

# Table of Contents

## INTRODUCTION.

Section.	Page
1. MEANING OF TERM "CODE PLEADING".....	1
2. OCCASION FOR DEVELOPMENT OF THE CODE.....	4
3. RELATION OF CODE PLEADING TO COMMON LAW AND EQUITY PLEADING	7
4. ABOLITION OF THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN ACTIONS AT LAW AND SUITS IN EQUITY .....	15

## CHAPTER I.

### PARTIES.

#### 1. IN WHOSE NAME ACTION TO BE PROSECUTED.

##### (a) *Real Party in Interest.*

Stewart v. Price (1902) 64 Kan. 191 .....	25
Manley v. Park (1904) 68 Kan. 400 .....	36
Swift & Co. v. Wabash Rd. Co. (1910) 149 Mo. App. 526....	37
Greene v. McAuley (1905) 70 Kan. 601 .....	42
Jefferson v. Asch (1893) 53 Minn. 446 .....	50
Enos v. Sanger (1897) 96 Wis. 150 .....	56
Giselman v. Starr (1895) 106 Cal. 651 .....	59

##### (b) *Trustee of an Express Trust.*

Considerant v. Brisbane (1860) 22 N. Y. 389.....	61
Mitchell v. St. Mary (1897) 148 Ind. 111.....	69
People's Oil & Fertilizer Co. v. Charleston & Western Carolina Ry. Co. (1909) 83 S. C. 530 .....	71
Cousar v. Heath, Witherspoon & Co. (1908) 80 S. C. 466....	74

##### (c) *Person Expressly Authorized by Statute.*

Oates v. Union Pacific Ry. Co. (1891) 104 Mo. 514 .....	76
Milwaukee v. U. S. Fidelity & Guaranty Co. (1911) 144 Wis. 603 .....	78

#### 2. JOINDER OF PLAINTIFFS.

##### (a) *When Suing for Themselves.*

Gray v. Rothchild (1888) 48 Hun (N. Y.) 596 .....	79
McIntosh v. Zaring (1897) 150 Ind. 301 .....	84
George v. Benjamin (1898) 100 Wis. 622.....	90
Schiffer v. City of Eau Claire (1881) 51 Wis. 385.....	94
First Nat. Bank v. Hummel (1890) 14 Colo. 259 .....	99

##### (b) *When Suing as Representatives of a Class.*

McKenzie v. L'Amoureux (1851) 11 Barb. (N. Y.) 516.....	101
Tobin v. Portland Mills (1902) 41 Ore. 269 .....	104

#### 3. JOINDER OF DEFENDANTS.

##### (a) *In Contract Actions.*

Sundberg v. Goar (1904) 92 Minn. 143 .....	112
--	-----

Section	Page
Cox v. Maddux (1880) 72 Ind. 206 .....	113
Loustalot v. Calkins (1898) 120 Cal. 688 .....	115
 (b) <i>In Tort Actions.</i>	
Turner v. Hitchcock (1866) 20 Iowa, 310 .....	116
Millard v. Miller (1907) 39 Colo. 103 .....	117
Fortmeyer v. Nat. Biscuit Co. (1911) 116 Minn. 158 .....	120
 (c) <i>In Equitable Actions.</i>	
Leyden v. Owen (1910) 150 Mo. App. 102 .....	122
Castle v. Madison (1902) 113 Wis. 346 .....	132
Disbrow v. Creamery Package Mfg. Co. (1908) 104 Minn. 17..	139
 4. BRINGING IN NEW PARTIES.	
(a) <i>When Necessary for Complete Determination of Suit.</i>	
Steinbach v. Prudential Ins. Co. (1902) 172 N. Y. 471.....	143
Clay County Land Co. v. Alcox (1902) 88 Minn. 4.....	148
 (b) <i>Intervention.</i>	
Taylor v. Adair (1867) 22 Iowa, 279.....	151
Smith v. City of St. Paul (1896) 65 Minn. 295 .....	155
 (c) <i>Interpleader.</i>	
Hartford Life & Annuity Ins. Co. v. Cummings (1897) 50 Neb. 236 .....	157
First Nat. Bank of Cadiz v. Beebe (1900) 62 Ohio St. 41 ....	163

