

Michigan Telecommunications & Technology Law Review

Volume 13 | Issue 1

Article 9

2006

Front Matter

Michigan Telecommunications and Technology Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://repository.law.umich.edu/mttlr>

Recommended Citation

Michigan Telecommunications and Technology Law Review, *Front Matter*, 13 MICH. TELECOMM. & TECH. L. REV. (2006).

Available at: <https://repository.law.umich.edu/mttlr/vol13/iss1/9>

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at University of Michigan Law School Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Michigan Telecommunications & Technology Law Review by an authorized editor of University of Michigan Law School Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact mLaw.repository@umich.edu.

**MICHIGAN TELECOMMUNICATIONS
AND TECHNOLOGY LAW REVIEW**

VOLUME 13

2006-2007

**MICHIGAN TELECOMMUNICATIONS
AND
TECHNOLOGY LAW REVIEW**

ARTICLES

THE HALF-FAIRNESS OF GOOGLE’S PLAN TO MAKE THE
WORLD’S COLLECTION OF BOOKS SEARCHABLE *Steven Hetcher* 1

FILE SHARING, COPYRIGHT, AND THE OPTIMAL
PRODUCTION OF MUSIC *Gerald R. Faulhaber* 77

TRENDS IN PROTECTION FOR INFORMATIONAL WORKS
UNDER COPYRIGHT LAW DURING THE
19TH AND 20TH CENTURIES *Miriam Bitton* 115

**21ST CENTURY COPYRIGHT LAW
IN THE DIGITAL DOMAIN SYMPOSIUM**

THREE REACTIONS TO *MGM v. GROKSTER* *Pamela Samuelson* 177

THE TEMPORAL DYNAMICS OF “CAPABLE OF SUBSTANTIAL
NONINFRINGING USES” *R. Anthony Reese* 197

THE INTENT ELEMENT OF “INDUCEMENT TO INFRINGE”
UNDER PATENT LAW: REFLECTIONS ON *GROKSTER* *Lynda J. Oswald* 225

21ST CENTURY COPYRIGHT LAW IN THE DIGITAL DOMAIN
SYMPOSIUM TRANSCRIPT 247

COMMENT

WHY PHARMACEUTICAL FIRMS SUPPORT PATENT TROLLS:
THE DISPARATE IMPACT OF *EBAY v. MERCExchange*
ON INNOVATION *Jeremiah S. Helm* 331

