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#FORTHECULTURE: GENERATION Z AND THE FUTURE OF LEGAL EDUCATION

Tiffany D. Atkins*

Generation Z, with a birth year between 1995 and 2010, is the most diverse generational cohort in U.S. history and is the largest segment of our population. Gen Zers hold progressive views on social issues and expect diversity and minority representation where they live, work, and learn. American law schools, however, are not known for their diversity, or for being inclusive environments representative of the world around us. This culture of exclusion has led to an unequal legal profession and academy, where less than 10 percent of the population is non-white. As Gen Zers bring their demands for inclusion, and for a legal education that will prepare them to tackle social justice issues head on, they will encounter an entirely different culture—one that is completely at odds with their expectations. This paper adds depth and perspective to the existing literature on Generation Z in legal education by focusing on their social needs and expectations, recognizing them as critical drivers of legal education and reform. To provide Gen Z students with a legal education that will enable them to make a difference for others—a need deeply connected to their motivators and beliefs—law school culture must shift. Reimagining, reconstituting, and reconfiguring legal education to create a culture of inclusion and activism will be essential and necessary. Engaging in this work “for the culture” means getting serious about diversifying our profession by abandoning exclusionary hiring metrics, embedding social justice throughout the law school curriculum, and adopting institutional accountability measures to ensure that these goals are met. Gen Zers are accustomed to opposing institutions that are rooted in
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inequality; law schools can neither afford, nor ignore the opposition any longer. We
must begin reimagining legal education now—and do it, for the culture.
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“For the Culture” is a term commonly used on social media platforms like Twitter and Instagram. Someone is said to be “doing it for the culture” when they “carry out a specific action for benefit of their shared culture,” or when they do something they might not normally do, but “because the added value of doing it is high, [they] do it anyway.” The phrase is also used to celebrate individual achievements when they advance the cause, visibility, or perception of one’s identity group.

When I began researching this article in late 2018, my focus was on providing insight into the ways Generation Z would impact and change the way educators teach and mentor students—how we instruct students on the analysis and application of law and its importance on the legal profession. But then COVID-19 happened, closely followed by televised incidents of violence and police brutality in the Black community, and Black Lives Matter protests which sent the nation, and legal education, in a new, welcomed direction. “For the Culture” then took on a broader meaning. Law professors and administrators aren’t being asked to implement curricular changes just to appease incoming Gen Z’ students’ demands for equity and inclusion; these changes and shifts in legal education are designed to push the entire “culture” of legal education forward, producing a generation of forward-thinking lawyers—a benefit to all of us.

The spring of 2020 presented some very real challenges to law schools and professors in every discipline, around the world. In addition to the rise of COVID-19, which swept the country and forced law professors into emergency online instruction, we also witnessed the manifestation of another virus with deeper, lasting connections with our country: racialized violence, police brutality, and the killing of unarmed Black men and women by law enforcement officers. Following the killings of

---


3. For example, if a Mexican-born filmmaker writes an original screenplay for a Spanish-language film starring Mexican women, utilizing mostly Mexican cast and crew, it could be said that this filmmaker did it “for the culture,” to challenge racist stereotypes and narratives about his country and to advance his community through art.

4. Generation Z is also referred to as iGen, Pluralists, or Homelands. Gen Z is the most common name, which I will use throughout this article.
Ahmaud Arbery, Breonna Taylor, George Floyd, and others, protests erupted in nearly every city in America, and around the world. The “Fire Next Time” James Baldwin wrote of in his famous essay, had become the fire this time, and Gen Z law students were not putting it out. If anything, our youngest cohort stoked the flames to inferno-levels. They marched, protested, wrote passionate letters to their deans and college presidents, and demanded their faculty speak up against these atrocities in class.

This student-led activism brought about a reckoning of sorts. Faculties wrote statements, town-hall meetings were convened, and several Black, female, law school deans came together to create the AALS Law Deans Antiracist Clearinghouse Project to encourage diversity and institutional equity at law schools around the country. But this response was markedly different than previous incidents of violence in the Black community and communities of color. When Alton Sterling and Philando Castile were killed within days of each other in the summer of 2016, their deaths sparked protests and may have led to some conversation.

5. Ahmaud Arbery was killed by two white men while jogging through a residential community in Georgia. Although not a police shooting, Arbery’s death led to protests and outrage around the country. See Ray Sanchez, Ahmaud Arbery’s Tragic Final Run Grips an Anxious America, CNN (May 24, 2020, 12:33 PM), https://www.cnn.com/2020/05/24/us/georgia-ahmaud-arbery-killing-narrative/index.html [https://perma.cc/9FK2-2C8V].


among students and faculty about how to respond, but there was much less visible or tangible momentum toward change.

Now consider the summer of 2020: in June, Nike, Twitter, the NBA, and other corporations declared Juneteenth a company holiday,\textsuperscript{13} when historically the Black liberation celebration was officially recognized as a holiday only in certain states,\textsuperscript{14} including its birthplace of Galveston, TX.\textsuperscript{15} The Quaker Oats brand removed Aunt Jemima pancake products from retailer shelves due to the origins of her depiction in slavery.\textsuperscript{16} State governors ordered monuments of slave holders and confederate soldiers to be removed, and on June 28, 2020, the state of Mississippi, considered by many to be an epicenter of racism and inequality,\textsuperscript{17} voted to retire its state confederate flag which had flown since the late 19th century.\textsuperscript{18} Following this, on July 13, the Washington Football Team announced that it would retire the name “Redskins” and rebrand itself,\textsuperscript{19} a decision that took more than 40 years of advocacy by Native American and Indigenous groups to achieve.\textsuperscript{20}

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{13} Yelena Dzhanova, \textit{Here’s a Running List of All the Big Companies Observing Juneteenth This Year}, CNBC (June 17, 2020, 4:19 PM), https://www.cnbc.com/2020/06/17/here-are-the-companies-observing-juneteenth-this-year.html [https://perma.cc/63R5-LFMR].
\item \textsuperscript{14} CONG. RSCH. SERV., \textit{JUNETEENTH: FACT SHEET} (June 3, 2020), https://fas.org/ssg/crs/misc/R44865.pdf [https://perma.cc/Y8A4-9SVJ].
\item \textsuperscript{17} Mississippi’s legacy of racism and racial violence is well-known and has been the source of several songs, films, and documentaries. See NINA SIMONE, \textit{MISSISSIPPI GODDAM} (Philips Records 1964); see also \textit{MISSISSIPPI BURNING} (Orion Films 1988); Jesmyn Ward, \textit{Racism Is ‘Built into the Very Bones’ of Mississippi}, ATLANTIC (Feb. 2018), https://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2018/02/jesmyn-ward-mississippi/552500/ [https://perma.cc/BJK3-A9R7].
\end{itemize}
To be sure, these public displays of “wokeness” do not signify a true shift in the hearts and minds of all Americans; they may, however, indicate a resolve that the time for truth and reconciliation with America’s history has finally come. But why now? What was different about 2020? The momentum created by the recent protests—many led by Gen Z activists, students, and consumers—is the difference. Gen Z is moving this reckoning to the forefront of the American consciousness and they are doing it for the culture. Legal education cannot and should not remain untouched by this movement.

Making intentional structural changes in legal education, including requiring learning outcomes around cultural competence in all law schools and conducting curriculum mapping projects to ensure significant satisfaction of those outcomes is a start. Doing the cultural work of legal education reform will require much more reflection and imagination. We must consider all aspects of the law school experience and how to create an environment where all students thrive. This reimagining will require much more effort but doing something “for the culture” means acting for the benefit of a shared culture, which includes our social-justice minded Gen Z law students.

What we know about the newest demographic cohort to come of age is this: they are more tolerant, social-justice minded, and ethnically diverse than any previous generation. Nearly half of Gen Zers, (approximately 46 percent) identify as non-white, which presents both a challenge and an opportunity for law schools. This shift to a majority-minority population may lead to greater enrollment of students of color in law school, an opportunity many institutions have strived for over the years. However, many law schools are challenged by the task of creating inclusive environments where their minorities thrive. Gen Z students, described as “millennials on steroids,” will bring their strong beliefs, dis- taste for traditional norms, and expectations for equity and representation into our classrooms, and ask us whether we are prepared to meet those expectations.

21. COREY SEEMILLER & MEGHAN GRACE, GENERATION Z GOES TO COLLEGE 6-17 (2016).
22. MAGID GENERATIONAL STRATEGIES, THE FIRST GENERATION OF THE TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY: AN INTRODUCTION TO THE PLURALIST GENERATION 6 (2014), https://static1.squarespace.com/static/56d7388222482e1e2c8c683/t/56e0cd2c2f8a14684670194/145757327672/MagidPluralistGenerationWhitepaper.pdf [https://perma.cc/JA5-ZY2N] (stating that 54 percent of Gen Zrs identify as white, 24 percent Hispanic, 14 percent Black or African American, 4 percent Asian, and 4 percent other).
The short answer is no. Many law schools, marshalled on by forward-thinking professors, began the hard work of diversifying their programs years ago, preparing their students to serve increasingly diverse communities through courses and experiences centered around cultural competence. Others have not. For law schools to attract, retain, and buoy Gen Z students, the traditional structure of legal education, where professors “scare you, work you, and bore you to death” must shift. Specifically, we must look for ways to provide more meaningful experiential advocacy opportunities throughout law school; hiring committees should broaden the qualifications and characteristics of those whom we trust and value to teach law students, providing more support for Black and ethnic minority students; and faculties should set common standards for what we emphasize in our curriculum and student outcomes.