## CHAPTER II.

### SPLITTING A CAUSE OF ACTION.

Secor v. Sturgis (1858) 16 N. Y. 548 .....	166
Reilly v. Sicilian Asphalt Paving Co. (1902) 170 N. Y. 40 ....	174
Payne v. New York, Susquehanna & Western Rd. Co. (1911) 201 N. Y. 436.....	178
Hahl v. Sugo (1901) 169 N. Y. 109 .....	186

## CHAPTER III.

### JOINER OF CAUSES OF ACTION.

1. MUST ALL BELONG TO SAME CLASS.	
Thelin v. Stewart (1893) 100 Cal. 372 .....	192
Hawk v. Thorn (1869) 54 Barb. (N. Y.) 164 .....	198
McArthur v. Moffett (1910) 143 Wis. 564.....	199
 2. EACH MUST AFFECT ALL THE PARTIES.	
(a) <i>In General.</i>	
Kruschke v. Quatsoe (1910) 49 Colo. 312 .....	216
Nahte v. Hansen (1908) 106 Minn. 365 .....	218
 (b) <i>Must Affect All in Same Capacity.</i>	
Merrill v. Suffa (1908) 42 Colo. 195 .....	220
 (c) <i>Need not Affect Them all Equally.</i>	
Fogelson v. Niagara Fire Ins. Co. (1905) 94 Minn. 486.....	224
 3. MUST NOT REQUIRE DIFFERENT PLACES OF TRIAL.	
Wilson v. Louisville & Nashville Rd. Co. (1908) (Ky.) 112 S. W. 585 .....	227

Section	Page
4. EACH MUST BE SEPARATELY STATED.	
Hall v. Cudaby (1909) 46 Colo. 324.....	230
Murray v. City of Butte (1906) 35 Mont. 161.....	233
5. STATING SAME CAUSE OF ACTION IN DIFFERENT FORMS.	
Harvey v. Southern Pacific Co. (1905) 46 Ore. 505.....	236
Astin v. Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Ry. Co. (1910) 143 Wis. 477 .....	239

## CHAPTER IV.

### THE COMPLAINT OR PETITION.

1. FACTS CONSTITUTING A CAUSE OF ACTION.	
Box v. Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Ry. Co. (1899) 107 Iowa, 660 .....	247
2. CERTAINTY, DEFINITENESS AND PARTICULARITY.	
(a) <i>In Contract Cases.</i>	
Weeks v. O'Brien (1894) 141 N. Y. 199 .....	253
Southern Indiana Loan & Savings Institution v. Roberts (1908) 42 Ind. App. 653 .....	254
Moody v. Ins. Co. (1894) 52 Ohio St. 12 .....	257
Lent v. New York & Massachusetts Ry. Co. (1892) 130 N. Y. 504	260
Bowen v. Emmerson (1869) 3 Ore. 452 .....	264
Conrad Nat. Bank v. Great Nor. Ry. Co. (1900) 24 Mont. 178	266
Minor v. Baldrige (1898) 123 Cal. 187.....	267
(b) <i>In Tort Cases.</i>	
Chicago & Erie Rd. Co. v. Lain (1908) 170 Ind. 84 .....	270
Fuller v. Ill. Cent. Rd. Co. (1910) 138 Ky. 42 .....	276
City of Logansport v. Kihm (1902) 159 Ind. 68 .....	278
Colorado Springs Co. v. Wight (1908) 44 Colo. 179.....	281
Wendling Lumber Co. v. Glenwood Lumber Co. (1908) 153 Cal. 411 .....	284
Paine v. British-Butte Min. Co. (1910) 41 Mont. 28 .....	287
(c) <i>In Equity Cases.</i>	
Brown v. Rea (1907) 150 Cal. 171 .....	291
Smith v. Smith (1897) 50 S. C. 54 .....	296
3. ALLEGATIONS ON INFORMATION AND BELIEF.	
State ex rel. v. Cooley (1894) 58 Minn. 514 .....	303
4. PLEADING ACCORDING TO LEGAL EFFECT.	
Helena Nat. Bank v. Rocky Mountain Teleg. Co. (1897) 20 Mont. 379 .....	306
Joseph v. Holt (1869) 37 Cal. 250.....	308
Kidder v. Port Henry Iron Ore Co. (1911) 201 N. Y. 445.....	309
5. LEGAL CONCLUSIONS.	
State ex. Rel. v. Malheur County Court (1909) 54 Ore. 255....	312
Vachon v. Nichols-Chisholm Lumber Co. (1910) 111 Minn. 45....	313
People v. Beach (1911) 49 Colo. 516 .....	314
Long v. Dufur (1911) 58 Ore. 162 .....	318
Lassiter v. Roper (1894) 114 N. C. 17 .....	319
6. EVIDENCE.	
McCaughy v. Schuette (1897) 117 Cal. 223 .....	323
Nichols v. Nichols (1896) 134 Mo. 187.....	325

