partner to sell: *Ruffner vs. McConnel*, 829; *Rovelsky vs. Brown*, 832.

LAWYERS,

power of partner to buy law books: *Alley vs. Bowen-Merrill Co.*, 939.

to collect claim without pay: *Davis vs. Dodson*, 942.

what fees of, are firm assets: *Metcalf vs. Bradshaw*, 875.

duty of surviving partner to close up firm business: *Clifton vs. Clark*, 1010.

LEASE,

fraud for partner to take to himself instead of to the firm, when: *Mitchell vs. Reed*, 864.

LIABILITY, (See POWERS OF PARTNERS; NEGLIGENCE; TORT.)

of partners is joint: *Oil Co. vs. Hubbell*, 431; *Mason vs. Eldred*, 433.

of firm, for acts of partner: *Sweet vs. Wood*, 332, *et seq.*; *Pitkin vs. Benfer*, 83, *et seq.*

release of one releases all: *Hale vs. Spaulding*, 440; *Godnow vs. Smith*, 441.

LIEN,

of firm creditors on firm assets: *Case vs. Beauregard*, 596; *Arnold vs. Hagerman*, 602, *et seq.*; *Winslow vs. Wallace*, 658.

how lost: *Smith vs. Edwards*, 563; *Croone vs. Bivens*, 565; *Menagh vs. Whitwell*, 567; *Stahl vs. Osmers*, 579.

LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,

general provisions: *Chick vs. Robinson*, 699.

necessity of compliance with statute: *Chick vs. Robinson*, 699; *Durant vs. Abendroth*, 706; *Henkel vs. Heyman*, 709; *White vs. Eisman*, 1099.

renewal: *Durgin vs. Colburn*, 713.

special partner cannot claim as firm creditor: *Jaffe vs. Krum*, 716.

where defective may be sued as general partners: *Sharp vs. Hutchinson*, 718.

LOAN,

whether constitutes partnership: *Grace vs. Smith*, 93; *Harvey vs. Childs*, 129; *Meehan vs. Valentine*, 135; *Waverly Bank vs. Hall*, 145; *Spaulding vs. Stubbings*, 149.

MAJORITY,

power of: *Johnston vs. Dutton*, 371; *Leavitt vs. Peck*, 375; *Wiperman vs. Stacey*, 376; *Latta vs. Kilbourn*, 260.

MALICIOUS PROSECUTION,

by one partner binds firm, when: *Rosenkrans vs. Barker*, 405; *Page vs. Citizens' Banking Co.*, 954.

MALPRACTICE,

by one partner, firm liable when: *Hess vs. Lowrey*, 400.

MARRIED WOMEN,

as partners: *Vail vs. Winterstein*, 739; *Artman vs. Ferguson*, 61; *Suau vs. Caffé*, 64.

MARSHALLING,

of assets when ostensible but no real partnership: *Kelley vs. Scott*, 682; *Bank vs. Wood*, 688.

when real but not ostensible partnership: *French vs. Chase*, 1069.

of assets: (See APPLICATION OF ASSETS.)

of assets: on what principle: *Rodgers vs. Meranda*, 630, *et seq*; *In re Marwick*, 672.

of assets when no common fund: *Harris vs. Peabody*, 667; *In re Marwick*, 672; *Conrader vs. Cohen*, 1066.

MERGER,

of partnership debt in judgment: *Mason vs. Eldred*, 432.

MINORITY, (See MAJORITY.)**MISCONDUCT,**

of partner, when justifies dissolution: *New vs. Wright*, 319; *Howell vs. Harvey*, 448; *Gerard vs. Gateau*, 460; *Katz vs. Brewington*, 929.

MISMANAGEMENT,

liability of partner to partner, for: *Insley vs. Shire*, 270; *Lyons vs. Lyons*, 880.

MORTGAGE,

implied power of one partner to make: *Hage vs. Campbell*, 609.

NAME, (See FIRM NAME; LAND; DEED.)

in what name firm bound: *Berkshire Woolen Co. vs. Juillard*, 389; *Hastings Nat. Bank vs. Hibbard*, 392.

two firms of same name, which bound: *Hastings Bank vs. Hibbard*, 392.

in what name, title to real estate taken: *Hendren vs. Wing*, 797; *Woodward vs. McAdam*, 799; *Cole vs. Mette*, 801.

limitations on use resulting from sale of business or good will: See GOOD WILL; FIRM NAME.