Part I of this paper will highlight Generation Z’s cultural, emotional, and social motivators—which are inapposite to the traditional ways law schools seek to motivate law students—to make the case for the implementation of more advocacy opportunities and social justice instruction. Part II will discuss current law school culture, identifying the areas where structural change is most needed to make the ‘matter’ in “Black Lives Matter” faculty statements more authentic. Part III offers several curricular and institutional recommendations aimed at creating learning environments that will attract Gen Z students and will also prepare them to practice law in a culturally sensible manner—and doing it all, For the Culture.


26. Though the institutional challenges presented in this paper impact other identity groups in law schools, I center Black students and students of color based on Gen Z’s racial diversity as a generational cohort and their strong beliefs about racial diversity, equality, and representation. Implementing the changes suggested in this paper will, however, improve the law school experience for all student groups and should be implemented with an intersectional lens.

I. “YOU’VE F*CKED WITH THE LAST GENERATION”

GEN Z CHARACTERISTICS AND BELIEFS

To understand the impact Gen Zers will have on the culture of legal education and the broader world around us, we must begin by discussing relevant generational beliefs, characteristics, and motivations that will impact society. The post-Millennial generation, whom I refer to as Gen Z, (though some writers refer to this generation as iGen) has a birth year between 1995 and 2010. Ranging in age from 8 to 25, this largest segment of the population accounts for roughly seventy-four million people, making one in four Americans a member of Generation Z. As the largest segment of our population, Gen Z’s beliefs matter; how they respond to social issues, politics, and the economy will have a tremendous impact on the future, not only in legal education, but in the business world as well.

A. Generational Theory and Sustainability

Although not a recognized science, generational theory has been gaining awareness since William Strauss and Neil Howe’s groundbreaking book, Generations, in 1991. In it, Strauss and Howe define a generation as a “[group of] people born over a span of roughly twenty years or about the length of one life phase: childhood, young adulthood, midlife, and old age.” According to the authors’ theory, these generations are shaped by “age location,” or a group’s participation in epochal events during a cycle, allowing for certain predictions about their behaviors or beliefs. Strauss and Howe then identify the major generational cohorts between 1584 and 2001, the most contemporary of which are: Baby Boomers,

Although generational theory has drawn criticism over the years, researchers rely on the study of similarities and differences between the cohorts to monitor trends over time and understand how individuals experience the world around them. In education, generational theory helps us understand and anticipate the challenges our students will face when entering our classrooms. Annually, the Beloit Mindset List—which has been renamed the “Marist Mindset List” since moving from Beloit College to Marist College in 2018—is shared across educational listservs to help educators understand our students by sharing their perspectives on

---

36. Although not acknowledged as a generational cohort by Strauss and Howe, Xennials, whom I mention in several places in this paper, are identified as a micro-generation between Gen Xers and Millennials with a birth year between 1977–1983. Characterized as possessing some of Gen X’s cynicism and less of Millennials’ characteristic optimism, Xennials’ “age location” is largely defined by the events of September 11, which occurred during adulthood for Xennials, but during elementary years for Millennials. See Ryan W. Miller, Are You an Xennial? How to Tell if You’re the Microgeneration Between Gen X and Millennial, USA TODAY (Dec. 20, 2018, 5:39 AM), https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/nation/2018/12/20/xennials-millennials-generation-x-microgeneration/2369230002/; Shana Lebowitz & Allana Akhtar, There’s a Term for People Born in the Early 80’s Who Don’ t Feel Like a Millennial or a Gen Xer, BUS. INSIDER (Aug. 22, 2019, 12:51 PM), https://www.businessinsider.com/xennials-born-between-millennials-and-gen-x-2017-11.

37. SEEMILLER & GRACE, supra note 21, at 6.


40. The Beloit Mindset List was an annual compilation begun by Beloit University faculty members Tom McBride, Ron Nief, and Charles Westerberg, each sharing the characteristics, beliefs, and traits of entering college freshman. The List was started in 2002 and was posted annually on www.mindsetlist.com/lists. In 2019, the Mindset List moved to Marist College. For Gen Z’s Mindset list from their birth year of 1995, see Laura P. Graham, Generation Z Goes to Law School: Teaching and Reaching Law Students in the Post Millennial Generation, 41 U. ARK. LITTLE ROCK L. REV. 29, 38 (2018).
topics such as music, space travel, and the proper viewing order of the Star Wars films. Academics have relied on this list as a source of humor, nostalgia, and angst for many years: “with contributions from parents and academics around the world, the List has tracked cultural change, stimulated intergenerational conversation, and just made older people feel even older.”

Generational theory has other useful purposes in legal education beyond the Marist Mindset List; the theory can also help law schools analyze the sustainability of their programs, curriculum, and culture by considering how well these features align with their incoming Gen Z law students. This inquiry into sustainability is not a substitute for the introspection and reflection we should all undertake when reimagining the culture of our law schools, but it does offer an economic perspective on the importance of this cultural work.

Broadly defined, “sustainability” refers to “programs, initiatives, and actions aimed at the preservation of a particular resource.” Human sustainability is focused on maintaining and improving human capital in society by making investments in health, education, and other services. Accordingly, discussing Gen Z’s needs and expectations as the drivers of legal education’s economy for the next fifteen to twenty years is a practical and meaningful evaluation of law school sustainability as a resource; our willingness to make investments based on those expectations, an exercise in human sustainability.

The corporate world has already begun turning its attention to Gen Zers to predict trends and protect their brands. As consumers, many Gen Zers view how they spend their money as an extension of their activism, and they are willing to redirect their dollars to prove it. In a Morning Consult survey, more than 65 percent of survey responders either “strongly” or “somewhat” agreed with the following statements:

“How businesses react and express themselves on topics such as Black Lives Matter will permanently affect my decision on

42. Lee Shulman Bierer, This Year’s College Freshmen See the Last Mindset List from Beloit, COLLEGE ADMISSION STRATEGIES, https://collegeadmissionsstrategies.com/this-years-college-freshmen-see-the-last-mindset-list-from-beloit/ [https://perma.cc/3TTU-6S76] (last visited Nov. 24, 2020).
44. Id.
whether or not to buy from them in the future,’” and “I will strongly consider the extent to which companies have delivered on the commitments they are making now in response to the Black Lives Matter movement when deciding whether or not to buy from them in the future.”

To sustain their businesses for the future, the corporate world has accepted Gen Z’s requirement to speak openly on social justice issues and has responded:

When the Supreme Court ruled in June 2015 that same-sex marriage was legal nationwide, Snickers tweeted a picture of a rainbow-wrapped candy bar inscribed ‘Stay who you are.’ AT&T turned its globe logo to rainbow hues, and American Airlines tweeted, “We’re on board. Diversity strengthens us all & today we celebrate #MarriageEquality.” It’s rare for companies to chime in on social issues, as they’d rather not alienate their customers. For a company like American, headquartered in Texas, that could be a lot of customers. But American and other companies are looking forward to an iGen future, seeking to appeal to the young consumers who will fuel their bottom line in years to come. Companies know that embracing equality is not just an expectation for iGen; it’s a requirement.

Assuming these same sentiments can be applied to Gen Z’s beliefs about spending and borrowing for higher education, they will bring many of these same expectations to our law schools; understanding and responding to them would be taking action designed to sustain the resource of legal education. If Gen Z students decide not to attend law school generally, or decline to enroll at particular law schools based on a failure to deliver on commitments toward diversity, equity, and inclusion, this is an unsustainable trend. “For the culture” means doing something that might not normally be done, but because the added value of doing it is so high, [you] do it anyway.” In addition to understanding

46. Id. at 16.
47. Twenge, supra note 29, at 227.
48. Do It for the Culture, URBAN DICTIONARY, supra note 1.
how Gen Zers learn in the classroom to make them better students, knowing how to engage and connect with them by understanding what motivates, energizes, and empowers them as a generational cohort is both valuable and instructive.

Gen Zers have had a unique and diverse upbringing. They have known three U.S. presidents in their lifetime, including the first Black president, whose youthful, inclusive “Yes We Can” administration was followed by a deeply divisive presidential election in 2016, the reemergence of white nationalist groups, and increased acts of violence against communities of color. Gen Zers also witnessed the actions of their predecessors and peers when social justice movements like #BlackLivesMatter, #MeToo, and #TimesUp emerged. Following several school shootings, Gen Zers even organized and marshalled their own cause, #MarchForOurLives, to raise awareness on school shootings and gun violence.

Gen Zers were also affected by the Great Recession and its impact on their family and friends. Gen Zers saw their hard-working parents suffer job loss, foreclosure, and other hardships during the Recession, and developed a financially conservative attitude as a result. Having been surrounded by financial insecurity as adolescents, Gen Zers are very mindful about money and how they spend it. Education is valuable to Gen Zers, but they are not willing to struggle under the weight of massive student loan debt like their Millennial and Xennial predecessors whom they witnessed suffer high unemployment rates, despite advanced education. Instead, Gen Zers will look for practical, cost-effective train-


51. See Seemiller & Grace, supra note 21, at 41.

52. Id. at 106.

53. Id. at 97-98.


55. Seemiller & Grace, supra note 21, at 103.
ing that will prepare them for the job market, provide practice-ready skills, and lead to meaningful work.

Assuming this data tracks and remains consistent for students considering law school, Gen Zers will seek higher education only if it fits their plan, and if it connects to their motivations, which include making a difference for others and advocating for something that they believe in. A law degree can surely provide Gen Z students with the knowledge and skills to achieve these goals, which may lead to continued enrollment in law schools, but these students will expect an education that will enable them to tackle important social justice issues while they study and upon graduation.

While no theory or Mindset List can perfectly describe every law student born after 1995—Strauss and Howe caution against attributing generational beliefs or practices to all members of a cohort—Gen Zers have some common life experiences which will help us better understand their expectations and how they will impact legal education.