Section	Page
7. PLEADING BY WAY OF RECITAL, IN THE ALTERNATIVE AND HYPOTHETICALLY.	
Thompson v. Read (1909) 63 Misc. (N. Y.) 235.....	327
Malott v. Sample (1904) 164 Ind. 645 .....	328
Anderson v. Minneapolis, St. P. & S. Ste. M. Ry. Co. (1908) 103 Minn. 224 .....	332
Hasberg v. Moses (1903) 81 N. Y. App. Div. 199 .....	334
Emison v. Owyhee Ditch Co. (1900) 37 Ore. 577.....	337
8. ANTICIPATING DEFENSES.	
Western Union Tel. Co. v. Henley (1901) 157 Ind. 90 .....	338
Royal Ins. Co. v. Schwing (1888) 87 Ky. 410.....	339
A. L. Clark Lumber Co. v. Johns (1911) 98 Ark. 211.....	341
9. SPECIAL DAMAGES.	
Fledderman v. St. Louis Transit Co. (1908) 134 Mo. App. 199	343
10. PRAYER FOR RELIEF.	
Smith v. Smith (1903) 67 Kan. 841.....	347
Rush v. Brown (1890) 101 Mo. 586 .....	348
11. EXHIBITS.	
Cave v. Gill (1900) 59 S. C. 256 .....	351
Stephens v. Am. Fire Ins. Co. (1896) 14 Utah, 265.....	353

## CHAPTER V.

### THE ANSWER.

1. GENERAL PRINCIPLES.	
Strook Plush Co. v. Talcott (1908) 129 N. Y. App. Div. 14....	356
Jones v. City of Caldwell (1911) 20 Idaho, 5 .....	368
Browning, King & Co. v. Terwilliger (1911) 144 N. Y. App. Div. 516 .....	369
2. DENIALS.	
(a) <i>Form.</i>	
Peters v. McPherson (1911) 62 Wash. 496.....	371
Prunty v. Consolidated Fuel & Light Co. (1910) 82 Kan. 541..	377
Cooper v. Am. Cent. Ins. Co. (1909) 139 Mo. App. 570.....	378
Leary v. Moran (1886) 106 Ind. 560 .....	380
Curnow v. Phoenix Ins. Co. (1895) 46 S. C. 79 .....	382
Grimm v. Town of Washburn (1898) 100 Wis. 229 .....	384
(b) <i>Denials of Knowledge or Information.</i>	
Church v. Hendrie (1910) 47 Colo. 544.....	385
Welles v. Colo. Nat. Life Assur. Co. (1911) 49 Colo. 508.....	387
Smith v. Allen (1901) 63 Neb. 74 .....	388
(c) <i>Issues Raised by Denials.</i>	
Baxter v. St. Louis Transit Co. (1906) 198 Mo. 1.....	390
Wiedeman v. Hedges (1901) 63 Neb. 103 .....	394
Wilson v. Charleston & Savannah Ry. (1897) 51 S. C. 79.....	395
Duff v. Willamette Steel Works (1904) 45 Ore. 479.....	399
Multnomah County v. Willamette Towing Co. (1907) 49 Or. 204	402
Jones v. El Reno Mill & Elevator Co. (1919) 26 Okla. 796.....	405
Barker v. Wheeler (1901) 62 Neb. 150 .....	407
Sorenson v. Townsend (1906) 77 Neb. 499 .....	409
Bean v. Lamprey (1901) 82 Minn. 320 .....	410
Crane v. Powell (1893) 139 N. Y. 379 .....	411
Griffith v. Wright (1899) 21 Wash. 494.....	414

