Michigan Technology Law Review

Article 1

2021

Front Matter

Michigan Technology Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: https://repository.law.umich.edu/mtlr

Recommended Citation

Michigan Technology Law Review, *Front Matter*, 27 MICH. TECH. L. REV. i (2021). Available at: https://repository.law.umich.edu/mtlr/vol27/iss2/1

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at University of Michigan Law School Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Michigan Technology Law Review by an authorized editor of University of Michigan Law School Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact mlaw.repository@umich.edu.

MICHIGAN TECHNOLOGY LAW REVIEW

University of Michigan Law School Ann Arbor, Michigan

HTTP://WWW.MTTLR.ORG

Volume 27 • Issue 2 Spring 2021

MICHIGAN TECHNOLOGY LAW REVIEW

EDITORIAL BOARD

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

CARLINO MARK M. NATIVIDAD, of Florida

Managing Editor

KELSEY R. MCQUILKIN, of California

MANAGING ARTICLES EDITOR

ERIN K. WALL, of Texas

Managing Executive Editors

MIN-JU AVERYN LEE, of Canada KAY LI, of Canada

Managing Notes Editor

RACHEL A. CARPMAN, of Michigan

ARTICLE EDITORS

ELISABETH BRENNEN, of Michigan CHARLES K. CRANE III, of California LEON S. FREYERMUTH, of California JENNIFER J. HUSEBY, of South Korea NATALIE R. VICCHIO, of Ohio

SYMPOSIUM EDITORS

JULIA L. DENG, of Massachusetts
SARAH M. KROSNICK, of Maryland

EXECUTIVE EDITORS

HANNAH ELLIS, of Michigan
GREGORY FANTIN, of Texas
CLAIRE I. GRACE, of Texas
DANIELA SANCHEZ, of Massachusetts
IAN S. STERLIN, of New Jersey
NARMADA MURUGAN, of California
KIMBERLY M. PARRY, of California
BRIANA M. SOOY, of California
JAMES Y. WANG, of New York
JOSHUA W. ZHAO, of Michigan

NOTE EDITORS

LINDSAY MARIE GRIZZARD, of Alabama CLAIRE E. MENA, of Colorado KAMDEN SEGAWA, of Hawaii

ONLINE CONTENT EDITOR

JASON ZACCARO, of New York

TECHNOLOGY EDITOR

OMAR RIFAI, of Michigan

CONTRIBUTING EDITORS

DANIELLE A. BERNSTEIN, of New York
BELLA BOOK, of North Carolina
BORAN DING, of Minnesota
BRENNA L. GIBBS, of Minnesota

KATHERINE S. KLEIN, of Mississippi
LISA H. KOO, of New York
KRISTINE Y. LEE, of Hawaii
JOSEPH MAHER, of Michigan

MARGARET A. MANTEL, of Texas SPENCER J. PEOPLES, of Oklahoma ESTHER S. VINAROV, of New Jersey STEVEN ZHAO, of California

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

ASHLEY G. BISHEL, of Michigan
EMMA C. BUNIN, of Michigan
YIFAN CAO, of New York
NICHOLAS CASPERS, of Minnesota
NATHANIEL COOK, of Michigan
ALIYA R. CROCHETIERE, of California
KATHARINE FLEXTER, of Ohio
EI

SABRINA K. GLAVOTA, of Canada
SAVANNAH L. GRICE, of Georgia
LANDEN T. HANEY, of Michigan
EMMA C. HIMES, of Nebraska
SOPHIE LACAVA, of Illinois
ANDREW LEE, of New York
ELIZABETH H. MCELVEIN, of Washington, D.C.
ALEXANDER R. MILLER, of Michigan

MARVIN SHIH, of Michigan
SAIKA SUZUKI, of New York
RIMSHA R. SYEDA, of Virginia
RACHEL TUTEUR, of Massachusetts
DERRICK A. VALLEJOS, of New York
ALLISON S. ZWENG, of Michigan

1817 OF SECULO 1817 OF SECUE 1817 OF

Manager Jessica L. Pasquale EDITORIAL ASSISTANTS JESSICA KEELER CONNIE SWINDLE

VOLUME 27 • ISSUE 2 SPRING 2021

MICHIGAN TECHNOLOGY LAW REVIEW

ARTICLES

How Can I Tell if My Algorithm Was Reasonable?
TAKING IT WITH YOU: PLATFORM BARRIERS TO ENTRY AND THE LIMITS OF
DATA PORTABILITY
PUSHING BACK ON STRICTER COPYRIGHT ISP LIABILITY RULES
NOTE
MITOCHONDRIAL REPLACEMENT THERAPY: LET THE SCIENCE DECIDE
SPECIAL ESSAYS ON SCIENCE FICTION AND THE LAW
THE RIGHT TO AN ARTIFICIAL REALITY? FREEDOM OF THOUGHT AND THE
FICTION OF PHILIP K. DICK
Enabling Science Fiction
Daniel II Duese



FROM THE DESK OF THE EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Robots, Reality & Responsibility

Dear Readers.

Welcome to Volume 27, Issue 2 of the *Michigan Technology Law Review* (*MTLR*)! We are grateful for your audience, and we hope you find the pieces in this issue not only informative, but also enlightening. In this issue, we have pieces exploring the appropriate liability standard for "reasonable algorithms," presenting a novel solution to data portability barriers, and arguing for a balanced approach in any changes to copyright safe harbors for Internet Service Providers. We also have a student note from one of our very own *MTLR* editors critiquing legislation that prohibits research and regulation of therapies for carriers of mitochondrial diseases.

This issue is also special because of two essays we are featuring to explore the law and legal implications from the world of science fiction. Camilla Hrdy and Dan Brean present how enablement requirements are not only for "real world" patents, but also for creations and technologies that come to life in the genre. Marc Blitz delves through the work of Philip K. Dick to explore government regulation and protection of thoughts created through virtual reality.

On a more serious note, *MTLR* also acknowledges the brutal ongoing reality that people of color, in particular Black communities, experience as a result of systemic racism and the technologies that bolster systems of oppression. We will use our platform not only to highlight scholarship on the pernicious effects of racism, but also to hold ourselves and the scholars we publish accountable.

This year, MTLR proudly joined the effort led by the Michigan Journal of Race & Law to publish works on critical race theory and each Michigan Law journal's specialty field. 26 MICH. J. RACE & L. (SPECIAL ISSUE) (2021) (Michigan Law on Race & Law, published in collaboration with each University of Michigan Law School journal). We encourage you to delve through this scholarship, in particular our featured piece by Jessica Eaglin titled When Critical Race Theory Enters the Law & Technology Frame. Eaglin argues how race and technology are co-productive, and the use of technologies to solve social issues often fails to consider how the laws and technology themselves come about from a racialized context.

MTLR echoes the enduring call for equity in society. Technology drives improvements in our lives, but we must recognize when technology leads to the oppression of fellow humans. The beauty of science fiction is that the genre provides us a glimpse of an exciting future through innovative technology. But we must ensure that technology does not perpetuate a racist future.

C.M.M.N.