B. “The Young and the Relentless”:
Generation Z on Activism and Diversity.

Gen Zers are not only the most diverse generational cohort in US history, many also consider themselves activists, drawing inspiration from youth-led movements in American history. Time Magazine recognized the power of Gen Z activists in April 2018 when it featured five Gen Z teenagers on its cover who exemplified this quality. With the word “ENOUGH” in bold, white letters across the page, these teenagers made quite a statement: Jaclyn Corin, Emma Gonzalez, David Hogg, Cameron Kasky, and Alex Wind are all survivors of the February 2018 Parkland shooting; they are also Gen Zers. Born between 1999 and 2000,

56. Id. at 176.
57. Id. at 103.
58. Id. at 15.
59. SELINGO, supra note 54, at 19.
60. STRAUSS & HOWE, supra note 33, at 66-67 (quoting Spanish philosopher Jose’ Ortega Y Gasset, the authors acknowledge that there are many factors, including age location, that create commonality among generational cohorts, but that these beliefs and behaviors are not uniform among all members: “the generational experience is a dynamic compromise between the mass and the individual”).
these Parkland activists represent many of the characteristics common to their cohort.

Independent, accessible, open-minded, thoughtful, anxious, and nontraditional are just some of the words used to describe these young adults.63 Kasky, Wind, and Corin started the #NeverAgain hashtag on Twitter the day after the Parkland shooting while sitting in Kasky’s living room.64 One month later, they had organized the March for Our Lives rally which drew over 800,00065 supporters to Washington, DC to support gun reform.66

In 140 characters on Twitter, these Gen Zers started an entire movement from their iPhones; a movement that continues to grow and encourage youth activism today:

Inspired by the Freedom Riders of the 1960s, we toured the country on the Road To Change. Along the way, we registered over 50,000 new voters. We also met with family members, community leaders, and survivors of gun violence across the country. . . . We’ll never forget each story we heard and each ally we made in this fight for justice.67

This is not to exaggerate Gen Z’s role as activists, or to diminish the activism of previous generations; quite the contrary. As quoted above, Gen Zers likely inherited this activism and inclination toward “good trouble”68 in part, based on their view of the civil rights movement and its impact on shaping the landscape of America. Their outrage at the continued racism and violence that permeates our society, coupled with the “B-S”69 response by many of the “adults” in the room, has fueled their activism.

Not only are they outraged by the persistent acts of violence and racism, they know exactly what tools to use to activate a response to this outrage: social media and technology. Gen Zers not only believe that

63. SEEMILLER & GRACE, supra note 21, at 8; TWENGE, supra note 29, at 2-3.
64. Atler, supra note 62.
67. Id.
they can change the world, they understand how easy it is to do from
your home. 70 Unlike the Civil Rights Movement, where leaders and ac-
tivists had to rely on letters, phone calls, or word-of-mouth to mobilize,
tweets and social media posts can be shared within seconds. It took weeks
for the sit-in movement begun by four Black men from North Carolina
A&T State University in Greensboro to gain momentum across the
South and for other students to join them in desegregating other Wool-
worth lunch counters. 71 Today, a text, tweet, or TikTok 72 video could
draw hundreds or thousands to the fight within seconds. For example,
#BlackLivesMatter began with a tweet following the acquittal of
Trayvon Martin’s murderer in 2013. 73 Since then, it has been used over
11 million times on Twitter 74 and is the rallying cry for social justice ac-
tivists and reform.

Gen Zers are also willing to mobilize to achieve justice and equali-
ty; in 2014, over twenty percent of Gen Zers reported that they had par-
ticipated in a rally or protest, up from just eleven percent of Millennials
who reported having participated in a demonstration before or during
college. 75 A more recent poll conducted by Business Insider revealed that
more than 77 percent of Gen Zers had participated in Black Lives Matter
protests following the death of George Floyd, 76 and 62 percent were will-

70. SEEMILLER & GRACE, supra note 21, at 130.
71. Christopher Wilson, The Moment When Four Students Sat Down to Take a Stand,
SMITHSONIAN MAG., (Jan. 31, 2020, 9:00 AM), https://www.smithsonianmag.com/
smithsonian-institution/lessons-worth-learning-moment-greensboro-four-sat-down-
lunch-counter-180974087/ [https://perma.cc/J69M-WZUK].
72. TikTok is a video-sharing app popular among Gen Zers that allows them to record
and post short videos and skits. Citing security risks with TikTok’s Chinese-owned parent
company, the 45th President of the United States threatened a ban on the platform on
July 31, 2020. Negotiations to save the site began in August, and are currently in litiga-
tion. For a discussion of the potential ban and timeline of events, see Taylor Lorenz, Tik-
https://www.nytimes.com/2020/08/02/style/tiktok-ban-threat-trump.html; Rachel Lerman,
TikTok creators successfully block U.S. app ban with lawsuit, Washington Post (October
30, 2020), https://www.washingtonpost.com/technology/2020/10/30/tiktok-ban-
halted-injunction/.
74. MONICA ANDERSON & PAUL HITLIN, PEW RSCH. CTR., SOCIAL MEDIA
CONVERSATIONS ABOUT RACE 16 (2016), https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/wp-
content/uploads/sites/9/2016/08/Pl_2016.08.15_Race-and-Social-Media_FINAL.pdf
[https://perma.cc/JR7P-3B8P].
75. SEEMILLER & GRACE, supra note 21, at 143.
76. Dominic-Madori Davis, The Action Generation, BUS. INSIDER (June 10 2020, 9:12
AM), https://www.businessinsider.com/how-gen-z-feels-about-george-floyd-protests-
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ing to be arrested in support of equality for Black Americans. In addition to social justice activism, Gen Zers also feel very strongly about diversity. Based on their exposure to different cultures through technology and their own social circles, Gen Zers expect to live, work, and learn among people who are different from them. Although this inclusion is their expectation, some research studies offer a more diverse take on expectation versus preference among some Gen Zers.

More than half of Gen Zers are concerned with racial and sexual equality and believe that America’s shift toward majority-minority is a positive change. However, in a survey of 1.4 million teens on whether diverse environments were “desirable,” only one in four white Gen Zers said that they were. This number was just slightly higher in minority survey respondents, where one in three said diverse environments were desirable. At first glance, these findings may seem problematic and counter-cultural to what we believe about Gen Z, but further exploration of the question reveals the potential “color evasiveness” in Gen Z’s perceptions of race and diversity:

Living with other races “would be acceptable because I honestly do not understand what the fuss is about racism. The color of skin is just that—a color. It doesn’t tell you what they feel inside, what their motivations are, or their goals in life. I don’t care if my neighbors and coworkers were different, I don’t care if I’m the only white person. As long as everyone can treat everyone with the same respect then I don’t see a problem.” — Lori, [age] 21.

“In this day and age, it seems silly to still be seeing in color with regards to dealing with humans. I’m white, but I don’t care about color when it comes to my friends because it’s idi-
otid and close minded to be trapped in an archaic mindset."--Francie, [age] 20.

These responses seem more “on brand” for inclusive Gen Zers who value and accept the differences of others, but generations are not monolithic. Some Gen Zers do not value diversity, and may, in fact, believe that having friends and partners of another race is merely “acceptable” rather than “desirable” for reasons beyond a refusal to acknowledge racial difference. In the same survey discussed above, about 25 percent of white eighteen- to twenty-four-year-olds agreed that it is okay to discriminate in housing, and nearly 20 percent were opposed to living in majority-Black neighborhoods. In another survey of fourteen- to twenty-four-year-olds, 48 percent of white survey participants agreed that discrimination against white people has become as problematic as discrimination against racial minorities. These surveys suggest that while Gen Zers may be more diverse, they are not post-racial. Many of the “white kids” are still sitting together in the cafeteria, and students of color are still experiencing racialized incidents on and off campus.

Activism also cuts both ways for Gen Zers. Progressive Gen Z students involved in Black Lives Matter protests may be the leading story, but conservative activism among Gen Zers is more prevalent than one might expect. For example, Conservative Hype House, a social media group that promotes itself as a forum for Gen Z conservatives, has over 1.4 million followers on TikTok who actively share their conservative political views and support of Donald Trump. A similar group, Republi-
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can Hype House,\(^96\) boasts over 700,000 TikTok followers, and considers itself to be “a movement of young conservatives” set on dispelling the common belief that most young people are liberal.\(^97\)

Perhaps these studies prove that Gen Zers are a generation full of contradictions. They value diversity, but only see it as “acceptable” in certain scenarios. And although many Gen Zers view the Black Lives Matter movement as one of the most important issues today,\(^98\) some others are involved in social media groups that believe the Black Lives Matter movement is hypocritical.\(^99\) This is not a contradiction, however. Gen Zers are advocates who are passionate about the causes they believe in, from left to right. For this generation, diversity means not only tolerating different cultures, but different beliefs and practices as well.\(^100\)

Culture is “any set of shared, signifying practices—practices by which meaning is produced, performed, contested, or transformed.”\(^101\) Gen Zers are relentless in achieving the culture work of creating inclusive environments where racial, social, and experiential diversity is welcomed and appreciated. This work will require law schools to strike a balance between Gen Z’s need for “safe spaces”\(^102\) that are respectful, open, and politically correct, with the freedom of speech these young activists have grown accustomed to on social media. Teaching tolerance and respectful disagreement, “for the culture,” is part of our work in supporting Gen Z’s diversity.

C. *Gen Z Motivators and Anti-Motivators*

In addition to understanding Gen Z’s social needs and expectations, legal education must also be attuned to what motivates these students to engage and excel in law school. The traditional model of legal education uses competition and recognition to incentivize high performance, including grading curves, public ceremonies to announce which students
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“booked” or earned the top grade in their classes, and selective organizations such as law review and moot court. Gen Zers, however, are largely unmotivated by competition with others or by public recognition. Therefore, connecting with the Gen Z law student will require that we dig deeper.