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xiii

Section	Page
<b>3. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES.</b>	
Jacoby v. James (1910) 136 N. Y. App. Div. 431 .....	416
Cincinnati Traction Co. v. Forrest (1905) 73 Ohio St. 1.....	417
Ramp v. Metropolitan St. Ry. Co. (1908) 133 Mo. App. 700....	419
Libby v. St. Louis, Iron Mt. & So. Ry. Co. (1909) 137 Mo. App. 276 .....	420
State Savings Bank v. Albertson (1909) 39 Mont. 414.....	423
Penn. Mut. Life Ins. Co. v. Ornaner (1907) 39 Colo. 498....	425
Columbia Nat. Bank v. Western Iron & Steel Co. (1896) 14 Wash. 162.....	427
Vallancey v. Hunt (1910) 20 N. D. 579.....	429
Thompson v. Halbert (1888) 109 N. Y. 329 .....	432
<b>4. INCONSISTENT DEFENSES.</b>	
Banta v. Siller (1898) 121 Cal. 414 .....	436
Seattle Nat. Bank v. Carter (1895) 13 Wash. 281.....	438
Loveland v. Jenkins-Boys Co. (1908) 49 Wash. 369.....	442
Jones v. Whitaker (1911) 141 Ky. 484 .....	445
Caruso v. Brown (1911) 142 Ky. 76 .....	448
Hart-Parr Co. v. Keeth (1911) 62 Wash. 464 .....	450
Steenerson v. Waterbury (1893) 52 Minn. 211 .....	454
<b>5. ANSWERS IN ABATEMENT.</b>	
Needham v. Wright (1895) 140 Ind. 190 .....	455
Callahan Co. v. Wall Rice Milling Co. (1909) 44 Ind. App. 372	457
McKim v. District Court (1910) 33 Nev. 44 .....	459
<b>6. COUNTERCLAIMS.</b>	
(a) <i>General Nature.</i>	
General Electric Co. v. Williams (1898) 123 N. C. 51.....	461
State v. Arkansas Brick & Mfg. Co. (1911) 98 Ark. 125.....	463
Walker v. Am. Cent. Ins. Co. (1894) 143 N. Y. 167.....	466
Shelton v. Conant (1894) 10 Wash. 193 .....	469
Stoner v. Swift (1904) 164 Ind. 652 .....	470
Nat. Fire Ins. Co. v. McKay (1860) 21 N. Y. 191.....	473
(b) <i>How Pleaded.</i>	
Babcock v. Maxwell (1898) 21 Mont. 507 .....	476
(c) <i>Kinds of Counterclaims.</i>	
(1) <i>Arising out of the Same Transaction.</i>	
Adams v. Schwartz (1910) 137 N. Y. App. Div. 230 .....	478
(2) <i>Connected with the Subject of the Action.</i>	
Wild Rice Lumber Co. v. Benson (1911) 114 Minn. 92.....	487
(3) <i>In an Action on Contract, any Other on Contract.</i>	
Jansen v. Dolan (1911) 157 Mo. App. 32 .....	490
Rooker v. Bruce (1909) 45 Ind. App. 57 .....	493
(d) <i>Parties to Counterclaims.</i>	
Weeks v. O'Brien (1898) 25 N. Y. App. Div. 206 .....	494
Pope Mfg. Co. v. Charleston Cycle Co. (1898) 55 S. C. 528....	496
(e) <i>Jurisdictional Amount.</i>	
General Electric Co. v. Williams (1898) 123 N. C. 51.....	498
Howard Iron Works v. Buffalo Elevating Co. (1903) 176 N. Y. 1	500
(f) <i>Existence at Commencement of Action.</i>	
Smith v. French (1906) 141 N. C. 1.....	505
(g) <i>Election between Counterclaim and Independent Action.</i>	
Jones v. Witousek & Co. (1901) 114 Iowa, 14.....	508

