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INTRODUCTION

Susan B. Anthony once famously stated, “I will cut off this right arm of mine before I will ever work for or demand the ballot for the Negro and not the woman.”1 The racism of many early suffragettes has been well documented and discussed;2 Black suffragettes and other suffragettes of color3 were, at best, relegated to the margins of the movement4 and, at

---

3. See generally Cathleen D. Cahill, Recasting the Vote: How Women of Color Transformed the Suffrage Movement (2020) (discussing the often-overlooked stories and importance of Chinese, African American, Native, and Hispanic women in the suffrage movement).
4. See Martha S. Jones, Vanguard: How Black Women Broke Barriers, Won the Vote, and Insisted on Equality for All 16 (2020) (“These efforts correct a record made more than a century ago by Susan B. Anthony, Elizabeth Cady Stanton, Matilda Joslyn Gage, and Ida Husted Harper, who dubbed themselves the historians of the early women’s movement. Between 1881 and 1922, they published the six-volume, fifty-seven-hundred-page History of Woman Suffrage. But they told only one part of the story and in that relegated Black women to the margins. Later historians relied upon these same volumes, producing new studies that, regretfully, repeated old omissions.”) [hereinafter Jones, Vanguard].
worst, scorned and turned away by white suffragettes. Moreover, part of white suffragettes’ strategy for passage of the Nineteenth Amendment was based on racist appeals to white men; white suffragettes claimed that passage of the Nineteenth Amendment would help keep white voters in the majority and, ultimately, would help uphold white supremacy. Against this backdrop, Black women—and much of the Black community more generally—still supported and fought for the passage of the Nineteenth Amendment.

Recent legal and historical scholars have been dedicated to studying the often-overlooked and instrumental role that Black women played in the Suffrage Movement and Black enfranchisement. This Article seeks to look at the coverage by Black—largely male—journalists at the Chicago Defender in the ten years preceding and proceeding the passage of the Nineteenth Amendment. In doing so, this Article hopes to better understand the ways that some Black community members understood and viewed the Nineteenth Amendment and how that perception changed. Although in hindsight we understand that the Nineteenth Amendment was not the liberating feat for Black women that it was for white women, what does Black journalistic coverage in the period immediately before and after its passage tell us about the perception of the Nineteenth Amendment and Black women’s enfranchisement at the time?

The methodology of this research differs from those used in other historical research regarding Black women’s suffrage. Many historians have focused on understanding Black women’s suffrage through studying

5. Rosalyn Terborg-Penn, African American Women in the Struggle for the Vote, 1850-1920, 10 (1998); see id.
7. See Martha S. Jones, What the 19th Amendment Meant for Black Women, Politico (Aug. 26, 2020, 4:30 AM), [https://perma.cc/ER7C-C36D] [hereinafter Jones, What the 19th Amendment Meant].
9. See generally Jones, Vanguard, supra note 4; Cahill, supra note 3.
individual women’s stories.\(^{11}\) In her groundbreaking and well-received book *Vanguard: How Black Women Broke Barriers, Won the Vote, and Insisted on Equality for All*, legal historian Martha Jones says that “by recounting the lives of some of the many Black women who engaged in political fights, the picture of a whole comes into view.”\(^{12}\) These histories rely on a large variety of historical documents left behind by, and about, individual suffragists and events to gain an understanding of “the picture of a whole.”\(^{13}\) This Article takes a different approach: it looks deeply at only one set of primary documents—articles printed in the *Chicago Defender*—to better understand the changes and patterns in community perception revealed through journalistic coverage. This is not counter to the important work of these other historians, who have helped recover the overlooked stories of suffragists of color. Instead, this Article seeks to further our understanding of these stories through a different medium.

In Part I, this Article considers the historical legal framework surrounding Black women’s suffrage, especially looking at the intersection of the Fourteenth, Fifteenth, and Nineteenth Amendments and the subsequent case law. This history sets forth the legal landscape in which Black women’s suffrage efforts took place and, of particular interest to this Article, in which the *Chicago Defender* was being published.

In Part II, this article discusses the *Chicago Defender’s* coverage, readers, and influence. Appreciating the Defender’s carefully curated sense of self is important to understanding both its writers and its readers. Eventually boasting itself to be “The Mouthpiece of 14 Million People,”\(^{14}\) the Defender cultivated a reputation for publishing hard-hitting

---

11. See CAHILL, supra note 3, at 4 (focusing on the stories of six women of color); JONES, *Vanguard*, supra note 4, at 14 (“Vanguard gathers up Black women’s stories in the spirit of Alice Walker’s 1983 essay collection, In Search of Our Mothers’ Gardens. There, Walker uncovered, waded through, and immersed herself in the lives of the women who came before her.”).


13. Historians have used documents from newspapers, court manuscripts, tracts, books, memoirs, images, scrapbooks, and letters to construct a holistic and rounded historical understanding of the movement. See JONES, supra note 4, at 14; CAHILL, supra note 3, at 283-327.

articles and having a “racially high-minded purpose.” The Black community, like any other community, is not a monolith, and the patterns and understandings that this Article extrapolates from the pages of the Chicago Defender cannot speak for all Black people, even when the newspaper itself claims to be the mouthpiece for all Black Americans. Still, the Chicago Defender was the most-read Black newspaper across the country, and its historical significance is undebated. Therefore, the articles published by the Defender necessarily expose well-received ideas circulating amongst Black communities during the time; at the very least, published articles indicate that people were thinking or talking about particular topics in particular ways while lack of publication implies, if not outright indicates, the opposite. The Defender’s massive readership and longevity could only exist if the newspaper was believed to be reputable and if the messages on its pages were valued by its readers. Thus, this historical contextualization reveals the scope and magnitude of the assertions that come from the articles discussed in Part III.

In Part III, this Article analyzes articles published by the Chicago Defender between 1910 and 1930. In particular, this Article tracks the newspaper’s shifting use of mockery, coverage of Black women’s organizing efforts, and discussion of women’s suffrage during this time. While the pages of the Defender between 1910 and 1914 often used mockery or humor to discuss women’s suffrage and suffragettes, this derisive tone largely vanished from the Defender’s pages by 1914, replaced by praise for women’s suffrage and suffragettes and, eventually, by criticism for those who did not believe in women’s suffrage. The articles reveal a similar trend regarding women’s organizing efforts. Although the articles published beginning in 1910 announce debates regarding the issue of women’s suffrage, by the mid-1910s, women’s suffrage was rarely “debated,” replaced instead by articles and notices about lectures and citizenship classes to prepare the female citizenry for the vote. By 1919, coverage

17. Alan D. DeSantis, A Forgotten Leader: Robert S. Abbott and the Chicago Defender from 1910–1920, 23 JOURNALISM HIST. 63, 65 (1997); see, e.g., MICHAELI, supra note 10.
18. Just four years after its founding, the Defender was boasting a readership larger than Chicago’s other black papers combined. Id. at 27. Further, even though the newspaper went completely digital in 2019, it continues to reach a large audience and has never stopped publishing since it began in 1905. See Brigit Katz, The ‘Chicago Defender, an Iconic Black Newspaper, to Release Its Last Print Issue, SMITHSONIAN MAG. (July 9, 2019), [https://perma.cc/P4Q4-LMKV].
of these meetings sharply declined, replaced almost completely with concern over and political activism against Jim Crow laws. Taken together, these shifts reveal the changing atmosphere and sentiments of Black men, who made up a large part of the journalists and readers of the _Defender_. While much of the current research regarding Black women’s suffrage concerns Black women’s perceptions and oft-forgotten work, this Article discusses Black men’s changing perception of women’s suffrage. It reveals Black men’s early sexism, then support, and finally silence as the fight against Jim Crow absorbed the Black male citizenry and consciousness.