1. Making a Difference for Others.

Along with Gen Z’s inclination toward activism and social change, they are also motivated by the desire make a difference for others. Compared to Millennials, more Gen Zers believe that it is important to “help others in difficulty” and make a worthy contribution to society. Despite the economic woes of their parents and predecessors, Gen Zers are less likely to take a job simply for the financial security, but would prefer to take jobs that help others and add value to society.

Work and study must be meaningful and valuable for Gen Zers, giving them the tools and opportunity to make a positive impact on the world. Unlike Millennials who were willing to change their majors to get jobs or switch industries to join work forces with more projected growth opportunities, Gen Zers are less willing to settle. For Gen Z, it’s not just about a job, it’s about passion and making the world a better place. And given their entrepreneurial nature, Gen Zers may start their own businesses to pursue these passions, rather than settle for the “secure” job without them.

For colleges, this need to balance meaning and value for Gen Zers has led to fewer students majoring in general humanities like English, hist-
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ory, or psychology, opting instead for health sciences, engineering, or computer science. A shift toward these subjects may appear to conflict with Gen Z’s need to make a difference, but these “hard” skills provide tools and a clear career path for these fiscally conservative students who expect practice-readiness in exchange for their tuition dollars. Along those same lines, Gen Z law students may find it difficult to engage in law school subjects that appear disconnected from their desire to help others. Why care about the Rule Against Perpetuities, when you want to end mass incarceration and the school-to-prison-pipeline and see law school as merely a means to that end? If, however, we design our courses to connect the Rule—and other general law subjects—to social issues, we may be able to produce Gen Z students eager to take on Blackacre.

I do not suggest that we completely abandon traditional law school courses; Gen Zers will likely have to pass the bar exam like the rest of us, won’t they? However, connecting traditional courses to social justice topics will help us connect with Gen Z students by allowing them the opportunity to experience those courses in action and in a meaningful way.
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2. FOMO

The Fear of Missing Out, or “FOMO,” is an ever-present worry for Gen Zers. This fear is what tethers them to their cell phones, and why even during class, many students find it nearly impossible to unplug. Fearful of missing the next big announcement, Twitter trend, or a comment on their latest TikTok video, these students are always online. And because time and space are fluid for Gen Zers due to their constant digital connection, they expect information and communication quickly. When that information is delayed, say because a professor is sleeping and unable to respond to an email, or when a text does not get an immediate reply, anxiety ensues. The need for social media interaction becomes both a blessing and a curse.

Gen Zers want to be in “the room where it happens,” so to speak, and this means having information at their fingertips at all times. For them, there is never a good time to unplug. Gen Zers appreciate the flexibility their digital world provides. Their experience with “adulting” has not been on a traditional 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. schedule; their world is nonstop, as is their learning. The pivot to online instruction this spring was disruptive and stressful, but it did create fluid learning spaces and may have inadvertently given Gen Z students—and their professors—ways to alleviate FOMO. For example, setting a schedule for when materials will be posted creates routine and may help to relieve the fear of missing an assignment or a post because students know when to expect it. Having dedicated Q & A forums on your Moodle or Canvas site where students can search for questions and responses at any time, as well as providing links to YouTube videos to provide around-the-clock learning, may be the way forward and away from FOMO for Gen Z students.

119. SEEMILLER & GRACE, supra note 21, at 29-30.
120. See TWENGE, supra note 29, at 51, 90.
121. Id.; SEEMILLER & GRACE, supra note 21, at 30.
122. SEEMILLER & GRACE, supra note 21, at 29-30.
123. See TWENGE, supra note 29, at 88-91.
124. Id. at 89.
126. TWENGE, supra note 29, at 89.
127. SEEMILLER & GRACE, supra note 21, at 26-30.
128. Id. at 30.
129. Id.
Gen Zers are not motivated by things like competition with others, public recognition, or quid pro quo arrangements, yet competition is at the heart of legal education. Law schools curve grades, restrict access to career-defining groups like law review to top-performing students, and only hire research or teaching assistants from among those top students. These practices were once viewed as necessary tools to help students prepare for the adversarial legal system.

The Langdellian model of legal education, a methodology developed by Harvard law professor, Christopher Columbus Langdell in the late 19th century, relies on scarcity and competition, and measures success in external metrics of achievement. The public nature of law school, where all first-year students take the same classes, on the same schedule, and with the same professors, reinforces this culture of competition by pitting students against one another for the handful of A's awarded each term. This model of legal education serves as the basis for classics like Scott Turow’s One L and The Paper Chase, and it is why many students come to law school expecting to be “scared to death” their first year. Countless films about law school have followed The Paper Chase, including modern classics like Legally Blonde. The protagonist
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may have changed over the years, but law school’s competitive culture remains the same.

For Gen Z students who value making a difference for others, working in flexible, transparent environments, and implementing inclusive practices, this competitive culture may be difficult to navigate. The distrust, anxiety, and fear that it produces in many students, particularly students of color, may lead to deep mental health challenges for Gen Zers who are already facing a mental health crisis.

Further, the scarcity model of legal education, where A’s and accolades are limited to only a few top-performing students, will likely be counter-cultural for Gen Zers who have grown up largely in a sharing economy where there is value in collective use of one’s assets and tools. As a result, understanding the grading curve may be especially challenging for these students.

Like most other law students, Gen Zers are still competitive and want to be taken seriously, but that competitive quality may not be what will motivate them to succeed in law school. One of the consequences of relying on competition and scarcity to motivate law students is that many will internalize these ranking decisions based not on what they think is important, or what motivates them personally, but on what will give them a competitive edge in law school:

The consequence of making choices in this way means that many law students never actually focus on what they came to law school to learn, nor do they pay close attention to their development in their chosen fields. They squander the opportunity law school can provide to find ways of making life in the law meaningful. And they lose the most important aspect of law school—a renewed sense of purpose generated by passionate engagement with real problems, with the issues that
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brought them to law school in the first place, and with the act of intellectual discovery.\textsuperscript{150}

Though Gen Z students’ motivation to succeed will likely remain connected to their need to make a difference for others and to change the world,\textsuperscript{151} some may succumb to the temptations described above. To keep Gen Zers focused on advocacy and social justice—the reasons many of them enrolled in law school in the first place—it will be important to reconsider the culture we have created around motivation and incentives.

II. “WE DON’T NEED TO REFORM THE SYSTEM . . . THE SYSTEM WAS NOT BUILT FOR US. WE NEED TO ABOLISH THE SYSTEM.”\textsuperscript{152}

Legal education is out of step with many of our entering law students, including Gen Zers who want to create and live in equitable environments and who are not afraid to engage in activism to achieve that end.\textsuperscript{153} As Gen Zers challenge the oppressive roots of statues and monuments, how long before they demand our acknowledgement of the same roots at the heart of legal education, and demand reform?

We’ve recently had numerous occasions to see exactly what it looks like when young people—many of whom are Gen Zers or “cuspers”\textsuperscript{154}—challenge oppressive systems rooted in inequality. This summer, thousands of recent law graduates studied for the bar exam, only to discover at various times, sometimes just days before the test,\textsuperscript{155} that their exams were
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cancelled or rescheduled due to COVID-19 concerns. This led many to advocate for diploma privilege\textsuperscript{156} since traditional bar exam testing was unfeasible. The simple idea was that since graduates were unable to sit for the bar exam due to the pandemic, they should be granted either a provisional law license to practice temporarily and with some conditions,\textsuperscript{157} or full “diploma privilege”\textsuperscript{158} to practice law indefinitely by virtue of having completed an ABA-approved law school program.

The licensure alternatives were proposed as an emergency measure to eliminate coronavirus spread and offset financial hardships for law graduates unable to apply for, or accept, attorney positions because they had not yet passed a bar exam.\textsuperscript{159} Many states rejected diploma privilege in favor of holding in-person or online exams. In defense of their position, many state’s Bar Examiners argued that without the exam there would be no way to measure law student competence to practice law.\textsuperscript{160}
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However, in a single tweet, which was “liked” over 2,500 times and retweeted 1,100 times, a recent graduate challenged the entire exam, describing its racist, exclusionary history, and how it was created and used to exclude minorities and women from entering the profession. Danielle Craig, @danielle_craig, wrote:

Sharing why I am a #barexam abolitionist: the history of the bar exam is ugly. In 1875, the first Civil Rights Act passed. White people feared Black people would have more access to civic life, (even tho (sic) the Act failed) including becoming attys which at the time just needed apprenticeship/training. Enter the bar examination in 1885. The legal profession’s version of the voting literacy tests.

Ms. Craig may not be a member of Generation Z, she is a recent graduate frustrated by the callousness of Bar Examiners—a sentiment which is not limited to any generation—but opposing institutions rooted in oppression and prejudice is very Gen Z.

Some Gen Z law students took to advocacy work long before the bar exam; many before the first day of law school even began. This summer, 121 entering first-year law students at The University of Michigan wrote to senior members of the law school’s administration, expressing disappointment at the lack of institutional response to incidents of violence and oppression in the Black community at large, and reported incidents of bias by the Black students on campus. Writing under the hashtag #MLawLoud, these students included nine questions for the administration, ending with “we look forward to hearing your response.”

Gen Zers aren’t afraid to use their voices to challenge prejudice and racism. And increasingly, these students are placing similar demands on universities to speak up as well. Reconstituting legal education by ex-
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aming its structure, practices, and overall effectiveness for all students, is good preparation for a Gen Z future.

A. Representation Matters: Enough is Enough

Another area where legal education continues to fail is in adequately representing women and minorities on law faculties and administrations. There have been positive trends in increasing the number of students of color in law school classrooms, but those trends are not replicated in faculty hiring; most studies show law school professors are largely still white and male. Gen Zers, however, are accustomed to seeing women and people of color in leadership, more so than any previous generation. This exposure to diversity has influenced their perception of who leaders should be and what they should look like.