Section	Page
(h) <i>Effect of Assignment on Right to Counterclaim.</i>	
Stadler v. First Nat. Bank of Helena (1898) 22 Mont. 190....	511
St. Louis Nat. Bank v. Gay (1894) 101 Cal. 286.....	521
(i) <i>Equitable Set-Off.</i>	
Pendleton v. Beyer (1896) 94 Wis. 31 .....	526
(j) <i>Claims against Co-defendants.</i>	
Kollock v. Scribner (1897) 98 Wis. 104.....	529
<b>7. EQUITABLE DEFENSES.</b>	
East v. Peden (1886) 108 Ind. 92 .....	537
Dewey v. Hoag (1853) 15 Barb. (N. Y.) 365.....	541

## CHAPTER VI.

### THE DEMURRER.

<b>1. GENERAL PRINCIPLES.</b>	
Hanson v. Neal (1908) 215 Mo. 256 .....	543
Minnich v. Packard (1908) 42 Ind. App. 371.....	546
Helm & Son v. Briley (1906) 17 Okla. 314 .....	548
Henderson v. John (1889) 13 Colo. 280 .....	549
McCall Co. v. Stone (1905) 124 Wis. 572 .....	551
Fidelity & Deposit Co. v. Parkinson (1903) 68 Neb. 319.....	552
Sprunt v. Gordon (1911) 89 S. C. 426 .....	553
Jeffries v. Fraternal Bankers' Reserve Society (1907) 135 Iowa, 284 .....	556
<b>2. INSUFFICIENT FACTS.</b>	
(a) <i>Purpose of General Demurrer.</i>	
Hall v. Bell (1910) 143 Wis. 296.....	559
(b) <i>What the Demurrer Admits.</i>	
Downey v. Colo. Fuel & Iron Co. (1910) 48 Colo. 27 .....	560
Rice v. Rice (1886) 13 Ore. 337 .....	561
Heaton v. Packer (1909) 131 N. Y. App. Div. 812 .....	565
Mallinckrodt Chemical Works v. Nemnich (1902) 169 Mo. 388..	566
Sprague v. New York & N. E. Rd. Co. (1896) 68 Conn. 345....	570
(c) <i>Searching the Record.</i>	
Baxter v. McDonnell (1897) 154 N. Y. 432 .....	571
Callahan v. Louisville Dry Goods Co. (1910) 140 Ky. 712.....	572
Baldwin v. City of Aberdeen (1909) 23 S. D. 636 .....	574
Fulton County Gas & Elec. Co. v. Hudson River Teleph. Co. (1911) 200 N. Y. 287 .....	577
(d) <i>Issues Raised by Demurrer.</i>	
Toner v. Wagner (1901) 158 Ind. 447 .....	579
Mueller v. Light (1909) 92 Ark. 522.....	581
Calif. Safe Dep. & Trust Co. v. Sierra Valleys Ry. Co. (1910) 158 Cal. 690 .....	582
Fitger Brewing Co. v. Am. Bonding Co. (1911) 115 Minn. 78..	584
Kleinclaus v. Dutard (1905) 147 Cal. 245.....	585
Seamans v. Barentsen (1905) 180 N. Y. 333.....	586
Sinker v. Floyd (1885) 104 Ind. 291.....	588
(e) <i>Effect of Failure to Demur.</i>	
East St. Louis Ice Co. v. Kuhlmann (1911) 238 Mo. 685.....	589
<b>3. WANT OF JURISDICTION.</b>	
Belden v. Wilkinson (1899) 44 N. Y. App. Div. 420.....	591
Peterson v. Pantheon Lumber Co. (1911) 62 Wash. 189.....	593