Further, the Article’s use of this 20-year period—between 1910 and 1930—is important. The _Defender_ went through a significant shift in 1910, when the newspaper became a “clearly focused organ for racial advancement.” And between 1910 and 1920, the _Defender_ defined and ushered in a new era of Black journalism. In attempting to extrapolate shared perceptions through articles in the _Defender_, those articles published after the newspaper gained its reputation for racial advancement are most relevant to this research. They also allow for more accurate period comparisons, since the newspaper maintained this reputation throughout the studied period. In doing this sort of analysis, this Article hopes to add to the current research so we better understand how the Black community thought about the Nineteenth Amendment and Black women’s suffrage at that time.

I. HISTORICAL LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR SUFFRAGE

In its original form, the U.S. Constitution did not guarantee the right to vote. An individual’s right to vote in a federal election was, therefore, dependent on their state granting them the right to do so.

---

19. DeSantis, _supra_ note 17, at 65.
20. _Id._ at 63.
21. The only mentions of voting in the Constitution appear in Article I, Section 2, Clause 1 (“The House of Representatives shall be composed of Members chosen every second Year by the People of the several States, and the Electors in each State shall have the Qualifications requisite for Electors of the most numerous Branch of the State Legislature”) and Article I, Section 4 (“Congress may at any time by Law make or alter such Regulations, except as to the Places of choosing Senators”).
22. The U.S. Supreme Court reaffirmed this in _United States v. Cruikshank_, 92 U.S. 542, 555 (1875) (“The Constitution of the United States has not conferred the right of suffrage upon any one . . . .”).
23. See Joshua A. Douglas, _The Right to Vote Under State Constitutions_, 67 VAND. L. REV. 89, 93-94 (2014); _Minor v. Happersett_, 88 U.S. 162, 172 (1874) (“When the Federal Constitution was adopted, all the States, with the exception of Rhode Island and Connecticut, had constitutions of their own. These two continued to act under
Historically, every state has limited the right to vote to a subset of their population. Although voter disenfranchisement remains a nationwide issue, the U.S. has been expanding the franchise since the Civil War. This Article will focus primarily on Black enfranchisement and women’s enfranchisement through the Fourteenth, Fifteenth, and Nineteenth Amendments, and the ways that this country historically continued to disenfranchise Black and women voters after the passage of these amendments.

A. Black Enfranchisement

Passed in 1868 and 1870 respectively, the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Amendments extended rights previously denied to Black men. The Fifteenth Amendment explicitly addressed the voting rights of Black Americans, stating, “The right . . . to vote shall not be denied or abridged by the United States or by any State on account of race, color, or previous condition of servitude.” During Reconstruction and a handful of years


25. See Carrie Levine, Praheek Rebala, & Matt Vasilogambros, New Data Tracks Polling Place Locations for 37 States, Article in Barriers to the Ballot Box: The CTR. For Pub. Integrity (Dec. 13, 2021), [https://perma.cc/7ARJ-8H5S].


27. U.S. Const. amend. XIV; U.S. Const. amend. XV.

thereafter, Black male voter turnout was high across the country. For example, in nearly every Southern state, a majority of adult Black males voted in the 1880 presidential election. However, by the beginning of the twentieth century, nearly all Black voters had been stripped from the voting rolls in the South. Many Southern states used a variety of tactics to accomplish this, including through force and the use of restrictive voting qualifications.

Congress’s unwillingness to enforce the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Amendments played an important role in the decline of Black disfranchisement during this time. For example, Congress refused to investigate allegations of Black exclusion from Alabama’s 1894 Senate election. Congress also refused to enforce Section 2 of the Fourteenth Amendment, which provided for a reduction in the size of a state’s House delegation if the state discriminated in voting. And while Congress was failing to protect Black voters, some Southern congressmen made efforts to outright repeal the Fifteenth Amendment.

The government’s failure to protect the rights of Black voters went beyond the failures of Congress: the Supreme Court also played a pivotal role in undercutting Black enfranchisement.

32. See id. (“Racial lynching, mob violence, terrorism by white vigilante groups, cheered on by the press and Southern demagogues vying with each other in their rhetoric of race chauvinism, created a savage state of racial intimidation by the turn of the century.”).
33. See id. at 842; see generally Paul Lewinson, Race, Class, and Party (1932) (detailing the history of Black voter disenfranchisement from the late 19th through the early 20th centuries).
35. Issacharoff et al., supra note 26, at 43.
Fueled by the 1872 election for Louisiana’s governor, antagonism between Southern Democrats and the federal government—led by Republicans—erupted, most notably in the Colfax Massacre of 1873.\(^{37}\) When President Grant sent federal troops to support the Republican candidate, white Southerners formed the “White League,” a heavily-armed insurgency similar to the Ku Klux Klan.\(^{38}\) Fearful that the White League would seize control of the regional government, a Black militia took control of the local courthouse.\(^{39}\) Shortly thereafter, a mob of white men surrounded the courthouse.\(^{40}\) Even after the Black militia surrendered, the white rebels murdered many of the Black men, ultimately killing between 60 and 150 Black individuals.\(^{41}\) While the Louisiana courts charged nine white men with violating the Enforcement Acts of 1870 and 1871,\(^ {42}\) the Supreme Court dismissed all indictments.\(^ {43}\) The Court sent a clear message: they would only protect Black voters against state sponsored disenfranchisement efforts.\(^ {44}\)

Then, in 1875, the Supreme Court struck down sections of the 1870 Enforcement Act, claiming that they were beyond congressional power.\(^ {45}\) Simultaneously, the Court upheld a variety of state efforts to deny other aspects of citizenship to Black men.\(^ {46}\)

Finally, in 1903, the Supreme Court was asked to decide whether blatant state disenfranchisement of Black Americans was constitutional under the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Amendments. In \textit{Giles v. Harris}, the Court refused to provide relief to Jackson W. Giles, a Black man whose

\begin{footnotesize}
\footnotetext{38}{Lewis, supra note 37.}
\footnotetext{40}{Id.}
\footnotetext{41}{Lewis, supra note 37. Only three white men were killed. Id.}
\footnotetext{42}{Id.}
\footnotetext{43}{United States v. Cruikshank, 92 U.S. 542 (1875).}
\footnotetext{44}{See \textit{United States v. Harris}, 106 U.S. 629 (1883) (holding that the Fourteenth Amendment applies only to state action, not individual action that deprives one of equal protection and due process under the law).}
\footnotetext{45}{United States v. Reese, 92 U.S. 214 (1875).}
\footnotetext{46}{See, e.g., \textit{Williams v. Mississippi}, 170 U.S. 213 (1898) (upholding state efforts to deny Black men the right to participate on juries).}
\end{footnotesize}
voting registration was denied in his home state of Alabama. Ultimately, this case showed Americans that the Supreme Court would not intervene in the disenfranchisement of Black Americans.