During the 2008 election when the youngest Gen Zers were just entering their teens, two-thirds believed they really could be president if they wanted to. With Barack Obama’s election, the idea of achieving
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something that was once only available for white men became empowering. Just one year after Barack Obama’s historic election, in 2009, the Association of American Law Schools (AALS) released a statistical report on law faculty revealing that nearly 72 percent of all law professors in the United States were white, and approximately 62 percent of them were male. Women of color accounted for only 7 percent of the population.

In 2013, the last year the American Bar Association (ABA) produced the gender and race/ethnicity report, the data showed an increase in the number of minority law professors, male and female, at 9.4 and 9.5 percent respectively, but the number of white male and female professors had also increased. Combined, white men and women accounted for roughly 80 percent of all American law professors and deans in 2013.

This lack of representation among law school faculty presents challenges ordinarily, but when considered with Gen Z’s demands for equity and diversity in their educational environments, magnifies these challenges. Gen Z law students of color will likely be disappointed by the lack of available mentors who share their identity and culture in law school. Many students will turn to the few faculty members of color at their institutions for support, while others will gravitate toward white professors who are friendly and encouraging allies. In addition to the increased service re-election. Interview questions concerned knowledge, preferences, and perceptions of others’ attitudes concerning the election, views of the implications of the election for race relations, and personal aspirations to become president. Results indicated that children were highly knowledgeable about Obama’s status as the first African American president. Most children felt positively about the presence of an African American candidate for president, although a few children showed clear racial prejudice. Overall, children expected others to show racial ingroup preferences but simultaneously endorsed the optimistic view that Obama’s race was a slight asset in his bid for the presidency. Older children were somewhat more likely to view Obama’s race as negatively impacting his chances of being elected than younger children. African American and Latino children were more interested in becoming president than European American children; aspiration rates did not change from pre- to post-election.
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sponsibility this mentoring and advising places on faculty members of color, the overall lack of representation has other consequences.

Poor representation of minorities on law school faculties creates barriers for future lawyers and law professors. Professors of color play an important role supporting law students of color, and also in recruiting and retaining women and minorities in legal education, the presence or absence of these strong role models has a direct impact on whether diverse law students consider teaching as a viable career option. For many law students, their professors are the first lawyers they have ever met. Without role models and mentors of color to represent the various career options for future JDs, the opportunities for young lawyers of color may feel elusive and limited. To increase diversity in the legal profession, we must increase the overall representation of racial and ethnic groups in legal academia, and to do that, law teaching must be presented inclusively to all law students as a viable career choice with a clear path.

White students are more likely to have law professors who look like them in the classroom and are therefore also more likely to consider law teaching as an option post-graduation. However, “[b]ecause most students of color see few professors who look like them, becoming a professor rarely seems like an attainable goal.” “When law students consider who has the privilege of becoming a law professor, most think of their own professors, most of whom are white men.” Therefore, the lack of diversity in legal education is a double barrier. One, law students of color have fewer faculty mentors who look like them or who share their identity to serve as mentors, decreasing the likelihood that they will see themselves becoming law professors in the future. Two, this homogeny of faculty candidates leaves the field to be dominated by white men, either based on the use of unequal hiring practices or the perceived lack of available candidates of color.

B. Perception Matters: Stereotype Threat in Gen Z Law Students

“[I]nternalized my academic struggles as indicative of something wrong not just with my behavior but with Black behavior as a whole," 184 185 186 187 188 189
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since I represented the race, both in their eyes—or what I thought I saw in their eyes—and in my own.”

— Ibram X. Kendi

Lack of representation on law school faculties has other negative consequences besides employment options post-graduation; when law schools lack a critical mass of professors from diverse backgrounds, it also impacts student performance. When students see themselves represented in their classrooms it reinforces their value in the educational environment and improves their perceptions of the curriculum and their overall classroom performance. When there is little or no meaningful representation, students of color may experience increased feelings of isolation and fear that their educational struggles or shortcomings confirm negative stereotypes about their identity and racial groups.

Gen Z students already suffer from insecurity, depression, and anxiety at alarming rates as a result of their constant social media usage. These feelings of inadequacy and isolation can have potentially even more harmful consequences as additional psychosocial factors are introduced.

A 2016 anonymous study of 1.4 million students between grades eight through twelve revealed that nearly 40 percent of teenagers agreed with the statement “I can’t do anything right,” a significant increase from previous years. This rate began climbing in 2011. These findings correlate with The National Survey on Drug Use and Health, an organization responsible for screening teenagers for clinical depression. It found that “56% more teens experienced a major depressive episode in 2015 than in 2010 . . . and 60% more experienced severe impairment.”
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spike in depression also led to another troubling increase: the number of self-harm cases.

Between 2011 and 2016, 30 percent more college students reported intentionally harming themselves, leading to the popularity of the “#self-harmmm” hashtag on social media, used more than 2.4 million times in 2015. The number of Gen Z college students who admitted to contemplating suicide rose 60 percent between 2011 and 2016.

Social media has been blamed for this increase in mental health incidents among Gen Zers, which is consistent with the rise of social media influencers (“SMIs”) in between 2005-2015. SMIs are paid to advertise brands by posting photos and videos to social media platforms like Instagram and YouTube. Through these perfect pictures, viewers are
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influenced to purchase items to achieve the same results as their favorite influencers. In 2019, the SMI industry was worth $8 billion, and it is expected to increase to $22 billion in 2022. What does this increased emphasis on perfection mean for Gen Zers grappling with stereotype threat? Inadequacy. Comparison. Shame.

Gen Z students of color entering law schools without adequate minority representation on faculty or staff will suffer under the weight of stereotype threat. Stereotype threat is the pressure caused by fear of confirming negative stereotypes about one’s ethnic or racial group, a psychological phenomenon common among ethnic minorities and women. Stereotype threat often leads to poor performance in activities or assessments which “prime” or trigger that stereotype. For example, when, prior to taking a quiz, women were primed with the stereotype that women perform worse on math than men, they scored lower than the control group who did not receive this message. Stereotype threat can also impact other groups as well. When white, male golfers were told that their swing was being monitored to assess natural athleticism, the threatened group had a worse game than those who were not fed this information ahead of time. Essentially, a member of any group about which there are negative stereotypes can be stereotype threatened, and they may perform worse on activities or assessments where those stereotypes are tested or primed.

For Black students who were systematically excluded from attending white institutions prior to desegregation and affirmative action initiatives, the triggering activity leading to stereotype threat is simply existing in the overwhelmingly white space; the threatened stereotype: Black students aren’t as smart as their white peers. The activities which would “prime” these negative stereotypes are plentiful in law school: Socratic dialogue with professors, test performance, competing for a spot on law review or moot court, being selected as a teaching assistant, or winning a
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CALI award. Each of these activities may produce harmful narratives in the students about how they don’t belong in law school, how “stupid” they sound when speaking in class, how much less they know about the law than their legacy classmates, and more. And if not mitigated through intentional efforts by faculty and administrators, these negative thoughts can become self-fulfilled through poor performance in law school and potentially on the bar exam.

For high-performing Gen Z students—which describes most law students based on the fact that they were accepted to law school in the first place—stereotype threat can be especially harmful. High performing students of color will place greater expectations on themselves to perform well in law school based on their performance and achievement in undergrad and, as a result, may feel stereotype threat more acutely. The risk of confirming the stereotype that Black students aren’t as smart as white students will feel stronger in an educational environment where this stereotype remains constantly primed. Just as a mathematically gifted woman in a STEM program at MIT might care more about confirming the “women aren’t good at math” stereotype and suffer more as a result, so too will high performing students of color in law school where every measure of achievement and success is based on exceptional intelligence. “The more important success in a particular field is to a person, the greater the consequences of not achieving success in that field.”

Stereotype threat isn’t a fleeting experience that only accompanies exams; it affects all aspects of learning, including memory and cognition, two very necessary components of a successful law student. The threat can follow a student throughout law school, the bar exam, and even into a future career, cloaking them with feelings of insecurity. When a negative stereotype indict your identity group in a setting that constantly primes that stereotype, it often signals to the student that they can’t do the work or that they don’t belong there. This harmful narrative of not belonging holds students back from taking academic challenges, ever-fearful of confirming the stereotype about their group.

When a threatened student sees a law professor who shares their same identity at the front of the classroom, who theoretically overcame similar obstacles, this can mitigate the effects of the threat and silence the
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negative narrative, leading to better performance. Unmitigated stereotype threat can lead to increased anxiety, memory problems, decreased performance confidence, decreased effort, and overall perceptions of bias.

In *Helping Our Students Reach Their Full Potential*, Professor Russell McClain offers several strategies to ameliorate the harmful effects of stereotype threat, including talking openly with students about it, encouraging mindfulness, and maintaining high expectations in law courses. Two of Professor McClain’s recommendations are especially relevant to this point on the lack of diversity in legal education as a threat to Gen Z law students: 1) presenting positive group examples, and 2) developing social belonging.

On presenting positive group examples, when students are provided with role models from their identity groups who have experienced similar challenges in their education, it can mitigate stereotype threat and improve classroom performance. When Black college students heard directly from other Black upper-classmen on their individual frustrations and struggles, they performed better on assessments than classmates who did not receive this direct encouragement. Role models, therefore, are essential for students of color in law schools. When law schools do not purposefully and intentionally adopt hiring practices that lead to more diverse faculties, students suffer, classrooms suffer, and law school culture suffers.