Section	Page
4. WANT OF LEGAL CAPACITY TO SUE.	
Coddington v. Canaday (1901) 157 Ind. 243.....	595
Los Angeles Ry Co. v. Davis (1905) 146 Cal. 179.....	597
Page Woven Wire Fence Co. v. Joslin (1906) 38 Colo. 162....	601
5. ANOTHER ACTION PENDING.	
Wetzstein v. Boston & Mont. Consol. Copper etc., Co. (1903) 28 Mont. 451.....	602
6. DEFECT AND MISJOINDER OF PARTIES,	
Kucera v. Kucera (1893) 86 Wis. 416.....	607
Gardner v. Samuels (1897) 116 Cal. 84.....	610
Weber v. Dillon (1898) 7 Okla. 568.....	612
Jaeger v. Sunde (1897) 70 Minn. 356.....	614
Randall v. Johnstone (1910) 20 N. D. 493.....	615
7. MISJOINDER OF CAUSES OF ACTION.	
Kurtz v. Ogden Canyon Sanitarium Co. (1910) 37 Utah, 313..	617
Howe v. Coates (1903) 90 Minn. 508.....	618
8. JOINT DEMURRERS.	
Frederick v. Koons (1907) 40 Ind. App. 421.....	620
Carver v. Carver (1884) 97 Ind. 497.....	621

## CHAPTER VII.

### THE REPLY.

1. WHEN REQUIRED.	
Rand v. Butte Elec. Ry Co. (1909) 40 Mont. 398.....	624
St. Louis, I. M. & So. Ry. Co. v. Higgins (1884) 44 Ark. 293..	627
Stenson v. Elfmann (1910) 26 S. D. 134.....	628
Tate v. Rose (1909) 35 Utah, 229.....	629
Sterling v. Smith (1893) 97 Cal. 343.....	633
2. DEPARTURE.	
Hill Brick & Tile Co. v. Gibson (1908) 43 Colo. 104.....	636
Spiess' Adm'x v. Bartley (1908) 130 Ky. 277.....	637
Johnson v. State Bank of Seneca (1898) 59 Kan. 250.....	639
Brown v. Baker (1901) 39 Ore. 66.....	640
St. Paul Fire & Marine Ins. Co. v. Mountain Park Stock Farm Co. (1909) 23 Okla. 79.....	642
3. COUNTERCLAIM IN REPLY.	
Beakey v. Vander Meerschen (1908) 78 Kan. 538.....	645
4. WAIVER OF REPLY.	
Merchants' Nat. Bank v. Barlow (1900) 79 Minn. 234.....	647

## CHAPTER VIII.

### MOTIONS

1. IN GENERAL.	
Wallace v. Lewis (1890) 9 Mont. 399.....	650
2. MOTION TO STRIKE.	
Tittle v. Kennedy (1904) 71 S. C. 1.....	655
Ewing v. Vernon County (1908) 216 Mo. 681.....	656
Blemel v. Shattuck (1892) 133 Ind. 498.....	657
Swank v. Elwert (1910) 55 Ore. 487.....	659

Section	Page
Cate v. Gilman (1875) 41 Iowa, 530 .....	660
Town of Waukon v. Strouse (1828) 74 Iowa, 547.....	662
Upton v. Kennedy (1893) 36 Neb. 66.....	662
First Nat. Bank v. Lang (1905) 94 Minn. 261.....	664
Hayward v. Goldsbury (1884) 63 Iowa 436.....	667
Fritz v. Barnes (1877) 6 Neb. 435.....	667
<b>3. MOTION TO MAKE MORE DEFINITE AND CERTAIN.</b>	
Casey v. Dorr (1910) 94 Ark. 433.....	668
Grimes v. Cullison (1895) 3 Okla. 268.....	669
Blair v. Wilkeson Coal & Coke Co. (1909) 54 Wash. 334.....	670
Cornell v. Haight (1910) 87 Neb. 508.....	671
Commonwealth Co. v. Nunn (1902) 17 Colo. App. 117.....	672
Pugh v. Winona & St. Peter Rd. Co. (1882) 29 Minn. 390....	674
<b>4. MOTION TO ELECT.</b>	
Darknell v. Coeur D'Alene & St. Joe Transp. Co. (1910) 18 Idaho 61 .....	676
<b>5. MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS.</b>	
Le Breton v. Stanley Contracting Co. (1911) 15 Cal. App. 429	677
Thomas v. Ray (1910) 48 Colo. 423.....	680
<b>6. RENEWAL OF MOTION.</b>	
Rice v. Van Why (1910) 49 Colo. 7.....	682