Southern states began utilizing a wide variety of techniques to disenfranchise Black voters, including poll taxes, grandfather clauses, literacy tests, and white primaries. In fact, by 1904, every ex-Confederate state had adopted some version of a poll tax. Every state in the Deep South adopted a new state constitution between 1890 and 1908 and every newly-adopted constitution included at least one of these disenfranchising methods. White Southerners also did not shy away from using force and violence to scare Black voters from the polls. These various disenfranchisement efforts were widely successful, and this ad hoc pattern continued into the 1940s and beyond.

B. Women’s Suffrage

Both feminist and legal scholars often cite the 1848 Women’s Rights Convention in Seneca Falls, New York as the beginning of the women’s rights movement. At first, the women’s movement was hopeful that the

47. Giles v. Harris, 189 U.S. 475 (1903).
50. Keyssar, supra note 49, at 111-12; Schmidt, supra note 31, at 842.
51. White primaries were primary elections (largely in the Southern states) where only white voters were permitted to participate. See Keyssar, supra note 49, at 247; Armand Derfner, Racial Discrimination and the Right to Vote, 26 Vand. L. Rev. 523, 524 (1973); R. Volney Riser, Defying Disfranchisement: Black Voting Rights Activism in the Jim Crow South, 1890-1908 (2010).
52. Issacharoff et al., supra note 26, at 74.
54. Issacharoff et al., supra note 26, at 55.
55. See Daniel B. Jones, supra note 29, at 37.
56. Derfner, supra note 51, at 524.
Fourteenth Amendment would enfranchise them.\textsuperscript{58} However, the writers of the Fourteenth Amendment made sure to include the word “male” in Section 2 of the Amendment, a devastating blow to the suffragists.\textsuperscript{59} Elizabeth Cady Stanton, a prominent white suffragist, even stated, “If that word ‘male’ be inserted, it will take us a century at least to get it out.”\textsuperscript{60} And, generally speaking, Stanton’s sentiment was correct: the addition of the word “male” made it difficult for women to secure the right to vote.\textsuperscript{61}

Western territories were the first to extend the franchise to women.\textsuperscript{62} After the Fourteenth Amendment was ratified in 1868 (with the word “male” included in Section 2), suffragists hoped that Congress would use its Section 5 powers to eliminate gender from state voting laws.\textsuperscript{63} Congress denied direct responsibility: they told suffragists to take the matter to the courts.\textsuperscript{64}

In 1874, the Supreme Court ruled the Fourteenth Amendment did not extend the right to vote to women.\textsuperscript{65} Virginia Minor, a U.S. citizen

\textsuperscript{59.} See id.
\textsuperscript{60.} SUSAN WARE, \textit{WHY THEY MARCHED: UNTOLD STORIES OF THE WOMEN WHO FOUGHT FOR THE RIGHT TO VOTE} 20 (2019).
\textsuperscript{61.} See Minor v. Happersett, 88 U.S. 162, 178 (1874). Not only was Virginia Minor’s claim rejected unanimously by the Supreme Court, but popular opinion also considered Minor’s request for relief frivolous. An editorial excerpt from \textit{The Nation} wrote, “The opinion, delivered by the Chief-Justice, is of course conclusive, and what will probably strike most lawyers about it, is wonder that the point should ever have been raised. Every one in the country knows that the Fourteenth Amendment was not adopted for the purpose of giving women the right to vote, and the argument made to support the claim is only a shade less puerile than that which is made by some women’s-rights agitators from the Fifteenth Amendment abolishing ‘slavery and involuntary servitude.’ Considering the crowded condition of the Supreme Court docket, and the vast number of really important cases which are delayed by such suits as this, it seems as if a more peremptory way of dealing with them would be better, and as if giving up precious time to the consideration of such arguments as we have just referred to might possibly lay the Court open to the charge of a ‘delay of justice’ provided against by a much older bill of rights than the Fourteenth of Fifteenth Amendment.” Image 71 of Blackwell Family Papers: Lucy Stone Papers, 1759-1960; Miscellany.; Notebooks; 1 of 2, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS, [https://perma.cc/PNY5-GKZ8].
\textsuperscript{63.} Section 5 of the Fourteenth Amendment broadly authorizes Congress to advance the protections of due process, equal protection, and the privileges and immunities of citizenship. See ISSACHAROFF et al., supra note 26, at 23.
\textsuperscript{64.} See id.
\textsuperscript{65.} Minor v. Happersett, 88 U.S. 162, 178 (1874).
from the state of Missouri and a follower of Susan B. Anthony, brought a case against Reese Happersett, the registrar who rejected her application to register to vote. At that time, Missouri did not allow women to vote. It was widely believed that women lacked the capacity to vote. Still, Virginia Minor argued that voting was a privilege and immunity of citizenship and, as a citizen of the United States and Missouri, she was wrongly denied her right to vote.

Unfortunately, the Court did not agree. Although it agreed that women were clearly citizens, the Court noted that women had been citizens long before the Fourteenth Amendment was passed. Since women were not eligible to vote when the Constitution was enacted, the Privileges and Immunities Clause, it held, was not meant to include the right to vote. The Court also noted that Section 2 of the Fourteenth Amendment clearly contemplated that states would deny suffrage to some people; rather than prohibiting disenfranchisement, the Amendment merely enacted a penalty when states did so. Unanimously, the Supreme Court upheld Missouri’s voting legislation.

Although women won voting rights in some states, there was no national movement on the issue until 1918, when Congress approved the Nineteenth Amendment and sent it to the states for ratification. The Amendment was rejected by some Southern states but received approval in the West, Northeast, and Midwest. It also received approval in Kentucky, Arkansas, Texas, Oklahoma, and Tennessee. The Nineteenth Amendment was enacted on August 18, 1920 and overturned Minor v.

67. In order to file her lawsuit, Virginia Minor was required by Missouri law to get her husband’s signature. See Issacharoff et al., supra note 26, at 23.
68. Some other widely-held beliefs included: women were childlike and were therefore incapable of voting; allowing women to vote was a threat to family cohesion and marriage; women should only be involved in domestic affairs; and women’s suffrage was a threat to masculinity. See Marina Koren, Why Men Thought Women Weren’t Made to Vote, ATLANTIC (July 11, 2019), [https://perma.cc/XMQ7-DSG9]; Says Modes Hurt Suffrage, N.Y. TIMES (July 28, 1913), at 1.
70. Minor, 88 U.S. at 171.
71. Minor, 88 U.S. at 170.
73. This penalty only punished denying voting rights to men; there was no penalty for denying voting rights to women. Minor, 88 U.S. at 174-75.
75. U.S. CONST. amend. XIX.
76. Issacharoff et al., supra note 26, at 27.
77. Id.
Happersett.78 Since its passage, there has been “virtually no litigation” generated by the Nineteenth Amendment.79

C. Where Did That Leave Black Women?

Feminist scholars often cite the passage of the Nineteenth Amendment as the end of the First Wave of the Women’s Rights Movement, and the Second Wave did not begin until the 1960s.80 So, where did that leave Black women?