Hiring these role models also supports Professor McClain’s second recommendation, which is to increase feelings of social belonging in threatened students by normalizing academic struggles in law school. By acknowledging setbacks, frustration, and failure as normal aspects of an educational journey, and reinforcing the fact that the presence of these
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struggles does not mean one doesn’t belong in law school, stereotype threat can be relieved.229

Given that Generation Z has more ethnic minorities in its composition than any generation in history, law schools’ failure to provide support in the form of meaningful diversity on law school faculties results in the neglect of the emotional and social needs of these students. According to the American Bar Association, more than 11,800 minority students were admitted to law schools in 2019.230 Representing over 30 percent of all law school enrollment that year, this increased diversity in the student body is encouraging, but admitting minority students without providing programs and processes to retain them is both problematic and incomplete. “Increased admission of minority students, alone, would not sufficiently resolve issues of underrepresentation in the profession. In other words, not only was admission of blacks a significant issue, but so was retention.” 231

Retaining and supporting Gen Z students, particularly our students of color, will require abandoning hiring metrics which prioritize elitism over inclusion, essentially robbing our students of the opportunity to have role models who share their struggles and identities. Creating an equitable law school culture for Gen Zers means focusing on how to retain and support our students after we’ve recruited them—by ensuring educational environments designed for them to thrive.

III. ‘BE THE CHANGE’232 YOU WANT TO SEE IN YOUR LAW SCHOOL CLASSROOMS: REIMAGINING LEGAL EDUCATION

“[T]his is your country, that this is your world, that this is your body, and you must find some way to live within all of it . . . the question of how one should live within . . . a country lost in the Dream, is the question of my life, and the pursuit of this question, I have found, ultimately answers itself.”233 – TaNehisi Coates
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Having spent considerable time discussing the challenges of legal education in the wake of Gen Z and the push for equity and inclusion in law schools, the rest of this article is future-focused, and will discuss recommendations for both curricular and institutional changes to meet Gen Z demands.

A. “‘Matter’ is the Minimum:”

Supporting Gen Z Students of Color Equitably

In Ta-Nehisi Coates’s acclaimed memoir, Between the World and Me—containing powerful letters written to Coates’ then young son—the author explores topics of identity, culture, and race, and how to teach a young, Black boy survival in America. In one such chapter, Coates recalls the hopelessness and betrayal his son experienced when Michael Brown’s killers were acquitted. Coates, who did not share his son’s hope for accountability or responsibility, gave this lesson, writing: “this is your country, that this is your world, that this is your body, and you must find some way to live . . . within a [B]lack body, within a country lost in the Dream.” Like Coates’s son, so too, must our Black and minority students learn how to live and thrive in law schools where the Dream is the goal, but without any lasting, institutional action or accountability.

The Dream, as Coates explains, is the belief in equal opportunity, equal access, and that anyone can be anything in America, despite visible, tangible proof otherwise:

The Dream is treehouses and Cub Scouts. The Dream smells like peppermint but tastes like strawberry shortcake. And for so long I have wanted to escape into the Dream, to fold my country over my head like a blanket. But this has never been an option because the Dream rests on our backs, the bedding made from our bodies. And knowing this, knowing that the
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Dream persists by warring with the known world (emphasis added), I was sad . . . for my country, but above all, in that moment, I was sad for you. 238

Legal education, too, is full of these Dreamers: 239 administrators, professors, and even students who believe that law schools provide Black and ethnic minority students with equal opportunity and equal access to a law degree, despite clear evidence to the contrary. Students of color report feeling out of place 240 in law schools and find little support on their faculties. Mishandled incidents of bias and racism in law school classrooms lead to “fight or flight” experiences for students of color left to navigate these incidents largely on their own. 241 So, too, Black faculty and administrators experience microaggressions in the classroom and in law school culture at disproportionate rates. 242 Black lives “mattering” is indeed the minimum; Black and minority lives must “matter” enough to implement structural changes toward equity in legal education.

This Article, like many more before it, has already explored some of the systemic causes of this inequity, including historical practices excluding Black students and other students of color from attending law school, hiring practices which have produced an overwhelmingly white legal academy, and perceptions of inferiority which threaten students of color and impact achievement and opportunity.

Equality seeks to provide an environment where every person begins at the same place on the starting line, regardless of unique support needs or circumstances which might require more attention to make the race fair. 243 Equity acknowledges the privileges and experiences which have moved that starting line ahead or behind for some, and intentionally allocates resources—in potentially unequal ways—to provide an equitable race. 244 Dreamers, by the sheer force of their desire for it to be so, cannot produce an equitable legal education without first addressing the inequities. Acknowledging the “blood at the roots” 245 of legal education and the death of the “Dream” means going beyond diversity statements and
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providing true institutional support for our students of color. This is indeed the minimum; we can and should do more.

First, law schools need to create spaces where students of color feel a sense of belonging and safety, and second, institutional safeguards should be implemented to ensure inclusive, equitable outcomes are achieved.

B. Creating “Identity Safe” Institutions

“Institutions with a core commitment to diversity are those that ‘incorporate the knowledge and perspectives of its diverse members to eliminate embedded bias and enhance organizational efficacy.’ Without a core commitment to diversity and inclusion, institutions will remain as white and male as ever.”—Meera Deo

In Whistling Vivaldi, Claude Steele identified a remedy to stereotype threat when he introduced the phrase, “identity safety.” In an identity safe environment, social cues are purposefully introduced to help neutralize other harmful, stereotyped cues. The more positive cues that are introduced about one’s identity group, the more likely they are to reduce the harmful effects of the negative ones. The negative cues may not be entirely removed, but the positive cues create an “identity safe” environment where threats are reduced and feelings of belonging improve.

Though legal education may never be completely rid of the negative cues for certain identity groups, we can introduce programs and practices to neutralize them. By revising law school standards around cultural competency and learning outcomes which support those skills, educating professors on inclusive classroom practices, and implementing equitable institutional and curricular practices to promote diversity and inclusion, identity safety can help us reimagine law school culture.

1. Revise Standard 302

ABA Standard 302 provides a path toward a more inclusive law school design and program—one where cultural competence, cultural sensibility, and cultural responsiveness are centered and included as part of the goals of legal education. But, while 302 holds promise as an instrument designed to move us closer to those goals, the standard does not
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go far enough. It provides: “A law school shall establish learning outcomes that shall, at a minimum, include competency in the following: (d): Other professional skills needed for competent and ethical participation as a member of the legal profession.”

In its interpretive guidance the ABA added: “For the purposes of Standard 302(d), other professional skills are determined by the law school and may include skills such as, interviewing, counseling, negotiation, fact development and analysis, trial practice, document drafting, conflict resolution, organization and management of legal work, collaboration, cultural competency, and self-evaluation.”

The permissive “may” allows law schools to give as little or as much focus to cultural competence as they would like; this is a flaw in the standard. When given the opportunity to advance social justice, equity, and diversity, we have seen the glacial speed of legal education’s advancement. Without a governing body such as the ABA requiring this competency, many law schools will choose not to implement the standard in any meaningful way, leaving students unprepared for a culturally responsive law practice.

The first proposal then is to revise Standard 302 to include cultural competence among the four foundational outcomes listed, signaling its importance to legal education and law practice generally. Divorcing cultural competence from the other core outcomes and making it an optional skill relegates it to an inferior status, when it is anything but inferior to Gen Z students. Revising the Standard may take a while—although we have recently seen just how quickly the ABA can act when they implemented several COVID-related rule revisions this spring—but it is worth the effort to improve the experience of our Gen Z students. Convincing the ABA to revise the Standard is not the only way, however, to create identity safe institutions.


251. Id. at 14-15, 17.

252. Tully, supra note 101, at 233 (“Explicitly interweaving culture work throughout the curriculum and specifically embedding learning outcomes within each sphere—knowledge, skills, and values—will help to ensure that all graduates can demonstrate how social cognition, culture, and context impact law and can provide culturally sensible legal services once in practice.”)

2. Educate the Educators

Gen Zers value education, and include teachers and coaches on the list of people who have the greatest influence in their lives. Given this, educators have a responsibility to ensure that we are teaching our students how to “be the change” out of our own deep wells of knowledge, humility, and courage.

Faculty should be educated on how to respond to racialized classroom incidents to promote equity and inclusion, and to create identity safe environments for students of color. When incidents of racism and bias occur in the classroom and faculty fail to address them, it creates dissonance, distrust, and erodes any identity safety students of color may have developed. In fact, by avoiding or minimizing these incidents, it legitimizes the “otherness” students of color experience in law school, and leads to increased stress and anxiety for minority students unsure whether their professor’s silence is complicit racism or fear. It is also a missed educational opportunity to disrupt harmful stereotypes and to model cultural competence.

Gen Zers value transparency and honesty, as their culture is one of sharing, not silence. They will expect professors who are able to engage in conversations around bias and racism, honoring the Four Agreements of Courageous Conversations to stay engaged, expect to experience discomfort, speak truth, and accept a lack of closure.
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In *Courageous Conversations About Race: A Field Guide for Achieving Equity in Schools*, authors Glenn Singleton and Curtis Linton describe the primary goals of Courageous Conversations as supporting educators in “deinstitutionalizing racism and improving student achievement.” These goals are achieved by helping educators reduce tension and engage in meaningful conversations about race and ethnicity with the mutual goal of sharing expertise and gaining knowledge.

Following the shift to online instruction in spring 2020, many organizations turned to online platforms like WebEx and Zoom to provide this knowledge—to help faculty become more courageous and equitable professors. These sessions were on a range of topics, including how to navigate conversations about race in the classroom, developing anti-racist law school courses, and the history of police violence in Black communities. Virtual trainings have replaced larger conferences and have become weekly occurrences, drawing hundreds of professors around the country, all eager to become allies in this work. This is heartening, and a step in the right direction toward reimagining legal education and law school classrooms.

