

# Michigan Law Review

---

Volume 46 | Issue 3

---

1948

## Hall: SELECTED WRITINGS OF BENJAMIN NATHAN CARDOZO

Michigan Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://repository.law.umich.edu/mlr>



Part of the [Judges Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Michigan Law Review, *Hall: SELECTED WRITINGS OF BENJAMIN NATHAN CARDOZO*, 46 MICH. L. REV. 441 (1948).

Available at: <https://repository.law.umich.edu/mlr/vol46/iss3/20>

This Regular Feature is brought to you for free and open access by the Michigan Law Review at University of Michigan Law School Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Michigan Law Review by an authorized editor of University of Michigan Law School Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact [mlaw.repository@umich.edu](mailto:mlaw.repository@umich.edu).

SELECTED WRITINGS OF BENJAMIN NATHAN CARDOZO. Edited by *Margaret E. Hall*. New York City: Fallon Publications. 1947. Pp. xxiv, 456. \$5.

Miss Hall, Reference Librarian at Columbia University Law Library, which library is residuary legatee of Cardozo's literary estate, has made a judicious yet comprehensive sampling of the Justice's works. The volume conveniently includes, unabridged, all four of his best-known works, namely: *Nature of the Judicial Process*, *Growth of the Law*, *Paradoxes of Legal Science*, and *Law and Literature*. Also included are five addresses given before legal and lay gatherings, essays on Matthew Arnold and Justice Holmes, and previously unpublished extracts from his undergraduate psychology notes taken from lectures of Dr. Nicholas Murray Butler. No judicial opinions are included in the collection. The foreword contains a sketch of Cardozo's life work by Edwin W. Patterson, Cardozo Professor of Jurisprudence at Columbia, and a memorial to his life by the late Chief Judge Irving Lehman of the New York Court of Appeals. Professor Patterson makes the interesting suggestion that Cardozo's extra-judicial writings are apt to be his most enduring work for the reason that they contain a more articulate presentation of his philosophy of the law than could be included in his opinions. The editor and the publisher have done a great service to the legal profession by making this collection of writings available.