NEGLIGENCE,

of one partner, liability of firm for: *Hess vs. Lowrey*, 400.

liability of partner to partner, for: *Insley vs. Shire*, 270; *Lyons vs. Lyons*, 880.

NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS,

power of partner to make: *Pease vs. Cole*, 344; *Dowling vs. Exchange Bank*, 356; *Phillips vs. Stanzell*, 944.

NET PROCEEDS,

sharing in, as test of partnership: *Morgan vs. Farrel*, 171.

NEW CONTRACT,

partner no power to make after dissolution: *Humphries vs. Chastain*, 532; *Van Keuren vs. Parmelee*, 533; *Pennoyer vs. David*, 543; *Feig-*

NEW CONTRACT—Continued.

ley vs. Whitaker, 550; *Wilson vs. Waugh*, 546; *Calvert vs. Miller*, 1002; *Oliver vs. Forrester*, 1004.

what constitutes: *Calvert vs. Miller*, 1002; *Oliver vs. Forrester*, 1004.

NEW PROMISE, (See STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS).

NON-JOINDER,

of partners: *Cleveland vs. Woodward*, 388.

NON-TRADING FIRM, (See TRADING FIRM.)

power of partner in, to make negotiable paper: *Pease vs. Cole*, 344; *Dowling vs. Exchange Bank*, 356.

NOTICE,

by one partner that he will not be bound by contemplated acts of another: *Johnston vs. Dutton*, 371; *Leavitt vs. Peck*, 375; *Wipperman vs. Stacey*, 376; *Dawson vs. Elrod*, 380.

NOTICE OF DISSOLUTION,

when required: *Eustis vs. Bolles*, 965.

what sufficient: *Solomon vs. Kirkwood*, 455; *Austin vs. Holland*, 464; *Dickinson vs. Dickinson*, 477; *Haynes vs. Carter*, 966; *Lovejoy vs. Spafford*, 977; *Grinnan vs. Mills Co.*, 968; *Swigert vs. Aspden*, 971; *Haines vs. Starkey*, 974; *Martin vs. Searles*, 985.

to whom required: *Austin vs. Holland*, 464; *Dickinson vs. Dickinson*, 477; *Askew vs. Silman*, 474; *Rose vs. Coffield*, 469.

effect of not giving: *Dickinson vs. Dickinson*, 477; *Scarf vs. Jardine*, 484; *Thayer vs. Goss*, 492.

burden of proving: *Bank vs. Bergstrom*, 481.

by dormant partner: *Id. Elmira Iron Co. vs. Harris*, 987.

publication, where: *Solomon vs. Kirkwood*, 455; *Grinnan vs. Baton Rouge Mills Co.*, 968.

to agent: *Haines vs. Starkey*, 974.

NOVATION,

necessary to discharge retiring partner: *Eagle Mfg. Co. vs. Jennings*, 1035; *First Nat. Bank vs. Green*, 1031; *Barnes vs. Boyers*, 587.

PARTNER,

who may be: (See INFANT; MARRIED WOMEN; CORPORATION.)

powers of: (See POWERS OF PARTNERS.)

liability of: (See LIABILITY.)

duties of: (See DUTY OF PARTNERS.)

as firm creditor, priority over individual creditors: *Divine vs. Mitchum*, 625.

PARTNERSHIP,

what constitutes: *Queen vs. Robson*, 1, et seq.

burden of proving: *Dunham vs. Loverock*, 6.

for what purpose may be organized: *Chester vs. Dickerson*, 38, et seq.

who may organize: *Adams vs. Beal*, 51, et seq.

construction of contracts for: *Atkins vs. Hunt*, 79, et seq.

what contracts create: *Grace vs. Smith*, 93, et seq.

interest in property of: *Bank vs. Carrollton Railroad*, 187, et seq.

firm name and good will of: *Williams vs. Farrand*, 222, et seq.

rights and duties of members of: *Hodge vs. Twitchell*, 862, et seq.; *Latta vs. Kilbourn*, 260, et seq.

PARTNERSHIP—Continued.

