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DEDICATION

A law review's memory is often far too short. The yearly palace coups catapult fresh generals into the fray, and the old soldiers carry their experiences away to new battlefields. Fortunately, we at the Michigan Law Review have been blessed with a wise and patient companion, a constant friend whose understanding of how a law review should run is surpassed only by her tolerance of our mistakes. Ele, our Business Manager, has completed her first thirty years of service to the Review. Eagerly anticipating the next thirty years, we dedicate this issue, with love and respect, to

Eleanora V. Eckert
ENVOI/DEDICATION

This, the second annual Michigan Law Review Survey of Books Relating to the Law, is not exhaustive. We found that the volume of legal literature resists thorough treatment within the confines of a single issue. We therefore confess to a compromise, a sacrifice of scholarly breadth at the altar of analytic depth. Perhaps not surprisingly, we believe the sacrifice to have been quite astute: these essays all enrich important (if sometimes discordant) dialogues about vital legal topics.

And yet we appreciate that compromises should not be accepted too glibly. Thus, this issue includes a counterpoint. We have chosen to dedicate this slender collection to a person whose career has known no compromise between breadth and depth. His achievements as lawyer, scholar, and teacher are virtually unparalleled by those of his youthful age. Recently, he has expanded and deepened his career once again, commencing service on the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. Those of us who knew and studied with him at Michigan will miss his presence. All of us will continue to follow and admire his contributions to the profession of justice. With warmest wishes, we dedicate these pages to

Harry T. Edwards