Not a single Black woman was in attendance at the Seneca Falls Convention.81 Unfortunately, this foreshadowed the deep-seated racial fissures that would plague the movement.82 When Black men became enfranchised, white suffragettes were enraged. In a letter to the editor of the New York Standard, Elizabeth Cady Stanton wrote:

The representative women of the nation have done their uttermost for the last thirty years to secure freedom for the negro, and as long as he was lowest in the scale of being, we were willing to press his claims; but now, as the celestial gate to civil rights is slowly moving on its hinges, it becomes a serious question whether we had better stand aside and see ‘Sambo’ walk into the kingdom first . . . In fact, it is better to be the slave of an educated white man, than of a degraded, ignorant black one.83

Susan B. Anthony apparently felt similarly, stating, “I will cut off this right arm of mine before I will ever work for or demand the ballot for the Negro and not the woman.”84 These women, the leaders of the movement,85 turned towards racism, classism, and elitism in the hopes of securing the vote for women, either forgetting or ignoring all the women

78. Id. at 26.
79. Id. at 28.
80. See, e.g., DICKER, supra note 57, at 6.
81. DAVIS, supra note 2, at 57 (“[T]here was not a single Black woman in attendance. Nor did the convention’s documents make even a passing reference to Black women.”).
83. 2 HISTOR Y OF WOMEN’S SUFFRAGE 94-95 (Elizabeth Cady Stanton, Susan B. Anthony, & Matilda Joslyn Gage eds., 1887).
84. FLEXNER, supra note 1, at 144.
85. See JONES, VANGUARD, supra note 4, at 16 (“. . . Susan B. Anthony, Elizabeth Cady Stanton . . . who dubbed themselves the historians of the early women’s movement.”).
they were leaving behind. These suffragettes claimed that women’s suffrage was justified on the grounds that white, native-born women could help secure the political dominance of “Americans” against those vying for their rights. When the Nineteenth Amendment was passed, Black women’s fight was not over.

II. THE FAR-REACHING INFLUENCE OF THE CHICAGO DEFENDER

“The Defender recorded our expansion of democracy—the degree to which African Americans were locked out of the process. The Defender recorded the injustice and then became part of the process of opening up opportunity and making people more aware of the civil rights issues that were at stake . . . The Defender represents the best of American journalism, which has always had a function not just of reporting, but also of advocacy and having a point of view.” —Senator Barack Obama, PBS Interview 2005

A. What Was the Chicago Defender Covering?

The first issue of the Chicago Defender came out on May 5, 1905. Although it would become known for stories about racial injustice, segregation, and white-on-black crime, it started out by focusing primarily on local gossip and special interest stories. Early on, the paper reported on every church group, fraternal organization, and business, often referencing individuals by name to stick with the maxim “Names Make News.” At this time, the Defender was very much a local newspaper.

86. White suffragists did not limit themselves to putting down Black men and women. They also targeted Chinese immigrants, uneducated individuals, and criminals. Much of the rhetoric used then reflects white supremacist language and fear.
88. MICHAELI, supra note 10, at xviii.
89. For the first issue, Abbott only printed 300 copies. Each copy was handbill size and only four pages long. See DeSantis, supra note 17, at 64.
90. Id.
91. Id.
Things began to change when the Defender published an exposé on muckraking crusades in 1909.92 The newspaper’s founder, Robert S. Abbott, quickly realized that the key to the Defender’s success was reporting on stories that underscored a “racially high-minded purpose.”93 By doing so, he hoped to uplift his readership and, in turn, his race.94 In 1910, due in part to changes with the newspaper staff, the Defender became a “clearly focused organ for racial advancement.”95 Between 1910 and 1920, the Defender defined and ushered in a new era of Black journalism.96

The Defender also set itself apart by publishing material and using language that no other Black newspaper dared to publish or use. This language “represented unapologetic [B]lack pride, dignity, and assertiveness.”97 The Defender used the word “Race” to denote Black people; for example, “The Race Needs a Real Leader” and “Emmett Jay Scott is found to be a great asset to the Race.”98 While other dailies often placed “colored” in parentheses after the names of Black Americans, the Defender used parentheses only to indicate when someone was white.99 Together, this messaging told Black Americans that the Chicago Defender was a publication by and for them, a place where they could find news about their communities that was often ignored or overlooked by white-owned daily newspapers.

93. Ottley, supra note 15, at 1-16.
94. Id. at 9.
95. DeSantis, supra note 17, at 65.
96. Id. at 63.
98. The Race Needs a Real Leader, Chi. Def., Jan. 9, 1915, at 8; Ralph W. Tyler, The Present Crisis Produced Real Man: Emmett Jay Scott Is Found to Be a Great Asset to the Race, Chi. Def., Jan. 19, 1918, at 10; see also Appeal to Chicago Professional Men That Are Prostituting the Race, Chi. Def., Jul. 29, 1911, at 7; Enterprise of the Race Press, Chi. Def., Dec. 9, 1911, at 3; Praise for the Gallant Soldiers: Surgeon-General Says Members of the Race in the Army Are Seldom Sick, Chi. Def., Nov. 9, 1912, at 1. The Chicago Defender archives indicate that this phrase—“the Race”—was used in over 33,000 articles.
B. The Readers & Influence of the Chicago Defender

The Defender struggled to build a readership in its early years. Abbott solicited subscriptions at churches, city pool halls, barbershops, bars, cabarets, and restaurants; much to the amusement and mockery of the three other Black newspapers in Chicago, he even solicited door to door in his neighborhood. Unlike many of the other newspapers at the time, Abbott did not have his own resources to subsidize the Defender and, instead, depended entirely on the support of the community. Subscribers made up the newspaper’s largest income stream, which left the Defender “beholden to the whole community, rather than one family, business concern, political party, or interest group . . . .” This also meant that the pages of the Defender necessarily had to be filled with topics of interest and widely-supported opinions in order to maintain and gain readership.