- actions between members of: *Wycoff vs. Purnell*, 286, *et seq.*
- powers of partners: *Bond vs. Gibson*, 938, *et seq.*; *Sweet vs. Wood*, 332, *et seq.*
- liability of firm: *Pitkin vs. Benfer*, 883, *et seq.*
- nature and extent of partner's liability: *Haralson vs. Campbell*, 480, *et seq.*
- dissolution of: *Hoard vs. Clum*, 444, *et seq.*
- consequences of dissolution of: *Ogden vs. Arnot*, 996, *et seq.*; *Hawkins vs. Capron*, 499, *et seq.*
- distribution of assets of, on dissolution: *Ex parte Ruffin*, 1038, *et seq.*; *Case vs. Beauregard*, 596, *et seq.*
- capital of, how distributed between partners: *Whitcomb vs. Converse*, 692, *et seq.*

PARTNERSHIP ASSOCIATIONS LIMITED,

Staver & Abbott M'f'g Co. vs. Blake, 28.

PARTNERSHIP AT WILL,

right to dissolve: *Howell vs. Harvey*, 448; *Solomon vs. Kirkwood*, 455.

PARTNERSHIP, MINING,

what constitutes: *Childers vs. Neely*, 54.

PARTNERSHIP TRANSACTION,

what is: *Berkshire Woolen Co. vs. Juillard*, 389.

PART PAYMENT,

(See STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS.)

POWERS OF A PARTNER, (See SURVIVING PARTNER.)

- to make admissions: *Sweet vs. Wood*, 332.
- to buy property: *Bond vs. Gibson*, 938; *Alley vs. Brown-Merrill Co.*, 939.
- to hire property: *Sweet vs. Wood*, 332.
- to subscribe for stock: *Barnard vs. Plank Road Co.*, 334.
- to engage in other business: *Banner Tobacco Co. vs. Jenison*, 336.
- to execute negotiable instruments: *Pease vs. Cole*, 344; *Phillips vs. Stanzell*, 944; *Dowling vs. Bank*, 356.
- to confess judgment: *Morgan vs. Richardson*, 361.
- to execute sealed instruments: *Fox vs. Norton*, 362.
- to make assignment for creditors: *Shattuck vs. Chandler*, 363.
- to sell property: *Lowman vs. Sheets*, 367.
- to bind firm as surety for third persons: *Clark vs. Wallace*, 368.
- to mortgage firm property: *Hage vs. Campbell*, 609.
- to enlarge scope of business: *Boardman vs. Adams*, 339.
- to make unusual or extraordinary contract: *Davis vs. Dodson*, 942.
- by ratification: *Banner Tobacco Co. vs. Jenison*, 336.
- right of partner to limit, by previous dissent: *Johnston vs. Dutton*, 371; *Leavitt vs. Peck*, 375; *Wipperman vs. Stacey*, 376; *Lawson, Blackmore & Co. vs. Elrod*, 380.
- after dissolution to make note: *Dickinson vs. Dickinson*, 477; *In re Kreuger*, 183.

POWERS OF A PARTNER—Continued.

after dissolution to make admissions or promises: *Van Keuren vs. Parmelee*, 533, *et seq.*

after dissolution to endorse paper: *Humphries vs. Chastain*, 532.

after dissolution, to make contracts incidental to winding up affairs: *Calvert vs. Miller*, 1002; *Oliver vs. Forester*, 1004.

after dissolution, to receive notice of dishonor: *Gates vs. Beecher*, 1020.

after dissolution, to mortgage firm property to secure firm debts: *Ogden vs. Arnot*, 996.

to prevent operation of statute limitations: *Van Keuren vs. Parmelee*, 533; *Feigley vs. Whitaker* 550; *Pennoyer vs. David*, 543; *Wilson vs. Waugh*, 546; *Wood vs. Braddick*, 1026; *Whitcomb vs. Whiting*, 1025.

PRINCIPAL AND AGENT,

relation of, as test of partnership: *Cox vs. Hickman*, 102; *Beecher vs. Bush*, 118; *Harvey vs. Childs*, 129; *Meehan vs. Valentine*, 135.

PRINCIPAL AND SURETY,

relation between retiring and other partner who assumes firm debts: *Smith vs. Sheldon*, 583; *Barnes vs. Boyers*, 587; *Grotte vs. Weil*, 592.

PRIORITY,

in distribution of assets: (See **APPLICATION OF ASSETS; INDIVIDUAL ASSETS.**)

of partner who is firm creditor: *Divine vs. Mitchum*, 626.

PROFITS, (See CLANDESTINE PROFITS.)

sharing of, as test of partnership: (See **SHARING PROFITS.**)

PROMISSORY NOTE, (See NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS.)**PROPRIETARY INTEREST, (See COMMUNITY OF INTEREST.)**

as test of partnership: *Beecher vs. Bush*, 118; *Magovern vs. Robertson*, 154; *Spaulding vs. Stubbings*, 149.