To reinforce the importance of this work within institutions, service obligations should be attached to this kind of programming. It is a service to law schools and to our students for professors to be trained on how to create “courageous” learning environments where issues of race, class, and the law are discussed openly and courageously. If faculty can rank the importance and priority of these programs based on where they fall on the annual review form, then progress will likely be stalled. If, however, raises and promotions were based in part on a faculty member’s participation in culture-building work, perhaps there would be more willingness to engage.
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In addition to ongoing virtual trainings, basic education on bias and equity should be required. Diversity and bias trainings aren’t new to higher education. While some professors were eager to lean into these discussions and found them useful pedagogically, others resisted what they perceived as undue control over their thoughts, behavior, and educational autonomy. However, without meaningful, ongoing training on topics such as disrupting implicit bias and courageous classroom conversations, some professors will continue to center their own discomfort over Gen Z students’ need to engage in meaningful work, resulting in missed opportunities to model cultural responsiveness and competence.

Gen Zers expect their leaders to be vocal in support of causes that matter socially and personally and who are not afraid to make demands of their institutions regarding these expectations. Seventy-two percent of Gen Z college students polled said that they expect their universities to address systemic issues of racial injustice against Black Americans on campus. Fifty-nine percent said that if their university did not speak up on racial inequality, this silence would change their perception of the school.

To show institutional support for diversity, equity, and inclusion, we must view investing in our educators as an investment in the well-being of our students, an important aspect in current conversation about
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law student wellness. Legal writing professors, clinical professors, and professors of color have been writing, working, and engaging in conversations about institutional diversity for years, without institutional support or recognition in many cases. To create a culture and educational environment where Black lives and students of color don’t just “matter,” but thrive, and where Gen Z students see racial equity in action, all faculty members must be trained in how to do the work, for the culture.

3. Recruit, Retain, and Support Faculty of Color

Institutional support for diversity, equity, and inclusion must also extend to hiring practices and the metrics used to evaluate a candidate’s appeal to the institution. Gen Zers want value for their tuition dollars, and to graduate with skills that make them ready for the job market. Their shift toward more technical college majors, and away from the humanities, supports this desire for hands-on training. To that end, law schools should consider hiring more practitioners to bring experiential, problem-solving skills to the classroom based on relevant practice experience. Where a candidate attended law school should not be a barrier. That the candidate did not clerk for SCOTUS or a judge on the federal bench should not be a barrier; their experience, teaching aptitude, and ability to train law students to “promote justice, fairness, and morality” should be the bar.
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Where candidates are recruited may also need to be reevaluated to promote institutional diversity. Most law schools still rely on the AALS hiring conference, dubbed the “meat market,” as a primary source of hiring. The conference in itself presents a barrier to many lawyers desiring to transition into legal education due to the costs of attending the conference, which can be hundreds of dollars, including registration, hotel, airfare, and clothing. The 2020 AALS hiring conference was cancelled due to the pandemic, but if virtual hiring conferences are conducted in the future, this may remove another economic barrier to diversifying the profession by making hiring events more accessible. Future hiring may be reduced with COVID-19; however, faculties can still commit to adopting hiring practices now to ensure equitable recruiting during the next hiring season.

Law schools should also provide more institutional support for their faculty of color, including adopting more equitable promotion and tenure practices and classroom support. Due to the elite hiring metrics which have been applied to faculty candidates, more women and women of color are in clinical, academic support, or legal writing positions rather than in tenure-track roles. These positions often come with less job security, lower salaries, and unequal status in the academy. 
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Through the advocacy of legal writing organizations, there has been significant improvement in the status of legal writing professors with many institutions creating pathways to tenure or other forms of position security, but the “pink ghetto” still exists. Promoting inclusion in law hiring also means filling the academic pipeline with students from underrepresented groups. For many white men, recruitment into the academy begins in law school where they are encouraged and sponsored by a faculty member into opportunities that lead to success at the “meat market”. To further promote inclusion, women, students of color, and students from other underrepresented backgrounds should also be recruited into law teaching, and law schools should prioritize diversity of educational credentials by recruiting outside of the T14. To achieve this, deans and administrators at every law school should charge their career services officers to provide information on law teaching as a path for J.D.’s on their websites and in
career panels. If only the top law schools prepare their students for law teaching careers, then we replicate the elitist belief that only students at Harvard and Yale are qualified to be law professors.

Thinking outside the box regarding institutional diversity efforts might also include establishing scholarships to cover FAR fees for underrepresented graduates or developing law school fellowship programs for students interested in or recruited into legal teaching.

C. Creating “Identity Safe” Classrooms

Once institutions have implemented large scale changes to create an institutional culture that values inclusion and diversity, we must look to our classrooms as the final linchpin in our reimagined program of legal education.

1. Adopting Common Learning Outcomes

Standard 302-2 provides interpretive guidance that “a law school may also identify any additional learning outcomes pertinent to its program of legal education” allowing law schools to go farther with reimagining curricula. This flexibility allows law schools to define cultural competence for themselves, weaving the curriculum around shared ideals about what cultural competence means in their institutions and for their students.

There are many definitions for cultural competence law schools may choose to adopt, including “a set of academic and personal skills that allow [individuals] to increase [their] understanding and appreciation of cultural differences between groups” and the ability to “recognize one’s own potential biases, embrace diversity, and successfully serve others . . . beyond racial and ethnic diversity to include differences in religion, gender, social values, and other characteristics.” Cultural competence reinforces for students and practitioners mutual respect for individual and cul-
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Cultural differences to promote trust and responsiveness\(^\text{310}\) of legal counsel.\(^\text{311}\) Put simply, being culturally competent means having awareness of the differences between various identity groups and being able to recognize how these differences impact legal analysis and representation.

As law schools reimagine their curricula to incorporate cultural awareness and sensibility, turning to the individual course syllabus is a natural first step.\(^\text{312}\) Therefore, the second proposal is for law schools to develop common learning outcomes around cultural competence, defining and constituting its role in institutions and students.

The course syllabus is the first place students look for an idea of what to expect in a course. For many professors and students, the syllabus is viewed as a contract and agreement of what duties will be performed in a classroom.\(^\text{313}\) To provide the positive social cues that create identity safe environments, each course syllabus should contain learning outcomes or goals describing what students will know, be able to do, or value around cultural competence, social justice, and the duty of professionalism\(^\text{314}\) in the context of the course—every law school course. When cultural competence or social justice issues are only taught in skills courses, or in special topics like Race Law or Gender and the Law, it suggests the skill only applies to those topics, and not to law practice and legal education as a whole. When only untenured clinical or legal writing professors are teaching these skills, it can lead to comparison and confrontation; any statements or lessons which are uncomfortable or challenging leading to biased course evaluations, further endangering promotion prospects.\(^\text{315}\)

\(^\text{310.}\) See Tully, \textit{supra} note 101, at 201 (“cultural responsive lawyering acknowledges that culture and law exist in a mutually constitutive relationship and employs both transformative legal analysis and intercultural sensibility to meet the ethical requirements of competent lawyering.”).

\(^\text{311.}\) See Ward & Miller, \textit{supra} note 24.

\(^\text{312.}\) Tavares, \textit{supra} note 27, at 226.


\(^\text{314.}\) See \textit{MODEL RULES OF PRO. CONDUCT} Preamble (AM. BAR ASS’N 1983) [hereinafter ABA MODEL RULES] (“As a public citizen, a lawyer should seek improvement of the law, access to the legal system, the administration of justice and the quality of service rendered by the legal profession. As a member of a learned profession, a lawyer should cultivate knowledge of the law beyond its use for clients, employ that knowledge in reform of the law and work to strengthen legal education . . . A lawyer should be mindful of deficiencies in the administration of justice and of the fact that the poor, and sometimes persons who are not poor, cannot afford adequate legal assistance. Therefore, all lawyers should devote professional time and resources and use civic influence to ensure equal access to our system of justice for all those who because of economic or social barriers cannot afford or secure adequate legal counsel.”) (emphasis added).

\(^\text{315.}\) DEO, \textit{UNEQUAL PROFESSION}, \textit{supra} note 184, at 77-78.
Every course should provide students with opportunities to explore bias—theirs and others’—in the context of their future duty of professional conduct to clients. Every professor should be tasked with training students to understand cultural differences and address social justice issues as advocates and social engineers, allowing them to see the law as a “vehicle for change,” and themselves as driving forces. Avoiding lectures, topics, or teaching opportunities around culture, bias, or social justice is indeed a privilege, one that students of color do not have.

Black and other ethnic minorities are attending classes while members of their community are killed by police with “qualified” immunity, and while the government continues to detain children away from their families for years without legal recourse. Staying silent while these injustices continue is privilege and does not show a commitment to diversity and inclusion under ABA Standard 206, for which the interpretive guidance reads:

In addition to providing full opportunities for the study of law and the entry into the legal profession by members of underrepresented groups, the enrollment of a diverse student body promotes cross-cultural understanding, helps break down racial, ethnic, and gender stereotypes, and enables students to better understand persons of different backgrounds.

Common learning outcomes should be general enough that they can be adopted in various courses, but identifiably connected with cultural competence and social justice. Since the ABA does not include sample or example outcomes in its literature, it will be the work of individual law faculties to research and develop outcomes as part of curriculum mapping and assessment projects. The Association of American Colleges & Universities (AAUP) publishes global learning outcomes in its online
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toolkit to help guide programs adopt similar programmatic language. Examples include:

1. Become informed, open-minded, and responsible people who are attentive to diversity across the spectrum of differences.
2. Seek to understand how their actions affect both local and global communities.
3. Address the world’s most pressing and enduring issues collaboratively and equitably.

Revising these outcomes to suit legal education, they might read:

By the end of this course students will:

1. Understand their role as informed, open-minded, and responsible legal advocates who are attentive to diversity and cultural differences across communities and identity groups.
2. Deepen their understanding of power, perception, and privilege in [subject matter or course name] and how it impacts the law.
3. Recognize how cultural bias impacts the law and how the law impacts cultural bias.