The Defender did not have its first regular newsstand sales until 1912. But even before the newspaper hit the regular newsstand, the Defender boasted a readership of twenty-five thousand, reaching approximately one out of ten Black Chicagoans. Shortly thereafter, the Defender became a nation-wide must-read, and Pullman Porters played an integral role. Since the majority of Black folks continued living in the South, the Defender’s continued success and self-sufficiency depended, in part, on tapping into readers there. The porters were in regular contact with the Black communities in the South; their knowledge of

100. DeSantis, supra note 17, at 64.
103. Id. at 21.
104. Id.
105. See DeSantis, supra note 17, at 64.
106. Abbott calculated this figure by multiplying the actual number of papers sold (between four thousand and six thousand) by the number of times he expected the paper was passed from one person to another (a common way to share news at the time). These numbers indicate that the Defender had a larger readership than all of the city’s other Black newspapers, combined. See Michaeli, supra note 10, at 27.
107. Copies of the Defender were even being sold in London, Monrovia, Liberia, and the Philippines. Id. at 50.
these communities—both in the big cities and in small rural towns—allowed the Defender to reach these readers. Still, authorities in the South viewed the Defender as a “radical” and “dangerous” publication and sometimes tried to seize the newspaper. It was not uncommon for the newspaper to be banned from the newsstands completely. Those brave enough to publicly read or distribute the Defender in the 1920s were often harassed or threatened with death. Black newspapers in the South did not dare publish the radical things that were written in the Chicago Defender if they did, they likely would have been killed or run out of town. But the Defender dared to say such things, and Black communities in the South began to view the Chicago Defender as a newspaper they could depend on and trust. By the 1920s, two-thirds of the Defender’s readers were in the Deep South. The combination of diligent workers, loyal patrons, politically-active railroad porters, and dedicated traveling entertainers allowed the Defender to deeply penetrate the South and, ultimately, communities across the country.

By the 1920s, the Defender boasted a circulation of over 250,000, making it the most-read Black newspaper in the country. The Pullman Porters did much more than expand the Defender’s readership: they also
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119. DeSantis, supra note 17, at 65.
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121. Id. at 70.
expanded the newspaper’s news network. Soon, the Defender was reporting on news from all over the country and, occasionally, around the world. It was the newspaper that Black Americans could—and did—call their own.

Although the Chicago Defender was undoubtedly a Chicago newspaper, its far-reaching influence and readership demonstrated that the scope of the newspaper was much larger. The magnitude of this reach may best be encapsulated by the newspaper’s role in the Great Migration. Between 1916 and 1917, the Black population in the Midwest increased drastically as Black Americans from Mississippi, Alabama, Arkansas, and Louisiana made their way North. Even though other Black newspapers included stories about migration, the Defender became “the undisputed champion of the migration cause,” unabashedly printing stories to encourage the exodus through a “migration campaign.” Poet Carl Sandburg once wrote that, “The Defender more than any other one agency was the big cause of the ‘Northern fever’ and the big exodus from the South.” Similarly, the U.S. Department of Labor admitted that in certain parts of the country, the Defender was likely more effective in commandeering labor than all other agents were put together.

This exodus not only expanded both the Defender’s circulation and impact, it also illustrates the far-reaching influence and readership that the newspaper had already achieved. The Wilson administration appointed a special advisor to produce an extensive report on the causes and

122. Michaeli, supra note 10, at 50; see, e.g., Coast of Liberia is Shelled by a German Submarine, Chi. Def., Apr. 20, 1918 at 1; Philippines Are Rich in Relics Scientist Says, Chi. Def., Dec. 22, 1923 at 11; Cuba to Have First Hanging in 19 Years, Chi. Def., Aug. 8, 1925 at A1.

123. The National Archives describes the Great Migration in the following way: “The Great Migration was one of the largest movements of people in United States history. Approximately six million Black people moved from the American South to Northern, Midwestern, and Western states roughly from the 1910s until the 1970s. The driving force behind the mass movement was to escape racial violence, pursue economic and educational opportunities, and obtain freedom from the oppression of Jim Crow.” The Great Migration (1910-1970), Nat’l Archives, [https://perma.cc/GWT4-A6R3].
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126. DeSantis, supra note 17, at 66.


128. DeSantis, supra note 17, at 66; see id.
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effects of the Great Migration.\textsuperscript{130} For his report, the advisor tracked the 
\textit{Defender’s} “booming circulation” and discovered that in some Southern
states, “the paper sold out on the day it arrived. Copies were passed around until they disintegrated. In one town . . . they reported that reading \textit{The Defender} conferred the impression of being ‘intelligent’; in another place, even old men who were illiterate made a point of carrying a copy under their arms.”\textsuperscript{131}

In total, the \textit{Chicago Defender} was a newspaper that prided itself on being an organ for racial advancement, publishing articles that were widely popular and hugely influential amongst the largest Black readership in the country. Though the \textit{Defender’s} readers were diverse,\textsuperscript{132} they were proud to call the newspaper their own. Considering the newspaper’s meager beginnings, the success it achieved could only be possible with the support of a large readership. Though the newspaper could not literally serve as “the mouthpiece of 14,000,000 people,”\textsuperscript{133} the articles it published had to be of interest to a large portion of its readership; it could not boast such a large readership and such a mighty reputation otherwise. And this suggests that the \textit{Defender’s} staff, believing their audience to be Black Americans across the entire country, were writing about popular and prominent issues that were of interest to the masses. Thus, studying articles published in the \textit{Defender} between 1910 and 1930—during the heyday of the newspaper’s influence—can reveal the wishes, aspirations, and thoughts of both its journalists and its devoted Black American readers.
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\item \textsuperscript{130} Michaeli, \textit{supra} note 10, at 74.
\item \textsuperscript{131} \textit{Id.} at 74-75.
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III. STUDYING BLACK WOMEN’S SUFFRAGE THROUGH THE CHICAGO DEFENDER

The Black community was quick to take up the cause of women’s suffrage. While the Defender published a number of articles mocking women’s suffrage between 1910 and 1914, these jokes quickly vanished from its pages. They were replaced by calls to Black women—some earnest, some militant—to prepare to vote, using many of the tactics it used to appeal to Black men. When the Nineteenth Amendment was finally passed, the Black community had long since been in support of women’s suffrage. However, by 1920, the Black community was already familiar with the many tactics that states were utilizing to keep Black voters from the polls; the pages of the Chicago Defender reflected a communal knowledge that newly enfranchised Black women voters would now face these same tactics. Ultimately, the Black community understood that the passage of the Nineteenth Amendment would mean little to them in the era when Jim Crow ruled.

A. Understanding the Perception of Black Men’s Suffrage

Voting was a very serious matter in the Black community. Black enfranchisement was a hard-earned right and, therefore, not taken for granted. In one article, the Defender stated that the Fifteenth Amendment was “divinely ordained”134 while, in another, it wrote that suffrage “is our greatest possession.”135 While a number of efforts were unsuccessfully made to repeal the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Amendments, the Black community did not waver in their commitment to the ballot.136

Amongst the Black community, Black men were encouraged—and even expected—to vote.137 Moreover, Black men were expected to vote

136. See Senator Vardaman’s Amendment Loses, CHI. DEF., Mar. 21, 1914, at 2.
137. See Mildred Miller, CHI. DEF., Mar. 9, 1912, at 7 (“In the first place many real good citizens in the second ward . . . do not interest themselves enough in politics to register and do their duty either at the primary or the regular election.”); Don’t Fail to Register, CHI. DEF., Sept. 21, 1912, at 1 (“Some time between 8 a.m. and 9 p.m. on Oct. 5 you should find time to comply with this duty of citizenship.”); Editorial Article 2—No Title, CHI. DEF., Nov. 29, 1924, at A12 (“The slacker who does not vote is responsible for the growth of class hatreds and minority rule in American public life.”).
for candidates that would be “of greatest benefit to the Race[.]”\textsuperscript{138} Although the Republican party was often favored within the Black community, the \textit{Defender} refused to endorse candidates based on party alone.\textsuperscript{139} Instead, the newspaper often encouraged readers to study candidates and make informed decisions. For example, on the front page on November 4, 1922, the \textit{Defender} wrote, “[T]he point we wish to impress upon our readers is to carefully investigate the record of each individual candidate with a view of voting for and against individuals rather than for or against parties or factions.”\textsuperscript{140} In this way, the newspaper encouraged the community to remain engaged and hold candidates accountable.