PUBLICATION, (See NOTICE OF DISSOLUTION.)

of notice of dissolution, effect of: *Solomon vs. Kirkwood*, 455; *Haynes vs. Carter*, 966, *et seq.*; *Austin vs. Holland*, 464.

where to be done: *Solomon vs. Kirkwood*, 455; *Grinnan vs. Baton Rouge Mills Co.*, 968.

PURCHASE, (See BUYING.)

by one partner binds firm, when: *Griffith vs. Buffum*, 385.

RATIFICATION, (See POWERS OF PARTNERS.)

by other partners of act of one partner: *Banner Tobacco Co. vs. Jenison*, 336; *Porter vs. Curry*, 343.

REAL ESTATE,

when deemed assets: *Robinson Bank vs. Miller*, 195; *Paige vs. Paige*, 217; *Goldthwaite vs. Janney*, 804; *Woodward-Holmes Co. vs. Nudd*, 810; *Darrow vs. Calkins*, 813; *Davis vs. Smith*, 821, *Shanks vs. Klein*, 211; *Nat. Union Bk. vs. Nat. Mech. Bk.*, 204; *Divine vs. Mitchum*, 626.

power of surviving partner as to: *Shanks vs. Klein*, 211; *Davis vs. Smith*, 821.

when deemed personalty: *Shanks vs. Klein*, 211; *Woodward-Holmes Co. vs. Nudd*, 810; *Darrow vs. Calkins*, 813; *Davis vs. Smith*, 821

REAL ESTATE—Continued.

dower in: *Paige vs. Paige*, 217.

homestead in: *Brady vs. Kreuger*, 827.

power of one partner to convey: *Ruffner vs. McConnell*, 829; *Rovelsky vs. Brown*, 832.

RELEASE,

release of one releases all: *Hale vs. Spaulding*, 440; *Goodnow vs. Smith*, 441.

RECEIVER,

in actions for dissolution: *New vs. Wright*, 819; *Katz vs. Brewington*, 929.

without dissolution: *Shannon vs. Wright*, 817.

RESTRAINT OF TRADE, (See ILLEGALITY).

partnership to effect: *Craft vs. McConoughy*, 48.

resulting from sale of good will: See **GOOD WILL**.

REVOCAATION.

by one partner of authority of the other: *Johnston vs. Dutton*, 371; *Leavitt vs. Peck*, 875; *Wipperman vs. Stacy*, 876.

of partner's power by dissolution: *Humphries vs. Chastain*, 532; *Van Keuren vs. Parmelee*, 533.

RIVALRY,

not to be, between partner and his firm: *Latta vs. Kilbourn*, 260; *Mitchell vs. Reed*, 864; *Jones vs. Dexter*, 873.

SALE, (See POWERS OF A PARTNER).

implied power of partners to make: *Lowman vs. Sheets*, 367.

SALE OF BUSINESS,

effect upon firm name: (See **FIRM NAME**.)

effect upon good will: (See **GOOD WILL**.)

SCOPE, (See POWERS OF A PARTNER).

duty of each partner to keep within: *Latta vs. Kilbourn*, 260; *Murphy vs. Crafts*, 275; *McFadden vs. Leeka*, 280.

not to be enlarged without consent: *McFadden vs. Leeka*, 280.

may be enlarged by consent: *Boardman vs. Adams*, 339.

what not sufficient to enlarge: *Latta vs. Kilbourn*, 260.

firm not entitled to profits of one partner in dealings outside: *Latta vs. Kilbourn*, 260.

SEALED INSTRUMENTS,

execution by one partner: *Fox vs. Norton*, 362.

SECRET PARTNER, (See DORMANT PARTNER).

what constitutes: *Grace vs. Smith*, 93; *Jacobs vs. Shorey*, 164.

SEPARATE ESTATE,

of partner how applied: (See **APPLICATION OF ASSETS**.)

SET OFF

of individual claims in suits by or against surviving partner: *Holbrook vs. Lackey*, 999.

of equitable claims between partners: *Pendleton vs. Beyer*, 1086.

SHARE OF PARTNER,

what constitutes: *Bank vs. Carrollton Railroad*, 187; *Staats vs. Bristol*, 192; *Sindelare vs. Walker*, 194.

SHARE OF PARTNER—Continued.

how ascertained; *Id.*

assignment of: *Wood vs. Ins. Co.*, 786.

seizure of, on attachment or execution: *Newhall vs. Buckingham*, 788; *Hershfield vs. Claflin*, 792.