Use of common learning outcomes like those provided by the AAUP is fairly standard in higher education, with colleges and universities engaging in outcomes assessment to ensure student learning. Opponents of the practice, however, argue that requiring faculty to adopt common outcomes imposes on academic freedom, and stifles teach-
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ing. Law faculties, too, share the belief that what they teach should be a private matter of academic freedom, and may balk at the idea of engaging in collaborative outcomes work. However, the ABA recognizes the need for law schools to focus on student learning outcomes as a catalyst for law schools to be intentional in curriculum development.

To embed accountability and ensure the effectiveness of a reimagined law school curricula, common outcomes should be adopted to provide faculty with proper tools to assess student learning and achievement.

2. Focus on Experiential Learning

Gen Zers value execution over strategizing or planning and will excel in classroom environments where hands-on learning is built into the course. Allowing students to engage with the law in a hands-on, practical way also allows them to make sense of the content through trial and error. Gen Z students expect courses and educational programs that prepare them for real-world problem solving and will thrive in courses where this skill is emphasized.

Experiential learning is a hands-on teaching philosophy where educators "engage their students with direct experience and purposeful reflection to increase knowledge, skills, or values." In legal education, experiential learning may include simulated client exercises, class-wide service organization partnerships, clinics, or other activities allowing law students to learn and apply legal skills for a client. For Generation Z,
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this hands-on approach to teaching and learning is likely to be beneficial because Gen Zers take a pragmatic approach to problem-solving, have a self-reliant attitude, and desire to work independently to accomplish goals and objectives. Further, they are motivated by making a difference for others, and in being involved with “transformational rather than simply transactional activities in which roots of problems rather than solely the symptoms are addressed.” Such a perspective lends itself to problem-solving and project-based learning approaches. In addition, these characteristics would seem to particularly value these learning approaches when paired with real-life issues instead of projects designed for learning decontextualized information or skills.

Given these social needs and desires, law school curricula will need to incorporate more experiential opportunities through the program of legal education. Such clinical, hands-on experiences can be integrated well into the 1L curriculum through partnerships with legal writing and legal aid organizations or other agencies in need of additional legal support. Through these partnerships, students may feel more invested in the research and writing, but also in their learning of the substantive law to do well, graduate, and begin practicing themselves. To support Gen Z students, law schools must ensure that these clinical programs provide opportunities to engage in advocacy on social justice issues and important cultural topics.

In addition to clinical opportunities, experiential learning can also be implemented in the curriculum through more “writing across the curriculum” activities designed to integrate practical writing in all law school courses and not just legal writing classrooms. Attaching motion drafting exercises in Criminal Law or Criminal Procedure, or acquisition contracts in Business Associations, or other practical exercises for “clients” connects with Gen Z’s need to make a difference and adds additional critical writing practice to the curriculum.

Many Gen Zers are financially conservative and may be reluctant to obtain advanced degrees due to the overall cost of higher education.
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and their fear of financial insecurity;\textsuperscript{341} if Gen Zers attend law school they will expect their courses to provide useful and practical skills for their eventual careers as lawyers, not just information. “These students are drawn to learning that will fill their toolbox with applicable knowledge \textit{and} skills for their workforce . . . to prepare for life beyond graduation.”\textsuperscript{342}

After the Great Recession, many law schools scaled back on clinical programs,\textsuperscript{343} but clinical education often provides more experiential learning opportunities than “podium” classes. Including these opportunities in the first-year curriculum, and throughout law school, will be a critical part of the reimagining of legal education considering Gen Z students. These programs may be traditional direct service clinics, or more advanced advocacy clinics where students work on policy reform and projects with more opportunities for impact work. Connecting Gen Zers with meaningful work as law students will create powerful, effective advocates and future lawyers who are trained and ready to take on social justice issues upon graduating.

This social justice integration will produce highly motivated Gen Z students who will want to work toward solving systemic issues in transformative ways. Public interest partnerships,\textsuperscript{344} clinics, and collaborative projects incorporated into the 1L curriculum can be powerful advocacy opportunities for students to engage in the kind of work that drew them to law school in the first place.

In \textit{Just Mercy}, Bryan Stevenson recalls his disillusionment with law school until an experiential advocacy opportunity changed the trajectory of his career, and ultimately his life, as his experiences lead him to become a strong death penalty opponent.

Law school had seemed abstract and disconnected before, but after meeting the desperate and imprisoned, it all became relevant and critically important . . . Developing the skills to quantify and deconstruct the discrimination and inequality I saw became urgent and meaningful.\textsuperscript{345}
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Getting proximate to the issues and individuals at the core of Bryan Stevenson’s motivation to attend law school made his studies feel tangible and important, connected to a real person and not just theory. We should commit ourselves and our classrooms to creating similar opportunities for Gen Z law students to make the same, life-altering connections.

3. Curriculum Mapping as an Accountability Tool

Once law schools have done the hard work of reimagining the traditional law school curriculum to include more experiential learning, cultural competence, and social justice, accountability measures must be added to ensure the effectiveness and cohesiveness of these programs. Curriculum mapping is a well-used and known practice in colleges and universities but is rarely utilized in law schools. Traditional curriculum mapping is an organizational design process intended to give educators a big-picture understanding and view of their educational curricula and program. Through the process of collecting and analyzing data provided by faculty and students, participants can identify gaps, redundancies, and inconsistencies in their program and remediate.

In Curriculum Mapping: Bringing Evidence-Based Frameworks to Legal Education, Professors Debra Moss Curtis and David Moss define curriculum mapping as “a coordinated effort conducted by faculty members to better understand the scope and sequence of their curriculum with the explicit outcome of engaging in a coordinated and evidence-based reform process.” The result is a working document illustrating what is being taught and learned across an educational program, or even within an individual course.

Contemporary curriculum mapping projects are designed to assess what students experience in an educational program, as opposed to what they are taught, which can vary from course to course. Under this model, the goal is to develop common learning outcomes to normalize student experiences aligned with those outcomes. Educators often begin curriculum mapping projects with several goals in mind, including helping faculty understand how individual courses connect to broader pro-
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grammatic goals and increasing faculty collegiality and communication. Contemporary curriculum mapping also allows faculty to understand what they are actually teaching students based on real data, informing which new courses and experiences are created and which redundancies are eliminated to achieve the common learning outcomes developed by faculty.

The seven-step process of mapping includes: (1) collecting surveys and questionnaires from students; (2) reviewing all faculty curricula for basic comprehension of what is being taught and shared with students; (3) reviewing the data cross-sectionally with small groups of faculty who teach different courses; (4) reviewing the data in a large group of all faculty members; (5) conducting brainstorming sessions based on the data produced; (6) proposing curricular changes; and (7) committing to ongoing curricular review for accountability.

As we reimagine legal education and how to implement new standards for cultural competence and responsiveness, curriculum mapping is the ideal tool to ensure these competencies are achieved across the law school curriculum. In addition to the six phases described above, faculties should also begin their mapping project by imagining what their ideal law graduate is like, writing out what qualities, traits, and competencies they possess. We all want our students to be critical thinkers, skilled writers, and powerful advocates, but beyond these normal requisites for practicing lawyers, what else? Are they compassionate, empathic, culturally sensible, and responsive? Are they aware of, and responsive to, biases in the analysis and application of the law, and well-prepared to address them in their representation of the client?

Once these qualities are identified, professors should align them with specific experiences their students will have to develop these skills. They may include clinics and other advocacy partnerships in the first-year program; social justice discussions in core law school classes allowing students to analyze the law in its social context; and internships with attor-
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neys to gain practical knowledge. Mapping the curriculum to ensure connections between what Gen Zers are learning and what they are passionate about will prevent the disillusionment Stevenson experienced in law school, reminding them of importance of their work for the culture: “[p]roximity to the condemned and incarcerated made the question of each person’s humanity more urgent and meaningful, including my own.”

**Conclusion**

“[M]y friends and I might still be 11 and [we might] still be in elementary school, but we know. We know life isn’t equal for everyone and we know what is right and wrong. We also know that we stand in the shadow of the capitol and we know that we have seven short years until we too have the right to vote.”

— Naomi Wadler, March For Our Lives, 2018

Naomi Wadler is now thirteen years old. She will be able to vote in five years and may enroll in law school in another nine. As the youngest, and one of the most memorable speakers at the March For Our Lives rally in 2018, this Gen Zer wowed listeners with her fiery indictment of Washington politicians and with her youthful advocacy.

Emma Gonzalez is twenty years old now and was eligible to vote in her first presidential election in November 2020. Emma continues to be a fierce advocate for gun control and promotes youth activism through her organization, March for Our Lives. David Hogg and Jaclyn Corin are both attending college at Harvard University, while continuing their advocacy for gun control.
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advocacy work with student-led gun reform groups, Never Again MSD and March for Our Lives.

Tiana Day, 17; Zee Thomas, 15; Shayla Turner, 18; Brianna Chandler, 19; these young Black women are among the numerous young people leading Black Lives Matter protests using social media and their diverse social circles to draw thousands to protests and rallies.\textsuperscript{361} For these young people, just like Emma, Naomi, David, and Jaclyn, advocacy is their culture and social justice is their cause. If the ongoing protests this summer have shown us anything, it is that Gen Zers are not willing to wait for it\textsuperscript{362} forever. In anticipation of more “relentless” law students entering our classrooms, law schools must become the training grounds where we teach and inspire the next generation of legal advocates, equipping them with tools to make a difference in society for the causes that matter most.

The social justice movements we’ve been witnessing have provided the momentum to move us forward toward a more inclusive, equitable culture in legal education. A culture where minorities and students of color thrive, and where advocacy work takes center stage in our curriculum. Gen Zers are passionate, focused on making a difference for others, and are unafraid to stand up for what they believe in, driven by a deep desire for transformational change.

We should commit to standing with them in transforming legal education, and we should do it all “FOR THE CULTURE.”

\textbf{***}
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