The \textit{Defender} also used radical rhetoric when discussing Black voters who were not fulfilling their civic responsibilities. A 1912 article stated, “In Belgium the man who refuses to vote is thrown in jail, but there are not prisons enough to accommodate even a fraction of the nonvoters in this patriotic land.”\textsuperscript{141} Similarly, on the front page of the November 5, 1910 newspaper, the \textit{Defender} proclaimed, “The Negro who will vote against himself . . . should be disenfranchised.”\textsuperscript{142} The article also declared, “[I]f a Negro sells his vote he should be punished as other men . . . A man that is so little as that should be cast out of the city and put in the State’s prison, where he belongs . . . .”\textsuperscript{143} These messages demonstrate the high value the Black community assigned to voting and the expectations associated with having the franchise; voting was such a serious matter that those who were not fulfilling their duties were described as criminals deserving of punishment. Against this backdrop, women across the country were gaining the right to vote.

B. Understanding the Perception of Women’s Suffrage through the Use of Humor and Mockery in the \textit{Defender}

Between 1910 and 1914, the \textit{Defender} printed a number of humorous or contemptuous articles about women’s suffrage and women generally. Many of these articles hinged on gendered societal expectations surrounding femininity or womanhood. For example, one article, a mock-
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dialogue printed in 1911, ridiculed women’s lack of decisiveness, stating, “He—Why do you say women would never buy votes? She—Because they couldn’t exchange them.”\textsuperscript{144} Although buying votes was often and repeatedly scorned throughout the pages of the Defender,\textsuperscript{145} this dialogue does not commend women for “never buy[ing] votes.”\textsuperscript{146} Similarly, another article noted that “careless female voters” often accidentally enclosed shopping lists or other personal items in their woman’s club ballots, sometimes forgetting to include their ballots altogether.\textsuperscript{147} Although this article does not directly mention women’s suffrage, the emphasis on women’s inability to correctly use their ballots for women’s clubs does not speak highly of their impending suffrage abilities; instead, the article mocks women as forgetful at best and inept at worst. Another article in 1912 mocked women’s inability to set aside personal pettiness, projecting that a female delegate in 1916 would refuse to vote as required by her delegation because the candidate had “gone and had her hat trimmed just like mine” and, therefore, the delegate “wouldn’t vote for [her] even if there were no other women in the world to vote for.”\textsuperscript{148} These tropes were not unique to Black women; some of these jokes were even from non-Black sources and were simply reprinted in the pages of the Defender, illustrating how sexist tropes transcended racial lines.\textsuperscript{149}

Furthermore, some of these mocking articles targeted suffragettes specifically. In the early 1910s, the stereotypes regarding suffragettes were no more creative than current stereotypes regarding feminists. For example, suffragettes were often synonymous with spinsters: In a 1912 article, after being assured by an athletic young man, a suffragette stated, “You know, I’m a spinster and a suffragette, but there certainly are times when a man is a mighty good thing to have around”\textsuperscript{150}; another article, a mock-dialogue printed in 1911, reads, “She—Have you reflected in your advocacy of woman suffrage of the danger to your party? He—What’s that? She—that out of mere force of habit, all the old cats would scratch the
ticket.” Suffragettes also had a reputation for cooking poorly and spending an extensive time away from home. Once again, these stereotypes were common in Black and white communities alike.

However, by 1913 many of the punchlines in the Defender had shifted. Articles mocking suffragettes declined and then disappeared completely. The articles that persisted often mocked individuals who did not believe that women should have the right to vote. For example, a humorous 1912 article titled, “The ‘Superior’ Sex” included the following exchange between Miss Belinda and the man she employed to help with her garden:

“The polls ain’t decent for women. I’ve said it before, and I say it again, women folks ain’t got no business in such a dirty place.”

“Where is the voting place in this town?” asked Miss Belinda.

“Well, since the old town hall burned down we’ve been votin’ in the Oakland district school.”

“Oh, that’s where your daughter teaches. Dear me, you must hate to have Amanda in such a dirty place so much of the time.”

Here, the man said that the polls were no place for women, stating that the polls were “such a dirty place.” Tongue-in-cheek, Miss Belinda slyly pointed out that the dirty polling place in question is, in fact, the school where his daughter teaches, which the man apparently did not consider to be “too dirty” as long as his daughter was engaging in stereotypically feminine work. To further illustrate the article’s tone, the writer placed the word “Superior” from the article’s title in quotation marks; although men have long been considered the “superior sex,” the
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quotation marks here suggest that the writer did not consider men to be superior and, in fact, that the man in the dialogue was the butt of the joke.

A 1913 article with a similar sentiment said, “It’s all very well for educated women to vote,’ said an ardent anti to Mrs. Belmont, ‘but think how terrible it would be if your cook had a vote.’ ‘He has,’ replied Mrs. Belmont with a smile.”158 Here, the ardent anti obviously did not consider that Mrs. Belmont’s cook was a man, who already was enfranchised. Ultimately, the ardent anti’s argument fell apart since—as Mrs. Belmont’s smile suggested—the ardent anti would not suggest that male cooks should not have the right to vote. In these articles, Miss Belinda and Mrs. Belmont deflated common arguments used against women’s suffrage. And, in both, the “antis” appeared foolish when the suffragettes used their arguments against them. This shift by the Defender corresponded with women’s enfranchisement in Illinois; in June of 1913, the Illinois Suffrage Act was signed into law and allowed all women to vote for president and local officers.159 As such, the articles that the Defender published reflected changing sentiments in the Black community about women’s suffrage more generally.