SHARING PROFITS.

as a test of partnership: *Grace vs. Smith*, 93; *Coope vs. Eyre*, 96; *Waugh vs. Carver*, 99; *Cox vs. Hickman*, 102; *Jacobs vs. Shorey*, 164; *Dutcher vs. Buck*, 749; *Canton Bridge Co. vs. Eaton Rapids*, 758.

SOLICITING CUSTOMERS,

of old firm: (See GOOD WILL.)

SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE,

not usually granted of partnership agreements: *Buck vs. Smith*, 322.
sometimes granted when necessary to protect interest: *Somerby vs. Buntin*, 326.

of agreement to take in partner: *Bryne vs. Reid*, 930.

of agreement to sell share: *Maddock vs. Astbury*, 936.

STATUTE OF FRAUDS,

whether will prevent parol partnership to deal in land: *Chester vs. Dickerson*, 38.

STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS,

power of partner after dissolution, to remove bar of: *Whitcomb vs. Whiting*, 1025; *Wood vs. Braddick*, 1026; *Van Keuren vs. Parmelee*, 533; *Feigley vs. Whitaker*, 550; *Pennoyer vs. David*, 543; *Wilson vs. Waugh*, 546.

STIPULATIONS, (See ARTICLES OF PARTNERSHIP.)

between partners, duty of partner to observe: *Murphy vs. Crafts*, 275; *McFadden vs. Leeka*, 280.

SUBPARTNERSHIP,

rights and liability of subpartner: *Burnett vs. Snyder*, 157.

SUBSCRIPTION FOR STOCK,

partner's power to make: *Barnard vs. Plank Road Co.*, 334.

SUITS, (See ACTIONS.)**SURETY, (See PRINCIPAL AND SURETY.)**

implied power of partner to bind firm as: *Clarke vs. Wallace*, 368.

when retiring partner is, as to other who assumes debts: *Smith vs. Sheldon*, 583; *Barnes vs. Boyers*, 587; *Grotte vs. Weil*, 592.

SURVIVAL OF ACTIONS,

after death of one partner: *Hess vs. Lowrey*, 400.

SURVIVING PARTNER,

right to possession of assets: *Hawkins vs. Capron*, 499; *Valentine vs. Wysor*, 500.

right and powers of: *Valentine vs. Wysor*, 500; *Lindner vs. Adams County Bank*, 523; *Durant vs. Pierson*, 525.

as a trustee: *Id.*

liability of, for firm debts: *Doggett vs. Dill*, 513; *Pope vs. Cole*, 519.

power of, to dispose of assets: *Lindner vs. Adams County Bank*, 523.

to borrow money; *Durant vs. Pierson*, 525.

power to dispose of firm real estate: *Shanks vs. Klein*, 211.

SURVIVING PARTNER—Continued.

liability of, for mismanagement: *Insley vs. Shire*, 270.

set-off in actions by or against: *Holbrook vs. Lackey*, 999.

duty of, to close up firm business on firm account: *Clifton vs. Clark*, 1010.

disability to continue business resulting from sale of "good will" by: *Hutchinson vs. Nay*, 842.

TENANTS IN COMMON,

not partners: *Dunham vs. Loverock*, 6.

TORT,

of one partner, firm liable for, when: *Hess vs. Lowry*, 400; *Rosenkrans vs. Barker*, 405; *Limpus vs. Lon. Gen. Om. Co.*, 410; *Strang vs. Bradner*, 413; *Jaffray vs. Jennings*, 416; *Locke vs. Stearns*, 422; *Lathrop vs. Adams*, 425; *Williams vs. Hendricks*, 948; *Page vs. Citizen's Banking Co.*, 954.

TRADING FIRM,

what is: *Phillips vs. Stenzell*, 944.

power of partner in, to make bills or notes: *Pease vs. Cole*, 344; *Dowling vs. Exchange Bank*, 356; *Phillips vs. Stanzell*, 944.

TROVER,

by surviving partner for possession of assets: *Hawkins vs. Capron*, 499.

TRUST FUNDS,

when firm liable for: *Englar vs. Offutt*, 398.

UNDISCLOSED PARTNER, (See DORMANT PARTNER).

liability of: *Griffith vs. Buffum*, 385; *Cleveland vs. Woodward*, 388.

WAR,

dissolves partnership when: *Bank vs. Matthews*, 962.

E. S. M.
1/28/09