## CHAPTER IX.

### BILLS OF PARTICULARS.

Tilton v. Beecher (1874) 59 N. Y. 176.....	685
Board of Commissioners v. Am. Loan & Trust Co. (1899) 75 Minn. 489 .....	689
Dudley v. Duval (1902) 29 Wash. 528.....	690

## CHAPTER X.

### AMENDMENT AND AIDER.

<b>1. APPLICATION FOR AMENDMENT.</b>	
Stewart v. Winner (1905) 71 Kan. 448.....	693
Pratt, Hurst & Co. v. Tailer (1904) 99 N. Y. App. Div. 236....	695
Abbott v. Meipken (1900) 48 N. Y. Div. 109.....	696
<b>2. DILIGENCE OF PARTY SEEKING AMENDMENT.</b>	
Paulsen v. Modern Woodmen (1911) 21 N. D. 235.....	698
Heiden v. Atlantic Coast Line R. R. Co. (1909) 84 S. C. 117..	699
Home Ins. Co. v. Overturf (1904) 35 Ind. App. 361.....	701
<b>3. SHOWING OF PREJUDICE BY PARTY OPPOSING AMENDMENT.</b>	
Bowers v. Good (1909) 52 Wash. 384.....	704
<b>4. CHARACTER OF AMENDMENT ALLOWED.</b>	
(a) <i>Before Trial.</i>	
Brown v. Leigh (1872) 49 N. Y. 78.....	705
(b) <i>At the Trial.</i>	
Gates v. Paul (1903) 117 Wis. 170.....	708
Scroggin v. Johnstone (1895) 45 Neb. 714.....	714
Thomas v. Hatch (1881) 53 Wis. 296.....	715
Guidery v. Green (1892) 95 Cal. 630.....	716
Flaherty v. Butte Elec. Ry. Co. (1911) 43 Mont. 141.....	718



TABLE OF CONTENTS

xvii

Section	Page
(c) <i>In Relation to the Statute of Limitations.</i>	
Clark v. Oregon Short Line R. R. Co. (1908) 38 Mont. 177....	721
Kansas City v. Hart (1899) 60 Kan. 684.....	727
Culp v. Steere (1892) 47 Kan. 746.....	732
(d) <i>Distinction between Complaint and Answer.</i>	
Cartwright v. Ruffin (1908) 43 Colo. 377.....	735
5. RELATION OF AMENDED PLEADING TO ORIGINAL.	
Raymond v. Toledo, St. L. & K. C. R. R. Co. (1897) 57 Ohio St. 271 .....	737
Murphy v. Plankinton Bank (1904) 18 S. D. 317.....	739
Bush v. Pioneer Mining Co. (1910) 102 C. C. A. 372.....	741
6. AIDER BY SUBSEQUENT PLEADING.	
Lux & Talbot Stone Co. v. Donaldson (1903) 162 Ind. 481....	744
Whitley v. Southern Ry. Co. (1896) 119 N. C. 724.....	746

CHAPTER XI.

CONSTRUCTION OF PLEADINGS.

Sage v. Culver (1895) 147 N. Y. 241.....	748
Witham v. Blood (1904) 124 Iowa 695.....	748
Patterson v. Patterson (1902) 40 Ore. 560.....	750
Nat. Fire Ins. Co. v. Eastern Bldg. & Loan Assn. (1902) 63 Neb. 698 .....	754
Treanor v. Houghton (1894) 103 Cal. 53.....	754