The language used in the Defender also highlights this change: while the word “suffragette” appeared in eleven articles in 1912 and thirteen articles in 1913, it only appears in two or three articles per year between 1914 and 1920.160 After 1920, the word “suffragette” appears in one article in 1924 and then disappears from Defender’s pages altogether for nearly two decades.161 This shift in language, however, does not correspond with a lack of interest in suffrage. In 1912 and 1913, the majority of the articles that used the word “suffragette” did so in a mocking or joking manner.162 However, as the sentiments surrounding women’s suffrage shifted, so did the language used to discuss suffrage supporters. For

160. However, 1919 is an exception; the word “suffragette” does not appear in any articles during that year.
161. The 1941 article discusses how Ethiopian Empress Mennen “has been studying the suffragette movement.” *Empress Plans Reforms for her Ethiopia*, Chi. Def., May 17, 1941, at 2.
162. See, e.g., *A Happy Married Life*, Chi. Def., July 13, 1912, at 8 (a man remarks on his marriage to a suffragette); *Article 6 – No Title*, Chi. Def., Apr. 12, 1913, at 6 (joking about the cooking skills of suffragettes); *Editorial Article 1–No Title*, Chi. Def., Apr. 19, 1913, at 4 (characterizing a woman’s reaction to voting); *In Their Flats*, Chi. Def., Dec. 14, 1912, at 2 (describing having a suffragette in the home); *Where He Might
example, Ida B. Wells, a prominent Black woman involved in the suffrage movement, was rarely called a suffragette; instead, one 1913 article referred to Wells as “our prominent race and club leader” while another article called her “The Modern Joan Arc.” The Defender spoke similarly of the Black community’s support for women’s suffrage: in one 1913 article, the Defender said, “It is not too much to say the women will need protection many times at the polls and they will find no better champions of their rights than the man of color,” while a 1916 article stated, “the wise thing for our people... to do is to support solidly the first amendment for woman’s suffrage that comes along.” One 1915 blurb made the shift clear: when discussing the women’s suffrage movement, the article stated, “Let the good work go on, we are with the women right or wrong.” Although Black leaders of the women’s movement—including Ida B. Wells and Mary Church Terrell—could have been called suffragettes, the movement away from this word indicated a community-wide shift. It was no longer noteworthy when someone believed in women’s suffrage because the Black community already believed in and was fighting for votes for women. Although the Black community was initially susceptible to the negative stereotypes associated with suffragettes and the women’s movement more generally, it soon came to understand the value of women’s suffrage to their communities, and the coverage in the pages of the Defender reflected this change.

C. Understanding Women’s Suffrage through Black Women’s Organizing Efforts

The earliest record of social clubs discussing women’s suffrage within the pages of the Defender is from an article published on February

163. Article 9–No Title, CHI. DEF., Mar. 22, 1913, at 8.
164. Marches in Parade Despite Protests, CHI. DEF., Mar. 8, 1913, at 1.
168. Social clubs were formed primarily in the 1920s and played a key role in the history of Black America. These clubs typically began informally and, later, became more prominent. Janita Poe & Tribune Staff Writer, Role of Social Clubs Changes with Times, CHI. TRIB., Feb. 9, 1994. [https://perma.cc/5DL2-JNUC].
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Have Been, CHI. DEF., June 14, 1913, at 7 (describing a man’s encounter with a suffragette); Why A Man Likes A Dog: Of Course There Are Other Reasons, But These Are the Ideas of the Suffragette Lady, CHI. DEF., Jan. 6, 1912, at 6 (describing the obedient characteristics men like about dogs).
12, 1910. The article, found on page 3, stated that “[c]olored men and women alike were invited” to a “colored woman’s suffrage mass meeting” in New York. More articles announcing meetings and debates appeared in 1911 and 1912. For example, on April 8, 1911, the Defender announced an address at the Chicago Standard Literary Society of Olivet Baptist Church entitled, “Social Unrest in Relation to the Women’s Suffrage Movement.” That same month, another social club heard a speech titled, “Shall Women Vote?” by a “renowned critic” of women’s suffrage. Although the content of these meetings was not reported in the Defender, the titles and speakers indicate that many of these early discussions were not in favor—or, at least, were skeptical—of women’s suffrage.

In 1912 and 1913, messaging surrounding these conversations and speeches shifted slightly; while some continued to argue for both sides, many articles indicated an increasingly positive reaction to women’s suffrage. For example, in an article published on March 30, 1912, the Defender reported that Honorable Julius F. Taylor, president of the Colored Press Bureau “put the question of votes for women before the bureau and . . . it was unanimously decided to favor and advocate the proposition.” The following year in Baltimore, the Defender reported that Bishop L.J. Coppin declared his support for women’s suffrage.

Formal debates regarding women’s suffrage show a similar trend. For example, in Indiana in 1911, a social club held a debate called, “Resolved, That Women Should Have the Right to Vote.” The debate included two men arguing for women’s suffrage and two men arguing against women’s suffrage. Although the result was “seven to three in favor of the affirmative side,” the Defender also noted that “[B]y the way, there were three ladies and one mere man on the judges’ bench. Is there not a question that arises in your mind as to the outcome of the decision?” The reporter’s use of the word “mere” to describe the one man on the judge’s bench signals the reporter’s belief in the unfairness of the judgment: the ruling must have been unfair because most of the judges were women. In
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comparison, at a 1913 debate in Wisconsin, two women deliberated the same topic against two men; although “[t]he judges gave their decision as a tie . . . the unanimous consent of the audience was that the [women] won.”177 Although this article was published only two years later and the outcome—a tie—seems less favorable to women’s suffrage, the reporter does not comment on—or dismiss—the judges’ votes based on their sex. Moreover, the “unanimous consent of the audience” was in favor of women’s suffrage.

Soon thereafter, the debates and conversations dropped off and were largely replaced with women’s suffrage clubs and general educational meetings. For example, Ida B. Wells founded the Chicago Alpha Suffrage Club in 1913.178 After its founding, news about and announcements from the Alpha Suffrage Club frequently appeared on the pages of the Defender. The club met every Wednesday,179 and meetings included studying politics,180 meeting with politicians,181 preparing to “get out the vote,”182 and demonstrating the use of voting machines.183 Other Black women’s suffrage organizations appeared across the country.184

In the years leading up to the Nineteenth Amendment, Black women’s organizing efforts and club discussions, as reported in the Defender, also shifted. By 1917, women’s suffrage was rarely, if ever, “debated.” Instead, knowledgeable women involved in the suffrage movement gave lectures on the topic.185 Many of these lectures also began focusing on how women’s suffrage would particularly impact Black women and the Black community.186 Social clubs ran citizenship classes and lectures to prepare women for their newly acquired or impending
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civic responsibility. Some held meetings to instruct women on how to register. These meetings also served as a time for women to register together or, in some instances in the South, to attempt to register.

Just before the passage of the Nineteenth Amendment, these meetings occurred much less frequently. This is unsurprising, since the passage of the Nineteenth Amendment indicated nationwide support for women’s suffrage. However, this decline also corresponded with a change in suffrage efforts and conversations within the Black community. As the Nineteenth Amendment became inevitable, the Black community shifted efforts towards combating Jim Crow laws that kept Black men and women alike from the polls. In fact, the Alpha Suffrage Club did not report a single meeting in the Defender in 1919, the year before the passage of the Nineteenth Amendment. The sharp decline in women’s suffrage meetings, lectures, and discussions corresponded both with this community-wide change and understanding of Black suffrage.

D. The Shift from Women’s Suffrage to Jim Crow

It was unsurprising to the Black community when racial tensions arose in the women’s suffrage movement. The Defender reported on several clashes between Black and white suffragettes. For example, after the 1913 Women’s Suffrage Parade, the Defender reported that “Mrs. Ida B. Wells-Barnett . . . refused to be ‘Jim Crowed’ in the suffrage parade in Washington D.C.” and, instead, took her place with the other (white) Illinois delegates. A year later, another article started by saying, “As was
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to be expected our women are meeting with some objections in the Suffragettes’ ranks . . . .”191 The language in this latter article is particularly telling: the article’s opening phrase, “As was to be expected,” highlights the Black community’s beliefs surrounding activist work during that time. However, generally speaking, the Defender did not spend much time discussing the fissures in the suffrage movement or the wrongs committed against Black women, though they were many. Instead, the paper began to focus increasingly on the ways that women’s suffrage and Jim Crow were connected, especially in Southern states.

The connection between Black suffrage and women’s suffrage became inherently and politically entangled in the years before the passage of the Nineteenth Amendment. One 1915 article stated,

"[O]ur Southern friends see in the suffragettes’ proposed amendment . . . a bitter pill that they will be expected to swallow if the measure goes through, and that pill is that if women are given the ballot the ‘brunettes,’ who in many States are in the vast majority, will have the same privilege . . . ."192

This concern was increasingly echoed throughout the country. One article, printed the following year, indicated that President Wilson similarly understood the connection between women’s suffrage and Black suffrage: “[P]ersons close to the President say they believe his private opinion is that woman suffrage in the south would be bad for that section of the country on account of the increase it would cause in the Negro vote.”193 Other politicians from the South explicitly expressed similar sentiments: In 1918, Senator Williams from Mississippi said, “There will come a time in our international relations when the people out West will regret that they did not draw the white line . . . . Do you want to let . . . nig[gl]er women vote?”194

By 1918, the majority of discussions regarding women’s suffrage on the pages of the Defender focused on the South’s use of Jim Crow to disenfranchise Black voters—both male and female. In one article, the Defender wrote:

[The Southern states] plan all day and lie awake nights scheming how to carry out their point. This from an editor of one of the largest Texas dailies is representative: ‘There is nothing in

the past political history of the South to justify the fear that Negro women would prove a political menace were the federal amendment adopted.’ We agree with this learned scribe; the fears of the rabble are unfounded. The southern states have found a way to retain the supremacy of the white man at the polls and it is safe to believe they will do likewise where our women are concerned.\footnote{195}{Women’s Suffrage, Chi. Def., Oct. 12, 1918, at 12.}

The article goes on to cite measures such as grandfather clauses, white primaries, and literacy tests as measures used to keep Black citizens from the polls.\footnote{196}{Id.}

As the inevitability of the Nineteenth Amendment became increasingly clear, the Black community turned its attention to Black suffrage efforts more generally. Therefore, even before the passage of the Nineteenth Amendment, the Black community saw Black women as voters—or, potential voters in Southern states—just the same as their Black male counterparts. As such, the Defender encouraged all subscribers, men and women alike, to get out and vote.

The Black community’s shift in focus is evident in the Defender’s reporting on the passage of the Nineteenth Amendment. In fact, the Chicago Defender did not report on the passage of the Nineteenth Amendment during the week of August 18, 1920.\footnote{197}{See Chi. Def., Aug. 21, 1920.} The front-page stories for that week included, “Trap Woman in Blackmail Plot,”\footnote{198}{Trap Woman in Blackmail Plot, Chi. Def., Aug. 21, 1920, at 1.} “South Revolts on Jim Crow System,”\footnote{199}{South Revolts on Jim Crow System, Chi. Def., Aug. 21, 1920, at 1.} and “Resorts Close as Policemen Raid.”\footnote{200}{Resorts Close as Policemen Raid, Chi. Def., Aug. 21, 1920, at 1.} By 1920, women’s suffrage was not frequently reported on by the Defender. Suffrage was, as always, important to the Black community; one 1924 article, reporting on the National Race Congress, said, “Women were called upon to exercise their rights under the 19th amendment . . .”\footnote{201}{Wayland Rudd, National Race Congress Ends Its Annual Session, Chi. Def., May 17, 1924, at A11.}

However, attention shifted away from women’s suffrage and towards Black suffrage more generally as it became clear that Black women would only be able to vote in places where Black men were able to vote. Jim Crow laws were impacting both Black men and Black women at the polls.

One 1929 article in the Defender illustrated the issues that Black men and women faced when attempting to vote. The article stated, “The
recent decision . . . in invalidating the Virginia Democratic primary law has served to emphasize the fact that our citizens in Virginia are denied the right to vote in general elections in flagrant violation of the spirit, if not the letter, of the 14th and 15th amendments . . . ”202 The article provides numbers to support this claim, saying that there were “315,000 of our citizens of both sexes” in Virginia but only 8,000 Black men and women were allowed to vote in the 1929 presidential election.203 Other articles focused on systemic issues and called on politicians to protect Black voters in the South. Discussing the Republican National Convention of 1924, one article published three major demands: “1. Effort be made that Race Republicans in disfranchised states be given the opportunity to vote”; “2. Appropriate legislation be enacted to stop lynching of Race American citizens”; and “3. “That something must be done with lily-whiteism . . . “ an anti-Black movement within the Republican party.204 The Black community was well aware that Black men and women alike were struggling to cast their votes in the Jim Crow South; the Defender’s pivot to discussing Black suffrage generally illustrates a community-wide understanding of the ways that the Nineteenth Amendment would—or, more accurately, would not—impact Black women voters.

CONCLUSION

Recent scholarship has started recognizing the important role that Black women played in the women’s rights movement, often relying on a variety of primary sources to gain a better understanding of Black suffragettes and organizing during that time. Considering the stories published by the Chicago Defender between 1910 and 1930 offers additional, and thus far largely unexamined, insight and perspective into the thoughts and beliefs of the greater Black community generally—and Black men in particular—during this time. While Defender reporters in the early 1910s relied on sexist tropes to mock women’s suffrage and suffragettes, the tone of these articles turned towards acceptance and then outright promotion long before the passage of the Nineteenth Amendment. A similar trend appeared on the newspaper’s pages regarding women’s organizing efforts across the country. And by 1919, coverage and discussion of women’s suffrage was scarcely found in the Defender,
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replaced almost completely with concern for and political activism surrounding Jim Crow laws. Tellingly, when the Nineteenth Amendment passed in 1920, the Defender did not even report on it. Though these findings cannot speak for all Black Americans during this period, the paper’s reputation and influence indicate that the ideas found on its pages were highly valued by Black Americans. While much of the current research regarding Black women’s suffrage concerns Black women’s activist efforts, this Article discusses Black men’s changing perception of women’s suffrage through journalistic coverage. Words and stories have power; listening to the words and stories on the pages of the Chicago Defender—and thinking about all of the Black communities, large and small, that understood the newspaper to be theirs—sheds light on the way that these readers thought about and understood the Nineteenth Amendment. ❖